Thermodynamic vs. Kinetic Control Enables Lewis Acid-Induced Enantioselectivity Reversal Relying on the Same Chiral Source
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ABSTRACT: Enantiodivergence is an important concept in asymmetric catalysis that enables access to both enantiomers of a product relying on the same chiral source. This strategy is particularly appealing as an alternate approach when only one enantiomer of the required chiral ligand is readily accessible but both enantiomers of the product are desired. Despite their potential significance, general catalytic methods to induce reversal in enantioselectivity remain underdeveloped. Herein we report our studies focused on elucidating the origin of enantioselectivity reversal in Lewis acid-catalyzed Michael additions relying on the same enantiomer of ligand as the chiral source. Our results provide a detailed mechanistic understanding of this transformation based on experimental and computational investigations which reveal the important interplay between kinetics and thermodynamics responsible for the observed enantiodivergence.

Introduction

Asymmetric synthesis enables access to chiral complex molecules which is particularly desirable as distinct enantiomers can exhibit different biological activity. In asymmetric catalysis,1 chiral induction is often conferred by optically active molecules of natural origin that function as ligands2 in metal complexes (Figure 1A). Consequently, the synthesis of both enantiomers of a target structure generally requires access to both enantiomers of a chiral catalyst. However, many naturally occurring chiral pool reagents3 used to synthesize chiral ligands are often available in only one absolute configuration.4 Enantiodivergent catalytic strategies5 can represent intriguing alternatives to overcome this limitation by transferring chirality of a single chiral source to selectively obtain either enantiomer of a product (Figure 1B). Several reports observing a reversal in enantioselectivity with the same chiral source exist, including the use of distinct metals,6 counterions,7 the introduction of subtle structural modifications of the catalyst system,8 or simply changes in solvent or temperature.9 Nevertheless, the design of effective and general catalytic asymmetric methods to induce complete reversals in enantioselectivity continues to be a challenge.5c,f Importantly, often only one of the two enantiomers is obtained in high enantiomeric excess since it is difficult to induce large energy differences between transition states that lead to the competing products required for effective enantiodivergence. Thus, it is imperative to identify the origin of such enantioselectivity reversal and yet, these transformations remain poorly understood.5,6,

The ability to design or anticipate strategies that would afford effective enantiodivergence is highly valuable to synthetic chemists. One promising approach could center on well-defined chiral ligands whose complexes with distinct metals may enable sufficient geometric and energetic differences between the relevant transition states. Consequently, this should allow high levels of enantiodivergence to be achieved.4 This approach is based on the intrinsic characteristics of the central metals such as ionic radius and electron configuration that lead to the formation of structurally and electronically distinct complexes.
Table 1. Optimization of the enantioselective Michael addition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Lewis Acid</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sc(OTf)3</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dy(OTf)3</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>La(OTf)3</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sc(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dy(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lu(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yb(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tm(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Er(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ho(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tb(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Gd(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Eu(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Sm(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nd(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Pr(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ce(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>La(OTf)3</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>Trifluorotoluene</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27*</td>
<td>Y(OTf)3</td>
<td>CH2CN</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: 10 mol% 1 and 5 mol% M(OTf)3 were pre-stirred at 60 °C (1 h).
Reactions were performed on 0.15 mmol scale in the listed solvent (0.02 M) at 60 °C for the listed time. a) Reaction performed at 80 °C, and 0.04 M.

upon binding to the same chiral ligand. If the chiral ligand is well-defined, the enantiodivergence can result, for example, through different coordination modes of the ligand or the substrate to the metal center and induce profound variations in the catalyst’s chiral environment. We recently deployed such a strategy to complete the enantiodivergent total synthesis of (+)- and (−)-lingzhiol.10 Harnessing different catalyst designs by changing the central metal has remained underexplored. In this context, we herein report our studies, which integrate experimental and computational tools to understand the origin of enantiodivergence in Lewis acid catalyzed Michael additions relying on bipyridine ligand (1) and bifunctional Michael additions relying on bipyridine ligand (1) and refine our initial hypothesis of substrate-controlled reversal of enantioselectivity (Figure 1C).15

In these investigations, both the identity of the Lewis acid complex and the substrate were systematically modified to probe the importance of such components in achieving high levels of selectivity for either enantiomer. Focusing on the two most selective Lewis acids, we determined through density functional theory (DFT) calculations that for scandium-based complexes, the product distribution is controlled by the kinetics of carbon-carbon bond formation. Conversely, for yttrium, enantioselectivity arises from the thermodynamically preferred Lewis acid-product complex. In addition to the central metal,16 the substrate was found to play a key role in achieving high enantiodivergence. Importantly, the substrate structure affects the stability of the post-transition state complexes following Michael addition, directly impacting the selectivity arising from a thermodynamic controlled reaction pathway. Given the prominence of Lewis acids in asymmetric catalysis, we expect that the insights described in this report will further advance our understanding of Lewis acid-catalyzed reversal of enantioselectivity and enable the design and development of general strategies to achieve high enantiodivergence.

Results and Discussion

During our efforts towards the enantioselective total synthesis of lingzhiol,10 we investigated the conjugate addition between β-ketoester 4 and methyl vinyl ketone 5 catalyzed by Sc(OTf)3 and bipyridine ligand 1 under conditions developed by Kobayashi and coworkers.17 Although the reaction proceeded with a high enantioselectivity of 90% ee, the desired product was only isolated in 31% yield (entry 1, Table 1). In an effort to improve the conversion of this transformation, we evaluated a variety of metal triflates to identify higher-yielding conditions for the formation of Michael adduct 6.16 Higher yields and conversions were observed with Dy(OTf)3, Y(OTf)3, and La(OTf)3 in 88% ee, 92% ee, and 93% ee, respectively in shorter overall reaction times while moderate to good enantioselectivities of up to 76% ee were obtained (entries 2-4, Table 1). Interestingly, catalytic amounts of Dy(OTf)3 and Y(OTf)3 favored the opposite enantiomer of the product when compared to Sc(OTf)3 despite employing the same enantiomer of ligand 1 (S,S-1). Importantly, Kobayashi and coworkers were previously able to show that this ligand system can induce metal-dependent enantiodivergence in the opening of meso-epoxides albeit relying on scandium and copper as metals.6d In subsequent efforts, we focused on the evaluation of additional solvents and observed improved

Table 2: Selected metal triflates, their ionic radii, and performance in the enantioselective Michael reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Metal Ion</th>
<th>Radius (Å)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sc2+</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dy2+</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y2+</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>La2+</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>DCE</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: 10 mol% 1 and 5 mol% M(OTf)3 were pre-stirred at 60 °C (1 h).
Reactions were performed on 0.15 mmol scale in the listed solvent (0.02 M) at 60 °C for the listed time.
enantioselectivities for Y(OTf)3 and Dy(OTf)3 with 91% ee and 90% ee, respectively when switching to benzene while high yields were maintained (entries 6 and 7, Table 1). In comparison, catalytic amounts of Sc(OTf)3 in benzene under otherwise identical conditions did not result in the formation of Michael adduct 6 even after extended reaction times (entry 5, Table 1). Ensuing efforts centered on the investigation of commercially available lanthanide(III) triflate salts with benzene as reaction solvent. Specifically, catalytic amounts of Lu(OTf)3 and Yb(OTf)3 led to complete conversion of β-ketoester 4 after 42 hours and results in 93% and 89% yield of product 6 in 82% ee and 84% ee, respectively (entries 8 and 9, Table 1). Additionally, Tm(OTf)3, Er(OTf)3, Ho(OTf)3, Tb(OTf)3, Gd(OTf)3, and Eu(OTf)3 all afforded high yields of up to 99% while the same enantiomer of product 6 was formed with high enantioselectivities of up to 90% ee (entries 10-15, Table 1). Catalytic amounts of Sm(OTf)3 similarly afforded

**Figure 2:** Plot of log(e.r.) vs. ionic radii of distinct lanthanides.

high yields of product 6 in 96% albeit with only moderate enantiomeric excess of 74% (entry 16, Table 1). Furthermore, Nd(OTf)3 provided product 6 in 96% yield although in only 13% ee (entry 17, Table 1). In comparison, catalytic amounts of Pr(OTf)3, Ce(OTf)3 and La(OTf)3 afforded the desired product 6 in up to 97% yield but with only moderate ee (entries 18-20, Table 1). Interestingly, relying on these lanthanides the opposite enantiomer of 6 was again favored, similar to the results observed relying on Sc(OTf)3 as Lewis acid catalyst. Additional solvents were evaluated together with Y(OTf)3 as catalyst, however only toluene was comparable to benzene resulting in product 6 in 73% yield and 88% ee (entries 21-26, Table 1).

**Metal ionic radii:** Metal-dependent reversal of enantioselectivity has been previously attributed to the distinct ionic radii of the central metal.5 Table 2 correlates the optimal reaction conditions identified for the selective formation of either enantiomer of 6 together with the respective ionic radius of the lanthanide. While dichloroethane proved superior as solvent with the smaller scandium as metal center, no formation of the desired product was observed in benzene, presumably due to low solubility of the Lewis acid catalyst (entries 1-2, Table 2). In comparison, the larger yttrium-based catalyst displayed superior reactivity in benzene (entries 3-4, Table 2). Moreover, when the log of the enantiomeric ratio of product 6 is plotted against the ionic radius of the metal catalyst, a bell-shaped curve is observed (Figure 2). This is consistent with previous literature reports correlating ionic radii to enantiomeric excess.” Interestingly, the formation of one enantiomer is strongly favored with the small scandium metal while increasing the ionic radius to 1.019 Å in yttrium leads to the selective formation of the opposite enantiomer. However, a further increase in metal ionic radii reverses this trend to favor the formation of the initial enantiomer albeit with lower enantioselectivities. These results suggest that structurally distinct metal complexes form upon binding of ligand 1 to metals varying in their metal ionic radii leading to the observed reversal of facial selectivity.

**Nonlinear effect studies:** The origin of enantiodivergence in metal-controlled reversals of enantioselectivity is often attributed to these different coordination modes characteristic for larger compared to smaller metals. However, a reversal of enantioselectivity has also been observed due to the formation of metal-ligand aggregates or metal complexes varying in their metal to ligand ratio.19 To gain additional insights into the controlling features of this enantiodivergent Michael addition, we conducted nonlinear effect studies20 with scandium- and yttrium-based Lewis acids (Figure 3). Importantly, both scandium- and yttrium-catalyzed reaction pathways. Kinetic studies: To determine whether more than one equivalent of the Lewis acidic metal is involved in the active catalyst, we conducted kinetic investigations of both the scandium- and yttrium-catalyzed transformations. Importantly, in studies focused on aqueous Mukaiyama aldol reactions relying on bipyridine 1.

**Figure 3:** Nonlinear effect studies of the scandium- and yttrium-catalyzed enantiodivergent Michael addition.

**Figure 4:** Potential metal-ligand binding modes between Sc/Y and ligand 1.
Figure 5: Kinetic investigations of the scandium- and yttrium-catalyzed enantiodivergent Michael addition.

| Conditions: 2X mol% 1 and X mol% M(OTf)_3 were pre-stirred at 60 °C (30 min). Reactions were performed on 0.057 mmol scale in the listed solvent (0.018 M) at 60 °C with monitoring at regular intervals. Reactions were performed in triplicate. |  |

as chiral ligand and Bi(OTf)_3 as Lewis acid, Kobayashi and coworkers observed that excess ligand was required to maintain high enantioselectivity of the product formed. Specifically, a 1:1 metal/ligand complex (8) was favored when excess ligand was present while excess metal promoted a competing bimetallic binding mode (Figure 4). Initial ¹H-NMR studies following the chemical shift of the characteristic methine proton of the ligand are consistent with a tetradentate monometallic binding mode of the bipyridine 1 to both scandium and yttrium (see Supporting Information for details). To gain additional support for this hypothesis, we conducted subsequent kinetic investigations of the enantiodivergent Michael

Table 3: Evaluation of structural requirements of pyridyl ligands.

| Ligands | Conditions: 10 mol% of the ligand and 5 mol% M(OTf)_3 were pre-stirred at 60 °C (30 min). Reactions were performed on 0.15 or 0.076 mmol scale in DCE (Sc(OTf)_3) at 60 °C (0.02 M) or benzene (Y(OTf)_3) at 80 °C (0.04 M). |  |

Table 4: Evaluation of differentially MeO-substituted aryl β-ketoester substrates

| Conditions: 2X mol% 1 and X mol% M(OTf)_3 were pre-stirred at 60 °C (30 min). Reactions were performed on 0.057 mmol scale in the listed solvent (0.018 M) at 60 °C with monitoring at regular intervals. Reactions were performed in triplicate. |  |

4, Table 2). In our studies, 2.5%, 5%, and 7.5% loadings of each metal catalyst were investigated and the superior 2:1 ligand to metal ratio previously identified during our reaction optimization was maintained. For the comparatively fast Y(OTf)_3-catalyzed transformation, the yield of product
more reactive metal enolate in both the scandium- and yttrium-catalyzed reactions. Finally, conformationally locked phenanthroline-derived ligand 13 similarly showed a reversal of enantioselectivity for substrate 6. Importantly, unlike ligands 1 and 12, phenanthroline 13 cannot undergo rotation around its central bond, and is unable to bind two equivalents of metal (8, Figure 4). Together with the NMR and kinetic studies, these results suggest that a conformational change in the bipyridine ligand is not responsible for the reversal of enantioselectivity and that both scandium and yttrium metal centers interact with the bipyridine ligand to form a 1:1 metal-ligand complex.

Substrate structure: We next evaluated the effect of the substrate on the reversal of enantioselectivity in asymmetric Michael reactions. Specifically, aromatic β-ketoester substrates differing in their aromatic substitution pattern were evaluated (Table 4). Importantly, the scandium-catalyzed transformation generally afforded high enantioselectivity (6, 16-20, Table 4) which is consistent with the initial report by Kobayashi and coworkers17 and demonstrates that the ortho-methoxy substituent is not necessary to achieve high enantioselectivity with this catalyst system. In comparison, the yttrium-catalyzed reaction was generally high-yielding, resulting in up to 99% yield of products 6 and 16-20. However, Michael adducts 17 and 19 bearing methoxy substituents in the meta position were formed in low enantiomeric excess of 17% ee and 13% ee, respectively. Similarly, para-methoxy substituted indanone 20 was formed in low enantiomeric excess of 24%, as was the meta, para-substituted dimethoxy product 18 (7% ee). Interestingly, those substrates containing substitution in the ortho position afforded the desired Michael adducts (16, 6, Table 4) in high enantioselectivities of 90% and 95%. Importantly, for all substrates investigated the major enantiomer formed under the Y(OTf)3-catalyzed reaction conditions was opposite to that formed relying on Sc(OTf)3. To further investigate the unique impact of the ortho substituents, we conducted 1H-NMR studies relying on Eu(fod)3 as an NMR-shift reagent.23 Importantly, Eu3+ has an ionic radius of 1.066 Å that is comparable in size to that reported for Y3+ with 1.019 Å. Additionally, our initial reaction optimization showed that Eu(OTf)3 resulted in the formation of product 6 with similar yield and enantiomeric excess as Y(OTf)3 (entries 6 and 15, Table 1). When substrate 4 was treated with Eu(fod)3 in d6-benzene two new methoxy signals were observed in the 1H-NMR spectrum, which suggests a three-point binding of the substrate to the europium metal center (21, Figure 6). This result is consistent with the hypothesis that the ortho-substituent in the substrate plays an important role in the observed enantiodivergence.

Calculations: To better understand the switch of stereoinduction, we employed DFT calculations. Such techniques have become powerful tools for the mechanistic interrogation of reactions including those catalyzed by Lewis acids.24 However, the investigation of the chiral Lewis acid complexes described in this study was projected to involve several additional complications, including the presence of multiple catalytically competent species in solution, which would render the control and interpretation of experimental outcomes difficult. Thus, we aimed to restrict the computational analysis to the relevant enantiodetermining step in which the stereogenic center is formally set during the Michael addition. Stationary points were located using M06 density functional using a mixed basis set of SDD for yttrium and 6-31G(d,p) for all other atoms; for scandium the same functional was deployed with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set for all atoms. Single-point energies M06/def2-TZVP were then calculated on these structures. Solvation free energy corrections were computed by means of an IEFPCM model.

Ligand exchange between the bipyridine and triflate can lead to a number of catalytically active species which differ in triflate coordination number and ligand protonation state. By assuming that the ligand bound Lewis acid species are in equilibrium at 60-80 °C, Curtin-Hammett conditions26 should apply and, therefore, the favored pathway is determined by the absolute energy difference: 

$$\Delta G = \Delta G_{\text{kinetic}} - \Delta G_{\text{thermodynamic}}$$

Mechanistic considerations for the Michael addition: enantioselectivity can be under kinetic or thermodynamic control.
energies of the transition state (TS; kinetic control, Figure 7). Intriguingly, the low barriers calculated for the achiral pathways (13.1 and 14.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for scandium and yttrium, respectively) suggest that since such high levels of enantioselectivity are achieved, ligand complexation to metal is irreversible. This indicates that the achiral Lewis acid species do not participate in the reaction. In addition to a kinetically controlled pathway, we also considered the possibility that enantioselectivity could arise from equilibration of the resulting diastereomeric, post-TS Lewis acid complexes. In this case, the most stable structure following C-C bond formation between 4 and 5 leads to the product (thermodynamic control, Figure 7). The key stationary points important for explaining enantioselectivity are shown in Figure 11 and are referenced throughout this discussion.

We first considered the possibility that product distribution is determined by the kinetics of the C-C bond formation. Complex \(\text{ML}_{2}\text{H}.\text{OTf}^+ (24)\), proposed by Kobayashi, was initially investigated as the catalytically active species. The enolate can orient itself with respect to the catalyst in one of two ways: the aromatic group can be directed away from (22) or toward (23) the triflate as shown in Figure 8. Additionally, substrate 5 can approach from the front or the back. Combining these considerations, four classes of TS are formulated for this catalytic species (Figure 8). In addition to \(\text{ML}_{2}\text{H}.\text{OTf}^+ (24)\), we considered other catalytic species which varied in triflate coordination number and protonation state (partially or fully deprotonated) of the bound bipyridine ligand. This leads to a total of six catalyst complexes (24-29, Figure 9A).

**Scandium:** Our initial calculations with \(\text{ScL}_{2}\text{H}.\text{OTf}^+ (\text{Sc-24})\) determined the lowest-energy transition state for the scandium-catalyzed reaction to be TS1sc-Si, having an activation free energy of 20.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (Figure 9B). This pathway was found to be 0.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) lower in energy than that leading to the competing product, TS1sc-Re. This preference can be attributed to the increased Lewis acidity of the scandium which arises from a twisting of the bipyridine ligand (NCCN dihedral TS1sc-Si is 16.0 and TS1sc-Re is 12.3) and stronger H-bonding contacts with the ketone substrate for TS1sc-Si. However, the computed enantiomeric selectivity from this pathway was found to be -48% ee which is much lower than that observed (-90% ee). It is therefore possible that the other catalytic species outlined in Figure 9A could promote lower energy pathways. Importantly, in these preliminary studies, we identified two possible modes of bifunctional activation (Figure 10) in which the catalyst can interact with both the nucleophile (4) and electrophile (5): 1) a Lewis acid mediated mechanism, in which the carbonyl of 5 is activated by direct coordination with the metal center (30), and 2) a Bronsted acid type mechanism in which substrate 5 is activated by hydrogen bonding between the carbonyl and the hydroxy protons of the metal-ligand complex (31). Other modes of activation such as mono-activation mechanisms in which only the enolate (4) binds to the catalyst were also located.

Considering the TS possibilities with \(\text{ScL}_{2}\text{H}.\text{OTf}^+ (\text{Sc-27})\), we next identified TS2sc-Si as the lowest energy TS for this complex. The activation energy was calculated to be 17.6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is lower than TS1sc-Si and corresponds to a second Bronsted acid type mechanism (Figure 10). However, if this were the active catalytic species in solution, formation of the S product is expected while the R enantiomer was preferred experimentally. Therefore, our calculations suggest that neither of these catalytic species are likely to be responsible for the experimental outcome. Next, we exhaustively considered the other species with deprotonated ligands. Our calculations determined that for scandium, the lowest-energy TS corresponds to a ScL.OTf (Sc-26) complex. In this TS (TS3sc-Re), the reaction proceeds via a Lewis acid type activation mode in which only the enolate is activated. Furthermore, the combination of a twisted bipyridine that reduces the binding interaction to the metal and a coordinated triflate leads to a higher Lewis acidity relative to the other species involved.
The preference for TS3_{Sc-Re} over TS3_{Sc-Si} can be attributed to a reduction in favorable acid-base contacts between the scandium and the enolate in the latter. However, our calculations holistically suggest that the lowest energy pathway leading to the opposite enantiomer proceeds via TS4_{Sc-Si} (Figure 11A) involving a ScL.H (Sc-28) complex. When comparing the calculated lowest-energy pathway leading to the S and R enantiomer (those proceeding through TS4_{Sc-Si} and TS3_{Sc-Re}, respectively), the computed enantioselectivity is -89% ee, which is in very good agreement to observed experimental value of -90% ee (Figure 12A). Although, in this catalytic mode of activation (TS4_{Sc-Si}) the catalyst complex simultaneously activates both electrophile and nucleophile, the Lewis acid catalysis of ScL.OTf (Sc-26) is more effective than the Brønsted acid.

Figure 11. Key TS for A. the scandium-catalyzed Michael additions and B. selected transition states for the yttrium-catalyzed Michael addition as well as the key post-TS complexes leading to thermodynamic control of enantioselectivity. C. 2D representations of the structures determined to be active in the enantiodetermining step of the respective reactions. Geometries were obtained from M06/6-31G(d,p) with the SDD ECP applied for the Y atom when applicable. Single point energies from M06/def2-TZVP with the IEFPCM solvation model.
catalysis of ScL.H (Sc-27) leading to a reduction in the activation energy for TS3Y-Re and the high levels of enantioselectivity observed. The lack of interaction between the complex and the substrate’s OMe substituent implies that selectivity will not be sensitive to structural modifications at this position, which agrees with our observations for the scandium-catalyzed system (Table 4). The diastereomeric post-TS product complexes, formed after C-C bond formation, were also located. These calculations demonstrated that if the reaction were to be under thermodynamic control, the S product would be observed in high levels of selectivity. The reversibility of the addition would depend on the barrier for protonation, yet, the investigation of calculations demonstrated that if the reaction were to be under thermodynamic control, the S product would be observed in high levels of selectivity. The reversibility of the addition would depend on the barrier for protonation, yet, the investigation of such steps is often intractable by computation. The good agreement between experiment and computation suggests that this step is fast and the product distribution is determined by the kinetics of C-C bond formation. Moreover, we found that experimentally increasing the temperature of the reaction led to lower levels of selectivity,28 consistent with a kinetically controlled reaction could not explain the high levels of enantioselectivity observed in these complexes. It is possible that thermodynamic control over the equilibration of the resulting diastereoisomeric yttrium complexes yields enantiomerically enriched products. The lowest energy diastereomeric product complexes, formed after C-C bond formation, were calculated to be within 5.4 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) of each other (Figure 11B), with the S structure the lowest in energy. Therefore, very high levels of enantioselectivity are expected in this system if the reaction were to be under thermodynamic control (calculated >99% ee). While the energy difference is overestimated, the reproduction of experimental enantioselectivity trends – that yttrium is calculated to promote the reaction with higher levels of enantioselectivity compared to scandium – illustrates the strength of the computational analysis. The reason for the strong preference for the S product is due to the generation of a Lewis acid-base interaction with the methoxy group and the yttrium metal center (Figure 10). The lack of such a stabilizing interaction would increase the energy of the ground state complex that leads to S product relative to the R and thus result in low levels of enantioselectivity. This is in good agreement with the structure selectivity relationships shown in Table 4. Conversely to the scandium-catalyzed

**Figure 12.** Summary of the calculated controlling features of the metal-dependent enantiodivergence. A. the scandium-catalyzed pathway proceeds through the lowest activation barrier (kinetic control) to afford (R)-6 while B. coordination of the ortho-methoxy substituent leads to a thermodynamic preference for (S)-6 in a post-TS equilibrium of Y-complexes.

Yttrium: Next, we computed the possible pathways for the yttrium-catalyzed system. As before, we began by investigating the Yttrium-2H.OTf (Y-24, Figure 11B) as the active catalytic species. In these TS, the larger ionic radius of yttrium renders the Lewis acid activation of substrate 5 the lowest energy TS pathway. The activation free energy was calculated to be 14.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). A comparison of the lowest energy TS, TS1Y-Re and TS1Y-Si, indicates that the reaction should proceed to give R product, whereas the S enantiomer was obtained experimentally. Therefore, we next considered the reaction catalyzed by Yttrium-2H (Y-27), which excludes triflate coordination to the metal. Comparison of activation barriers precludes the analogous yttrium species as the active catalyst (17.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) Figure 9B). Therefore, our calculations suggest that neither of these catalysts are likely to be responsible for the experimental outcome. In considering the other complexes, we determined that the computed enantioselectivity that arises from these complexes would be high but lead to the R product (computed ee is -93%). Therefore, a kinetically controlled C-C bond forming reaction could not explain the high levels of enantioselectivity observed in these complexes. It is possible that thermodynamic control over the equilibration of the resulting diastereoisomeric yttrium complexes yields enantiomerically enriched products.
reaction, we found that experimentally increasing the temperature of the reaction led to higher levels of enantioselectivity, consistent with a thermodynamically controlled reaction. Remarkably, the two Lewis acid complexes bearing the same chiral ligand do not result in the same enantiomeric products due to a complex interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic control (Figure 12).

Experimental test of the model: Based on this mechanistic hypothesis, electron-poor β-ketoesters bearing a third Lewis basic site are expected to result in diminished activity of the Scandium-enolate and are thus not viable as substrates. Indeed, when electron-poor β-ketoesters are converted with two equivalents of methyl vinyl ketone 5 under the optimal reaction conditions relying on catalytic amounts of Sc(OTf)3, no formation of the desired products 32 and 33 is observed. In the case of the yttrium-catalyzed system, our reaction model would suggest a thermodynamic preference for the (S)-product if other Lewis bases could effectively bind to the metal center. In comparison, conducting these transformations under the complementary conditions developed based on Y(OTf)3 resulted in the formation of 32 in 95% yield and 97% ee while 33 was obtained in 94% yield and 87% ee, which is consistent with our mechanistic hypothesis for Lewis acid-catalyzed enantioselectivity reversal (Table 5).

Model for enantiodivergence: Based on our combined results revealing the importance of a Lewis basic substituent in the ortho-position of the substrate, mechanistic studies supporting a tetradentate metal-ligand binding mode in a monometallic system, and computational analysis, we propose a distinct model explaining the reversal of enantioselectivity (Figure 12). Importantly, the change in central metal leads to a distinct coordination environment: specifically, the larger yttrium metal can interact with a greater number of Lewis bases. As a result, two different reactive conformations for the metal-ligand-substrate complex are possible (Figure 8). In the case of the smaller scandium metal center, the substrate interacts with the metal-ligand complex upon two-point binding (22) in the lowest energy structures. This is similar to the metal-ligand binding proposed by Kobayashi and coworkers in their initial studies of the Sc(OTf)3-I catalyzed Michael addition.17 Our computational analysis confirmed that the lowest energy transition state (TS3x-Re) is expected to result in enantiomeric excess consistent with our experimental observations. When employing the larger yttrium metal as Lewis acid, NMR studies (Figure 6) suggest that the Lewis basic ortho substituent of the substrate also binds to the metal, which must induce a 180-degree rotation of the substrate (23, Figure 8). Computational analysis revealed that this reaction is under thermodynamic control and the effect of the ortho-substituent impacts the stability of the post-TS complex. Calculated and measured ee values are again in good agreement (Figure 12B). Importantly, substrate-dependent binding to chiral metal catalysts has been previously described to explain differences in enantioselectivity between substrates.23 However, these specific substrate-metal complex interactions have not been observed to result in a complete reversal of enantioselectivity depending on the choice of metal catalyst. While a number of previous reports6-9, 14-15 have observed metal-dependent enantiodivergence, reliance on an additional Lewis basic site of the reactive substrate has not previously been suggested as a rationale for such a reversal. In this system, the choice of metal influences the coordination environment of the metal-ligand complex while the active participation of a Lewis basic site in the substrate is critical to induce the observed reversal of enantioselectivity. The insights obtained herein are particularly important in the future design of enantiodivergent catalysis – namely the importance of considering the participation of the substrate.

Conclusions: Our observation of metal-dependent reversal of enantioselectivity for this conjugate addition reaction has been optimized for scandium and yttrium with bipyridine ligand 1 and studied extensively. Through non-linear effect and kinetic studies, we have determined that the mechanism relies a 1:1 complex of ligand and metal. Additionally, we have shown the importance of the C2 symmetry and the free hydroxy groups in ligand 1 as well as the necessity of an ortho substituent in the substrate to afford high enantioselectivity in the yttrium-catalyzed reaction. Finally, computational modeling illustrates kinetic control of selectivity in the scandium-catalyzed pathway in contrast to a more complex, thermodynamically driven enantioselectivity induced by the presence of the ortho substituent in the yttrium-based system. These studies will serve to aid in the future development of enantiodivergent catalytic methods relying only on a change in the identity of metal catalyst.
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