Operando monitoring the insulator-metal transition of LiCoO₂

Eibar Flores, Nataliia Mozhzhukhina, Ulrich Aschauer, Erik J. Berg

ABSTRACT: LiCoO₂ (LCO) is one of the most-widely used cathode active materials for Li-ion batteries. Even though the material undergoes an electronic two-phase transition upon Li-ion cell charging, LCO exhibits competitive performance in terms of rate capability. Herein the insulator-metal transition of LCO is investigated by operando Raman spectroscopy complemented with DFT calculations and a newly-developed sampling volume model. We confirm the presence of a Mott insulator α-phase at dilute Li-vacancy concentrations (x > 0.87) that transforms into a metallic β-phase at x < 0.75. In addition, we find that the charge-discharge intensity trends of LCO Raman-active bands exhibit a characteristic hysteresis, which, unexpectedly, narrows at higher cycling rates. When comparing these trends to a newly-developed numerical model of laser penetration into a spatially-heterogeneous particle we provide compelling evidence that the insulator-metal transition of LiCoO₂ follows a two-phase route at very low cycling rates, which is suppressed in favor of a solid-solution route at rates above 10 mA/g (→C10). The observations explain why LiCoO₂ exhibits competitive rate capabilities despite being observed to undergo an intuitively slow two-phase transition route: a kinetically faster solid-solution transition route becomes available when the active material is cycled at rates >C10. Operando Raman spectroscopy combined with sample volume modelling and DFT calculations is shown to provide unique insights into fundamental processes governing the performance of state-of-the-art cathode materials for Li-ion batteries.

Introduction

LiCoO₂, lithium cobalt oxide (LCO), is the most widely used cathode active material (CAM) for batteries in portable applications, and as such, it has been subject of intense study. LCO-based composite electrodes cycle reversibly if restricted to operate between 3.0 and 4.2 V vs. Li/Li⁺. Within such limits LCO delivers specific capacity of ~150 mA h/g (around 0.5 Li⁺ per formula unit). Cycling positive to 4.2 V triggers multiple undesired processes that, individually or collectively, result in the significant loss of specific charge and rapid cell death.¹ ³ Accordingly, major research efforts are still dedicated to stabilising LCO’s operation beyond the 4.2 V cutoff by, for instance, surface coatings⁴ and cation doping.⁵ ⁶

The reversible processes occurring below 4.2 V do however deserve more attention as a deeper understanding thereof could provide keys to further improve the performance metrics of LCO.⁷ ⁸ Interestingly, LCO undergoes an insulator-metal first-order phase transition in every cycle with no apparent adverse effect on neither its rate capability nor stability.⁹ The transition manifests as a flat plateau dominating the constant current profiles of the LiCoO₂ composite electrode (Figure S1), which appears as a well-defined redox couple around 3.90 V in the differential charge plot.¹⁰ X-ray diffraction studies had confirmed the coexistence of two hexagonal phases in the 0.93 > x > 0.75 SOL region (x in Li₁ₓCoO₂)⁵ where (de)liithiation proceeds as a first-order phase transition involving the competing depletion and growth of two phases—a Li₀.₉CoO₂ α-phase and a Li₀.₇CoO₂ β-phase—sharing the same R3m lattice symmetry with slightly different lattice parameters. Typically, first-order phase transitions do imply the rate-limiting formation and propagation of phase boundaries, lattice mismatch and volume changes, all of which would intuitively lead to slow (de)liithiation kinetics and severe mechanical degradation of the active material.¹¹ While X-ray diffraction is typically used to study structural phase transitions, the morphological and electronic aspects of the LCO phase transition can be better understood via spatially-resolved techniques sensitive to both crystallographic and electronic structure. We have recently demonstrated the ability of Raman spectroscopy to detect operando multiple electrode phenomena — e.g. cation ordering, structural degradation and oxygen oxidation— within single particles of Ni-rich cathode materials.¹² ¹³ Moreover, the electronic structure of LCO is susceptible to resonance Raman effects that have been observed,¹⁴ but not explored for characterization proposes.

In this work, we exploit the spatial resolution and electronic sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy to gain new insights into the insulator-metal phase transition of LCO. Our time-resolved methodology, coupled with the µm resolution of the laser probe, enables monitoring the Raman spectra of a single CAM particle in operation. Combining experimental data with DFT calculations highlights the changes in the electronic structure and the dynamics of the phase transition of LCO.

Materials and methods

Electrode Preparation. The composite electrodes were prepared from mixed slurries of 89 wt % LiCoO₂ powder (stored in an Ar-filled glovebox, Alfa Aesar, Germany), 5 wt % polyvinylidene difluoride (PVdF Kynar HSV 9000, Arkema), 4.6 wt % amorphous carbon Super C65, and 1.4 wt % graphite SFG6 (Imerys Graphite and Carbons) dispersed in n-methyl pyrrolidone solvent (NMP, Sigma-Aldrich). The slurries were coated onto Celgard 2400 (Celgard LLC) sheets by doctor blading at a 100-µm wet thickness. The coated sheets were dried for 10 h under dynamic vacuum at 80 °C, punched to 14-mm diameter electrodes, further dried under dynamic vacuum at 80 °C overnight, and finally introduced into an argon filled glovebox (O₂, H₂O<1 ppm).

Raman Spectro-Electrochemical Cell Assembly. The operando Raman measurements were performed using a custom-made Raman spectro-electrochemical cell, as previously
described\textsuperscript{15}. In summary, the cell was assembled in a coin-cell configuration inside an argon-filled glovebox (O\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O < 1 ppm). Before assembly, the composite electrodes and the Celgard 2400 separator (0.17 mm) were wetted for several minutes in LiClO\textsubscript{4} electrolyte (1.0 M LiClO\textsubscript{4} in 1:1 (w/w) ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC)). The LCO working electrodes were pressed against an aluminum mesh (0.17 mm, 5 Al 7-125, Dexamet corporation, CT, US) for electric contact. Lithium metal disks (0.2 mm thick, Ø12 mm, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) were used as counter electrode.

**Operando Raman Measurements.** The Raman spectra under red laser excitation were acquired using a Labram HR8000 Raman microscope (Horiba-Jobin Yvon) equipped with a He-Ne laser (632.8 nm). A grating was used as dispersion element with a groove density of 600 grooves/mm that attains a 2 cm\textsuperscript{-1} spectral resolution. The hole and slit of the confocal system were fixed at 1000 and 100 μm, respectively. The laser was focused on the sample using a 50x (numerical aperture 0.55) objective, which produced a laser spot of ca. 1.4 μm diameter with an estimated depth of focus of around 4.2 μm.\textsuperscript{16} However, opaque samples such as Li\textsubscript{2}Mo\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{19} oxides strongly dampen the laser intensity profile in accordance with the dielectric properties of the sample, and the effective sampling depth might be significantly shallower than the confocal depth of focus.\textsuperscript{17} The nominal laser power was filtered down to 0.6 mW/μm\textsuperscript{2} to avoid sample overheating. The cell was cycled galvanostatically with a computer-controlled galvanostat (CCCC Hardware, Astrol Electronic, Switzerland) at a rate of 0.1 C, using the dynamic mean field approximation (DMFT)\textsuperscript{28} to the electron metal state d states with μ\textsubscript{EC} = 4.91 eV.\textsuperscript{26} The reciprocal space of the 8-atom cell of LCO was sampled using Γ-centered meshes with dimensions 6 × 6 × 6 for the rombohedral structure. All internal and cell degrees of freedom were relaxed until forces converged below 10\textsuperscript{-5} eV/Å and stresses below 5 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} eV/Å\textsuperscript{25}. Phonon frequencies were computed using the frozen phonon method as implemented in the phonopy package.\textsuperscript{27} The mode intensities were computed from the mode-dependent change in dielectric constant\textsuperscript{28} evaluated via finite differences for mode amplitudes of ±0.01 Å and dielectric constants computed using density functional perturbation theory. Single vacancies were created in 2 × 1 × 1, 2 × 2 × 1, 3 × 3 × 1 and 4 × 4 × 1 supercells, corresponding to x=0.75, x=0.875, x=0.944 and x=0.969. For these defective cells, only internal coordinates were relaxed and the reciprocal space meshes were adjusted according to the supercell dimensions.

**Results and discussion**

**Nature of the phase transition**

According to NMR and electric measurements, the Li-rich Li\textsubscript{0.9}Li\textsubscript{0.1}Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase features localized electron holes and behaves as an insulator, while delocalized holes provide metallic-like conduction properties to the Li-poor Li\textsubscript{0.7}Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase.\textsuperscript{29,30} DFT calculations based on the local-density approximation (LDA) suggest that electron holes resulting from oxidising LCO localize due to interactions with a dilute concentration of lithium vacancies, and that this interaction is strong enough to drive phase separation.\textsuperscript{31}

Our DFT generalized gradient approximation (GGA) results Figure 1a) agree with these previous findings, showing for 0.9 < x < 0.87 a spin-polarized impurity band of Li-vacancy bound holes above the valence band edge. This is indicative of hole localization in the spin-polarized environment close to a specific Co site. The impurity band disappears at x=0.75, where the material becomes metallic and returns to its antiferromagnetic state. It was argued that since this band is half-filled, the material should undergo a Mott transition when correlation between the Co 3d electrons is strong enough.\textsuperscript{32,33} Our GGA+U calculations accounting for static correlation (but still neglecting the dynamic correlation modelled in methods such as dynamical mean field theory - DMFT) show a signature of this transformation, where two bands become visible and more separated from the valence band upon delithiation. The band separation is especially clear at x=0.87 (Figure 1b). We note however that at x=0.97, i.e. in the nearly fully lithiated state, our GGA+U calculations show only a single peak (Figure 1b), possibly due to the highly dilute hole and Li vacancy concentration. Our results thus show that Li\textsubscript{1-x}Co\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{2} is a Mott insulator for large x before becoming metallic between x=0.87 and x=0.75.

The non-rigid behavior of the LCO electronic structure is likely to manifest in its Raman spectrum, since the changes in electron density originating from hole localization influence both the bond strengths and polarizabilities defining Raman band frequencies and intensities, respectively.

**Raman spectra of fully lithiated LiCoO\textsubscript{2}**

According to symmetry-based considerations (Fig. S2), fully lithiated LCO typically displays only two Raman-active modes: the E\textsubscript{g} mode, involving the periodic, antiparallel motion of oxygen atoms within adjacent O-layers, and a higher wavenumber A\textsubscript{1g} mode where oxygen atoms move along the lattice’s c-axis.\textsuperscript{22,23} Our DFT phonon calculations and experimental spectrum in Figure 2 indeed agree with the symmetry-based predictions: the E\textsubscript{g} and A\textsubscript{1g} bands are experimentally observed at 487 cm\textsuperscript{-1} 896 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively.
Notably, the $E_g/A_{1g}$ intensity ratio differs when changing the laser excitation wavelength (Figure 2). As the two lasers incide the sample with comparable power, the wavelength dependency hints at Raman resonance effects. A Raman spectrum might be resonance-enhanced when the energy of the incident radiation coincides with the energy required to promote an electronic transition.

In agreement with most literature studies, we observe the $E_g/A_{1g}$ intensity ratio to change from $E_g/A_{1g} > 1$ under a 632 nm excitation,\textsuperscript{14,34,35} to $E_g/A_{1g} < 1$ under 514 nm\textsuperscript{36-41}, 532 nm\textsuperscript{14,42-44} and even 785 nm\textsuperscript{15} excitations. Note that our DFT-calculated Raman activities exhibit the same $E_g/A_{1g} < 1$ intensity ratio as the experimental spectrum under 532 nm excitation (Figure 2). Since phonon calculations are agnostic to electronic excitations, this implies that the $E_g/A_{1g} < 1$ intensity ratio represents non-resonant conditions. Therefore, we conclude that the Raman spectrum of fully lithiated LCO is resonance-enhanced under 632 nm excitation. This is in contrast to the study of Gross et al., who suggested Raman enhancement under 532 nm wavelength, based on their observation of overtone bands,\textsuperscript{14} which are typical features of resonance effects.\textsuperscript{46} Our systematic investigation of the experimental and DFT-calculated absorption spectra of LiCoO$_2$ (Supp. Fig. 3) suggests that both laser excitations can cause Raman resonance. Further elucidation of this wavelength-dependency requires i) in the theory side, an explicit accounting of vibronic interactions and ii) in the experimental side, studying the $E_g/A_{1g}$ bands and overtones under more excitation wavelengths – ideally using single crystals.\textsuperscript{15}

In the next section, we monitor how delithiation influences the appearance of the spectra under 633 and 532 nm excitations.

**Raman spectra of Li$_2$CoO$_2$ during the phase transition**

Figure 3a summarizes the operando Raman experiment conducted with the 633 nm laser excitation. The contour map representing the operando Raman spectra clearly shows both $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ bands disappearing early in the delithiation process, and no new bands being distinguished thereafter. The fitted peak positions remain fairly constant until the bands disappear; the scattered datapoints are algorithm attempts to fit noise. The fitted peak intensities show a weakening trend from the very beginning of delithiation until completely disappearing when the LCO lithium content $x$ falls below 0.93. Figure 3b shows the same intensity fading under 532 nm laser excitation, with the key difference that the $E_g/A_{1g}$ bands do not disappear completely after the phase transition.

Many authors argued that the drastic decrease in Raman intensities upon delithiation stems from the metallic behavior of the $\beta$-phase Li$_{x}$CoO$_2$.\textsuperscript{36,47-50} In general, when light incides onto a conductive material, most of it is scattered and the small fraction that does enter the sample, dampens quickly. By modelling light penetration based on classical electrodynamics (see supplementary Information: light attenuation model), we indeed find that the skin depth of the conductive $\beta$-phase becomes shallower than the calculated confocal depth of focus determined by the microscope optics\textsuperscript{16} (c.a. 4.2 $\mu$m under both laser excitations). Therefore, the Raman signal penetration in the metallic material is shallower than the confocal depth of focus. However, the Raman intensities under 633 nm excitation disappear completely, while under 533 nm excitation the bands are still detected, even though both excitations are expected to penetrate into the sample to the same depth. Therefore, the skin effect is not the only physical mechanism causing the decrease in intensities.
In summary, both the $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ bands are still detectable for most of the delithiation process under 533 nm excitation, but not under 633 nm excitation. Our results agree with similar studies that report absent bands when probing delithiated LCO with a 633 nm laser, but detectable $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ bands when probed with 514 nm excitation. Therefore, the Raman intensities could be resonance-enhanced by the 532 nm excitation when LCO is partially delithiated, but enhanced by the 633 nm excitation instead when LCO is fully lithiated.

Given the drastic electronic changes associated to the insulator-metal transition, it is plausible that the resonance frequency of LCO changes during delithiation as well: from c.a. 633 nm at SOL=1.00 to c.a. 532 nm at SOL<0.75. Indeed, our computed absorption spectra (Fig. S4) show additional peaks emerging below 1 eV upon delithiation, which could enable new vibronic transitions that remain forbidden when LCO is fully lithiated.

Raman spectra of Li$_x$CoO$_2$ after the phase transition

After the phase transition completes at SOL=0.75 (Figure 3b), both $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ bands appear at ca. 470 and 575 cm$^{-1}$, respectively; i.e., red-shifted ca. 20 cm$^{-1}$ from their positions in fully lithiated LCO. Shifts on the $E_g/A_{1g}$ modes of layered materials are associated to the strengthening (blue-shifts) or weakening (red-shifts) of the interatomic forces pinning oxygen atoms to their equilibrium positions within the lattice. Therefore, oxygen atoms experience a bond weakening during the phase transition, possibly because the Li$^+$ depletion weakens the electrostatic attraction between Li$^+$ and O$^2$. Such bond weakening might also result in expanded lattice parameters of Li-deficient LCO, as indeed shown by X-ray diffraction experiments.

As delithiation proceeds below SOL<0.75, the $E_g$ band position remains constant; instead, the $A_{1g}$ band shifts slightly but steadily towards lower wavenumbers (Figure 3b). These observations suggest that the interatomic forces keep weakening, but this time only along the c-axis (the $A_{1g}$ displacement vectors align with the lattice’s c-axis, see Supplementary Figure S2). In addition, the intensities of both bands show a slight increasing trend that suggests an increase in electron density around oxygen atoms, consistent with the slightly increased Co-O covalency observed from X-ray absorption spectra. In summary, the position and intensities of the $A_{1g}$ and $E_g$ bands suggest that delithiation between 0.75>SOL>0.5 weakens oxygen interactions while increasing its electron density, which precedes the observed oxygen loss from the structure SOL<0.5.

Dynamics of the phase transition

During the phase transition, $\alpha$-LCO (i.e. Li$_{0.93}$CoO$_2$) generally features intense $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$ Raman bands while $\beta$-LCO (i.e. Li$_{0.75}$CoO$_2$) has weak (or undetectable) bands. Hence, independently of the cause, the changes in intensity can be used

Figure 3. Constant current profiles (top), contour maps of the operando Raman spectra (middle), fitted peak positions and intensities (bottom) of LiCoO$_2$ during the first delithiation (10 mA/g, 3.0 to 4.3 V vs. Li$^+$/Li) as recorded using a. 633 nm laser excitation and b. 533 nm laser excitation.
to monitor the evolving proportion of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases. Figure 3 shows that during delithiation the intensities drop following an S-shaped trend rather than monotonically. The process responsible for the trends appears unrelated to the electronic nature of the phase transition, because the same trend is observed under both excitation wavelengths. We therefore conducted additional operando experiments to further investigate the origin of these phenomena.

Figure 4 shows the Raman intensity of the $E_2$ band detected under 633 nm excitation, at different cycling rates and during a full cycle. The most prominent feature is the hysteresis between delithiation and lithiation, which appears well-defined at 10 mA/g but narrows at higher rates until virtually disappearing at 40 mA/g.

It is known that the electrochemical potential of CAMs feature hysteretic behaviors when de(lithiation) is not spatially homogeneous, either due to intra-particle concentration gradients or to first-order phase transitions. Likewise, a spatially-heterogeneous (de)lithiation within the CAM could cause hysteretic intensity trends by, for example, exposing a Li-deficient particle shell (weak scatterer) to the probing laser, while simultaneously reducing access to the Raman signals from a Li-rich (strong scatterer) core. Hysteretic trends could also reflect shifts between particle and electrode SOLs, originating from lateral and axial SOL heterogeneities at the electrode level. We investigate these hypotheses by numerically modelling the laser’s sampling volume—a proxy for the Raman intensities—and how it grows/shrinks upon incidence on a single particle of CAM with a spatially heterogeneous lithium concentration. The details of the model can be found in Supporting information: sampling volume model.

Figure 5 shows the simulated evolution of the sampling volume under three different phase transition scenarios. In a core-shell transition scenario (Figure 5a), the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases within a single particle of CAM are separated by a sharp boundary that propagates radially as the phase transition proceeds. The $\beta$-phase is assumed to enable limited light penetration without scattering Raman signals (supported by the observations in Figure 3a), so the resulting sampling volume (orange cone in Figure 5a) is that incising upon the $\alpha$-phase. In this scenario, delithiation below $x=0.93$ promotes the growth of a Li-deficient shell, which dampens the laser’s access to the Li-rich (strong-scatterer) core, effectively reducing the sampling volume. As the phase boundary moves beyond the penetration depth of the $\beta$-phase, all access to the $\alpha$-phase core is lost and the sampling volume effectively vanishes. On relithiation the process reverses: a Li-rich shell of $\alpha$-phase grows and with it the sampling volume, which reaches its maximum value when the Li-rich shell grows beyond the penetration depth in the $\alpha$-phase. The hysteresis emerges because the sampling volume vanishes at $x=0.9$, before delithiation completes the phase transition at $x=0.75$; likewise, the sampling volume reaches its maximum value before relithiation completes the transition at $x=0.93$.

The transition might also proceed as an ideal solid solution (Figure 5b), where the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases are completely miscible. The particle would exhibit a spatially homogeneous SOL changing linearly during (de)lithiation. In this scenario, the sampling volume also changes linearly without any hysteresis. The third simulation (Figure 5c) accounts for the scenario where the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases are miscible but diffusion limitations cause intra-particle Li gradients. Just as in the first scenario, the laser penetrates into a spatially-heterogeneous environment; hence,
the sampling volume reaches its maximum and minimum values before the transition is completed, resulting in hysteretic trends. Moreover, our Fick’s diffusion-based approach to model Li-gradients enables us to simulate the effects of multiple cycling rates. When the Li-gradients expectedly become more pronounced at higher rates, the sampling volume hysteresis widens as the rate accelerates from 10 to 40 mA/g (see Figure 5e).

Phase transition pathways: core-shell vs. solid solution
We find two key conclusions by comparing the three simulated scenarios to our experimental results. First, while the spatial heterogeneities predict sampling volume hystereses (Figure 5a,c), intra-particle Li-gradients and inter-particle SOL heterogeneities would widen the hystereses at higher rates, not narrow them as experimentally observed in Figure 4. Based on this contradiction, we discard intra- and inter-particle heterogeneities and thus suggest that the intensity hysteresis observed at 10 mA/g rather originates from a core-shell phase separation. We emphasize that, while diffraction experiments have crystallographically distinguished the α and β phases, the depth-resolved nature of our Raman experiments further enables elucidating that the core-shell geometry occurs within a single CAM particle. In support, Zhang and White demonstrated that the constant-current curves of a porous LCO electrode are better modelled assuming that the phase transition proceeds via a core-shell mechanism with a sharp phase boundary. The second key conclusion is related to the rate-dependent changes of the intensity trends in Figure 4: the negligible intensity hysteresis experimentally observed at 40 mA/g resembles the one modelled for a solid solution phase transition (Figure 5b). Therefore, our observations provide compelling evidence that the near-equilibrium (10 mA/g) core-shell (two-phase) route of the insulator-metal transition is suppressed in favor of a solid-solution route at higher cycling rates (40 mA/g, approx. C/5). Rate-dependent in situ diffraction studies on LiCoO2 indeed show clearly separated (003) Bragg reflections from the α and β phases at low cycling rates (<C/10), which generally appear merged as a single (003) reflection at higher cycling rates (>C/10). Rate-dependent pathways of phase transitions are not unprecedented. For instance, both LiNi0.3Co0.3Mn0.3O2 and LiFePO4 CAMs cycle following thermodynamically-favoured two-phase transition pathways at low rates, which change to a non-equilibrium, solid-solution transition pathway at higher rates, assisted by the associated overpotentials. Our µµRaman resolved results indicate that for LiCoO2 such pathway change occurs within single particles of CAM at rates as low as C/10. Furthermore, observing a kinetically-stabilized solid-solution CAM composition is only possible through operando measurements: stopping the cycle for in situ measuring would cause the system to separate into the α- and β-phases due to thermodynamic relaxation. The suppression of the two-phase pathway in favour of a solid-solution route potentially explains why LCO exhibits competitive rate capabilities. The CAM is thermodynamically prone to cycle via a kinetically slow two-phase transition, but as the cycling current increases to practical rates, the transition follows a faster, solid-solution route.

Conclusions
Herein, the insulator-metal transition of LCO is explored by operando Raman spectroscopy, complemented with DFT calculations and a newly-developed sampling volume model. Based on our GGA+U-DFT calculations, we confirm that LCO behaves as a Mott insulator at dilute Li-vacancy concentrations (x > 0.87) and then transforms into a metallic phase at x < 0.75. The wavelength-dependent Raman spectra of Li2CoO2 are found to be enhanced by electronic resonance and are thus sensitive to the non-rigid behavior of the material’s electronic structure during cycling. We record hundreds of operando Raman spectra of LCO cycled at 10 mA/g (~C/20), and find the fitted peak intensities to outline hysteretic trends during the insulator-metal phase transition. By repeating the operando Raman measurements at higher rates we show that the hysteresis, surprisingly, narrows at higher cycling rates. We developed a simple numerical model to investigate the effect that multiple Li+ heterogeneities would have on the sampling volume - a proxy for Raman intensities. By comparing the model results to the experimentally observed trends, we provide compelling evidence that the insulator-metal transition of LiCoO2 follows a two-phase route at 10 mA/g (~C/20), which is suppressed in favor of a solid-solution route at rates above 20 mA/g (~C/10). Our results indicate that LCO exhibits competitive rate capabilities because the material gains access to a kinetically-faster solid-solution transition route as it is cycled at practical rates. We anticipate the solid solution route to be only observable through operando measurements, since without a current bias the CAM particle would relax to its thermodynamically-favoured two-phase state; we thus emphasize the essential role that operando techniques play in unravelling the dynamic processes of Li-ion active materials.
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