Enhancing paraoxon binding to organophosphorus hydrolase active site

Léa El Khoury¹, David L. Mobley^{1,2}, Dongmei Ye^{3*}, Susan B. Rempe^{3*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, United States ²Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, United States ³Department of Computational Biology and Biophysics, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123, United States

*For correspondence: dye@sandia.gov (DY) and slrempe@sandia.gov (SBR)

Abstract Organophosphorus (OP) compounds are among the most toxic of chemical substances and widely used as insecticides, pesticides, and chemical warfare agents. The most important enzyme inhibited by OP compounds is acetylcholinesterase (AChe). Inactivation of AChe function results in the accumulation of neurotransmitter, leading to death due to serious respiratory disorders. Organophosphorus hydrolase (OPH), also called phosphotriesterase, is a homo-dimeric metalloenzyme that can hydrolyze various OP agents in the circulatory system, resulting in products that are generally of reduced toxicity. The best OPH substrate found to date is the insecticide diethyl p-nitrophenyl phosphate (paraoxon). Most structural and kinetic studies assume that the binding orientation of paraoxon is identical to that of diethyl 4-methylbenzylphosphonate, which is the only substrate analog co-crystallized with OPH. In the current work, we used a combined docking and molecular dynamics (MD) approach to predict the likely binding mode of paraoxon in the OPH active site. We identified a potential binding mode of paraoxon that does not match the binding mode of diethyl 4-methylbenzylphosphonate. Then, we used the predicted binding mode to run MD simulations on the wild type (WT) OPH complexed with paraoxon, and OPH mutants complexed with paraoxon. Additionally, we identified 3 hot-spot residues (D253, H254, and I255) involved in the stability of the OPH active site. To further assess these predictions, we then experimentally assayed single and double mutants involving these residues (D253E, H254S, I255S, D253E-H254R and D253E-I255G) for hydrolytic activity against paraoxon. Computational structural analysis of protein-substrate dynamics shows different hydrogen bonding profiles for mutants involving D253 (D253E, D253E-H254R, and D253E-I255G) compared to WT OPH. Additionally, the binding free energy calculations and the experimental kinetics (particularly, k_{cat} and K_M) of the reactions between each OPH mutant and paraoxon show that mutated forms D253E, D253E-H254R, and D253E-I255G exhibit enhanced activity over WT OPH. Interestingly, our experimental results show that the activity of the double mutant D253E-H254R increased by 19-fold compared to WT OPH.

Introduction

Organophosphorus hydrolase (OPH) is a bacterial enzyme that can detoxify a wide range of organophosphorus (OP) agents by hydrolyzing various phosphorus-ester bonds (P-O, P-F, P-CN, and P-S). OP compounds are toxic molecules used primarily as pesticides and nerve agents. OP compounds cause severe neurotoxic effects by covalently binding to acetylcholinesterase (AChE), an enzyme that catalyzes the breakdown of neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine. Binding by OP inhibits AchE, resulting in accumulation of neurotransmitter and rapid death in insects and humans due to lost control of respiratory muscles (*Petroianu 2010*). Current medical countermeasures, including atropine and oxime-based reactivators (*Lotti 2010*) that target the down-stream pathways of OP, act through reactivation of AChE, blocking acetylcholine receptor activity or simply easing the symptoms. A direct method that can hydrolyze OP agents before they enter the central nervous system is needed. Currently, OPH is the only enzyme used in organophosphate remediation (*Cho et al. 2000*), but more investigation is needed to improve the use of OPH in medical therapy. The advantage of using OPH therapy over oxime-based treatment is rapid hydrolysis of OP agents in the circulatory system. Thus, nerve agents are eliminated before penetrating the blood-brain barrier and exerting effects in the nervous system.

While the hydrolytic products of OP breakdown by OPH are generally of reduced toxicity relative to their parent compounds (*Cho et al. 2000, Kanugula et al. 2011*), catalytic rates (k_{cat}) and substrate binding constants (K_M) are inadequate for therapy. Many traditional protein engineering studies have shown that inducing mutations in or near the active site residues can increase the substrate specificity (k_{cat}/K_M) by increasing the catalytic activity of the enzyme (*Di Sioudi et al. 1999*). Those efforts, however, resulted in OPH variants with reduced effectiveness for hydrolysis of multiple nerve agents. In particular, the wild-type OPH and variants currently available demonstrate poor substrate binding affinity (K_M). Poor binding affinity means that the substrate concentration required for enzymatic conversion exceeds the nerve agent lethal dose. Therefore, an important topic of research is understanding how the structure of OPH correlates with substrate binding.

One hypothesis aimed at improving K_M is that stabilization of substrate binding in the OPH active site could increase the probability of transition state formation for OP conversion. To test this hypothesis, we augmented traditional protein approaches with computational approaches. Using molecular dynamics simulations, we analyzed the wild-type (WT) OPH active site. The analysis led to identification of amino acid residues that stabilize the OPH active site. Experiments tested the molecular dynamics predictions.

A challenge in analyzing the OPH active site is that the native substrate remains unknown, and

only structures with substrate analogs exist. While paraoxon (diethyl p-nitrophenyl phosphate) is considered a near-native substrate of OPH (*Ghanem and Raushel 2005*; *Wong and Gao 2007*; *Aubert et al. 2004*), it is not possible to obtain a co-crystal structure of the WT-OPH with the bound paraoxon because of the likelihood of hydrolysis. A co-crystal structure of OPH with diethyl 4-methylbenzylphosphonate (DMBP), which is a substrate analog sharing a high similarity with paraoxon, is available (*Vanhooke et al. 1996*). Consequently, most of the researchers used the binding mode of DMBP as a starting structure in their studies in order to model paraoxon (*Koča et al. 2001*; *Wong and Gao 2007*; *Gomes et al. 2011*). In the absence of a bound structure, however, this is not certain. Thus, careful study of the paraoxon binding mode and paraoxon's potential interactions within the OPH binding site is needed.

Before performing mutagenesis on the OPH bound to paraoxon, we used docking and ligand-based methods, combined with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, to model possible binding modes of paraoxon in the OPH binding site. Overall, we found a stable binding pose of paraoxon, which differs from the native binding mode of the substrate analog. Moreover, using the predicted binding mode, we performed MD simulations on different OPH mutants and found three different residues, D253, H254, and I255, involved in the stability of the catalytic site. Here, we report a detailed computational structural analysis on five mutant complexes including these residues (D253E, D253E-H254R, D253E-I255G, H254S, and I255S).

Additionally, we tested the accuracy of our predictions using an experimental mutagenesis approach. When expressed experimentally, all designed single and double mutations (H254S, I255S, D253E, D253-H254R, and D253E-H254R) gave improved rates of substrate degradation. Our kinetics results showed, on the one hand, an increased activity of 19-, 10-, 5.2-, and 4.8- folds to D253E-H254R, D253E, H254S, D253E-I255G, respectively. On the other hand, the designed single mutation I255S presented a poor increase of activity (1.6 fold) compared to the WT-OPH.

Methods

A) Computational methods

Protein preparation.

For the computational part of this work, we used chain A of OPH from the PDB crystal structure 1HZY (*Benning et al. 2001*). Chain A contains 330 amino acid residues and two Zn(II) in the active site. The first Zn(II) is coordinated by His 201, His 230, and two water molecules, while the second Zn(II) is coordinated by His 55, His 57, Asp 301, and a water molecule (Figure 1). Also, we removed the carboxylic acid group originally added on the Lys 169 and treated residue 169 as a regular lysine. Based on the experimental conditions, we used the H++ server (http://biophysics.cs.vt.edu/H++) (*Anandakrishnan et al. 2012, Myers et al. 2006, Gordon et al. 2005*) to protonate the protein at pH 9. The resulting protein structure was used to prepare the different OPH mutants examined here – D253E, D253E-H254R, D253E-I255G,

H254S, and I255S – using Chimera (University of California, San Francisco) (*Pettersen et al. 2004*). Then, we used the MCPB.py program developed by Li and Merz (*Li and Merz 2016*) to determine the parameters of the OPH metal binding site. Merz-Kollman charges were obtained using Gaussian09 and the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.



Figure 1. The OPH active site, highlighting the amino acid residues that coordinate the two Zn(II). Other important residues involved in the catalytic activity of the enzyme are Trp 131 and Lys 169. The PDB used to produce this figure is 1HZY. The Zn(II) ions and the water molecules are shown in purple and red spheres, respectively.

Docking.

Starting from the SMILES string, we generated 1000 conformations of paraoxon using OMEGA 3.0.8 (OpenEye Scientific Software) (*Hawkins et al. 2010*). Since most previous structural studies assume that the binding orientation of paraoxon is similar to that of the substrate analog (*Koča et al. 2001*; *Wong and Gao 2007*; *Gomes et al. 2011*), 4-methylbenzylphosphonate, we chose to perform the dock-ing using three different tools from the OpenEye toolkits to evaluate whether these two compounds share a similar binding mode. These docking tools are: POSIT, HYBRID, and FRED. POSIT matches the 3D shape of a given reference ligand (*Kelley et al. 2015*) and suggests a single docked pose that has a similar binding orientation as a reference ligand. HYBRID uses a reference crystallographic ligand to

guide the docking process. Similar to POSIT, HYBRID uses a ligand-based scoring function that scores similar shape and 3D alignment between the docked ligand and the reference crystallographic structure. However, unlike POSIT, HYBRID uses the conformers of the ligand pre-generated with OMEGA and places them in several orientations in the protein active site. FRED doesn't use a reference ligand and outputs docked poses that complement the active site (*McGann 2012*). The analog substrate structure, 4-methylbenzylphosphonate, was used as a reference ligand with POSIT and HYBRID. By using POSIT, we aimed to reproduce the binding orientation of 4-methylbenzylphosphonate and evaluate whether this is the correct binding mode of paraoxon, as described in previous studies. HYBRID was used to dock paraoxon with respect to the position of 4-methylbenzylphosphonate in the OPH binding site to suggest binding modes of paraoxon that are close to the binding mode of 4-methylbenzylphosphonate. Finally, FRED was used to test whether we can predict a stable binding mode of paraoxon independently of that of 4-methylbenzylphosphonate.

We generated fifty different docked poses using HYBRID with a resolution of 1 Å, then we visually inspected them using VIDA version 4.3.0 (OpenEye Inc.) to select the most dissimilar poses, with the goal of ensuring broad coverage of possible binding modes but allowing small variations in pose to be explored by subsequent simulations. We selected eleven different docked poses with respect to the position of the substrate analog in the binding site. Additionally, FRED was used to generate fifty different docked poses of paraoxon in the OPH binding site, with a docking resolution of 1 Å. Then, we performed root mean squared deviation (RMSD) clustering to choose the most dissimilar poses among the proposed fifty, within a cutoff of 2 Å. Consequently, two docked poses were selected in this step (Figure 2).

MD simulations.

MD simulations on each selected docked pose in complex with OPH were carried out using the OpenMM simulation package 7.1.1 (*Eastman et al. 2017*). We assigned partial charges to the substrate (paraoxon) atoms using the AM1-BCC charge model (*Jakalian et al. 2002*). We used tleap, a tool from the Amber16 package, to generate the water box and parameters. The protein and substrate were parameterized using Amberff14SB and GAFF version 1.8 force fields, respectively. The protein-substrate complex was then solvated using tleap with TIP3P water model in a cubic box with a 10 Å padding. Na⁺ and Cl⁻ counter ions were added to neutralize the system. We used the hydrogen mass repartitioning (HMR) approach (*Feenstra et al. 1999; Hopkins et al. 2015*) with a simulation time step of 4 fs. Long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the particle mesh Ewald method (*Darden et al. 1993*) with a cutoff of 10 Å for the real space electrostatics and Lennard-Jones forces. We minimized the water and ions for 4000 steps, while keeping the protein and the substrate restrained using 500 kcal/mol-Å² positional restraints. Then, we performed a second minimization step on the water and ions for 4000 steps, with the protein and the substrate fixed using 50 kcal/mol-Å² positional restraints. Next, we heated up the system from 10 K to 300 K in an NVT ensemble for 200 ps, while gradually releasing the restraints



Figure 2. A schematic description of our docking protocol involving three different docking tools (POSIT, HYBRID, and FRED) from OpenEye Toolkits used to dock paraoxon in the active site of OPH.

on the protein-substrate complex. Also, we kept the positional restraints on the Zn(II) ions belonging to the active site of OPH during the minimization, equilibration and production steps. The temperature was controlled in the NVT simulations through Langevin dynamics with a collision frequency of 1 ps⁻¹.

For the selected poses generated using POSIT (one pose), HYBRID (11 poses), and FRED (2 poses), the production run was done in an NPT ensemble for 15 ns, with the first 5 ns discarded as equilibration. Next, we evaluated the stability of the docking poses after MD and selected the most stable one. Specifically, we assessed the stability of the docking poses in the binding site by calculating the RMSD of each pose at the end of 10 ns of MD simulations with respect to the initial pose before MD (Figure 2). After this step, we extended the MD simulations of WT OPH complexed to the selected binding pose and ran additional MD simulations on the different OPH mutants in complex with the selected binding pose. For these simulations, the production run in the NPT ensemble was done for 105 ns, with the first 5 ns discarded as equilibration.

Absolute binding free energy calculations.

We performed binding free energy calculations using the alchemical approach, as implemented in YANK 0.17.0 (http://getyank.org/0.17.0), which is a framework for free energy calculations based on Hamiltonian replica exchange molecular dynamics simulations. After testing different protocols containing manually selected lambda values defining the thermodynamic cycle, we decided to use the 'auto' option provided by YANK to automatically generate an optimized set of lambda values for the WT OPH complex. Then, we used the same protocol to run binding free energy calculations on the mutant forms of OPH. For the complex phase, 43 lambda values were defined for the electrostatic and steric terms and the default value of 1.0 was used for lambda restraints in every thermodynamic state. For the solvent phase, 6 lambda values were specified for the electrostatic and steric contributions. We ran the simulations in an implicit/continuum solvent, namely Onufriev-Bashford-Case (OBC2) GBSA model (*Onufriev et al. 2004*). All particle interactions were computed without any cutoff or periodic copes. We applied harmonic restraints (*Axelsen and Li 1998*) to keep the substrate near its binding site within the WT and mutant forms of OPH. These restraints were constant throughout the thermodynamic states.

The preparation of the OPH systems, which are WT OPH complexed to paraoxon and the five different mutants of OPH complexed each to paraoxon was done as described in the previous section: using AMBER ff14SB for the receptors and GAFF force fields for the substrate. Using YANK, each receptor was complexed with paraoxon and the respective receptor-substrate complex was solvated with OBC2-GBSA model. For the solvent phase, the files of the solvated paraoxon were generated through YANK. We ran 30 ns/lambda value for each of the described complexes.

B) Experimental methods

Cloning of WT OPH and mutants into pET-24b(+).

The sequence containing the wild type (WT) *Pseudomonas diminuta* OPH gene was synthesized by Gen-Script (Piscataway, NJ), and cloned into a pET24b(+) vector using Ndel/Xhol sites. The C-terminal stop codon was removed, and the His-tag sequence on the vector was used to express His-tagged OPH. Mutations of D253E, D253E-H254R, D253E-I255G, H254S and I255S in the pET24b(+) vector were also generated by GenScript.

WT and mutant OPH expression and purification.

The plasmid of WT or mutant OPH was transformed into the *E. coli* strain BL21. The cells were grown in 250 ml standard lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C and optical density (OD) = 0.4, then induced by 1 mM Isopropyl -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16 °C overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4500 g for 15 min and resuspended in 5 ml of Ni-NTA lysis buffer: 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole and 0.1 M pepstatin at a pH of 7.4. The cells were lysed using Microfluidizer (Microfluidics, Westwood, MA) and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 30 min. The collected supernatant was incubated with 2 ml of Ni-NTA resin under end-to-end shaking and loaded on a 10 mL HisTrap FF column. After washing with buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, the proteins were eluted with buffer containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole.

Determination of OPH mutant concentration.

Series dilutions of purified recombinant OPH were used as standards. Expressed OPH mutants and standards were loaded onto an SDS gel, and OPH mutant concentrations were determined by densitometry using a Bio-Rad Gel Documentation system (Hercules, CA).

Spectrophotometric assay of OPH activity.

Using a BioTek plate reader (Winooski, VT), kinetic assays were performed by measuring the absorbance of the paraoxon leaving group at 405 nm over 10 min of reaction time. Various amounts of paraoxon (final concentration range: 0.001 - 3.0 mM) were added to 193.7 L of buffer containing 100 mM CHES and 75 M of Zn(OAc)₂, pH = 9.0, reactions were started by adding 2 L of WT or mutant OPH. The changes of absorbance at 405 nm over time were used to calculate initial velocities as a function of the various substrate concentrations. Then the initial velocity data, along with corresponding paraoxon concentrations, were plotted and analyzed by the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain V_{max} and K_M using GraphPad (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA; *Swift 1997*). The catalytic rate (k_{cat}) was calculated by the ratio of maximum velocity (V_{max}) and mutant concentration. Each kinetic measurement condition was performed in triplicate and standard deviation was calculated using GraphPad.

Results

Despite several engineered variants, applications of OPH suffer from the enzyme's low substrate binding efficiency, hindering its action as an OP scavenger. Here, we focused on designing OPH mutants that yield stronger substrate binding while maintaining catalytic effectiveness. Many previous studies have been done to improve OPH substrate binding through rational design or direct evolution (*Di Sioudi et al. 1999; Lai et al. 1994; Cherny et al. 2013*). None of the resulting designed variants, which mainly targeted active-site modifications, improved binding properties to nerve agents sufficiently to prevent lethality. In the current work, we targeted residues surrounding the OPH active site. The selected residues do not coordinate the Zn(II) cations in the active site, and are not directly involved in the hydrolysis reaction. We hypothesized that the alterations of these residues would stabilize the OPH active site by increasing the hydrogen bonding associated with the side chains of the active site residues, thus leading to a more stable substrate binding. In contrast with previously designed OPH variants focused on modification of the active site residues to increase the catalytic activity of OPH, our current findings suggest that mutations near the enzyme active site can enhance the hydrolytic activity against paraoxon.

Paraoxon binding mode.

Prior to mutagenesis of the OPH residues, we wanted to predict the correct binding mode of paraoxon

in the OPH active site. As described in the Methods section, we performed docking using three pieces of docking software and selected several representative docking poses. Then, we ran short MD simulations on the selected poses to assess their stability in the OPH active site. For this purpose, we calculated the RMSD of the poses at the end of the 15 ns long MD trajectories with respect to the starting poses before MD, and found that the pose with the lowest RMSD value (1.77 Å) was generated by HYBRID (Figure 3A). Interestingly, we found that the docking pose generated using POSIT, which best overlays the structure of diethyl 4-methylbenzylphosphonate, is not stable and scores an RMSD of 6.6 Å (Figure 3B). Essentially, while the ligand can *dock* in an overlapping pose, our simulations indicate it is not stable in that binding mode and that other binding modes are more favorable/stable. In contrast to previous assumptions, this finding suggests that the binding mode of paraoxon is different than that of 4-methylbenzylphosphonate.



Figure 3. Superimposition of the docking poses before (in green) and after (in blue) MD simulations within the OPH catalytic site. To evaluate the stability of our docking poses during MD simulations, we calculated the RMSD of each pose at the end of the MD trajectories (15 ns) relative to the starting pose. Here, we report in: A) the most stable binding pose with the lowest RMSD value of 1.77 Å; this pose was generated using HYBRID, and B) the docking pose that reproduces the binding mode of the substrate analog; it was generated using POSIT. Contrary to our expectations, we found that this binding mode is not stable (RMSD of 6.6 Å), highlighting that the binding mode of the substrate analog does not reflect the binding mode of paraoxon.

The single mutant D253E.

In wild type OPH, the carboxylate group of Asp 253 forms a hydrogen bond with the imidazole of His 230 (Figure 4A). This hydrogen bond orients His 230 for an optimal coordination of one of the Zn(II) in the active site. We computationally mutated the aspartate residue at position 253 to a glutamate to



Figure 4. The binding site of OPH. A) Figure showing the hydrogen bond between His 230 and Asp 253 and the stacking between His 230 and His 254. B) Figure showing the hydrogen bonds that Glu 253 establishes with His 55 and His 230. The Zn(II) ions and the water molecules are represented in purple and red spheres, respectively. Only the hydrogen atoms involved in the highlighted interactions are shown.

create two hydrogen bonds with two residues in the active site (His 230 and His 55) (Figure 4B), instead of the single hydrogen bond in the WT OPH structure.

Then, we performed MD simulations on the WT-OPH complexed with paraoxon and the D253E mutant complexed with paraoxon to evaluate whether the substitution of the aspartate's side chain by a longer side chain would have an impact on the number and the stability of the interactions between the OPH active site residues. A structural analysis of our MD simulations showed that, in the mutant form D253E, the active site residues establish four additional stable hydrogen bonds compared to the WT OPH. As shown on Figure S1, and based on the distance between atoms that could form potential hydrogen bonds during MD simulations, we concluded that four interactions are more stable during the simulations of the D253E mutant complex. These interactions occur between: i) Thr 54 and His 55, ii) His 57 and Trp 302, iii) Thr 200 and His 201, and iv) Leu 271 and His 254. His 55, His 57, and His 201 take part in the Zn(II) ligation while His 254 is not involved in the Zn(II) coordination. However, His 254 plays a role in the stability of the OPH active site by stacking with His 230, which guides and stabilizes the Zn(II)-His 230 interaction.

The double mutant D253E-H254R.

After identifying D253 as a hot-spot residue, we designed the double mutant D253E-H254R and tested its effect on interactions in the OPH active site using MD simulations. We chose to mutate position 254

since His 254 influences catalysis by interacting with active site residues, particularly His 230 (Figure 4A). Through comparing the donor-acceptor distances in the MD trajectory of the WT OPH to the donor-acceptor distances in the MD trajectory of the mutant form, we found that D253E-H254R induces three additional hydrogen bonds in the OPH active site. Out of these hydrogen bonds, two involve the side chain of Arg 254 (Figure S2 A, and B), which interacts with Leu 271, and His 257. The remaining hydrogen bond mediated by the double mutant occurs between Lys 169 and Asp 100 and appears after 40 ns of MD (Figure S2 C).

The double mutant D253E-I255G.

The role of Ile 255 in the OPH active site is not described in the literature, to our knowledge. To test whether a subtle change near the important active site residues would affect the stability of the interactions and the flexibility of the substrate binding pocket, we coupled the mutation D253E with a second mutation at position 255. Then, we investigated whether the double mutation disrupted the coupled interactions observed in the OPH active site. Our MD simulations performed on the D253E-I255G mutant in complex with paraoxon showed the same hydrogen bonding profile observed during the simulations of WT OPH. One additional hydrogen bond between Asp 100 and His 257 was found in the trajectory of the D253E-I255G mutant form (Figure S3). This finding suggests that position 255 has an indirect role in stabilizing interactions in the OPH active site.

The single mutants H254S and I255S.

Since the double mutants D253E-H254R and D253E-I255G induced changes in the interactions near the OPH active site and the single mutant D253E expanded the interaction network within the binding site, we decided to explore whether positions 254 and 255 are also important for the stability of the binding site. Thus, we designed two single mutants (H254S and I255S), where we changed the properties of the basic residue at position 254 and the non-polar residue at position 255, by replacing each of them with an apolar amino acid: Serine. Next, we performed MD simulations on the two complexes: OPH:H254S and OPH:I255S. We inspected the residues' interactions in and near the binding site during the MD simulations and compared the hydrogen bonds found to those identified during the MD simulations on the WT complex.

For OPH:H254S, the same interactions were observed compared to WT:OPH. Only one hydrogen bond, detected between the mutated residue H254S and a water molecule in the binding site, appears after 60 ns of MD simulations on the mutant complex (Figure S4). It is worth noting that this water molecule is one of the two crystal water molecules that are involved in the coordination of one of the Zn(II) ions in the active site. This result suggests that the induced mutation, H254S, contributes to the stabilization of the Zn(II) coordination in the active site, and could perhaps improve hydrolytic activity against paraoxon.

For OPH:1255S, the interactions established in and near the binding site are similar to those identified during the simulations of the WT complex. One stable hydrogen bond was induced by the mutation and formed between the mutated residue Ser 255 and Ser 299 (Figure S5). To our knowledge, S299 is not directly involved in the binding site activity, suggesting that the S255 mutation would not affect the stability of the active site and the catclytic activity.

Absolute binding free energy calculations on WT OPH and OPH mutants.

To obtain more detailed information about the affinity of paraoxon towards WT OPH and OPH mutant forms, we performed absolute binding free energy calculations in implicit solvent on these different complexes. Although binding free energy calculations in implicit solvent may not be as accurate as those in explicit solvent, we wanted to test the accuracy of this approach on our studied protein target and to assess the potential strength of OPH and OPH-mutants interactions with paraoxon within the limitations of the method.

Overall, the binding free energies appear sufficiently converged with reasonable uncertainties (Figure S6 and Table 1). Three designed mutants show more favorable binding free energies to WT OPH with an improvement of 0.63 kcal/mol, 0.26 kcal/mol, and 1.63 kcal/mol for D253E, D253E-H254R, and D253E-I255G, respectively. These findings confirm that the single and the double mutations we introduced here enhance the stability of the substrate in the OPH active site.

Some of our other calculations, however, show that the single mutants (H254S and I255S) we designed to alter the property of the side chains at positions 254 and 255 failed to improve the binding of paraoxon to the protein. The calculated binding free energies of the mutants I255S (-20.44 kcal/mol) and H254S (-17.61 kcal/mol) are less favorable than that of the WT complex (-20.70 kcal/mol).

Table 1. Absolute binding free energy calculations of WT OPH and the different OPH mutants. The binding free energies were computed in implicit solvent after 30ns/thermodynamic state using a thermodynamic cycle of 43 states. Binding free energies (ΔG) and associated uncertainties were calculated using the Multistate Bennett Acceptance Ratio implemented in PyMBAR.

OPH form	ΔG (kcal/mol)	
WT	-20.70 ± 0.056	
D253E	-21.33 ± 0.068	
D253E-H254R	-20.96 ± 0.090	
D253E-I255G	-22.33 ± 0.072	
H254S	-17.61 ± 0.048	
I255S	-20.44 ± 0.11	

Spectrophotometric assay of WT and mutant OPH activity.

To evaluate the accuracy of our computational analysis, we experimentally tested the predicted mutations D253E, D253E-H254R, D253E-I255G, H254S, and I255S for catalysis of P-O bond cleavage of paraoxon. Using a continuous spectrophotometric assay, k_{cat} and K_m values were measured and compared to WT OPH (Table 2).

Notably, we found that the double mutant D253E-H254R exhibits a 19-fold increase in activity over WT. Also, D253E and D253E-I255G enhanced the enzyme activity by 10- and 4.8-fold, respectively. In contrast, I255S did not improve the enzymatic hydrolysis of paraoxon significantly. These results exhibit a similar trend to the computational findings and suggest that hydrogen bonding within the OPH active site is important for the function of the protein and the hydrolysis reaction.

For H254S, our kinetic measurements show an improvement in activity by 5.2 fold compared to the WT form. This enhancement was not captured in our binding free energy predictions and might be due to the limitations of the computational method. One major limitation is the use of implicit solvent since the water structure could affect changes in protein-ligand complexes. On the other hand, our MD simulations performed in explicit solvent align with the experimental results, supporting our speculations regarding the solvent. MD simulations show that H254S induces a hydrogen bond that stabilizes one of the Zn(II) coordinators, which could explain the enhanced catalytic activity of the enzyme. An additional limitation, of course, is that we are experimentally measuring activity, which is a function of more than just the binding free energy.

OPH form	$k_{cat} (s^{-1})$	<i>K_M</i> (mM)	<i>K_M</i> fold improvement
WT	25750.0 ± 2060.6	0.0835 ± 0.0186	-
D253E	128.0 ± 0.4	0.0083 ± 0.0012	10.0
D253E-H254R	346.4 ± 17.1	0.0044 ± 0.0010	19.0
D253E-1255G	46.5 ± 3.1	0.0172 ±0.0046	4.8
H254S	749.6 ± 41.9	0.0160 ± 0.0043	5.2
I255S	2.4 ± 0.1	0.0510 ± 0.0079	1.6

Table 2. Kinetic measurements of WT OPH and the different OPH mutants.

Conclusions

Organophosphorus hydrolase is a bacterial enzyme that can detoxify a wide range of OP nerve agents. Despite thousands of engineered variants, OPH suffers from a low catalytic efficiency, hindering its action as an OP scavenger. Alterations of the OPH active site residues have enhanced the specificity of native OPH. Here, we focused on testing amino acid substitutions on residues near the enzyme active site to assess how these modulate binding and activity. We computationally investigated single and double mutants to examine the effect of these mutations on the strong interactions occurring in and near the catalytic site. According to the modeling data, additional hydrogen bonds appear in the MD trajectories of the modified OPH enzymes. Hence, changes in the hydrogen bond network surrounding the active site may be important for the function of OPH and must be considered in the design of OPH variants. Strikingly, experimental measurements of kinetics showed that the predicted mutations improved the enzyme activity compared to WT OPH substantially, thus confirming the computational predictions.

This work provides new insights guiding the protein design of organophosphorus hydrolase. Through coupling kinetic measurements and molecular modeling, we found hotspot residues that enhance the stability of paraoxon in the binding site and produced OPH mutants exhibiting better paraoxon binding and catalytic properties. Two of the engineered mutants, D253E-H254R and D253E, enhanced the substrate binding and the catalytic activity of the enzyme significantly. Additionally, we have identified a stable binding mode of paraoxon that may help other researchers interested in modeling OPH-substrate interactions. We expect that our structural findings highlighting the importance of the residues surrounding the active site of OPH and their strong interactions will assist future research. Combined with work on other important and extensively studied active site residues, these results could help further improve the catalytic function of OPH and its variants.

Abbreviations

AChe Acetylcholinesterase
DMBP Diethyl4-methylbenzylphosphonate
MD Molecular Dynamics
OP Organophosphorus
OPH Organophosphorus hydrolase
WT Wild type

Acknowledgements

We appreciate NVIDIA Corporation for the donation of hardware on which part of this work was conducted. We acknowledge OpenEye Scientific Software for licensing the pieces of software used in this work. Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) is a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. This work was supported by Sandia's LDRD program. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies (CINT), an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. DOE's Office of Science by Los Alamos National Laboratory (Contract DE-AC52-06NA25296) and SNL. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government. DLM also appreciates the financial support of the National Institutes of Health (R01GM108889).

Supplementary Materials

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on https://github.com/MobleyLab/ OrganophosphateHydrolase and includes the scripts and input files used for the computational part of this work.

References

- Anandakrishnan, R., Aguilar, B., and Onufriev, A. V. (2012). H++ 3.0: automating pk prediction and the preparation of biomolecular structures for atomistic molecular modeling and simulations. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(W1):W537–W541.
- Aubert, S. D., Li, Y., and Raushel, F. M. (2004). Mechanism for the hydrolysis of organophosphates by the bacterial phosphotriesterase. *Biochemistry*, 43(19):5707–5715. PMID: 15134445.
- Axelsen, P. H. and Li, D. (1998). Improved convergence in dual-topology free energy calculations through use of harmonic restraints. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 19(11):1278–1283.
- Benning, M. M., Shim, H., Raushel, F. M., and Holden, H. M. (2001). High resolution x-ray structures of different metal-substituted forms of phosphotriesterase from pseudomonas diminuta,. *Biochemistry*, 40(9):2712–2722. PMID: 11258882.
- Cherny, I., Greisen Jr, P., Ashani, Y., Khare, S. D., Oberdorfer, G., Leader, H., Baker, D., and Tawfik, D. S. (2013). Engineering v-type nerve agents detoxifying enzymes using computationally focused libraries. *ACS chemical biology*, 8(11):2394–2403.
- Cho, T.-H., Wild, J. R., and Donnelly, K. C. (2000). Utility of organophosphorus hydrolase for the remediation of mutagenicity of methyl parathion. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 19(8):2022–2028.
- Darden, T., York, D., and Pedersen, L. (1993). Particle mesh ewald: An n log (n) method for ewald sums in large systems. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 98(12):10089–10092.
- Di Sioudi, B. D., Miller, C. E., Lai, K., Grimsley, J. K., and Wild, J. R. (1999). Rational design of organophosphorus hydrolase for altered substrate specificities. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 119-120:211 223.
- Eastman, P., Swails, J., Chodera, J. D., McGibbon, R. T., Zhao, Y., Beauchamp, K. A., Wang, L.-P., Simmonett, A. C., Harrigan, M. P., Stern, C. D., et al. (2017). Openmm 7: Rapid development of high performance algorithms for molecular dynamics. *PLoS computational biology*, 13(7):e1005659.

- Feenstra, K. A., Hess, B., and Berendsen, H. J. C. (1999). Improving efficiency of large time-scale molecular dynamics simulations of hydrogen-rich systems. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 20(8):786–798.
- Ghanem, E. and Raushel, F. M. (2005). Detoxification of organophosphate nerve agents by bacterial phosphotriesterase. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 207(2, Supplement):459 – 470. Living in a Safe Chemical World.
 Proceedings of the 10th International Congress of Toxicology 11-15 July, 2004, Tampere, Finland.
- Gomes, D. E. B., Lins, R. D., Pascutti, P. G., Lei, C., and Soares, T. A. (2011). Conformational variability of organophosphorus hydrolase upon soman and paraoxon binding. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 115(51):15389–15398. PMID: 22098575.
- Gordon, J. C., Myers, J. B., Folta, T., Shoja, V., Heath, L. S., and Onufriev, A. (2005). H++: a server for estimating p Ka s and adding missing hydrogens to macromolecules . *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(suppl₂) : *W*368 *W*371.
- Hawkins, P. C. D., Skillman, A. G., Warren, G. L., Ellingson, B. A., and Stahl, M. T. (2010). Conformer generation with omega: Algorithm and validation using high quality structures from the protein databank and cambridge structural database. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 50(4):572–584. PMID: 20235588.
- Hopkins, C. W., Le Grand, S., Walker, R. C., and Roitberg, A. E. (2015). Long-time-step molecular dynamics through hydrogen mass repartitioning. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 11(4):1864–1874. PMID: 26574392.
- Jakalian, A., Jack, D. B., and Bayly, C. I. (2002). Fast, efficient generation of high-quality atomic charges. am1-bcc model: li. parameterization and validation. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 23(16):1623–1641.
- Kanugula, A. K., Repalle, E. R., Pandey, J. P., Sripad, G., Mitra, C. K., Dubey, D. K., and Siddavattam, D. (2011). Immobilization of organophosphate hydrolase on biocompatible gelatin pads and its use in removal of organophosphate compounds and nerve agents.
- Kelley, B. P., Brown, S. P., Warren, G. L., and Muchmore, S. W. (2015). Posit: Flexible shape-guided docking for pose prediction. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 55(8):1771–1780.
- Koča, J., Zhan, C.-G., Rittenhouse, R. C., and Ornstein, R. L. (2001). Mobility of the active site bound paraoxon and sarin in zinc-phosphotriesterase by molecular dynamics simulation and quantum chemical calculation. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 123(5):817–826.
- Lai, K., Dave, K. I., and Wild, J. R. (1994). Bimetallic binding motifs in organophosphorus hydrolase are important for catalysis and structural organization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 269(24):16579–16584.
- Li, P. and Merz, K. M. (2016). Mcpb.py: A python based metal center parameter builder. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 56(4):599–604. PMID: 26913476.
- Lotti, M. (2010). Chapter 72 clinical toxicology of anticholinesterase agents in humans. pages 1543 1589.
- McGann, M. (2012). Fred and hybrid docking performance on standardized datasets. *Journal of computer-aided molecular design*, 26(8):897–906.
- Myers, J., Grothaus, G., Narayanan, S., and Onufriev, A. (2006). A simple clustering algorithm can be accurate enough for use in calculations of pks in macromolecules. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, 63(4):928–938.

- Onufriev, A., Bashford, D., and Case, D. A. (2004). Exploring protein native states and large-scale conformational changes with a modified generalized born model. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, 55(2):383–394.
- Petroianu, G. A. (2010). History of organophosphate synthesis: the very early days. *Die Pharmazie An International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 65(4).
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., and Ferrin, T. E. (2004). Ucsf chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 25(13):1605–1612.
- Swift, M. L. (1997). Graphpad prism, data analysis, and scientific graphing. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences*, 37(2):411–412.
- Vanhooke, J. L., Benning, M. M., Raushel, F. M., and Holden, H. M. (1996). Three-dimensional structure of the zinccontaining phosphotriesterase with the bound substrate analog diethyl 4-methylbenzylphosphonate,. *Biochemistry*, 35(19):6020–6025.
- Wong, K.-Y. and Gao, J. (2007). The reaction mechanism of paraoxon hydrolysis by phosphotriesterase from combined qm/mm simulations. *Biochemistry*, 46(46):13352–13369. PMID: 17966992.