A Diastereodivergent and Enantioselective Approach to *syn*- and *anti*-Diamines: Development of 2-Azatrienes for Cu-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings with Imines that Furnish Allylic Amines

Pengfei Zhou,[‡] Xinxin Shao,^{†,*} and Steven J. Malcolmson^{‡,*}

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, United States

[†]Key Laboratory of Organosilicon Chemistry and Material Technology of Ministry of Education, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, P. R. China

Abstract: We introduce a new reagent class, 2-azatrienes, as a platform for catalytic enantioselective synthesis of allylic amines. Herein, we demonstrate their promise by a diastereodivergent synthesis of *syn-* and *anti-*1,2-diamines through their Cu–bis(phosphine)-catalyzed reductive couplings with imines. With Ph-BPE as the supporting ligand, *anti-*diamines are obtained (up to 91% yield, >20:1 dr, and >99:1 er), and with the rarely utilized *t*-Bu-BDPP, *syn-*diamines are generated (up to 76% yield, >20:1 dr, and 97:3 er).

Keywords: umpolung, azatrienes, imines, 1,2-diamines, diastereodivergent, enantioselective, copper hydride, phosphine

TOC graphic:



Chiral 1,2-diols,^[1] amino alcohols,^[2] and diamines^[3] are important targets for organic synthesis as these motifs are ubiquitous in natural products and drugs, as ligands for metal-based catalysts, and as catalysts themselves. Several approaches to these scaffolds have been established; however, the invention of carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions that directly set these vicinal heteroatom-substituted stereogenic centers is underdeveloped.

A recent elegant report was disclosed by the Krische group, utilizing their hydrogen auto-transfer technology to couple an allenimide with a primary alcohol-derived aldehyde to afford 1,2-amino alcohols where the amino group is allylic (Scheme 1).^[4–6] Allylic amines are important structural features in numerous bioactive molecules and natural products.^[7] Furthermore, the unsaturation may serve as a functional group handle for downstream transformations.^[8] Although having excellent scope in the alcohol partner, the reactions were limited to terminal allenes, giving rise to terminal allyl groups; moreover, the *anti*-amino alcohol was the only stereoisomer accessible.



Scheme 1. Access to Vicinal Stereogenic Centers by Catalytic Processes

Our group has investigated the synthesis of both 1,2-diamines^[9] (Scheme 1) and amino alcohols¹⁰ by reductive couplings of 2-azadienes.^[11,12] These transformations proceed by means of a copper–hydride^[13] intermediate with the bis(phospholane) Ph-BPE as the ligand. In both cases, the product amines bear an α -alkyl group. Furthermore, the diamines were generated solely as the *anti* diastereomer in every case.

These examples highlight an often encountered situation in enantioselective reactions that afford more than one stereogenic center: the ability to access only one diastereoisomer. One strategy that addresses this shortcoming is a dual catalyst approach^[14] wherein each catalyst acts cooperatively

but independently to activate two reaction components individually, thereby enabling each to control stereochemistry at its respective fragment.^[15,16] An alternative is the use of two related single catalysts for transformations that individually afford opposite diastereomers with high enantioselectivity. Such an approach has recently been illustrated in copper–phosphine-catalyzed borylative couplings (Scheme 1). Shimizu, Kanai, and co-workers demonstrated Cu–B(pin) addition to styrene followed by coupling with *N*-thiophosphinoylimines.^[17] β -Arylamines are obtained as the *syn*-isomer with a Josiphos ligand whereas Ph-BPE delivers the *anti*-diastereomer. Similarly, the Ostreich group discovered that 2-substituted dienes yield homoallylic alcohols as the *anti*-diastereomer with Josiphos but the *syn*-diastereomer with a phosphoramidite ligand.^[18,19] To our knowledge, no examples of diastereodivergent behavior in copper-catalyzed reductive couplings of olefins with electrophiles have been reported.^[20,21]

We have developed 2-azatrienes as new reagents for the synthesis of substituted allylic amines. Herein we illustrate their reductive coupling with *N*-phosphinoylimines to afford 1,2-diamines with high chemo-,^[22] regio-, diastereo-, and enantioselectivity (Scheme 1). Cu–Ph-BPE promotes the formation of *anti*-diamines. Unexpectedly, and in stark contrast to our findings with azadiene reagents, we discovered that several other ligands enable the cross-coupling and favor the *syn*-diamine product. We disclose the first examples of reductive coupling using *t*-Bu-BDPP, an uncommon ligand in catalysis,^[23] to achieve good to excellent levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity for *syn*-diamine production.^[24–26]

We began by examining the coupling of terminal 2-azatriene **1** with imine **2a**, employing $Cu(OAc)_2$ and Ph-BPE (L1) under the conditions established for azadiene addition to these imines^[9] (Table 1, entry 1). The transformation generates the *anti*-diamine **3a** as the sole stereoisomer, isolated in 90% yield and 99:1 er. Regioselectivity for the 6,3-addition product over the isomeric azadiene **4a** (6,5-addition) is excellent. Furthermore, chemoselectivity for reductive coupling over imine reduction (**3a**/**4a**:**5a** >20:1) is considerably greater than in our previous azadiene–imine coupling^[9] (coupling/reduction = 5:1), which might be attributed to the LUMO-lowering effect of extra conjugation in **1** plus its decreased sterics over an azadiene (cf. Scheme 1).

Unexpectedly, we discovered that *syn*-diamine **3a** is the major product (1:3.5 *anti:syn*-**3a**) with achiral DCyPe (**L2**, entry 2) when attempting to prepare the authentic racemic material for entry 1. This finding stands in contrast to azadiene reductive couplings with imine **2a**, where Ph-BPE and DCyPE both furnish the *anti*-diamine product. Although selectivity metrics were modest for DCyPE in the azatriene coupling, this result prompted us to explore whether a chiral ligand could be found that would lead to enantioselective formation of the *syn*-**3a** diastereomer.

Table 1. Ligand Choice in CuH-Catalyzed Coupling of 2-Azatriene 1 and Imine 2a Leads to $Diastereodivergence^{[a]}$

With Chiraphos (L3), the reaction is reasonably efficient but poorly selective in all categories, generating *syn*-**3a** as a racemate (entry 3). In contrast, spacing the phosphino groups farther apart by turning to BDPP (L4) leads to markedly improved stereoselectivity (1:6 *anti:syn*-**3a**, 83:17 er, entry 4). Replacing the methyl groups of BDPP with phenyl substituents (L5) significantly erodes stereoselectivity (1.5:1 dr, 50:50 er) and leads to a large quantity of imine reduction (entry 5). Similarly, changing the diphenylphosphino groups to dicyclohexylphophino (L6) abolishes stereoselectivity (entry 6); regio- and chemoselectivity are also poor. Fortunately, modification of the aryl groups of the phosphine within the BDPP structure proved more fruitful. Introduction of a *tert*-butyl group at the arene's *para* position (herein called *t*-Bu-BDPP, L7, entry 7) restores diastereoselectivity (6:1 dr), increases the proportion of diamine **3a**, and significantly improves the enantioselectivity (94:6 er). Switching the silane to TMDS further increased the proportion of *syn*-diamine **3a** (1:10 dr, entry 8). Finally, changing the solvent to CH₂Cl₂ and increasing the

^[a]Reaction with 0.1 mmol imine **2a**. ^[b]Determined by 162 MHz ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture. ^[c]Determined by HPLC analysis of purified **3**. ^[d]Isolated yield of diamine **3**. ^[c](**L**)Cu(OAc)₂ complex formed from **L**·2BH₃; see the Supporting Information for details. ^[f]2.0 equiv TMDS. DMMS = Me(MeO)₂SiH; TMDS = [(Me)₂HSi]₂O.

catalyst loading to 10 mol % (entry 9) allowed for *syn-3a* to be obtained with considerably enhanced regio- and chemoselectivity and finally isolated in 69% yield and 97:3 er.

Table 2. Aldimine Scope in Diastereodivergent Couplings with 2-Azatriene 1^[a,b]

^[a]Reactions run under standard conditions shown; isolated yields and er of the major diastereomer. ^[b]Regiomeric ratio (rr) is the ratio of 6,3-addition to 6,5-addition and was determined by 162 MHz ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture; dr, listed as *anti:syn*, was determined by 162 MHz ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture; dr, listed as *anti:syn*, was determined by 162 MHz ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture; ^[c]Isolated product contains 9% *syn*-**3b** and 7% **4b**. ^[d]3.0 equiv **1**. ^[e]2.0 equiv **1**. ^[f]Isolated product contains 10% *syn*-**3h** and 10% **4h**. ^[g]Isolated product contains 7% *anti*-**3j** and 19% **4j**. ^[h]Isolated product contains 9% *syn*-**3k** and 20% (*Z*)-**3k**. ^[i]Isolated product contains 12% *anti*-**3l**.

A number of aldimines may thus be coupled with azatriene 1 to deliver either *anti*- or *syn*diamines (Table 2). Several arene functional groups, such as methoxy (**3b**), halide (**3c–d**, **3g**, **3i**), trifluoromethyl (**3e**), and alkyl (**3h**) with various substitution patterns were prepared. Additionally, a variety of heterocyclic aldimines were investigated and are tolerated by the copper-based catalysts, including pyridine (**3j**), pyrrole (**3k**), pyrrazole (**3l**), indole (**3m**), and thiophene (**3n**). Yields range from 33% to 91% for the major diastereomer of any isolated product, demonstrating the broad potential of the method to prepare both vicinal diamine diastereomers with a diverse chemical landscape.

Several differences in trends in reaction metrics from transformations involving Ph-BPE (L1) and *t*-Bu-BDPP (L7) are notable. Whereas more electron-rich aldimines lead to greater diastereoselectivity when L7 is employed (compare *syn*-**3b**–**e**, ranging from 1:7 to 1:14.5 dr), the reaction of *p*-methoxy imine **2b** in the presence of L1 leads to only 9:1 dr. In contrast, *anti*-**3c**–**e**

are generated in >20:1 dr. Likewise, regioselectivity (3:4) is greatest for reaction of 2b with L7 and poorest with L1. Aryl aldimines bearing *ortho* substituents (2h–i) lead to perfect regio- and diastereoselectivity for *syn*-3h–i with L7; however, L1 affords *anti*-3h–i in only ca. 6:1 dr but with 99:1 er. Comparatively, the *ortho* substitution engenders the lowest enantioselectivity observed for *syn*-diamines with L7 (91:9 er for *syn*-3h and 86.5:13.5 er for *syn*-3i).

In general, reactions we explored with Ph-BPE deliver *anti*-diamines **3** in >20:1 dr and \geq 98:2 er. Contrastingly, stereoselectivity for *syn*-diamine formation with *t*-Bu-BDPP is considerably more variable, showing a wide range of both dr (1:3 to 1:>20) and er (86.5:13.5 to 97:3). Still, the vast majority of couplings favor *syn*-diamines over the *anti*-isomers and with good enantioselectivity (\geq 7:1 *syn:anti* and \geq 94:6 er for the *syn*). Regioselectivity for the allylic diamine is also greater with Ph-BPE as the supporting ligand (\geq 15:1 rr in most cases) and more variable with *t*-Bu-BDPP (3:1 to >20:1 rr), which is one factor in the higher yields obtained for the *anti* diastereomer. Chemoselectivity for reductive coupling versus imine reduction is tied to imine electronics with both catalysts: more electron-rich imines deliver a higher proportion of C–C bond formation. The copper complex derived from *t*-Bu-BDPP was more greatly influenced in this regard. For example, *p*-chloro *syn*-**3d** is obtained in 53% yield but *p*-CF3 *syn*-**3e** in just 33% yield despite the reactions having similar regio- and diastereoselectivity. Intriguingly, reaction of 2-iminopyrrole **2k** with either catalyst affords an appreciable quantity of the (*Z*)-olefin isomer (ca. 2–3:1 *E:Z*) although only (*E*)-alkenes are obtained in all other cases.

2-Azatrienes bearing alkyl substituents at the 6-position (6) enable diamines (7) with longer chain olefin substituents to be obtained (Table 3). With the greater chemoselectivity for cross-coupling shown by the Cu–Ph-BPE catalyst, *anti*-**7a–h** are isolated in good yields (51–89%) even with an electronically neutral imine (**2a**, Table 3, left). Both the diastereo- and enantioselectivity are excellent (12:1 to >20:1 dr and 95:5 to 99:1 er), but in most cases regioselectivity is more modest than with **1** (7:1 to 12:1 rr for *anti*-**7a–g**). Triamine *anti*-**7h**, however, is formed as a single regioisomer.

The Cu–*t*-Bu-BDPP catalyst is more prone to imine reduction, and with the greater sterics of substituted azatrienes **6**, more electron-rich imines are required to achieve appreciable yields of *syn*-diamines (Table 3, right). Within these confines, a number of azatriene–imine combinations afford *syn*-diamines in good yields (39–76% for **7i–l**). Diastereo- and regioselectivity are good (1:7 to 1:>20 dr and 9.5:1 to >20:1 rr) and enantioselectivity remains high (93.5:6.5 to 97:3 er).

Table 3. Scope of 6-Substituted 2-Azatriene Couplings with Imines^[a,b]

Scheme 2. Larger Scale Diamine Synthesis

2a

N^{P(O)Ph₂}

(1.8 mmol)

2a

1

(1.5 equiv)

1

NCPh₂

THF (0.5 M)

0 °C, 2 h

3.3 mol %

(L7)Cu(OAc)₂

2.0 equiv TMDS

3.0 equiv t-BuOH

CH₂Cl₂ (0.6 M)

0 °C, 4 h

For preparative scale diamine synthesis, we employed lower catalyst loadings and higher reaction concentrations (Scheme 4). Excellent yields of the two diamine diastereomers are thereby obtained within a few hours. For instance, *anti-3a* was generated in 86% yield with just 1.0 mol

86% yield (465 mg)

8:1 rr 16:1 dr, >99:1 er

NCPh₂

syn-3a

61% yield (594 mg)

10:1 rr 10:1 dr, 97:3 er

.Ph

NHP(O)Ph2

% copper. Similarly, **2a** was converted to *syn*-**3a** (61% yield) in the presence of just 3.3 mol % of the L7–copper catalyst. Regio- and stereoselectivity are largely unaffected by the scale up and modified conditions.

We next sought to understand how stereochemistry of the azatriene might play a role in the chemo-, regio-, and stereoselectivity of the imine couplings (Table 4). Under their respective optimized conditions, the copper catalysts bearing L1 or L7 show little difference in regioselectivity (**3a**:**4a**) or chemoselectivity (**3a**/**4a**:**5a**) for the addition of either (E)-**1** or (Z)-**1** to imine **2a** (compare entry 1 with 3 and entry 2 with 4). The same major enantiomer of the *anti*-diastereomer is formed with L1 regardless of azatriene geometry (>99:1 er for *anti*-**3a**, entries 1 and 3). Likewise, the L7-derived catalyst leads to 97:3 er in favor of the same major enantiomer of *syn*-**3a** beginning with either azatriene stereoisomer (entries 2 and 4). Diastereoselectivity is also unaffected. We also measured the er of the minor diastereomer from each combination of ligand and azatriene stereoisomer. Somewhat surprisingly we discovered that the minor diastereomer is formed with poor enantioselectivity in each case. Additionally, we stopped the reaction of both (E)- and (Z)-**1** after 30 seconds with the Cu–Ph-BPE catalyst. There was approximately 60% conversion to *anti*-**3a**, but none of the recovered azatriene had undergone stereochemical inversion in either case, suggesting that the CuH insertion event is irreversible.

Table 4. Comparison of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-Azatrienes^[a]

^[a]Reaction with 0.1 mmol imine **2a**. Entries 1 and 3 run under the conditions of Table 1, entry 1; entries 2 and 4 run under the conditions of Table 1, entry 9. ^[b,c]See Table 1.

The stereoconvergence of the (E)- and (Z)-azatriene isomers with each catalyst might be explained by several mechanistic possibilities, while the diastereodivergence observed for the two

catalysts clearly indicates a mechanistic dichotomy in the C–C bond-forming step. Our working hypothesis to explain these phenomena is outlined in Scheme 3. Both azatriene isomers 1 may undergo migratory insertion to the CuH species derived from either ligand. This process may occur with olefin facial selectivity but that is irrelevant as all possible stereoisomers of allylic copper I may equilibrate through (*E*,*E*)-II. We propose that with the enantiomer of each catalyst utilized in this study, addition to the imine is funneled through (*R*,*E*)-I. With Ph-BPE,^{24a} reaction may proceed through an open transition state (III), possibly due to imine coordination to the copper being hindered by the bulky phospholane ligand. In contrast, the transformation with *t*-Bu-BDPP might occur through a closed transition state (IV).

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Proposal for Azatriene–Imine Couplings

We have developed the first examples of Cu-catalyzed diastereodivergent and enantioselective reductive coupling reactions. Through the use of a new umpolung reagent, 2-azatrienes, we have successfully prepared both *syn-* and *anti-*diamines through addition to *N*-phosphinoylimines. The synthesis of the *syn-*isomers was enabled by the bis(phosphine) *t*-Bu-BDPP, the first use of this ligand in CuH processes. Ongoing work is dedicated to uncovering more details of the mechanism of this reaction and to the development of other transformations of 2-azatrienes.

Corresponding Authors

*xxshao@hznu.edu.cn

*steven.malcolmson@duke.edu

ORCID: 0000-0003-3229-0949

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

Financial support for this research from Hangzhou Normal University (to X.S.) and from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (GM124286 to S.J.M.) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Mr. Jiaqi Zhu for helpful discussions.

References

- Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Catalytic Asymmet-ric Dihydroxylation. *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 2483–2547.
- [2] a) Karajalainen, O. K.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Diastereoselective Synthesis of Vicinal Amino Alcohols. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4311–4326. b) Burchak, O. N.; Py, S. Reductive Cross-Coupling Reactions (RCCR) between C=N and C=O for β-Amino Alcohol Synthesis. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 7333–7356.
- [3] Lucet, D.; Le Gall, T.; Mioskowski, C. The Chemistry of Vicinal Diamines. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 1998, 37, 2580–2627.
- [4] Spielmann, K.; Xiang, M.; Schwartz, L. A.; Krische, M. J. Direct Conversion of Primary Alcohols to 1,2-Amino Alcohols: Enantioselective Iridium-Catalyzed Carbonyl Reductive Coupling of Phthalimido-Allene via Hydrogen Auto-Transfer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 14136–14141.
- [5] For an earlier non-enantioselective Ru-catalyzed process, see: Skucas, E.; Zbieg, J. R.; Krische, M. J. *anti*-Aminoallylation of Aldehydes via Ruthenium-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenative Coupling of Sulfonamido Allenes: 1,2-Aminoalcohols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5054–5055.
- [6] For a related enantioselective example with ketone electrophiles and a Cu-based catalyst, see: Gargaro, S. L.; Klake, R. K.; Burns, K. L.; Elele, S. O.; Gentry, S. L.; Sieber, J. D. Access to a Catalytically Generated Umpolung Reagent through the Use of Cu-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of

Ketones and Allenes for the Synthesis of Chiral Vicinal Aminoalcohol Synthons. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9753–9758.

- [7] Skoda, E. M.; Davis, G. C.; Wipf, P. Allylic Amines as Key Building Blocks in the Synthesis of (E)-Alkene Peptide Isosteres. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 26–34.
- [8] Nag, S.; Batra, S. Applications of Allylamines for the Syntheses of Aza-Heterocycles. *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 8959–9061.
- [9] Shao, X.; Li, K.; Malcolmson, S. J. Enantioselective Synthesis of *anti*-1,2-Diamines by Cu-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings of Azadienes with Aldimines and Ketimines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 7083–7087.
- [10] Li, K.; Shao, X.; Tseng, L.; Malcolmson, S. J. 2-Azadienes as Reagents for Preparing Chiral Amines: Synthesis of 1,2-Amino Tertiary Alcohols by Cu-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Couplings with Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 598–601.
- [11]For a review on 2-azadienes for reductive coupling, see: Malcolmson, S. J.; Li, K.; Shao, X. 2-Azadienes as Enamine Umpolung Synthons for the Preparation of Chiral Amines. *Synlett* 2019, 1253–1268.
- [12] For additional reviews on reductive couplings involving C–C π bonds and carbonyls/imines, see: a) Agrawal, T.; Sieber, J. D. Recent Developments in C–C Bond Formation Using Catalytic Reductive Coupling Strategies. *Synthesis* 2020, *52*, 2623–2638. b) Holmes, M.; Schwartz, L. A.; Krische, M. J. Intermolecular Metal-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings of Dienes, Allenes, and Enynes with Carbonyl Compounds and Imines. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 6026–6052. c) Kim, S. K.; Zhang, W.; Krische, M. J. Catalytic Enantioselective Carbonyl Allylation and Propargylation via Alcohol-Mediated Hydrogen Transfer: Merging the Chemistry of Grignard and Sabatier. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2017, *50*, 2371–2380. d) Nguyen, K. D.; Park, B. Y.; Luong, T.; Sato, H.; Garza, V. J.; Krische, M. J. Metal-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Olefin-Derived Nucleophiles: Reinventing Carbonyl Addition. *Science* 2016, *354*, No. aah5133. e) Standley, E. A.; Tasker, S. Z.; Jensen, K. L.; Jamison, T. F. Nickel Catalysis: Synergy between method Development and Total Synthesis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, *48*, 1503–1514. f) Bower, J. F.; Kim, I. S.; Patman, R. L.; Krische, M. J. Catalytic Carbonyl Addition through Transfer Hydrogenation: A Departure from Preformed Organometallic Reagents. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 34–46. g) Montgomery, J. Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cyclizations and Couplings. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2004, *43*, 3890–3908.
- [13] For reviews, see: a) Liu, R. Y.; Buchwald, S. L. CuH-Catalyzed Olefin Functionalization: From Hydroamination to Carbonyl Addition. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2020, *53*, 1229–1243. b) Jordan, A. J.; Lalic, G.; Sadighi, J. P. Coinage Metal Hydrides: Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivity. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 8318–8372. c) Lipshutz, B. H. Rediscovering Organocopper Chemistry Through Copper

Hydride. It's All About the Ligand. *Synlett* **2009**, 509–524. d) Rendler, S.; Oestreich, M. Polishing a Diamond in the Rough: "Cu–H" Catalysis with Silanes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 498–504.

- [14] For a discussion of dual catalyst strategies, see: Romiti, F.; del Pozo, J.; Paioti, P. H. S.; Gonsales, S. A.; Li, X.; Hartrampf, F. W. W.; Hoveyda, A. H. Different Strategies for Designing Dual-Catalytic Enantioselective Processes: From Fully Cooperative to Non-cooperative Systems. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 17952–17961.
- [15] For reviews on diastereodivergence through catalysis, see: a) Beletskaya, I. P.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. Stereodivergent Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 5080–5200. b) Krautwald, S.; Carreira, E. M. Stereodivergence in Asymmetric Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 5627–5639. c) Lin, L.; Feng, X. Catalytic Strategies for Diastereodivergent Synthesis. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 6464–6482. d) Bihani, M.; Zhao, J. C.-G. Advances in Asymmetric Diastereodivergent Catalysis. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2017, *359*, 534–575.
- [16] For select examples, see: a) Zhang, Q.; Yu, H.; Shen, L.; Tang, T.; Dong, D.; Chai, W.; Zi, W. Stereodivergent Coupling of 1,3-Dienes with Aldimine Esters Enabled by Synergistic Pd and Cu Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 14554-14559. b) Pearson, C. M.; Fyfe, J. W. B.; Snaddon, T. N. A Regio- and Stereodivergent Synthesis of Homoallylic Amines by a One-Pot Cooperative-Catalysis-Based Allylic Alkylation/Hofmann Rearrangement Strategy. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 10521-10527. c) Huo, X. Fu, J.; He, R.; Zhang, W. Ir/Cu Dual Catalysis: Enantio- and Diastereodivergent Access to α, α -Disubstituted α -Amino Acids Bearing Vicinal Stereocenters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2080-2084. d) Wei, L.; Zhu, Q.; Xu, S.-M.; Chang, X.; Wang, C.-J. Stereodivergent Synthesis of α, α -Disubstituted α -Amino Acids via Synergistic Cu/Ir Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1508-1513. e) Jiang, X.; Boehm, P.; Hartwig, J. F. Stereodivergent Allylation of Azaaryl Acetamides and Acetates by Synergistic Iridium and Copper Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1239-1242. f) Cruz, F. A.; Dong, V. M. Stereodivergent Coupling of Aldehydes and Alkynes via Synergistic Catalysis Using Rh and Jacobsen's Amine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1029-1032. g) Jiang, X.; Beiger, J. J.; Hartwig, J. F. Stereodivergent Allylic Substitutions with Aryl Acetic Acid Esters by Synergistic Iridium and Lewis Base Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 87–90. h) Huo, X.; He, R.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, W. An Ir/Zn Dual Catalysis for Enantio- and Diastereodivergent α -Allylation of α -Hydroxyketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11093-11096. i) Krautwald, S.; Sarlah, D.; Schafroth, M. A.; Carreira, E. M. Enantio- and Diastereodivergent Dual Catalysis: α-Alkylation of Branched Aldehydes. Science 2013, 340, 1065-1068. For cascade catalysis examples, see: j) Logan, K. M.; Smith, K. B.; Brown, M. K. Copper/Palladium Synergistic Catalysis for the syn- and anti-Selective Carboboration of Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5228–5231. k) Simmons, B.; Walji, A. M.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Cycle-Specific Organocascade Catalysis: Application to Olefin Hydroamination, Hydro-Oxidation,

and Amino-Oxidation, and to Natural Product Synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 4349–4353. l) Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Two-Step Synthesis of Carbohydrates by Selective Aldol Reactions. *Science* **2004**, *305*, 1752–1755.

- [17] Itoh, T.; Kanzaki, Y.; Shimizu, Y.; Kanai, M. Copper(I)-Catalyzed Enantio- and Diastereodivergent Borylative Coupling of Styrenes and Imines. *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 8265–8269.
- [18] Feng, J.-J.; Xu, Y.; Ostreich, M. Ligand-Controlled Diastereodivergent, Enantio- and Regioselective Copper-Catalyzed Hydroxyalkylboration of 1,3-Dienes with Ketones. *Chem. Sci.* 2019, 10, 9679– 9683.
- [19] The stereochemical designations *syn* and *anti* have been switched from the original text for consistency in orientation among the products depicted in Scheme 1.
- [20] For diastereodivergent and enantioselective Ru-catalyzed synthesis of homoallylic alcohols by butadiene-primary alcohol coupling via a hydrogen auto-transfer mechanism, see: a) Zbieg, J. R.; Yamaguchi, E.; McInturff, E. L.; Krische, M. J. Enantioselective C-H Crotylation of Primary Alcohols via Hydrohydroxyalkylation of Butadiene. *Science* 2012, *336*, 324–327. b) McInturff, E. L.; Yamaguchi, E.; Krische, M. J. Chiral-Anion-Dependent Inversion of Diastereo- and Enantioselectivity in Carbonyl Crotylation via Ruthenium-Catalyzed Butadiene Hydrohydroxyalkylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 20628–20631.
- [21] For select additional examples of single related catalysts being employed separately to achieve diastereodivergence, see: a) Wen, W.; Luo, M.-J.; Yuan, Y.; Liu, J.-H.; Wu, Z.-L.; Cai, T.; Wu, Z.-W.; Ouyang, Q.; Guo, Q.-X. Diastereodivergent Chiral Aldehyde Catalysis for Asymmetric 1,6-Conjugated Addition and Mannich Reactions. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 5372. (b) Uraguchi, D.; Yoshioka, K.; Ooi, T. Complete Diastereodivergence in Asymmetric 1,6-Addition Reactions Enabled by Minimal Modification of a Chiral Catalyst. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14793. c) Teng, H.-L.; Luo, Y.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Diastereodivergent Asymmetric Carboamination/Annulation of Cyclopropenes with Aminoalkenes by Chiral Lanthanum Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16508-16509. d) Matsuda, Y.; Koizumi, A.; Haraguchi, R.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. Ligand-Controlled Stereodivergent, Enantioselective Conjugate Addition of 2-Oxazoline- and 2-Thiazoline-4carboxylate to Nitroalkene Catalyzed by Chiral Copper Complexes. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7939-7944. e) Luparia, M.; Oliveira, M. T.; Audisio, D.; Frébault, F.; Goddard, R.; Maulide, N. Catalytic Asymmetric Diastereodivergent Deracemization. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12631-12635. f) Jiang, J.; Xu, H.-D.; Xi, J.-B.; Ren, B.-Y.; Lv, F.-P.; Guo, X.; Jiang, L.-Q.; Zhang, Z.-Y.; Hu, W.-H. Diastereoselectivity Switchable Enantioselective Trapping of Carbamate Ammonium Ylides with Imines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8428-8431. g) Lu, G.; Yoshino, T.; Morimoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Stereodivergent Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reactions of a-Isothiocyanato Ester with Ketimines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4382-4385.

- [22] Lipshutz, B. H.; Shimizu, H. Copper(I)-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrosilylations of Imines at Ambient Temperatures. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2228–2230.
- [23] For use of this ligand in enantioselective catalysis, see: a) Yamada, M.; Ito, T.; Yamano, M. Construction of a (3aR,4R,9bR)-Hexahydropyrroloquinoline by Stereoselective Hydrogen-Mediated Domino Cyclization. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2019, 23, 535–547. b) Kato, K.; Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Copper-Catalyzed Regio- and Enantioselective Aminoboration of Unactivated Terminal Alkenes. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 5775–5778.
- [24] For additional reductive couplings of imines under copper catalysis, see: a) Li, M.; Wang, J.; Meng, F. Cu-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Coupling of 1,3-Dienes and Aldimines. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 7288–7292. b) Yang, Y.; Perry, I. B.; Buchwald, S. L. Copper-Catalyzed Enantioselective Addition of Styrene-Derived Nucleophiles to Imines Enabled by Ligand-Controlled Chemoselective Hydrocupration. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9787–9790. c) Liu, R. Y.; Yang, Y.; Buchwald, S. L. Regiodivergent and Diastereoselective CuH-Catalyzed Allylation of Imines with Terminal Allenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14077–14080. d) Ascic, E.; Buchwald, S. L. Highly Diastereo- and Enantioselective CuH-Catalyzed Synthesis of 2,3-Disubstituted Indolines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4666–4669. For other metals, see: e) Zhou, C.-Y.; Zhu, S.-F.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Enantioselective Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Alkynes and Imines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10955–10957. f) Ngai, M.-Y.; Barchuk, A.; Krische, M. J. Enantioselective Iridium-Catalyzed Imine Vinylation: Optically Enriched Allylic Amines via Alkyne–Imine Reductive Coupling Mediated by Hydrogen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12644–12645.
- [25] For catalytic enantioselective diamination to generate allylic diamines, see: a) Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Catalytic Asymmetric Allylic and Homoallylic Diamination of Terminal Olefins via Formal C–H Activation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8590–8591. b) Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Yuan, W.; Shi, Y. Cu(I)-Catalyzed Asymmetric Diamination of Conjugated Dienes. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4231–4234. c) Wang, B.; Du, H.; Shi, Y. A Palladium-Catalyzed Dehydrogenative Diamination of Terminal Olefins. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8224–8227. d) Du, H.; Yuan, W.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Catalytic Asymmetric Diamination of Conjugated Dienes and Trienes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11688–11689. e) Du, H.; Yuan, W.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. A Pd(0)-Catalyzed Diamination of Terminal Olefins at Allylic and Homoallylic Carbons via Formal C–H Activation under Solvent-Free Conditions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7496–7497. For other catalytic enantioselective reactions that afford allylic diamines, see: f) Mwenda, E. T.; Nguyen, H. M. Enantioselective Synthesis of 1,2-Diamines Containing Tertiary and Quaternary Centers through Rhodium-Catalyzed DYKAT of Racemic Allylic Trichloroacetimidates. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 4814–4817. g) Shepherd, N. E.; Tanabe, H.; Xu, Y.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Direct Catalytic Asymmetric Vinylogous Mannich-Type and Michael Reactions of an α,β-Unsaturated γ-Butyrolactam under Dinuclear Nickel Catalysis. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 3666–3667. h) Trost, B. M.; Fandrick, D. R.; Brodmann, T.; Stiles, D. T. Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Allylic Amination and Acyl Migration of Vinyl Aziridines with Imido Carboxylates. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6123–6125.

[26] For select additional reviews and examples of catalytic enantioselective diamine synthesis, see: a) Zhu, Y.; Cornwall, R. G.; Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Catalytic Diamination of Olefins via N-N Bond Activation. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 3665-3678. b) Gómez Arrayás, R.; Carretero, J. C. Catalytic Asymmetric Direct Mannich Reaction: A Powerful Tool for the Synthesis of α,β -Diamino Acids. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1940-1948. c) Tao, Z., Gilbert, B. B.; Denmark, S. E. Catalytic, Enantioselective syn-Diamination of Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 19161-19170. d) Vanable, E. P.; Kennemur, J. L.; Joyce, L. A.; Ruck, R. T.; Schultz, D. M.; Hull, K. L. Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydroamination of Allyl Amines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 739-742. e) Sprague, D. J.; Singh, A.; Johnston, J. N. Diastereo- and Enantioselective Additions of α -Nitro Esters to Imines for anti-α,β-Diamino Acid Synthesis with α-Alkyl-Substitution. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 2336-2339. f) Kano, T.; Kobayashi, R.; Maruoka, K. Versatile In Situ Generated N-Boc-Imines: Application to Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reactions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8471–8474. g) Kondo, M.; Nishi, T.; Hatanaka, T.; Funahashi, Y.; Nakamura, S. Catalytic Enantioselective Reaction of α -Aminoacetonitriles Using Chiral Bis(imidazoline) Palladium Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8198-8202. h) Clark, P. G. K.; Vieira, L. C. C.; Tallant, C.; Fedorov, O.; Singleton, D. C.; Rogers, C. M.; Monteiro, O. P.; Bennett, J. M.; Baronio, R.; Müller, S.; Daniels, D. L.; Méndez, J.; Knapp, S.; Brennan, P. E.; Dixon, D. J. LP99: Discovery and Synthesis of the First Selective BRD7/9 Bromodomain Inhibitor. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6217-6221. i) Lin, S.; Kawato, Y.; Kumagai, N.; Shibasaki, M. Catalytic Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of N-Alkylidene- α -Aminoacetonitrile with Ketimines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5183-5186. j) Uraguchi, D.; Kinoshita, N.; Kizu, T.; Ooi, T. Synergistic Catalysis of Ionic Brønsted Acid and Photosensitizer for a Redox Neutral Asymmetric α -Coupling of N-Arylaminomethanes with Aldimines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13768-13771. k) Wu, B.; Gallucci, J. C.; Parquette, J. R.; RajanBabu, T. V. Bimetallic Catalysis in the Highly Enantioselective Ring-Opening Reactions of Aziridines. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1102-1117. 1) Handa, S.; Gnanadesikan, V.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. Heterobimetallic Transition Metal/Rare Earth Metal Bifunctional Catalysis: A Cu/Sm/Schiff Base Complex for Syn-Selective Catalytic Asymmetric Nitro-Mannich Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4925–4934. m) Uraguchi, D.; Koshimoto, K.; Ooi, T. Chiral Ammonium Betaines: A Bifunctional Organic Base Catalyst for Asymmetric Mannich-Type Reaction of α-Nitrocarboxylates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10878–10879. n) Singh, A.; Johnston, J. N. A Diastereo- and Enantioselective Synthesis of α -Substituted syn- α , β -Diamino Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5866-5867. (o) Arai, K.; Lucarini, S.; Salter, M. M.; Ohta, K.; Yamashita, Y.;

Kobayashi, S. The Development of Scalemic Multidentate Niobium Complexes as Catalysis for the Highly Stereoselective Ring-Opening of *meso*-Epoxides and *meso*-Aziridines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 8103–8111.