A Boron-Transfer Mechanism Mediating the Thermally Induced Revival of Frustrated Carbene–Borane Pairs from their Shelf-Stable Adducts

Yoichi Hoshimoto,^{*[a]} Mahiro Sakuraba,^[a] Takuya Kinoshita,^[a] Masaki Ohbo,^[b] Manussada Ratanasak,^[b] Jun-ya Hasegawa,^{*[b]} and Sensuke Ogoshi^{*[a]}

[a] Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. hoshimoto@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp; ogoshi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp
[b] Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Kita 21, Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan. hasegawa@cat.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract: Combined experimental and theoretical studies allowed clarifying the reaction mechanism for the revival of frustrated carbene—borane pairs from external-stimuli-responsive classical Lewis adducts comprised of N-phosphine oxide-substituted imidazolylidenes and triarylboranes. A borane-transfer process from the carbene carbon atom to the N-phosphinoyl oxygen atom was identified as the rate-determining event for the regeneration of the FLP species, eventually enabling the heterolytic cleavage of H₂.

Table of Contents:



There have been many recent developments in the chemistry of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) that have been of note, for example, the activation of H_2 mediated by main-group elements.^[1] In general, FLPs are transient and not shelf-stable species making their isolation challenging. Meanwhile, chemists have developed strategies that trigger the conformational isomerization of molecules in response to external-stimuli.^[2] These strategies can also be used to generate transient FLP species from classical Lewis adducts (CLAs) that act like their shelf-stable precursors.^[3,4] In 2015, we demonstrated a strategy to generate FLPs from shelf-stable CLAs ($1 \cdot B^1$ in Figure 1) that are comprised of N-phosphine oxide-substituted imidazolylidenes (PoxIms; 1) and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (B¹). Here, the revival of the FLP from the CLA is closely controlled by a thermally induced conformational isomerization of the N-phosphinoyl moiety.^[4c,5] In 2018, Stephan et al. reported a system to control the generation of FLPs from CLAs via a light-induced E/Z isomerization of $(C_6F_5)_2B((p-Tol)S)C=CCH(^{t}Bu)$.^[6] Nevertheless, such FLP revival systems, including external-stimuli-responsive conformational isomerizations, are still underdeveloped. Thus, clarifying the relationship between external-stimuli-responsive conformational isomerizations and the interconversion that occurs between frustrated and quenched Lewis pairs is of great importance. This would allow a significant expansion of different strategies to design and apply FLP species.^[4e]



Figure 1. Revival of FLPs from $[PoxIm-B(C_6F_5)_3]$ adducts, induced by thermally responsive molecular motions. (a) A previously proposed mechanism. (b) The updated mechanism proposed based on the results of this work.

In our system that uses PoxIms, the revival mechanism has not been fully explained. A tentative mechanism in which a $B(C_6F_5)_3$ moiety is repelled by the *N*-phosphinoyl group via a thermally induced isomerization from the *syn* to *anti* conformation had been proposed. In this case the *syn/anti* conformation refers to the relative orientation of the carbene carbon atom and the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen atom with respect to the N–P bond (Figure 1a).^[4c] Herein, we report the results of a combined experimental and theoretical mechanistic study that demonstrates the key role of a transfer step where the triarylborane (BAr₃) unit on the carbene carbon atom moves to the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen atom (Figure 1b). In this study, PoxIms with 2,6-^{*i*}Pr₂-C₆H₃, 2,4,6-Me₃-C₆H₂, and 3,5-^{*i*}Bu₂-C₆H₃ groups were studied and are herein referred to as **1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively.

To explore the impact of the Lewis acidity of BAr_3 on the formation and reactivity of the carbene-borane adducts, the reaction between **1a** and $B(p-HC_6F_4)_3$ (**B**²)^[7] was undertaken (Scheme 1). Full consumption of **1a** was confirmed after 20 minutes, resulting in the formation of two CLAs, i.e., **2aB**², which contains a *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen–boron bond, and **3aB**², which contains a carbene-boron bond, in 61% and 29% yield, respectively. Previously, we have reported that, even at -30 °C, $2aB^1$ could be converted to $3aB^1$ and that full identification of $2aB^1$ could therefore be achieved using NMR analysis conducted at -90 °C.^[4c] In the present case, $2aB^2$ exhibited a longer life-time at room temperature than $2aB^1$, which enabled us to prepare single crystals of $2aB^2$ by recrystallization from the reaction mixture at -30 °C. The molecular structure of $2aB^2$ was unambiguously confirmed using single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) analysis. A set of (R_a) and (S_a) atropisomers of $2aB^2$ was identified in the asymmetric unit of the single crystal. The molecular structure of (R_a) -2aB² is shown in Figure 2a and demonstrates a rare example of complexation-induced N–P axial chirality.^[5c] As the reaction progressed, $2aB^2$ was converted to $3aB^2$ and 4a; $2aB^2$ was fully consumed within 6 h to afford these compounds in 75% and 25% yield, respectively. It should be noted that 4a is likely furnished via the migration of the N-phosphinoyl group from the nitrogen atom to the carbene carbon atom. However, in the absence of B², this migration only proceeded to 9% at 100 °C, even after 25 h.^[5d] The formation of **4a** was therefore promoted by the enhancement of the electrophilicity of the P center via the coordination of the N-phosphinovl moiety to \mathbf{B}^2 . Regeneration of B^2 was observed along with the production of 4a. The molecular structure of $3aB^2$ was also confirmed by SC-XRD analysis (Figure 2b). Comparison of the structural parameters between the solid-state structures of $3aB^2$ and $3aB^1$ shows their similarity. For example, the C1–B distances in **3aB²** and in **3aB¹** are 1.710(3) Å and 1.696(3) Å, respectively. The interatomic distance of 3.257(3) Å between the O and B atoms in $3aB^2$ suggests the absence of a specific interaction between these atoms, similar to that in $3aB^{1}$ (3.234(3) Å).



Scheme 1. Reaction between **1a** and $B(p-HC_6F_4)_3$ (**B**²). The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy and the product yields were estimated based on ³¹P NMR analyses.



Figure 2. (a) Molecular structure of (R_a) -**2aB**² with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability; H atoms and solvated C₇H₈ molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: O–B 1.556(2), N1–P 1.707(2), P–O 1.513(1); P-O-B 165.2(1), C1-N1-P-O 128.0(1). (b)

Molecular structure of $3aB^2$ with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability; H atoms are omitted for clarity. A comparison of the carbene–boron bond lengths of $3aB^2$ and $3aB^1$ (*cf.* ref 4c) is also shown; C1-N1-P-O: 15.3(2)°.

Thermolysis of $3aB^2$ at 60 °C for 3 h resulted in the generation of 4a and B^2 in 77% and 73% yield, respectively, with concomitant formation of $[1a-H][HO(B^2)_2]$ in 4% yield (conversion of $3aB^2 = 81\%$; Scheme 2a). Although $2aB^2$ was not observed via NMR analysis of this reaction at 60 °C, the formation of 4a and B^2 indicates the *in-situ* regeneration of $2aB^2$ (*vide supra*). The formation of $[1a-H][HO(B^2)_2]$ can be rationalized in terms of a reaction between contaminated H₂O and the FLP species regenerated from $3aB^2$ via $2aB^2$. The regeneration of the FLP species from $3aB^2$ was then clearly confirmed by treating $3aB^2$ with H₂ (5 atm) at 22 °C, resulting in the formation of $[1a-H][H-B^2]$ ($5aB^2$) in a 19% yield with concomitant formation of $[1a-H][HO(B^2)_2]$ (8%) and 1a (6%) (Scheme 2b). Under identical conditions, no reaction occurred when $3aB^1$ was used.^[4c] At 60 °C, $5aB^2$ was generated in 90% yield after 3 h, which is almost comparable with the production of $5aB^1$ (89%) from $3aB^{1.[8]}$ Thus, the lower Lewis acidity of B^2 relative to B^1 allowed a more facile revival of the FLP species from $3aB^2$ than from $3aB^1$. However, the lower Lewis acidity did not affect the progress of the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by FLPs at 60 °C.



Scheme 2. (a) Thermolysis of $3aB^2$ monitored via NMR spectroscopy. Product yields were calculated based on ³¹P and ¹⁹F NMR analyses. (b) Reaction between $3aB^2$ and H₂. Product yields were calculated based on ³¹P analysis. ^{*a*}[1a–H][HO(B²)₂] and 1a were also observed in 8% and 6% yield, respectively. ^{*b*}[1a–H][HO(B²)₂] was also observed in 7% yield. ^{*c*}Results obtained using $3aB^1$ are reproduced from ref. 4c.

To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, the initial rate constants for the generation of **5aB**¹, k_{int} [10⁻⁵ s⁻¹], from the reaction between **3aB**¹ and H₂ in 1,2-dichloroethane- d_4 (DCE- d_4) at 60 °C were estimated by varying the H₂ pressure from 0.5 to 5.0 atm (Figure 3a). It should be noted here that when H₂ was pressurized at 5.0 atm, an excess of H₂ (ca. 0.3 mmol) with respect to **3aB**¹ (0.010 mmol) was added to the pressure-tight NMR tube. The concentration of H₂ clearly influenced the progress of the reaction, suggesting that the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by the FLP species is involved in the rate-determining events. Next, the reaction between **3aB**¹ and H₂ at 5.0 atm of pressure was monitored in DCE- d_4 whilst the temperature was varied from 50 to 80 °C (Figure S27). Pseudo-first order rate constants, k_{obs} [10⁻⁵ s⁻¹], of 2.95(2), 11.2(8), 46.4(4) and 183(2) were estimated for the reactions at 50, 60, 70, and 80 °C, respectively. Thus, the activation energy and pre-exponential factor obtained from the plot based on the Arrhenius equation, $\ln k_{obs} = -(E_a/R)(1/T) + \ln A$, are $E_a = 31.2$ [kcal mol⁻¹] and $A = 3.3(36) \times 10^{16}$ [s⁻¹] (Figure 3b). Given the close relation between **3aB**¹ and H₂ only occurs at temperatures higher than 25 °C.^[9]



Figure 3. (a) Plot of the H₂ pressure, *P* [atm], as a function of the initial reaction rate constants, $k_{\text{int}} [10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}]$. (b) Plot of 1/T $[10^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}]$ as a function of $\ln k_{\text{obs}} [\text{s}^{-1}]$. The k_{obs} values are the pseudo-first order rate constants for the formation of **5aB**¹ obtained from the reaction of **1a** $(2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M in DCE-} d_4)$ and H₂ (5 atm).

Based on the results presented here and those previously reported,^[4c] the reaction between the carbene–borane adducts and H₂ to give [PoxIm–H][H–BAr₃] likely proceeds via the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by the FLP species that are formed following the regeneration of the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen–borane adducts. These steps are expected to be the rate-determining events because the concentration of H₂ (Figure 3a), the steric bulk of the *N*-aryl group^[4c] and the Lewis acidity of the BAr₃ moiety (Scheme 2b) influence the reaction rates and/or the temperature required to initiate the reaction between the carbene–borane adducts and H₂.

Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out for $3aB^1$ at the ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) level of theory (Figure 4a). The relative Gibbs free energies with respect to $[1a + B^{1}]$ (0.0 kcal·mol⁻¹) are shown. During the transformation of $3aB^{1}$ (-18.0 kcal·mol⁻¹) to $2aB^{1}$ (-10.6 kcal·mol⁻¹), both of which were experimentally confirmed, the formation of an intermediate $2a'B^1$ (-7.4 kcal·mol⁻¹) was predicted via a C-to-O transfer of B^1 in $3aB^1$. This distinctive boron-transfer process takes place via activation complex TS1a (+6.9 kcal·mol⁻¹). The subsequent rotation of the N-phosphinovl moiety via **TS2a** ($+7.9 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) affords **2aB**¹. Next, the dissociation of the B–O bond occurs to regenerate $[1a + B^{1}]$. The optimized molecular structures of **TS1a** and **2a'B**¹ are shown in Figure 4c. In **TS1a**, the interatomic distances B…C1 and B...O are 4.24 and 3.34 Å, respectively, while \mathbf{B}^1 adopts a planar geometry. Thus, \mathbf{B}^1 is dissociated from both the carbene carbon and phosphinoyl oxygen atoms in **TS1a**, while the formation of the B-O bond (1.59 Å) is confirmed in 2a'B¹. Based on the quantum theory of atoms in molecule (AIM) method, neither bond paths nor bond critical points were confirmed between the B and C1/O atoms in TS1a (Figure S30).^[10] This AIM analysis demonstrates that several non-covalent interactions, including π - π and H...F interactions, exist between the **1a** and B^1 moieties to stabilize **TS1a**.



Figure 4. (a) Proposed mechanisms for the regeneration of $[1 + B^1]$ from the carbene—borane complexes $3aB^1-3cB^1$. (b) Proposed mechanisms for the heterolytic cleavage of H₂, enabled by the phosphinoyl oxygen and B(C₆F₅)₃ moieties (left) or by the carbene and B(C₆F₅)₃ moieties (right). (c) DFT-optimized molecular structures for TS1a and $2a'B^1$. (d) DFT-optimized molecular structures for TS4a and TS6a. The relative Gibbs energies [kcal mol⁻¹] are shown with respect to each $[1 + B^1]$, calculated at the ω B97X-D/6-31G(d) level of theory (298.15 K, 1 atm, see SI for details).

Two plausible mechanisms were evaluated for the FLP-mediated cleavage of H₂ on the basis that the Lewis-basic center reacts with H₂ via cooperation with **B**¹ (Figure 4b). One possibility is that the carbene carbon atom works as a Lewis base (path I; the right path in Figure 4b),^[1,11] while the other is that the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen functions as a Lewis base (path II; the left path in Figure 4b).^[12] In path I, the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ takes places via **TS4a** (+11.3 kcal·mol⁻¹), which arises from the insertion of H₂ into the reaction field around the carbene carbon and boron atoms in **FLP-1aB**¹, affording **5aB**¹ (-33.5 kcal·mol⁻¹), a species more thermodynamically stable than **3aB**¹. In the optimized structure of **TS4a** (Figure 4d), the dissociation of the H1–H2 bond (0.84 Å) occurs with the partial formation of the C1–H2/B–H1 bonds (1.83/1.49 Å). Based on these results, the overall path from $3aB^1$ to $5aB^1$ via FLP-1aB¹ is substantially exothermic ($\Delta G^\circ = -15.5 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) and includes an overall activation energy barrier of +29.3 kcal·mol⁻¹ required to overcome TS4a. In path II, which that takes place via TS5a (a transition state for the insertion of H₂ into the O–P bond) and TS6a (a transition state for the cleavage of H₂ between the O and P atoms), a higher activation energy barrier of +33.8 kcal·mol⁻¹ is predicted to yield intermediate **8aB**¹, which contains a P=O–H⁺ and B–H⁻ species. The subsequent transfer of H⁺ from the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen atom to the carbene carbon atom furnishes **5aB**¹, although the details of this process remain unclear at this point. The molecular structure of TS6a shows that the cleavage of the H1–H2 bond (0.85 Å) by the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen and boron atoms occurs in a cooperative fashion (Figure 4d). Given the experimental and theoretical results reported here, we conclude that path I is the more likely one.

The impact of the *N*-aryl substituents on the activation energy barriers for the regeneration of $[1 + B^1]$ was evaluated using calculations on $3bB^1$, which contains an *N*-Mes group, as well as $3cB^1$, which contains an *N*-Dtbp group. This afforded ΔG^{\ddagger} values of +28.9 and +32.4 kcal·mol⁻¹ for $3bB^1$ and $3cB^1$, respectively (Figure 4a). These results are consistent with the experimental observations, i.e. that $3aB^1-3cB^1$ did not react in the presence or absence of H₂ under ambient conditions, and that the temperature to induce the reaction between these CLAs and H₂ increases in the order $3aB^1$ (60 °C) < $3bB^1$ (80 °C) < $3cB^1$ (120 °C).^[4c]

In summary, the reaction mechanism for the revival of frustrated carbene–borane pairs from external-stimuli-responsive classical Lewis adducts (CLAs), comprised of *N*-phosphine oxide-substituted imidazolylidene (PoxIm) and triarylboranes (BAr₃), is reported based on a combination of experimental and theoretical studies. Remarkably, a transfer of the borane moiety from the carbene carbon atom to the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen atom was identified as a key step in the heterolytic cleavage of H_2 by the regenerated FLP species. The optimized transition-state structure for this borane-transfer process was confirmed to include no bonding interactions between the carbene carbon/phosphinoyl oxygen and boron atoms, albeit that it is stabilized by intermolecular non-covalent interactions between the PoxIm and BAr₃ moieties. The heterolytic cleavage of H_2 takes place via the cooperation of the carbene carbon and the boron atoms, and exhibits a lower overall activation energy barrier than that of the path in which a combination of the *N*-phosphinoyl oxygen and boron atom mediates the H_2 cleavage. These results demonstrate the essential role of dynamic conformational isomerization in the regulation of the reactivity of shelf-stable but external-stimuli-responsive Lewis acid-base adducts by multifunctional Lewis bases.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (JSPS KAKENHI grants JP15K17824 and JP18K14219) and Grants-in Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Stimuli-responsive Chemical Species (JSPS KAKENHI grant JP15H00943)" and "Precisely Designed Catalysts with Customized Scaffolding (JSPS KAKENHI grants JP15H05803 and 15H05805)." Y.H. expresses his special thanks to Prof. Dr. T. Sasamori (University of Tsukuba) for valuable suggestions on this work. T.K. expresses his special thanks to a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows. M.R. and J.H. appreciate support from the Integrated Research Consortium on Chemical Sciences. A part of the computational work was performed at RCCS in Okazaki, Japan.

Keywords

Frustrated Lewis Pairs, N-Heterocyclic Carbenes, Hydrogen, Phosphine Oxide

Refences and Notes

- For recent reviews, see: a) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46– 76; b) J. Paradies, Synlett 2013, 24, 777–780; c) X. Feng, H. Du, Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6959–6964; d) D. W. Stephan, G. Erker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6400–6441; e) S. A. Weicker, D. W. Stephan, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2015, 88, 1003–1016; f) D. J. Scott, M. J. Fuchter, A. E. Ashley, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 5689–5700; g) V. Fasano, M. J. Ingleson, Synthesis 2018, 50, 1783–1795; h) A. R. Jupp, D. W. Stephan, Trends Chem. 2019, 1, 35–48; i) Y. Hoshimoto, S. Ogoshi, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 5439–5444.
- [2] For recent reviews, see: a) T. Pan, J. Liu, *ChemPhysChem* 2016, *17*, 1752–1758; b) C. Cheng, J. F. Stoddart, *ChemPhysChem* 2016, *17*, 1780–1793; c) S. Kassem, T. Leeuwen, A. S. Lubbe, M. R. Wilson, B. L. Feringa, D. A. Leigh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, *46*, 2592–2621; d) J. A. Findlay, J. D. Crowley. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2018, *59*, 334–346.
- [3] T. A. Rokob, A. Hamza, A. Stirling, I. Pápai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2029–2036.
- [4] For examples on thermal-induced generation of FLPs from their CLAs at the temperature higher than room temperature, see: a) C. Jiang, O. Blacque, T. Fox, H. Berke, *Organometallics* 2011, *30*, 2117–2124; b) T. J. Herrington, B. J. Ward, L. R. Doyle, J. McDermott, A. J. P. White, P. A. Hunt, A. E. Ashley, *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 12753–12756; c) Y. Hoshimoto, T. Kinoshita, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, 12756; c) Y. Hoshimoto, T. Kinoshita, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, 12756; c) Y. Hoshimoto, T. Kinoshita, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, 12756; c)

54, 11666–11671; d) L. Wu, S. S. Chitnis, H. Jiao, V. T. Annibale, I. Manners, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1678–16790; e) Y. Han, S. Zhang, J. He, Y. Zhang, ACS Catal.
2018, 8, 8765–8773; For examples on a system that can generate FLPs from CLAs under ambient conditions, see: f) X. Wang, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc, G. Erker, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2014, 136, 3293–3303; g) T. Holtrichter-Rößmann, C. Rösener, J. Hellmann, W. Uhl, E.-U. Würthwein, R. Fröhlich, B. Wibbeling, Organometallics 2012, 31, 3272–3283; h) C. M. Mömming, G. Kehr, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, B. Wibbeling, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7556–7564; i) P. Spies, G. Erker, G. Kehr, K. Bergander, R. Fröhlich, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Commun. 2007, 5072–5074; k) E. L. Kolyshev, T. Bannenberg, M. Freytag, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 16938–16946; l) S. J. Geier, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3476–3477.

- [5] a) S. Hazra, Y. Hoshimoto, S. Ogoshi, *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 15238–15243; b) Y. Hoshimoto, S. Ogoshi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2021, 94, 327–338; c) T. Asada, Y. Hoshimoto, T. Kawakita, T. Kinoshita, S. Ogoshi, *J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 85, 14333–14341; d) T. Asada, Y. Hoshimoto, S. Ogoshi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 9772–9784.
- [6] L. Fan, A. R. Jupp, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 8119–8123.
- [7] M. Ullrich, A. J. Lough, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 52–53.
- [8] We previously reported that the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ quantitatively proceeded by $3aB^1$ in the presence of excess amounts of H₂ (5 atm, ca. 30–50 equiv) in the autoclave reactor (V = 25 mL, see ref. 4c). In this work, we used a pressure-tight NMR tube (V = 1.8 mL) that can contain less than 30 equivalents of H₂ after the pressurization at 5 atm.
- [9] E. V. Anslyn, D. A. Dougherty, Modern Physical organic Chemistry, Mill Valley, California: University Science Books, 2006.
- [10] a) R. F. W. Bader, Ed. Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory; Cambridge University Press: Oxford, 1991; b) R. F. W. Bader, M. E. Stephens, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 7391–7399.
- [11] For pioneering reports on the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by FLPs comprising carbenes and boranes, see: a) P. A. Chase, D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 7433–7437;
 b) D. Holschumacher, T. Bannenberg, C. G. Hrib, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 7428–7432.
- [12] A. J. M. Bursch, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan, J. Paradies, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 15253–15256.