Preparation and synthetic applicability of novel imidazole-containing cyclic iodonium salts.

Nikita S. Antonkin,^a Yulia A. Vlasenko,^a Akira Yoshimura,^a Vladimir I. Smirnov,^b Tatyana N. Borodina,^b Viktor V. Zhdankin,^{a,c} Mekhman S. Yusubov,^{a*} Alexandr Shafir,^{d*} Pavel S. Postnikov.^{a,e*}

^a Research School of Chemistry and Applied Biomedical Sciences, Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk 634050, Russian Federation; e-mail: <u>yusubov@mail.ru</u>; <u>postnikov@tpu.ru</u>

^b A. E. Favorsky Irkutsk Institute of Chemistry, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Favorsky Str., 1, Irkutsk 664033, Russian Federation

^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, Minnesota 55812, USA

^d Department of Biological Chemistry, IQAC-CSIC, c/Jordi Girona 18-26, Barcelona 08034, Spain; e-mail: alexandr.shafir@iqac.csic.es

^e Department of Solid-State Engineering, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague 16628, Czech Republic

Abstract

The novel approach to the preparation of imidazole-substituted cyclic iodonium salts has been developed via oxidative cyclization of 1-phenyl-5-iodoimidazole using cheap and available $Oxone^{(B)}/H_2SO_4$ oxidative system. The structure of newly prepared compounds has been proved by X-ray monocrystal diffractometry revealing the characteristic surface features for cyclic iodonium salts. The prepared compounds demonstrated the high reactivity in the heterocyclization reactions with elemental sulfur affording benzo[5,1-*b*]imidazothiazoles with good yields.

Keywords: *iodonium salts; heterocyclization; benzoimidazothiazoles; arylheteroaryliodonium; reactivity; crystal structure*

Introduction

Nowadays, the chemistry of hypervalent compounds can be considered as the one of the fastest growing field of organic chemistry.¹ The λ^3 -iodanes are widely applied as oxidants^{1c,2} and group-transfer reagents³. Indeed, one of the most applicable class of iodine(III) derivatives is the diaryliodonium salts bearing two carbon-centered aryl ligands finding utilization as a convenient sources of electrophilic synthons.⁴

In the last 10 years, there has been a particular resurgence of interest in cyclic iodonium salts (CIS), which are characterized by the presence of two electrophilic carbon centers in a rigid, normally tricyclic structure (Figure 1).⁵ This structure and the unique reactivity of such substances has allowed for their direct transformation into various carbo- and heterocycles via innovative synthetic sequences. Thus, very recently a range of useful synthetic methods has been developed including the transformation of CIS into the sulfur- and nitrogen-containing heterocycles⁶,

carbocyclization⁷, as well as processes for the selective ring-opening via the C-I bond cleavage, including an interesting enantioselective variant⁸. Nowadays, such hetero- and carbocyclization have become a powerful tool for the preparation of fused cyclic building-blocks. Last two years, cyclic iodonium salts become a novel family of halogen-bonding catalysts.⁹

Despite the promising synthetic applicability CIS, their potentially wider usage is a still contingent upon resolving a series of issues and challenges. For example, the evaluation of CIS structure and properties mainly has been dedicated to the carbocyclic salts,⁵ leaving heterocycle-containing iodonium salts have been evaluated poorly. The first example of pyridine and quinolone substituted iodonium salts 2 (Fig. 1, a) were reported by Detert.¹⁰ Shortly after, Wen and coworkers prepared a wide range of heterocyclic CISs containing chromone and thiochromone moieties **3** (Fig. 1, a) and explored their synthetic potential as entry points to polycyclic cores. 6a,11 Indeed, the oxidation of iodoarenes bearing 6-membered heterocyclic moieties appears to proceed more readily than that of 5-membered electron-rich heterocycles. For this reason, the CISs based on thiophene and furan have been implemented as their benzo-conjugated derivatives 4 (Fig. 1, a).^{6f,6g,12} Indeed, the only several examples of five-membered electron-rich heterocycles connected with low availability of starting materials and complexity of iodine oxidation.^{12,13} Only recently, Nachtsheim developed a facile approach to the preparation of iodine-containing heterocycles bearing pyrazole moieties 5 (Fig. 1, b).¹⁴ In this context, we recently proposed a novel method for the synthesis of 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles, which may be construed as a promising substrate class for the formation of heterocycle-flanked cyclic iodonium salts. In order to explore the synthetic potential of such reagents, in this contribution we have developed a method for preparation of cyclic iodonium salts containing imidazolyl moiety and demonstrated the synthetic applicability of such salts in the synthesis of conjugated thienoimidazoles.



Figure 1. Examples of known cyclic iodonium salts.

Results and discussion

The proposed synthetic pathway towards imidazole-substituted CISs is presented in Figure 1. Firstly, we proceeded to explore possible approaches for the oxidation of the iodine atom in 1-phenyl-5-iodoimidazole 2a as the model substrate (Table 1). Surprisingly, the previously reported methods involving *m*CPBA as oxidant in the presence of strong acids did not result in the formation of desired hypervalent iodine species (entries 1-3, Table 1). 10,15 Thus, in the case of *p*-toluenesulfonic or trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, only corresponding protonated imidazolium salts were obtained even at elevated temperature¹⁴ (entries 1-3). This result highlight the challenge of achieving the oxidative cyclization in this system, given thatthe protonation of the imidazole nitrogen is expected to deactivate the iodine towards oxidation process. With that in mind, we tested the oxidative systems based on weaker acids such as AcOH in the presence of *m*CPBA, NaBO₃, NaIO₄ and bleach (entries 4-7). Unfortunately, we did not observe the formation of desired iodonium salt 3a.

These poor initial results led us to consider a peroxysulafe-based oxidant systems, in particularly the well-behaved Oxone[®] reagent. Indeed, the Oxone[®]/H₂SO₄ system is known to be efficient in the preparation of cyclic or non-cyclic iodonium salts¹⁶. In our case, a portionwise addition of finely grounded Oxone[®] to the solution of the iodoimidazole 2a in H₂SO₄ under vigorous stirring led to the full consumption of starting material after 2 hours. A subsequent addition of water led to the precipitation of the desired product 3a in 50% yield as a hydrogen sulfate salt Suspecting incomplete precipitation due to the solubility of iodonium hydrogen sulfates in water, we repeated

the experiment with the addition of Na_2CO_3 at the isolation stage, which led to the formation of the corresponding hydroxide form in 89% yield. Unfortunately, the extremely low solubility of this –OH form did not allow to use the common NMR spectroscopy technique for the structural characterization. Through a final round of optimization, the target *3a*-HSO₄ was isolated in 84% via addition of a 9M solution of NaOH until only a pH of 4-5 is reached. The product is readily soluble in DMSO, and, to a lesser extent, in water and MeOH, and was used as a substrate for the further transformations.

Table 1. Optimization of the condition



Entry	Oxidant	Additive / medium	Temp.	Time	Yield
				[h]	[%] ^a
1	<i>m</i> CPBA	<i>p</i> -TsOH, TFE	r.t.	24	0^b
2	<i>m</i> CPBA	TfOH, TFE	r.t.	24	0^b
3	<i>m</i> CPBA	TfOH, DCE	50 °C	65	0^b
4	<i>m</i> CPBA	AcOH	r.t.	24	0
5	Bleach	AcOH	r.t.	24	0
6	NaIO ₄	NaOAc, Ac ₂ O, AcOH	125°C	2	0^c
7	NaBO ₃	AcOH	50°C	24	0
8	Oxone®	H_2SO_4	0°C to	2	$50^{d,e}$
			r.t.		
9	Oxone®	H_2SO_4	0°C to	2	84 ^{f,e}
			r.t.		

^{*a*} Isolated yield; ^{*b*} Protonated **2***a* was isolated; ^{*c*}Deiodination process occurred; ^{*d*} Isolation by precipitation with water, product as $^{-}$ HSO₄ salt; ^{*e*} Reaction conditions:1-phenyl-5-iodoimidazole **2***a* (0.5 mmol), Oxone[®] (0.325 mmol) in H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml) from 0° C to r.t. over 2h. ^{*f*} Isolation by precipitation with 9M NaOH solution.

With the optimal conditions in hand, we sought to demonstrate the synthetic applicability of this approach in a broader range of 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles (Scheme 1). The series of substituted 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazole have been prepared by previously reported procedure¹⁷, which can be considered as a highly straightforward method for accessing of substituted imidazoles bearing iodine in 5-position. The oxidation of 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles containing electron-donating groups in aryl ring proceeded smoothly with the formation of corresponding iodonium salts 3f, 3h, and 3i with high yields. Under these conditions, halogen-substituted precursors (2c-2e, 2g, 2j, 2l, 2o) also underwent the oxidative cyclization, although in the initial runs the desired iodonium salts were obtained in somewhat lower yields due to incomplete conversion of starting material. Nevertheless, the addition of another portion of Oxone[®] and sulfuric acid to the reaction mixture allowed to achieve the full consumption of starting iodide 2, leading to synthetically meaningful yields of the target products (3c-3e, 3g, 3j, 3l, 3o). The oxidation of iodine was found to be sensitive to the steric hindrance both at the imidazole and the *N*-aryl ring. Thus, the 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles 2j-2l containing substituent in o-position in the phenyl ring gave an ~60% yield of target iodonium salts 3j-3l independently of the electronic effects. It should be noted that although

two isomeric products are possible for the *meta*-substituted *N*-aryl precursors 2g-2i, the process provided for a selective formation of species 3g-3i with the new C-I *para* to the *N*-Ar substituent group (the NMR of crude reaction mixture demonstrated less than 2% of isomer). This selectivity is likely favored by steric reasons, and is in line with the Electrophilic Aromatic Substitution preferences (S_EAr) of the iodination step. It will be interesting to establish whether this lower efficiency stems from the steric hindrance of the 2-Me substituent hindering the attainment of the necessary co-planarity on route the final cyclic structure. Indeed, the application of strong oxidative system did not allow for the preparation of the cyclic iodonium salts from 1-aryl-5iodoimidazoles containing electron-rich *N*-(hetero)aryl substituents, such as the naphtyl, the 4methoxyphenyl or the 2-thienyl group. In all such cases, we observed complete consumption of starting material with the formation of a rather intractable mixture of what appears to be the overoxidation products.

In some cases, the solubility of the prepared hydrogen sulfates salts was a critical factor for isolation and further characterization. For instance, the iodonium species 3q had to be isolated as its iodide salt due to the high solubility of hydrogen sulfate form in water. In contrast, for product 3p the hydrogen sulfate form had a very low solubility in DMSO and other common solvents, to the point of making its characterization by NMR characterization challenge.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium derivatives 3a-3q^{a,b}.



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazole **2** (0.5 mmol), Oxone (0.325 mmol) in H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml) from 0°C to r.t.; ^{*b*} Isolated yields; ^{*c*} Yield for 2 mmol scale; ^{*d*} Amount of reagents was scaled down (see Experimental section); ^{*e*} Increased amount of Oxone[®]; ^{*f*} Isolation by addition of KI.

The crystals suitable for X-Ray study have been grown from the water solution of salts 3c and 3j via slow evaporation as sulfates. The crystal structures of 3c and 3j are demonstrated in Fig. 1 and 2. The prepared compounds exhibited the similar structural features with cyclic iodonium salts reported earlier.^{16a,18} According to these X-Ray structures, the iodonium salt 3c formed the dimers coordinated by two sulfate anions. Compound 3j forms as a co-crystal containing a molecule of water between two such dimeric units.



Figure 1. Crystal structure of **3***c* showing the dimeric nature of the cyclic iodonium salt. Selective distances and angles: C1– I1 = 2.122(2) Å, C7– I1 = 2.077(2) Å, C1– C6 = 1.394(2) Å, C6 – N2 = 1.408(3) Å, C7– N2= 1.388(2) Å, \angle C1–I1–C7 = 80.25(8)°, \angle I1–C7–C8–H8 = -5.0°



Figure 2. Crystal structure of **3***j* showing the dimeric nature of the cyclic iodonium salt. Selective distances and angles: I1– C3 = 2.105(2) Å, I1– C4 = 2.058(2) Å, C3– C7 = 1.400(3) Å, C 4– N1AA = 1.389(3) Å, C7– N1AA= 1.418(3) Å, \angle C3–I1–C4 = 80.23(8)°, \angle I1–C4 –C5–H5= 4.5°. I2– C17= 2.078(2) Å, I2– C18 = 2.118(2) Å, Inter-stacking interactions between iodonium salts: distance between centroids Cg1– Cg2 = 3.663 Å.

In the next step, we sought to demonstrate the synthetic applicability of prepared iodonium salts in reactions of cyclization with elemental sulfur in basic conditions.¹² Such heterocyclization provides a novel way for the synthesis of benzo[5,1-b]imidazothiazoles, which have a wide application in the drug design.¹⁹ The described methods of benzo[5,1-b]imidazothiazoles avoids the use of metal-based catalysts,^{19,20} and appears open an interesting path towards heteroatom-doped polycyclic aromatics.

The reaction has been conducted in previously published conditions in DMSO using elemental sulfur as a radical source in the presence of Cs_2CO_3 . The heating of reaction mixture led to the formation of blue solution indicating the formation of trisulfide radical.¹² The full conversion of starting materials has been achieved after 4 hours. In general, the yield of target product *4* did not show a clear dependence on the electronic nature of the N-aryl moiety. Slight decrease in yield has been observed for the *ortho*-substituted iodonium salts due to steric hindrance.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of benzo[5,1-b]imidazothiazoles 4a-4k^{a, b}.

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: iodonium salt **3** (0,25 mmol), S₈ (0,125 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (1 mmol) in DMSO (2,5 ml) at 100°C for 4 h; ^{*b*} Isolated yields; ^{*c*} Amount of reagents was scaled down (see Experimental section).

Surprisingly, the iodonium salts 3m, 3n, 3q bearing substituent in the imidazolyl moiety were not prone to heterocyclization under such conditions. We isolated only reduction products – appropriate 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles. Salts 3j and 3l demonstrated the similar behavior: the formation of desired benzo[5,1-b]imidazothiazoles has been detected by GC-MS chromatography, but the presence of reduced ISs hampered isolation procedure. Thus, such benzothiazoles have not been isolated in pure form.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have described a method for the preparation of a novel series of heterocyclefused benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium salts. The synthetic procedure includes the oxidation of 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles by available and cheap Oxone[®] in sulfuric acid followed by a traightforward precipitation of the desired compounds by NaOH solution. The crystal structure of isolated compounds revealed the typical dimeric structure for cyclic iodonium cations coordinated by two sulfate anions. The prepared salts demonstrated the considerable reactivity in the heterocyclization with elemental sulfur with the formation of benzo[5,1-b]imidazothiazoles with good and high yields. We believe that our study will shed light on the reactivity of cyclic iodonium salts and will be interesting for the wide range of chemists.

Experimental Section

General Comments. All reagents and solvents were from commercial sources and used without further purification from freshly opened containers. Anhydrous DMSO was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and used without additional purification. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz, ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded at 376 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are referenced relative to residual solvent signal. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on maXis spectrometer and MicroTOF-Q both from Bruker Daltronics with electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive mode and Agilent 7200 Accurate Mass Q-TOF GC/MS with electron impact ionization (EI). X-Ray data were collected on a BRUKER D8 VENTURE PHOTON 100 CMOS diffractometer with MoK_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) using the ϕ and ω scans technique. The structures were solved and refined by direct methods using the SHELX²¹. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS)²². All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically using SHELX²¹. The coordinates of the hydrogen atoms were calculated by mixed methods. Crystal data and experimental details are given in supporting information (Table S1). Supplementary crystallographic data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk (2058419-2058420). All starting materials (diaryliodonium salt and 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazoles) were prepared according to slightly modified published procedure¹⁷.

Synthesis of cyclic iodonium salts 3a-3q

General procedure: A 10 ml reaction tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with 96% H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml) and cooled to between 0 and 5 °C. Then, finely grounded 1-aryl-5-iodoimidazole 2 (0.5 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min, followed by the addition of finely ground Oxone (1.3 equiv, 0.325 mmol, 0.2 g) in one portion. The stirring was continued for 1 h between 0 and 5°C. Next, the ice-water bath was removed and stirring was continued for 1 h at room temperature. For some substrates, an additional portion of Oxone (0.325 mmol, 0.2 g) and H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml) required after 2 h of reaction (addition under cooling with ice-water bath). After full consumption of the initial material, as gauged by TLC (hexane : EtOAc – 2:1), ice was added to the reaction mixture (1-2 g). Then, the mixture was diluted with cold water to the total volume of 7 ml. A 9M NaOH solution was added dropwise with cooling by ice-water bath until the appearance of the precipitate (approx. 2-2.5 ml for 0.8 ml of H₂SO₄); the resulting suspension was allowed to stir for 1 hour at room temperature. The final solid was filtered and washed with cold water (2 × 3 ml), Et₂O (3 × 5 ml) and dried under vacuum.





3a: The reaction of 1-phenyl-5-iodoimidazole *2a* (0.5 mmol, 135 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate *3a* as white solid, 154 mg, yield: 84%. mp = 201-203°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ

135.7, 134.8, 131.9, 131.50, 131.3, 128.6, 117.3, 112.2, 97.9. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for $C_9H_6IN_2^+$ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 268.9570, found: 268.9580.



MeO **3b:** The reaction of methyl 4-(5-iodo-imidazol-1-yl)benzoate **2b** (0.5 mmol, 164 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] (0.2 g and 0.3 g respectively for the first and the second batch of the oxidant) in H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml for both portions) according to **general procedure** afforded 6-(methoxycarbonyl)benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate **3b** as off-white solid, 212 mg, yield: 81%. mp = 248-249°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.28 (s, 1H), 8.67 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (dd, J = 8.4, 2 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 164.4, 138.3, 136.4, 132.9, 132.3, 131.9, 129.1, 117.2, 113.1, 98.9, 52.9. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₁H₈IN₂O₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 326.9625, found: 326.9625.



3c: The reaction of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2c (0.5 mmol, 144 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 6-fluorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3c as off-white solid, 115 mg, yield: 60%. mp = 224-227°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.38 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 2,8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (td, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 159.9 (d, *J* = 247 Hz), 135.7, 132.1 (d, *J* = 2 Hz), 131.6, 119.4 (d, *J* = 24 Hz), 118.3 (d, *J* = 18 Hz), 118.1, 113.1 (d, *J* = 10 Hz), 98.6. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 111.8-111.7 (m). HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅FIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 286.9476, found: 286.9462.

Glinitation and States and Stat

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.06 (s, 1H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 135.9, 134.3, 132.0, 131.6, 131.5, 130.4, 118.2, 113.5, 98.6. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅ClIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 302.9180, found: 302,9165.



Br 3e: The reaction of 1-(4-bromophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2e (0.5 mmol, 175 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 6-bromobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3e as pale brown solid, 180 mg, yield: 81%. mp = 255-258°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.20 (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, *J* = 2,0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 136.0, 134.8, 134.6, 133.1, 131.6, 119.4, 118.7, 113.8, 98.5. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅BrIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 346.8675, found: 346.8701.

3f: The reaction of 1-(4-methylphenyl)-5-iodoimidazole *2f* (0.5 mmol, 142 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 6-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate *3f* as off-white solid, 173 mg, yield: 91%. mp = 231-233°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 138.7, 135.4, 132.6, 132.5, 131.4, 130.8, 116.8, 112.0, 97.6, 20.8. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 282.9727, found: 282.9713.

⊖ HSO₄

Br 3g: The reaction of 1-(3-bromophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2g (0.39 mmol, 137 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ (*scaled down to 0.39 mmol of 2g*) according to *general procedure* afforded 7-bromobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3g as off-white solid, 145 mg, yield: 84%. mp = 244-247°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.20 (s, 1H), 8.69 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 136.3, 136.0, 132.8, 131.6, 131.1, 125.1, 120.1, 111.5, 98.6. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅BrIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 346.8675, found: 346.8678.

⊖ HSO₄

f **h**: The reaction of 1-(3-methylphenyl)-5-iodoimidazole **2h** (0.5 mmol, 142 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to **general procedure** afforded 7-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate **3h** as white solid, 165 mg, yield: 87%. mp = 245-246°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.10 (s, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.2-7.30 (m, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 142.3, 135.3, 134.8, 131.5, 131.1, 129.2, 117.4, 108.8, 99.0, 20.8 HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 282.9727, found: 282.9726.

⊖ HSO₄



MeÓ 3i: The reaction of 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2i (0.5 mmol, 150 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 7-

methoxybenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3i as off-white solid, 150 mg, yield: 76%. mp = 251-253°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.19 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 162.4, 135.9, 135.7, 131.8, 131.6, 115.2, 102.9, 100.8, 98.1, 56.4. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂O⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 298.9676, found: 298.9665.

⊖ HSO₄

Br 3j: The reaction of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2j (0.5 mmol, 175 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 8-bromobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3j as off-white solid, 125 mg, yield: 56%. mp = 179-181°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.59 (s, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 137.6, 137.1, 133.7, 131.4, 130.8, 128.9, 114.5, 110.1, 99.0. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅BrIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 346.8675, found: 346.8676.

⊖ HSO₄

3k: The reaction of 1-(2-methylphenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2k (0.5 mmol, 142 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 8-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3k as off-white solid, 119 mg, yield: 63%. mp = 215-217°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.01 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 138.1, 134.8, 134.1, 131.2, 129.0, 128.9, 127.8, 112.3, 97.7, 21.4. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 282.9727, found: 282.9731.

⊖ HSO₄



F **31:** The reaction of 1-(2-fluorophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole **21** (0.5 mmol, 145 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to **general procedure** afforded 8-fluorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate **31** as off-white solid, 118 mg, yield: 61%. mp = 244-247°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.84 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (td, *J* = 8.4, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 152.3 (d, *J* = 252 Hz), 137.4 (d, *J* = 13 Hz), 131.3, 128.7 (d, *J* = 6 Hz), 127.1 (d, *J* = 3 Hz), 124.3 (d, *J* = 14 Hz), 119.1 (d, *J* = 18 Hz), 113.8, 98.9. ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -120.2 - -120.1 (m). HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅FIN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 286.9476, found: 286.9473.

HSO₄ I I I N N

3m: The reaction of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-5-iodoimidazole 2m (0.5 mmol, 142 mg) with one portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ according to *general procedure* afforded 1-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3m as off-white solid, 154 mg, yield: 40%. mp = 203-206°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.16 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7,6 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 2.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 145.7, 135.6, 131.9, 131.4, 130.3, 128.0, 118.3, 111.7, 96.4, 16.9. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 282.9727, found: 282.9751.



MeO 3n: The reaction of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-iodoimidazole 2n (0.5 mmol, 157 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] (0.2 g and 0.3 g respectively for the first and second batch of the oxidant) in H₂SO₄ (0,8 ml for both portions) according to general procedure afforded 6-methoxy-3-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3n as pale brown solid, 103 mg, yield: 50%. mp = 225-227°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.82 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 2.52 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 157.6, 148.9, 143.4, 138.4, 133.9, 128.2, 113.9, 106.6, 95.0, 57.9, 14.3. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₁H₁₀IN₂O⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 312.9832, found: 312.9838.



 C_1 3o: The reaction of 1-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2o (0.3 mmol, 102 mg) with additional portion of Oxone[®] in H₂SO₄ (*scaled down to 0.3 mmol*) according to *general procedure* afforded 5,7-dichlorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium hydrogen sulfate 3o as off-white solid, 110 mg, yield: 85%. mp = 205-208°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.18 (s, 1H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 137.3, 137.1, 136.7, 133.9, 133.3, 126.8, 115.8, 99.5, 95.8. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₄Cl₂IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-HSO₄]⁺): 336.8791, found: 336.8817.

Synthesis of 5,7-dimethylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium iodide 3p and 3methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium iodide 3q.



/ $3p: Oxone^{\text{(0.325 mmol, 200 mg)}}$ was added under cooling with ice-water bath to the stirring solution of 1-(3,5-dimethylphenyl)-5-iodoimidazole 2p (0.5 mmol. 149 mg) in H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml). After the full conversion of the starting material the reaction mass was diluted with ice and water and only 1-1.5 ml of 9M NaOH was added. Then reaction mass was diluted with water to the volume of 50 ml and KI (1.5 eq., 124 mg) in 2 ml of water was added. Precipitate was filtered and washed with water and acetone to give 5,7-dimethylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium iodide 3p as yellow solid, 134 mg, yield: 63%. mp = 164-167°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 141.9 139.3, 136.1, 134.4, 132.7, 129.9, 115.9, 114.6, 93.5, 22.9, 20.7. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₁H₁₀IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-I]⁺): 296.9883, found: 296.9883.



3q: Oxone[®] (0.325 mmol, 200 mg) was added under cooling with ice-water bath to the stirring solution of 4-methyl-1-phenyl-imidazole 2q (0.5 mmol. 142 mg) in H₂SO₄ (0.8 ml). After the full conversion of the starting material the reaction mass was diluted with ice and water and only 1-1.5 ml of 9M NaOH was added. Then reaction mass was diluted with water to the volume of 50 ml and KI (1.5 eq., 124 mg) in 2 ml of water was added. Yellow precipitate was filtered and washed with water and acetone to give 3-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b][1,3]iodazol-4-ium iodide 3q as yellow solid, 195 mg, yield: 95%. mp = 126-129°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 9.02 (s, 1H), 8.49 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 – 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.50 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 138.0, 134.7, 133.6, 132.2, 131.4, 128.1, 116.8, 110.0, 97.0, 13.9. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₀H₈IN₂⁺ (m/z: [M-I]⁺): 282.9727, found: 282.9731.

Synthesis of benz[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazoles 4a-4k.

General procedure (described for 0.25 mmol scale): Reactions proceeded under argon atmosphere. Schlenk tube equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with cyclic iodonium salt 3, S_8 (0.125 mmol, 32 mg) and Cs_2CO_3 (1 mmol, 326 mg). After 3 cycles of vacuum/refill anhydrous DMSO (2.5 ml) had been added under stream of argon and the mixture was allowed to react at 100°C for 4 hours. The reaction mass was cooled to room temperature and diluted with water. Aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. Combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and solvent was removed. Crude product was purified by column chromatography (hexane:ethylacetate – 10:1 to hexane:ethylacetate – 2:1).

S N

4a: The reaction of iodonium salt *3a* (0.25 mmol, 91.5 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4a* as pale yellow solid, 33.5 mg, yield: 77%. $mp = 99-101^{\circ}C$.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.78 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.42-7.38 (m, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 132.6, 130.9, 128.2, 127.5, 126.3, 125.8, 124.9, 118.3, 113.8. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₆N₂SNa⁺ (m/z: [M+Na]⁺): 197.0149, found: 197.0145.



db: The reaction of iodonium salt *3b* (0.25 mmol, 96 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded methyl benzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole-6-carboxylate *4b* as waxy pale brown solid, 29 mg, yield: 50%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.92 (br.s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (br.s, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 165.4, 134.1, 133.6, 127.6, 127.0, 126.4, 113.8, 52.5. HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₁₁H₈N₂O₂S (m/z: [M]⁺): 232.0301, found: 232.0301.



F 4c: The reaction of iodonium salt **3***c* (0.25 mmol, 96 mg) according to **general procedure** afforded 6-fluorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole **4***c* as waxy yellow solid, 34 mg, yield: 71%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.13 (dd, J = 8.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41 – 7.36 (m, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 159.5(d, J = 240 Hz), 134.5 (d, J = 10 Hz), 127.9, 118.7, 114.8 (d, J = 10 Hz), 113.6 (d, J = 24 Hz), 111.9 (d, J = 27 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ -116.0 – -115.9 (m). HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₉H₅FN₂S (m/z: [M]⁺): 193.0230, found: 193.0230.



dl: The reaction of iodonium salt *3d* (0.25 mmol, 100 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded 6-chlorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4d* as pale yellow solid, 39 mg, yield: 63%. mp = 165-168°C (recrystallized sample).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 136.3, 132.6, 131.3, 130.1, 129.0, 127.5, 125.3, 118.8, 115.6. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅ClN₂S⁺ (m/z: [M+H]⁺): 208.9935, found: 208.9936.



Br *4e*: The reaction of iodonium salt *3e* (0.25 mmol, 111 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded 6-bromobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4e* as yellowish solid, 40 mg, yield: 63%. mp = 186-188°C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.78 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 135.0, 130.3, 129.1, 128.5, 127.7, 127.3, 118.5, 117.5, 115.3. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₄BrN₂SNa⁺ (m/z: [M+Na]⁺): 274.9249, found: 274.9248.

4f: The reaction of iodonium salt *3f* (0.25 mmol, 95 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded 6-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4f* as waxy pale yellow solid, 37 mg, yield: 79%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 8.54 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 137.8, 134.4, 130.4, 130.0, 128.5, 128.2, 125.4, 118.3, 114.2, 21.3. HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₈N₂S⁺ (m/z: [M]⁺): 188.0403, found: 188.0403.



 $\dot{B}r$ 4g: The reaction of iodonium salt 3g (0.25 mmol, 111 mg) according to general procedure afforded 7-bromobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole 4g as waxy solid, 30 mg, yield: 48%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.79 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, *J* = 8.4, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 132.2, 132.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 126.6, 118.5, 118.5, 116.9. HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₉H₅BrN₂S⁺ (m/z: [M]⁺): 251.9357, 253.9336, found: 251.9351, 253.9331.



4h: The reaction of iodonium salt *3h* (0.25 mmol, 95 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded 7-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4h* as waxy solid, 42 mg, yield: 89%. $mp = 128-130^{\circ}C$.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD- d_4) δ 8.57 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD- d_4) δ 138.1, 132.5, 131.1, 130.4, 128.4, 125.1, 118.3, 118.2, 115.1, 21.3. HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₈N₂S⁺ (m/z: [M]⁺): 188.0403, found: 188.0403.

S N

4i: The reaction of iodonium salt 3k (0.25 mmol, 95 mg) according to general procedure afforded 8-methylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4i* as waxy pale yellow solid, 26 mg, yield: 55%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.64 (s, 1H), 7.74 – 7.69 (m, 1H), 7.31 – 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 2.73 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 132.7, 130.6, 129.9, 128.2, 127.8, 125.5, 125.1, 122.2, 117.7, 19.5. HRMS (EI/Q-TOF): calcd for C₁₀H₈N₂S⁺ (m/z: [M]⁺): 188.0403, found: 188.0403.



dj: The reaction of iodonium salt *3o* (0.2 mmol, 87 mg) according to *general procedure* (*scaled down to 0.2 mmol*) afforded 5,7-dichlorobenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4j* as waxy yellow solid, 24.5 mg, yield: 50%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.38 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 132.6, 131.5, 131.3, 129.2, 128.0, 126.9, 125.0, 119.3, 113.3. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₉H₅Cl₂N₂S⁺ (m/z: [M+H]⁺): 242.9539, found: 242.9545.



4k: The reaction of iodonium salt *3p* (0.25 mmol, 106 mg) according to *general procedure* afforded 5,7-dimethylbenzo[d]imidazo[5,1-b]thiazole *4k* as waxy pale yellow solid, 36 mg, yield: 71%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 8.51 (s, 1H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄) δ 138.2, 134.8, 132.2, 130.7, 130.2, 128.8, 128.6, 118.3, 112.5, 21.3, 19.5. HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF, positive ionization): calcd for C₁₁H₁₀N₂S⁺ (m/z: [M+H]⁺): 203.0637, found: 203.0637.

Acknowledgment

The heterocyclization study was supported by Russian Science Foundation (project № 17-73-20066). YAV is kindly acknowledged the financial support from Russian Foundation of Basic Research for the development of oxidative cyclization process (project № 20-33-90007). This work was funded by Spain's MINECO (CTQ2017-86936-P), AGAUR (2017 SGR 01051), and additional support from CSIC. The authors would like to acknowledge the Multi-Access Chemical Research Center SB RAS (N.N. Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry) and the

Center for Chemical Analysis and Materials Research of Saint Petersburg State University for analytical measurements.

References

 For selected books and recent reviews, see (a) Wirth, T.; Ed. Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry [In: Top. Curr. Chem., 2016; 373], 2016. (b) Yoshimura, A.; Zhdankin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 3328-3435. (c) Yoshimura, A.; Yusubov, M. S.; Zhdankin, V. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 4771-4781. (d) Charpentier, J.; Früh, N.; Togni, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650-682. (e) Zhdankin, V. V. Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry: Preparation, Structure and Synthetic Application of Polyvalent Iodine Compounds; John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester (UK), 2014.

(2) (a) Singh, F. V.; Wirth, T. Catalytic oxidation with hypervalent iodine. *Catal. Oxidat. Organic Synthes.* **2017**, *1*, 29–62. (b) Gao, G.-L.; Chen, Q. *Curr. Organocatal.* **2017**, *4*, 33–47.

(3) (a) Li, Y.; Hari, D. P.; Vita, M. V.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4436 – 4454.
(b) Wu, Y.; Bouvet, S.; Izquierdo, S.; Shar, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2617–2621.

(4) (a) Merritt, E. A.; Olofsson, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9052–9070. (b) Yusubov, M. S.; Maskaev, A. V.; Zhdankin, V. V. *ARKIVOC* **2011**, 370–409.

(5) (a) Chatterjee, N.; Goswami, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *21*, 3023-3032. (b) Grelier, G.; Darses, B.; Dauban, P. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *14*, 1508–1528.

(6) (a) Zhu, D.; Wu, Z.; Liang, L.; Sun, Y.; Luo, B.; Huang, P.; Wen, S. *RSC Adv.* 2019, *9*, 33170 – 33179. (b) Riedmüller, S.; Nachtsheim, B. J. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2013, *9*, 1202–1209. (c) Zhu, D.; Liu, Q.; Luo, B.; Chen, M.; Pi, R.; Huang P.; Wen, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2013, *355*, 2172 – 2178. (d) Wang, M.; Fan Q.; Jiang, X. *Org. Lett.* 2018, *20*, 216-218. (e) Wu B.; Yoshikai, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, *127*, 8860-8863. (f) Shimizu, M.; Ogawa, M.; Tamagawa, T.; Shigitani, R.; Nakatani, M.; Nakano, Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 2785 – 2788. (g) Wang, M.; Wei, J.; Fan, Q.; Jiang, X. *Chem. Commun.* 2017, *53*, 2918–2921. (h) Luo, B.; Cui, Q.; Luo, H.; Hu, Y.; Huang P.; Wen, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2016, *358*, 2733 – 2738. (i) Wang, M.; Chen S.; Jiang, X. *Org. Lett.* 2017, *19*, 4916 – 4919.

(7) (a) Kina, A.; Miki, H.; Cho, Y.-H.; Hayashi, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1728 - 1732. (b) Zhu, D.; Wu, Y.; Wu, B.; Luo, B.; Ganesan, A.; Wu, F.-H.; Pi, R.; Huang P.; Wen, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2350 - 2353. (c) Liu, Z.; Luo, B.; Liu, X.; Hu, Y.; Wu, B.; Huang P.; Wen, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 1110 - 1118. (d) Wu, Y.; Wu, F.; Zhu, D.; Luo, B.; Wang, H.; Hu, Y.; Wen, S.; Huang, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 10386-10391. (e) Wu, Y.; Peng, X.; Luo, B.; Wu, F.; Liu, B.; Song, F.; Huang, P.; Wen, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 9777-9780. (f) Yang, S.; Wang, F.; Wu, Y.; Hua, W.; Zhang, F. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1491-1495. (g) Yang, S.; Hua, W.; Wu, Y.; Hu, T.; Wang, F.; Zhang, F. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 3239-3242. (h) Ye, Z.; Li, Y.; Xu, K.; Chen, N.; Zhang, F. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9869-9873.

(8) (a) Hou, M.; Deng, R.; Gu, Z. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5779 – 5783. (b) Duan, L.; Zhao, K.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, F.-L.; Gu, Z. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 9852 – 9858. (c) Zhu, K.; Xu, K.; Fang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Tang, B.; Zhang, F. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 4951–4957. (d) Zhu, K.; Wang, Y.; Fang, Q.; Song,

Z.; Zhang, F. Org. Lett. **2020**, 22, 5, 1709–1713. (e) Gu, Z.; Zhang, X.; Zhao, K.; Yu, J.; Gong, L.-Z.; Li, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2020**, 59, 19899–19904.

(9) (a) Heinen, F.; Engelage, E.; Dreger, A.; Weiss, R.; Huber, S. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3830–3833. (b) Heinen, F.; Engelage, E.; Cramer, C. J.; Huber, S. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 19, 8633–8640. (c) Yunusova, S. N.; Novikov, A. S.; Soldatova, N. S.; Vovk, M. A.; Bolotin, D. S. RSC Adv. 2021, 11, 4574-4583. (d) Heinen, F.; Reinhard, D.L.; Engelage, E.; Huber, S.M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202013172</u>. (e) Wolf, J.; Huber, F.; Erochok, N.; Heinen, F.; Guérin, V.; Legault, C. Y.; Kirsch, S. F.; Huber, S. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 16496 - 16500.

(10) Letessier, J.; Detert, H. Synth. 2012, 44, 290-296.

(11) Zhu, D.; Wu, Z.; Luo, B.; Du, Y.; Liu, P.; Chen, Y.; Hu, Y.; Huang P.; Wen, S. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 4815–4818.

(12) Wang, M.; Fan Q.; Jiang, X. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5756 - 5759.

(13) Kantarod, K.; Worakul, T.; Soorukram, D.; Kuhakarn, C.; Reutrakul, V.; Surawatanawong, P.; Wattanathana, W.; Leowanawat, P., *Org. Chem. Front.* **2021**,8, 522-530

(14) Boelke, A.; Kuczmera, T. J.; Caspers, L. D.; Lork, E.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Org. Lett. **2020**, 22, 7261–7266.

(15) Bielawski, M.; Olofsson, B. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2521 – 2523.

(16) (a) Postnikov, P. S.; Guselnikova, O. A.; Yusubov, M. S.; Yoshimura, A.; Nemykin, V. N.; Zhdankin, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5783 – 5788. (b) Yusubov, M. S.; Yusubova, R. Y.; Nemykin, V. N.; Zhdankin, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3767 – 3773. (c) Soldatova, N.; Postnikov, P.; Kukurina, O.; Zhdankin, V. V.; Yoshimura, A.; Wirth, T.; Yusubov, M. S. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2018, 14, 849–855.

(17) Wu, Y.; Izquierdo, S.; Vidossich, P.; Lledós, A.; Shafir, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 7152–7156.

(18) Kepski, K.; Rice, C. R.; Moran, W. J., Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 17, 6936–6939

(19) Banerjee, A.; Narayana, L.; Raje, F.A.; Pisal, D.V.; Kadam, P.A.; Gullapalli, S.; Kumar, H.; More, S.V.; Bajpai, M.; Sangana, R.R.; et al., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *23*, 6747–6754.

(20) (a) Wang, J.; Li, J.; Zhu, Q. Org. Lett. **2015**, 17, 5336 - 5339. (b) Yan, K.; Yang, D.; Wei, W.; Sun, P.; Lu, Y.; Wang, H. Org. Chem. Front. **2016**, 3, 556 - 560.

(21) Sheldrick, G.M., Acta Crystallogy. A. 2008, 64, 112 – 122.

(22) Bruker (2001). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA., (n.d.).