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**ABSTRACT:** A Ni/photoredox-catalyzed enantioselective reductive coupling of styrene oxides and aryl iodides is reported. This reaction affords access to enantioenriched 2,2-diarylcyclohexanes from racemic epoxides via a stereoconvergent mechanism. Multivariate linear regression (MVLR) analysis with 29 biaxazoline (BiOx) and biimidazoline (Bim) ligands revealed that enantioselectivity correlates with electronic properties of the ligands, with more electron-donating ligands affording higher ee’s. Mechanistic studies were conducted, lending support to the hypothesis that the electronic character of the ligands influences the enantioselectivity by altering the position of the transition state structure along the reaction coordinate. This study demonstrates the benefits of utilizing statistical modeling as a platform for mechanistic understanding and provides new insight into an emerging class of chiral ligands for stereoconvergent Ni and Ni/photoredox cross-coupling.

Epoxides are among the most versatile building blocks in organic synthesis due to their availability from olefins and proclivity toward ring-opening by various nucleophiles.† Moreover, advances in asymmetric catalytic epoxidation have made enantiomerically-enriched epoxides useful chiral precursors for stereospecific ring-opening.‡ Alternatively, chiral catalyst-controlled asymmetric ring-opening of epoxides represents an attractive method for enantioselective synthesis (Figure 1A).§ Significant and enabling advances in this area have been realized predominantly with soft or heteroatom-centered nucleophiles, such as azide, water, and cyanide.¶ While asymmetric catalytic C–C bond formation can also be achieved using organolithium and organomagnesium reagents, these methods suffer from harsh conditions and poor functional group tolerance.¶ Furthermore, reactions with chiral epoxide substrates proceed by kinetic resolution owing to a stereospecific ring-opening step. For both practical and fundamental reasons, development of chiral catalyst-controlled stereoconvergent C–C bond-forming reactions of racemic epoxides would be of high value.

Transition-metal catalyzed cross-coupling offers a mild and versatile approach to C–C bond formation with the potential to effect chiral catalyst control.¶ Over the past two decades, our group, alongside the groups of Jamison and Weix, have described strategies to engage epoxides as electrophiles in Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling.§ Weix and coworkers reported the first enantioselective cross-electrophile coupling with meso-epoxides using a chiral titanocene cocatalyst in conjunction with a racemic Ni catalyst (Figure 1B).¶ More recently, the Yamamoto group described the arylation of 3,4-epoxyalcohols using chiral biaxazoline (BiOx) ligands and Ni catalysis that furnishes cross-coupled products in excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity (Figure 1B).¶ In this reaction, a pendant alcohol directing group is required on the epoxides for high stereoinduction. These important advances notwithstanding, the discovery and development of complementary methods, particularly to address the challenge of stereoconvergent cross-coupling with racemic terminal epoxides, is necessary to expand the scope and generality of this approach.

**Figure 1.** Strategies for asymmetric synthesis with epoxides.
Recently, our group reported a photo-assisted reductive coupling (PARC) of racemic epoxides with aryl iodides via the merger of Ni-, Ti-, and photoredox catalysis. Mechanistic studies revealed that C–C bond formation with styrene oxides proceeds in a stereoablative manner, suggesting that the development of a stereoconvergent coupling of racemic epoxides with a chiral catalyst was mechanistically feasible. Herein, we describe a Ni/photoredox-catalyzed enantioselective cross-electrophile coupling of styrene oxides with aryl iodides using a chiral bimidazoline (BiIm) ligand (Figure 1C). This transformation allows facile access to enantioenriched 2,2-diarylalcohols, which are privileged motifs in bioactive molecules. Whereas steric effects are typically responsible for the origin of stereoselectivity, multivariate linear regression (MVLR) analysis with BiOx and BiIm ligands revealed that the electronic character of the ligands is the main contributor to enantioinduction. Further experimental studies were conducted to interrogate this statistical model, ultimately providing support for a nonintuitive structure-selectivity relationship that may be of use in the design of other enantioselective Ni/photoredox cross-coupling reactions.

Our optimization efforts focused on identifying an appropriate chiral ligand for the coupling of styrene oxide 1 and aryl iodide 2 using conditions derived from our prior work in racemic PARC of epoxides. Initial evaluation of common chiral amine-based bidentate ligands indicated that a variety of BiOx ligands—a high performing ligand class in our prior report on asymmetric reductive coupling of aziridines—offered good levels of enantioinduction (Figure S1, S2). However, the desired cross-coupled product 3 was formed in low to moderate yield. Further optimization of other reaction components using L1 as the ligand revealed that the titanocene cocatalyst required in our previously reported method is not needed in this transformation, while addition of catalytic MgCl₂ as a salt additive increases the reaction yield (Table 1, entry 1–3).

Recently, chiral bimidazoline (BiIm) ligands were shown to be effective in several enantioselective Ni-catalyzed reactions such as a benzylic C–H arylation and hydroarylation of vinylarenes. Although the ligand class has not been applied to asymmetric cross-electrophile coupling, the structural similarity of BiIm and BiOx ligands, coupled with their additional site for derivatization, drew our interest. We prepared a small selection of known BiIm ligands (L4–L6) and evaluated them on our model reaction (entry 6–8). BiIm ligand L6 furnished the desired product in 66% yield and 89% ee. Performing the reaction in a photoreactor further improved the yield to 70% with a slight increase in enantioselectivity to 91% ee (entry 9). Control experiments indicated that Ni, ligand, photocatalyst, triethylamine, and light are required for the transformation (entry 10, 11).

### Table 1. Reaction optimization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Deviation from standard conditions</th>
<th>3 Yield (%)</th>
<th>3 ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L1, no MgCl₂ and with Cp₂TiCl₂ (25 mol%)</td>
<td>30c</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L1, no MgCl₂</td>
<td>41c</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>L5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Photoreactor</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>no L6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>no Ni, 4CzIPN, Et₃N, or light</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDetermined by GC (0.05 mmol). bDetermined by chiral HPLC. cDetermined by NMR. dPenn PhD photoreactor (450 nm) on 0.5 mmol scale.

With the optimized reaction conditions, we examined the reaction scope with respect to aryl iodides (Table 2). A wide range of para-substituted electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl iodides (3–9) underwent coupling in high enantioselectivity. In general, more electron-deficient aryl iodides afforded higher yields than electron-rich substrates. The reaction tolerates aryl iodides containing chlorine (5), pinacol boronic ester (9), and protic acetamide (8) groups, all of which may serve as functional group handles for further diversification. Nitrogen- and oxygen-containing heterocycles such as pyridine and 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (14, 15) are also well tolerated, demonstrating the potential for this protocol to be used in the synthesis of bioactive compounds. Additionally, while meta-substituted aryl iodides (10–12) are competent substrates under the reaction conditions, ortho-substituted aryl iodides delivered trace product. As evidence of the stereoconvergent nature of the reaction, subjecting both R and S enantiomers of styrene oxide 1 to the standard reaction conditions generated the enantioenriched product 3 in 63/75% yield and 91/91% ee respectively.
Next, we proceeded to examine the scope of styrene oxides (Table 3). A wide range of meta- and para-substituted styrene oxides with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing functionalities (16-22) were compatible under the reaction conditions, generating products in moderate to good yield and ee’s. Sterically hindered 2-(o-tolyl)oxirane (23) underwent cross-coupling, albeit in lower yield and enantioselectivity. Nevertheless, the compatibility of ortho-substitution on the styrene oxide offers a strategic alternative to the limitation in the aryl iodide scope.

Table 3. Scope of epoxides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3, R = CO₂Et</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>90% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, R = CF₃</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>88% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, R = Cl</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>87% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, R = Me</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>90% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, R = OMe</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>90% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, R = NHAc</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>90% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, R = BPin</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>93% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>87% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>90% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>91% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>76% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>91% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>84% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>74% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>78% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>88% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>89% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>89% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>85% ee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>40% ee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yield and ee are average of two runs (0.5 mmol).

In a prior report from our group on Ni-catalyzed enantioselective reductive coupling of aziridines, we performed MVLR analysis in collaboration with the Sigman lab using 17 BiOx ligands. Since a 1,1-dimethylethane stereocenter is generated in both the epoxide and aziridine coupling reactions, we questioned whether similar effects might apply in the current study. We therefore sought to use statistical and computational tools to understand the key structural features of the BiIm ligands that influence enantioselectivity in the epoxide coupling, and through accompanying mechanistic studies, shed light on aspects of the reaction mechanism that are otherwise difficult to evaluate. To do so, we gathered enantioselectivity data from an extended scope of BiOx and BiIm ligands, generated computationally-derived features of the ligands, and performed MVLR analysis.

A total of 20 BiOx and 9 BiIm ligands with diverse structure were evaluated under the reaction conditions shown in Figure 2A. Ground state structures were calculated by DFT at the M06-2X/def2TZVP level of theory for three different coordination states of the ligands: the free ligand which was used in the aziridine study, a tetrahedral L*NiF₂ complex that serves as the most cost-effective surrogate to restrict the flexibility of the ligand, and a square planar L*Ni(p-tolyl)Cl complex which resembles possible on-cycle species in the catalytic cycle (Figure 2B). Subsequently, features were acquired from these structures and were related to the enantioselectivity (expressed as ΔΔG°) in the MVLR analysis. By comparing models built from molecular descriptors extracted from different representations of the ligand, we sought to probe the structural complexity and associated computational cost of ligand representation sufficient to create a statistically robust descriptor model.

To assess predictive ability of a statistical model, leave-one-out and leave-p-out cross-validation are commonly used, especially in the context of small datasets. However, such methods may yield seemingly good performance metrics as an overwhelming majority of the dataset is used to train the model. Instead, we employed a repeated stratified nested cross-validation method consisting of two cross-validation loops wherein the data was divided into train-validation/test splits and the inner loop is used to select regressor features (Figure 2B). This method has been shown to provide an almost unbiased estimate of true performance error in the identification of a robust predictive model.

The best-performing model for each ligand representation was selected based on the number of times that model appeared to rank the highest (performance evaluated by RMSE) among the outer folds (Figure 2C). For L*Ni(p-tolyl)Cl complex, the final linear regression model (adj. R² = 0.74) consists of three independent parameters: NBOₑₑ (average NBO charge of the oxazoline/imidazoline nitrogen atoms), NBOₑₑ (average NBO charge of carbon atoms adjacent to the oxazoline/imidazoline ring), and Pol (polarizability). Similarly, the three-parameter models for the L*NiF₂ and free ligand representations exhibit at least two electronic parameters, highlighting the importance of ligand electronic character on the enantioselectivity. However, these models performed worse, giving adj. R² of 0.69 and 0.68 respectively. We also subjected each model to a 5×2 cross-validation test to compare model performance across different coordination states (See SI). Overall, while more precise descriptors can be obtained from L*Ni(p-tolyl)Cl, our studies indicate that the free ligand descriptors are sufficient in constructing a descriptive model, thereby saving computational cost.
A. Training & test set of BiOx and BiIm ligands

Representative examples (from 20 BiOx and 9 BiIm):

B. Comparison of ligand- and complex-based models using nested cross-validation

C. Evaluation of ligand features in MVLR and via PCA analysis

For L\textsuperscript{*}Ni(p-tolyl)Cl:

\[
\Delta\Delta G^\pm = -0.58 \text{NBO}\text{H} + 0.46 \text{NBO}_{\text{C4}} - 0.27 \text{Pol}
\]

\[\text{enantioselectivity governed by electronic effects}\]

\[\text{BnBiOx and non-BnBiOx separated by electronic effects (PC1); BiOx and BiIm separated by polarizability (PC2)}\]

Figure 2. Computational and statistical analysis.

Since the models were acquired from scaled parameters, the magnitude and sign of the coefficients can give information about the effects of the features. For the L\textsuperscript{*}Ni(p-tolyl)Cl model, enantioselectivity is largely governed by electronic effects, with more electron-donating ligands delivering higher levels of enantioselectivity (Figure 2C, middle). On the other hand, enantioselectivity is negatively correlated to the polarizability. To better visualize the features, we performed dimensionality reduction using principal component analysis (PCA) and plotted the data to show clusters based on their similarity (Figure 2C, right). We found that BiOx and BiIm ligands are separated by PC1 (46%) whose loadings are highly weighted toward electronic features, whereas PC2 (14%) splits BiOx into two clusters–Bn-BiOx and non-BnBiOx–based mostly on polarizability and steric features.
Figure 3. Mechanistic Studies.

Finally, we sought to investigate how the electronic character of the ligands might influence enantioselectivity and experimentally validate the model. A seminal report by Jacobsen and coworkers demonstrated that more electron-donating Mn-salen catalysts led to higher enantiomeric purity in an asymmetric epoxidation reaction as a result of a later, more product-like transition state in accordance with Hammond’s postulate.20 This prompted us to examine if a similar phenomenon was occurring in our system. An Eyring analysis was performed to determine the $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger$ between the major and minor diastereomeric transition states leading to both enantiomeric products. Energetic parameters were obtained on the model reaction using a systematic series of electronically distinct BiIm (L6–L8) and BiOx ligands (L9, L1) from 0 to 60°C. We found that the enthalpic component ($\Delta H^\ddagger$) of these reactions exhibits an upward trend with more electron-donating ligands, while the entropic contribution ($\Delta S^\ddagger$) does not show a clear trend (Figure 3A). In addition, the experimental $\Delta H^\ddagger$ is highly correlated with the calculated molecular charge feature $\text{NBO}_{	ext{H}}$ ($R^2 = 0.96$), suggesting that more selective ligands within this study rely on enthalpic factors to reach a later, product-like transition state (Figure 3B).

Prior computational studies of related coupling reactions have suggested that either reductive elimination from Ni(III) or radical addition to tetrahedral Ni(II) is the enantiodetermining step.27,28 In terms of substrate and ligand identity, this present work is more analogous to the system studied by Gutierrez, Kozlowski, and Molander where reductive elimination is enantiodetermining. In this case, a more electron-donating ligand would be expected to better stabilize Ni(III) leading to a less exergonic step (Figure 3C). However, our results do not rule out the possibility that radical addition is stereodetermining. Further experimental and computational studies are necessary to interrogate these possibilities.

In conclusion, we have developed a Ni/photoredox catalyzed stereoconvergent coupling of styrene oxides with aryl iodides. Our study highlights the use of statistical modeling to elucidate a structure-selectivity relationship within a class of catalytic reactions that are otherwise mechanistically quite complex. These mechanistic findings offer insight into the design of improved chiral ligands in stereoconvergent Ni and Ni/photoredox cross-coupling.
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(11) Initial reaction conditions: NiBr2·diglyme (10 mol%), ligand (15 mol%), 4CzIPN (5 mol%), Cp2TiCl2 (25 mol%) or 0 mol%, Et3N (5 equiv), MgCl2 (25 mol%), 2-(4-fluorophenyl)oxirane (1 equiv, 0.05 mmol), 4-iodobiphenyl (3 equiv), MeCN (0.033 M). See SI for more details.


(18) Replacing (S,S)-L6 with the opposite enantiomer (R,R)-L6 under otherwise identical reaction conditions afforded the enantioenriched product 13 in comparable yield and opposite enantioselectivity of similar magnitude. See SI.

(20) This functional/basis set combination, previously used in our prior work on asymmetric aziridine coupling (ref. 12), was used for both free ligands and nickel complexes to ensure direct comparison among the different ligand representations. Model acquired using M06/def2TZVP level of theory provides comparable results. See SI for more details.


(25) This observation can be attributed to the strong correlation between DFT-derived isotropic polarizability and the carbon count on the ligands, where the effect is more pronounced within BiOx ligands. See SI.

