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The polarizable CL&Pol force field presented in our previous study, Transferable, Polarizable Force Field for Ionic Liquids (J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2019, 15, 5858, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00689), is extended to electrolytes, protic ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents, and glycols. These systems are problematic in polarizable simulations because they contain either small, highly charged ions or strong hydrogen bonds, which cause trajectory instabilities due to the pull exerted on the induced dipoles. We use a Tang-Toennies function to dampen, or smear, the interactions between charges and induced dipole at short range involving small, highly charged atoms (such as hydrogen or lithium), thus preventing the “polarization catastrophe”. The new force field gives stable trajectories and is validated through comparison with experimental data on density, viscosity, and ion diffusion coefficients of liquid systems of the above-mentioned classes. The results also shed light on the hydrogen-bonding pattern in ethylammonium nitrate, a protic ionic liquid, for which the literature contains conflicting views. We describe the implementation of the Tang-Toennies damping function, of the temperature-grouped Nosé-Hoover thermostat for polarizable molecular dynamics and of the periodic perturbation method for viscosity evaluation from non-equilibrium trajectories in the LAMMPS molecular dynamics code. The main result of this work is the wider applicability of the CL&Pol polarizable force field to new, important classes of fluids, achieving robust trajectories and a good description of equilibrium and transport properties in challenging systems. The fragment-based approach of CL&Pol will allow ready extension to a wide variety of protic ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents and electrolytes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular force fields that include polarization explicitly bring significant improvements to the representation structure, energetics and dynamics of molecular and ionic liquids. In some systems, however, strong electrostatic interactions may cause instability problems in the induced dipoles that represent induction (or polarization) effects, resulting in a so-called “polarization catastrophe”. Examples of problematic systems include electrolytes containing small ions such as Li$^+$, protic ionic liquids (PIL) such as ethylammonium nitrate (EAN), deep eutectic solvents (DES) that have strong hydrogen bonds between a H-bond donor and an organic salt, and also glycols, which contain strong, persistent intramolecular H-bonds.

Each of these classes of systems is interesting in its own right for fundamental and applied research. Protic ionic liquids are promising for energy storage and catalytic organic synthesis, exploiting the proton transfer and the solvation properties of ionic media. Ethylammonium nitrate, one of the prominent PIL, has a 3D hydrogen-bond network similar to water, and is actively studied since its micro-structure is still object of debate. Deep eutectic solvents, unlike ionic liquids, are not completely ionic, since they are formed by a salt mixed with a molecular compound, namely a hydrogen-bond donor: urea, glycol or carboxylic acid being among the most common examples. By changing the the ratio between the components or substitution of one molecular compound by another, the properties of these systems can be easily tuned, broadening their applications in electrodeposition of metals, biomass transformation, gas capture and organic synthesis. Solutions of alkali metal cations (lithium, sodium) in ionic liquids make up safe, non-flammable electrolytes that offer new perspectives for designing batteries of increased their capacity and charge-discharge timescales.

Several techniques can be used to incorporate polarization explicitly in force fields, such as point induced dipoles or Drude induced dipoles, as well as fluctuating charges, but all may suffer from instability issues, especially in systems with strong electrostatic interactions, so building a robust polarizable force field is challenging.

Here we extend the applicability of our force polarizable force field for ionic liquids, CL&Pol, which can describe a wide variety of aprotic ionic liquids and their mixtures with molecular compounds, and include polarization via Drude induced dipoles placed on atomic sites. These Drude induced dipoles are formed by a pair of partial charges of opposite sign, the Drude core (DC, usually positive) and the Drude particle (DP, usually negative), bound by a harmonic spring. The equilibrium elongation of the spring is zero (each DC does not interact with its own DP) so the induced dipole moment is zero in the absence of an external electric field, but reacts to the electrostatic environment. The values of the partial charge and spring force constant are determined by the atomic polarizability of the atom, so these parameters have physical meaning. Drude induced dipoles have been
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used successfully in polarizable force fields. One important aspect of the CL&Pol force field is the fragment-based transferability inherited from the non-polarizable CL&P force field that allows the model to describe broad families of cation and anion with different functional groups, represented by smaller building blocks, or “fragments”, and no specific parameterization is required for each individual compound. Of course, parameters for new fragments have to be calculated following a general procedure. We extend the CL&P model to the above-mentioned systems, which contain densely-charged ions or strong H-bonds involving ions. The dominating interactions in DES and PIL, or in electrolytes with densely-charged ions, are Coulomb forces and H-bonds involving ions and it is the importance of the latter that made the extension of the CL&P model to DES and PIL challenging.

Strong H-bonds are established for example between H atoms bound to N or O (the H-bond donor) and negatively charged atoms (the acceptor). But in the OPLS-AA field the H atoms are represented by point charges only, embedded in the Lennard-Jones sites of the heavier atoms, about 1 Å from their center. Glycols are molecular compounds that prove challenging for polarizable simulations because of the intramolecular H-bonds involving OH groups, which may persist for a long time. The absence of repulsive core around these H atoms and the strong electrostatic pull on Drude dipoles belonging to the H-bond acceptor may lead to unstable trajectories, a so-called “polarization catastrophe”, which the thermostat handling the Drude degrees of freedom cannot correct.

Another source of “polarization catastrophe” may be an excessive correlation between nearby induced dipoles. This can be avoided by damping inter- and intramolecular dipole-dipole interactions with a Thole damping function that represents smearing of the electron cloud. This device has become a standard for polarizable simulations and is implemented in the major molecular dynamics packages, but we found it inefficient to handle strong hydrogen-bonded systems.

Alternative strategies to correct unstable trajectories are based either on preventing a DP from leaving its DC (a hard or reflecting wall) or applying a restoring force when a significant displacement occurs. Thus, Roux et al. suggested introducing an additional anharmonic force into the systems containing highly-polarizable halide anions that is activated only beyond a certain DC–DP distance so that the linear polarization response is conserved for small DC–DP elongations. Later, these authors proposed using a “hard wall” to limit the maximum displacement of the DP from its DC: when a DP reaches a user-defined limit, typically of 0.2–0.25 Å, it is reflected back towards the parent site along the DP-DC bond by scaling the positions and the velocities of the DC–DP pair in question (this is implemented in GROMACS and OpenMM). Yet other solutions are to associate a small repulsive core to the Drude particle, as is done in the CHARMM force field, or to introduce an electric field-dependent polarizability to account for non-linear polarization effects.

The most promising way to prevent instabilities in strong H-bonded systems is to add a stiff distance-dependent damping potential that keeps charge-dipole interactions finite at short distances and can be used in conjunction with the Thole function. For this purpose, we adapted the Tang-Toennies (TT) damping function, which although developed originally for short-range damping of dispersion interactions, has been adapted for use in polarizable simulations of molten salts.

The main focus of the present work is the implementation and validation of specific short-range damping of strong charge-dipole interactions in order to ensure the stability of molecular dynamics trajectories in our problematic systems, and thus allowing the extension of the CL&Pol force field no important new classes of systems. Otherwise, we followed the strategy of our polarizable force field for ionic liquids, CL&Pol, which is a polarizable version of the widely-used CL&P fixed-charge force field for ionic liquids, compatible with OPLS-AA and therefore opening the possibility of simulating broad classes of molecular compounds, ions and materials. The parameters needed to describe polarization effects in CL&Pol can be obtained from quantum calculations, either using symmetry-adapted perturbation theory (SAPT), or from a predictive scheme based on accessible molecular quantities such as polarizabilities and electrostatic charge distributions, avoiding costly high-level calculations.

II. SIMULATION DETAILS

A. Molecular dynamics setup

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of periodic cubic boxes containing 10 000–15 000 atoms were performed in LAMMPS with the USER-DRUDE package enabled. Starting configurations and force field were prepared using the ff tool and Packmol utilities and then converted to the polarizable force field using the polarizer and scaleLJ tools. A cut-off of 12 Å was considered for pair potentials with tail corrections applied. Electrostatic energies were evaluated using the particle-particle particle-mesh (PPPM) method with an accuracy of $10^{-5}$.

Regarding simulation conditions, the time step was 1 fs and the pressure was kept at 1 bar, while different temperatures were chosen for different systems according to the availability of experimental data for comparison. After 2 ns equilibrations, 10 ns trajectories of liquid systems were generated in the NpT ensemble using conventional and temperature-grouped Nosé-Hoover thermostat and barostat. The crystal structure of EAN was modeled for 1 ns after the relaxation of the box.

The structure factor of liquid systems was calculated...
using the TRAVIS software, with atomic form factors or cross sections corresponding to X-ray and neutron scattering.

\[
S(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_i x_j f_i(q) f_j(q) H_{ij}(q) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i f_i(q) \right)^2
\]  

(1)

\[
H_{ij}(q) = 4\pi p_0 \int_0^{r_{max}} r^2 (g_{ij}(r) - 1) \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} dr
\]  

(2)

Dynamic properties were evaluated from the trajectories according to recent recommendations. The Green-Kubo relation was used to evaluate shear viscosity using the off-diagonal components of pressure tensor from the equilibrium trajectory,

\[
\eta = \frac{V}{kT} \int_0^\infty \langle p_{xy}(t)p_{xy}(0) \rangle dt
\]  

(3)

The tail of the autocorrelation function (ACF) of Green-Kubo relation was smoothed with the following exponential decay function to eliminate the noise at long times,

\[
S_A^{\text{f}}(t) = a \exp(-t^2)
\]  

(4)

where \(a\) and \(\beta\) are obtained from fit to the non-oscillatory decay section of the ACF. An alternative approach of fitting the “running integral” of the Green-Kubo relation would require averaging over many independent simulations is computationally more expensive, especially for polarizable simulations. We will use here viscosity values from equilibrium MD to analyse the tuning of force field parameters, but prefer to compute viscosity from non-equilibrium MD for the final force field.

The viscosity from non-equilibrium MD simulations was evaluated using the periodic perturbation method by applying a cosine-shaped acceleration along the \(x\)-axis with periodicity in the \(z\)-axis,

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{V}{A} \frac{4\pi^2}{l_z^2 \rho}
\]  

(5)

where \(A\) is the amplitude of the acceleration, \(l_z\) is the height of the box and \(V\) is the amplitude of the velocity profile generated by the applied acceleration. The choice of the amplitude of the acceleration is discussed in the Appendix.

Einstein’s relation was applied to the mean square displacements to calculate diffusion coefficients

\[
D = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{6} d \langle (r(t) - r(0))^2 \rangle
\]  

(6)

The Yeh-Hummer correction was used to account for finite-size effects,

\[
D_0 = D + \frac{2.8373 k_B T}{6 \pi \eta L}
\]  

(7)

where \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(L\) is the length of a cube, and \(\eta\) is the shear viscosity of the system at temperature \(T\), evaluated here from non-equilibrium MD simulations since these have smaller uncertainties. We report below some very high viscosity values obtained during the tuning of force field parameters, and we did not apply this correction to those intermediate results. The results with the final force field include the correction.

### B. Thermostat for Drude degrees of freedom

When simulating a polarizable system with Drude-induced dipoles in molecular dynamics, a self-consistent iterative procedure should be used to converge the energy at each timestep. This is time-consuming, so a faster method based on the extended Lagrangian approach is commonly employed, where the Drude particles are treated as additional dynamical degrees of freedom (DOF). In order to prevent thermal energy transfer between the real molecular DOF and the Drude DOF, the relative motion of Drude particles with respect to their cores is kept at very low temperature by a double thermostat. In this manner, the trajectory of the Drude particles follows closely that of the relaxed Drude dipoles. In this work, the degrees of freedom of DPs with respect to their DC were kept at 1 K.

It was recently shown that such dual-thermostat method may not ensure perfect equipartition between all the molecular DOF, and a new thermostat handling the molecular center-of-mass motion and the intramolecular DOF separately was proposed. We implemented this temperature-grouped Nosé-Hoover thermostat in the LAMMPS molecular dynamics package (as part of the USER-DRUDE package).

### C. Force field specification

Bonds terminating in hydrogen atoms were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm. We represent chlorine, ammonium, \(\text{Cl}^+\), bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (FSI) and bis(trifluoromethansulfonyl)imide (NTf2 or TFSI) with the CL&Pol25 force field for ionic liquids. For Li\(^+\), NO\(_3\) and ethylene glycol, we derived a polarizable version of OPLS-AA, following a route analogous to the one of CL&Pol. Atomic partial charges in nitrate and ethylene glycol were computed, on geometries optimised with Gaussian, using the CHELPG method with MP2/cc-pVTZ(-f) electron densities.

Atomic polarizabilities were taken from recent publications. Only heavy atoms were treated as polarizable, with the polarizabilities of H atoms summed unto the atoms to which they are bound. The mass of the DP was set to \(m_D = 0.4 u\) and the force constant of the DC-DP harmonic bond was fixed at \(k_D = 4184\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The partial charges of DPs were derived from atomic polarizabilities according to \(\alpha = q_D^2 / k_D\). The short-range
dipole-dipole electrostatic interactions were reduced using a Thole damping function\textsuperscript{32,40} with parameter $a = 2.6$.\textsuperscript{19}

The non-bonded attractive energies of the original, fixed-charge force fields were modified to avoid double counting of polarization effects.\textsuperscript{26} The scaling factors were evaluated mostly using the fragment-based predictive scheme proposed in our previous work.\textsuperscript{25}

$$k_{ij} = \left(1 + c_0 r_{ij}^2 \frac{Q_i^2 \alpha_j + Q_j^2 \alpha_i}{\alpha_i \alpha_j} + c_1 \mu_i^2 \alpha_j + \mu_j^2 \alpha_i}{\alpha_i \alpha_j}\right)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where the $\alpha$ are the polarizabilities of the fragments, $Q$ their net charges, $\mu$ their dipole moments, $r_{ij}$ is the equilibrium distance of a given fragment dimer, and $c_0 = 0.25$ and $c_1 = 0.11$ are fitted coefficients. This predictive scheme substitutes for case-by-case SAPT calculations, which are costly. Nonetheless, the scaling factor for EAN system was derived here at the SAPT2+/aDZ level\textsuperscript{25} because we consider that this is a archetypal protic ionic system was derived here at the SAPT2+/aDZ level which are costly. Nonetheless, the scaling factor for EAN is not yet described in CL&Pol. We thus opted for a first-principles calculation of the respective scaling factors.

According to the fragment approach, ethylene glycol (EG) was split into two methanol (MeOH) units, tri-ethylenammonium (N\textsubscript{2220}) was represented by trimethyleneammonium (N\textsubscript{1110}) and butane (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}), whereas cholinium (Ch\textsuperscript{+}), ethylammonium (N\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{11})\textsubscript{2}, and NO3 were treated as single fragments. The scaling coefficients for Lennard-Jones $\epsilon$ parameters are reported in Table I: the corresponding $\sigma$ parameters were kept unchanged (if not mentioned below). The Lennard-Jones parameters of Li\textsuperscript{+} were not modified because of the small polarizability of the cation, $\alpha_{Li^+} = 0.03 \text{Å}^3$.

III. POLARIZABLE FORCE FIELD DEVELOPMENT

A. Charge-dipole damping function

Interactions between induced dipoles are dampened at short range through Thole functions, thus avoiding instabilities in molecular systems or in aprotic ionic liquids. However, in the systems studied in this work, the presence of strong interactions between induced dipoles and certain charges, namely “naked” H atoms involved in H-bonds or very small ions, posed additional issues to the stability of trajectories, as discussed above in the introduction. The occurrence of these instabilities prompted us to also apply short-range damping (or smearing) to charge-induced dipole interactions. Our choice of short-range damping functions was guided by the literature on polarizable simulations of molten salts\textsuperscript{39–43} where small monoatomic ions are common. The functional form of the Tang-Toennies damping functions,\textsuperscript{38} originally devised for dispersion interactions, is kept,

$$f_n(r) = 1 - c \cdot e^{-b r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(br)^k}{k!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $b$ is a parameter determining the range below which the interactions are damped (Figure 1), but for charge-dipole interactions the sum goes to order $n = 4$. We chose to keep $c = 1$ so that $f_n(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow 0$.

The input files including initial configurations and force field parameters with the modifications discussed further in the text are provided in the Supporting information. Necessary tools and detailed tutorials on how to prepare the input files are available public code repositories.\textsuperscript{31,47,49}

**TABLE I.** Scaling coefficients for the modification of non-bonded attractive interactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Fragment 1</th>
<th>Fragment 2</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl\textsubscript{2}–EG</td>
<td>Ch\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ch\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAN</td>
<td>N\textsubscript{2220}</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N\textsubscript{2220}][NTf\textsubscript{2}]</td>
<td>N\textsubscript{1110}</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N\textsubscript{1110}</td>
<td>NTf\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>NTf\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li[FSI]–[C\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{3}im][FSI]</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{6}C\textsubscript{3}im\textsuperscript{+}</td>
<td>FSI</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1.** Electrostatic potential energy of two opposite unit charges without damping (black) and with damping by the Tang-Toennies function with different ranges determined by the $b$ parameter.

The Tang-Toennies damping function requires adjustment of the $b$ parameter that sets the range of the damping/smearing effect. In the original studies on molten salts, the $b$ parameter was obtained through force-matching based on first-principle calculations.\textsuperscript{41} A detailed parameterisation for the more complex molecular ions of the present systems would imply very high computational costs. Therefore, we consider the $b$ parameter as universal and empirical, and make use of
the Tang-Toennies damping function as a safeguard preventing a “polarization catastrophe”, rather than as an accurate representation of very short-range electrostatic forces. In strongly H-bonded systems, the Tang-Toennies function (for charge-dipole short-range damping) should be used in conjunction with Thole function (for dipole-dipole damping in general polarizable simulations).

Ethylene glycol, the simplest compound forming both intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds and a component of common DES, and which is challenging for polarizable simulations, was chosen as a test for a scan of the $b$ parameter in the range 3.5–6.5. The damping function was applied to the interactions between the H atoms of the OH and all induced dipoles present in the system. Too small $b$ values lead to the excessive weakening of the intermolecular forces between the nearest neighbours, bringing an unbalance to the non-bonded interactions and affecting the properties of the system as presented in Table II. Too big $b$ values cause a 0.2–0.3 Å displacement of the oxygen’s DPs from its DCs, towards the hydrogen atoms, and such strong dipoles freeze the system and increase its density. The value $b = 4.5$ was found to be optimum, reproducing well both equilibrium and dynamic properties of ethylene glycol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$\rho^{\text{lev}}$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$\eta^{\text{eq}}$</th>
<th>$\eta^{\text{noneq}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FixQ</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>−3.5 2.8</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>3.5 1.142 2.6 1.6 10 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>4.0 1.117 0.6 2.3 6 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>4.5 1.116 0.5 1.6 10 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>5.0 1.124 1.3 0.53 38 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>5.5 1.132 2.0 0.11 440 ± 237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>6.0 1.132 2.0 0.03 1791 ± 381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>6.5 1.132 2.0 0.02 2077 ± 1803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are: $\rho$/g cm$^{-3}$, $\rho^{\text{lev}}$/%, $D/10^{-10}$ m$^2$s$^{-1}$, $\eta$/mPa s. The Yeh-Hummer correction of diffusion coefficients was not applied at this stage.

B. Modification of atomic diameters

The absence of Lennard-Jones sites on certain hydrogen atoms can lead in the worse cases to instabilities of the simulations, which we could mitigate through charge-dipole damping, but can also result in significant structural changes upon introduction of polarization. Doubly ionic H-bonds,\(^{63}\) formed between a cation and an anion, could undergo significant strengthening, “freezing” the system, which we observed in EAN. The H-bond formed between oxygen atoms of nitrate and hydrogen atoms of the ammonium head group, ON–H$_N$, is shortened to 1.2 Å with an increased intensity of the first RDF peak up to 14.5 (Figure 2). The peak position is comparable with the covalent N$_1$–H$_X$ distance and differs significantly from the 1.8–1.9 Å obtained from ab-initio MD.\(^{9,11}\) Since the hydrogen atoms are just point charges embedded into the nitrogen atoms they are bound to, the repulsive potential between N$_1$ and O$_N$ are not sufficient to compensate the increased polarization effects. We also consider that the base, fixed-charge model for the nitrate anion, with parameters developed for aqueous solutions,\(^{44}\) an environment quite different from ionic liquids, is likely not totally adapted to the present systems, therefore we opted to modify the repulsive diameter $\sigma_{\text{ON–N1}}$. This enhancement of repulsion forces between cation and anion shifts the 1st RDF peak to 1.9 Å and decreases its intensity, as illustrated in Figure 2.

In order to choose the optimal $\sigma_{\text{ON–N1}}$ value, we evaluated the ability of the models with $\sigma$ in the range 3.1–4.0 Å to predict properties of EAN correctly as presented in Table III. The densities computed with the models with $\sigma$-ranges of 3.20–3.25 Å and 3.75–3.80 Å were the closest to experiment, but the observed local structures were completely different, this having a strong impact on dynamics. Thus, the final value of $\sigma_{\text{ON–N1}} = 3.75$ Å was chosen because it improves structure and dynamics, and gives transport properties in reasonable agreement with experiment, preventing “freezing” of the system.

![FIG. 2. Radial distribution function of ON–H$_N$ in EAN with different $\sigma$ Lennard-Jones interaction parameters. The rose curve corresponds to an unchanged EAN force field with polarization terms added; the blue curve corresponds to modified Lennard-Jones $\sigma_{\text{ON–N1}}$ parameter.](image-url)
TABLE III. Experimental$^{7,64}$ and calculated equilibrium and dynamic properties of EAN at 298 K at different $\sigma_{ON-N1}$ values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma_{ON-N1}$</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$\rho^{\text{lev}}$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{cat}}$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{ani}}$</th>
<th>$\eta^{\text{eq}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.181 –2.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.184 –2.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.206 –0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2964 ± 3602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.213 0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.261 4.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.267 4.77</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>1.228 1.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.217 6.15</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>52 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.205 –0.41</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>64 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.147 –5.21</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are: $\sigma$/Å, $\rho$/g cm$^{-3}$, $\rho^{\text{lev}}$/%, $D$/10$^{-11}$ m$^2$s$^{-1}$, $\eta$/mPa s. The Yeh-Hummer correction to diffusion coefficients was not applied at this stage.

38 a.u. and 18 a.u., respectively. As in the previous case, an increase of $\sigma_{O-CI}$ from 3.37 Å to 3.70 Å allowed us to obtain a reasonable structure, details of which will be presented in the following section.

Contrary to the above two cases, no adjustments are required for the protic ionic liquid triethylammonium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonfonyl)amide, ([N$_{2220}$][NTf$_2$]), where the ammonium hydrogen atom is shielded by the side chains, making more difficult the close approach of the voluminous anion.

C. Prediction of properties of PIL, DES and electrolytes

A variety of systems were chosen to validate the new polarizable force field: protic ionic liquids, ethylammonium nitrate (EAN) and triethylammonium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonfonyl)amide ([N$_{2220}$][NTf$_2$]); a deep eutectic solvent, choline chloride + ethylene glycol (ChCl–EG, 1:2); and a lithium-based electrolyte consisting of lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide ([Li][FSI]) and 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide ([C$_{2}$C$_{1}$im][FSI]). The structural formulae of the selected compounds are given in Figure 4. The calculation of equilibrium and dynamic properties were carried out at different temperatures (EAN at 260 K and 298 K, [N$_{2220}$][NTf$_2$] at 298 K and 340 K) or at different compositions ([Li][FSI]–[C$_{2}$C$_{1}$im][FSI] at mole fractions of lithium salt of 0.2 and 0.4), according to available experimental data.

Density and dynamic properties of EAN predicted by polarizable simulations using both the traditional (NH) and the temperature-grouped (tgNH) Nosé–Hoover thermostats are in good agreement with experimental data, as reported in Table IV. Non-equilibrium MD simulations with the periodic perturbation method coupled with the tgNH thermostat improve the prediction of viscosity of EAN. The CL&Pol force field describes the properties of ChCl–EG well, although the diffusion coefficient of the H-bond donor (EG) is overestimated. The same is observed for pure ethylene glycol (Table II), with an increase in the polarizable version of OPLS-AA force field. Transport properties of [N$_{2220}$][NTf$_2$] are relatively well reproduced, while the density values are decreased by 2%. This effect of the density deviation upon introducing polarization in pure ionic liquids was discussed in our previous paper$^{25}$ and can be fixed by reducing the diameter of LJ sites by 1.5%.

The Cl&Pol force field is able to maintain the crystal structure of a solid EAN, as presented in the Table V. Most unit-cell parameters are in good agreement with experiment, except $b$ and $\beta$, the drift of which leads to an underestimation of the density, which we considered as acceptable for a force field mainly aimed at liquid systems.

A correct description of the micro-structure of strong H-bonded systems is a criterion of reliability with re-
TABLE IV. Experimental \cite{64-67} and calculated equilibrium and dynamic properties of PIL and DES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\rho)</th>
<th>(\rho^{\text{dev}})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{ca}})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{an}})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{HBD}})</th>
<th>(\eta^{\text{eq}})</th>
<th>(\eta^{\text{noneq}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAN 298 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>3.86 7.80</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.217</td>
<td>0.6 4.57 7.35</td>
<td>52 ± 35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>0.8 4.04 5.95</td>
<td>64 ± 20 32.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{ChCl–EG} 298 K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>2.62 4.77 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>0.8 1.92 5.29</td>
<td>14.1 ± 37 73 ± 66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>0.8 2.18 6.15</td>
<td>13.0 ± 35 38 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{N}_{2220}]\text{[Ntf}_2\text{]} 298 K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>−2.1 2.03 2.24</td>
<td>56 ± 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>−1.9 2.34 2.34</td>
<td>48 ± 7 32.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{N}_{2220}]\text{[Ntf}_2\text{]} 340 K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>9.70 8.64</td>
<td>33 ± 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.345</td>
<td>9.35 8.40</td>
<td>20 ± 6 9.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are: \(\rho/\text{g cm}^{-3}\), \(\rho^{\text{dev}}/\%\), \(D/10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}\), \(\eta/\text{mPa} \text{s}\).

TABLE V. The experimental \cite{68} and calculated properties of ethylammonium nitrate crystal at 290 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\rho)</th>
<th>(\rho^{\text{ext}})</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>(c)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(\beta)</th>
<th>(\gamma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>39.6 46.1 39.9 90.0</td>
<td>112.7 90.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>−8.2 39.5 50.0 39.4 90.0</td>
<td>110.3 90.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>−8.3 39.6 50.0 39.4 90.0</td>
<td>110.6 90.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are: \(\rho/\text{g cm}^{-3}\), \(\rho^{\text{dev}}/\%\), \((a, b, c)/\text{Å}\).

spect to the use of the Tung-Toennies damping function in the CL&Pol force field. Medium-strength hydrogen bonds are formed between the hydroxyl groups in ethylene glycol, and also between the acidic hydrogen of the cation and the oxygen atom of the anion in \([\text{N}_{2220}]\text{[Ntf}_2\text{]}\), as illustrated in Figure 6. The H \(\cdots\text{A}\) distances and the D–H \(\cdots\text{A}\) angles (where \(\text{A}\) is a H-bond acceptor atom and D the atom attached to the donor hydrogen) are in agreement with theoretical studies of similar systems. \cite{63} The presence of the stronger H-bonds in the PIL when compared to EG can be explained by the enhanced cation-anion electrostatic attraction.

The CL&Pol force field predicts a linear hydrogen bond with an average distance of 1.9 Å and an angle of 160° in EAN at 10° in EAN (Figures 2 and 6). This agrees with \textit{ab-initio} MD studies of alkylammonium nitrate with the Car–Parrinello method \cite{60,61} and also with density functional-based tight-binding methods. \cite{9} The linearity of the hydrogen bond was confirmed experimentally by X-ray diffraction on the crystal. \cite{68} By means of the empirical potential structure refinement (EPSR) applied to X-ray and neutron scattering data on liquid EAN, several groups \cite{4-7} proposed the formation of a bent H-bond, with a length of 2.4 Å and an angle of 109°, where three hydrogen atoms of the ammonium head group share one oxygen atom of the anion. This is a result of the presence of a Lennard-Jones site of diameter 2.5 Å on each hydrogen atom in the force field used for the EPSR. Repeating the same procedure with a model where hydrogen atoms are embedded into the neighbouring nitrogen atom (\(\eta_{\text{H}} = 0.8\) Å), followed by MD simulation with a three-body term included, led to a linear, directional hydrogen bond with a distance of 1.9–2.0 Å. \cite{8} The ambiguous interpretation of X-ray and neutron scattering data of the liquid system compromises the reliability of the potential fitting procedure. We consider the that the linear description of the hydrogen bond in EAN has stronger physical background and our model, being compatible with a directional H-bond, predicts equilibrium and dynamic properties of EAN reasonably well. Therefore, the present polarizable simulations contribute to the analysis of H-bond patterns in EAN, one of the most important protic ionic liquids, both in fundamental and applied research.

Next, the liquid structure of EAN was studied by computing static structure factors that are compared to X-ray and neutron scattering data in Figure 5. Both X-ray and neutron structure factors are in good agreement with experimental results, with divergences observed for small \(q\) values smaller than 1 Å\(^{-1}\) for hydronen-rich samples, which could be explained by residual inelastic scattering of light H atoms in the experimental data, not fully removed by correction methods. \cite{4} The main characteristic peak at 1.71 Å\(^{-1}\) with a Bragg spacing of 3.7 Å corresponds to cation-anion separations \cite{8} in accordance with the experimental peaks near 1.6 Å\(^{-1}\). \cite{69,70} In the d3-EAN sample, simulations produce a clear sharp peak at 0.64 Å\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a Bragg spacing of 9.8 Å, evidence of long range ordering in the liquid. This coincides with experimental neutron scattering peaks at 0.625 Å\(^{-1}\) and 0.66 Å\(^{-1}\). \cite{71} This feature also appears as a small pre-peak in X-ray scattering at 0.6 Å\(^{-1}\) \cite{69,70} whereas in our simulation it is split into three separate contributions at 0.42 Å\(^{-1}\), 0.60 Å\(^{-1}\) and 1.0 Å\(^{-1}\).

The \textit{ChCl–EG} DES differs from the previous systems in which there is no competition for the H-bonding sites. In this DES, the dominant interactions are those of Cl\(^-\) with H atoms of cholinium and of ethylene glycol (Figure 6). The strength of these interactions decreases in the order H\(_2\text{O}(\text{Ch}^+) > H\(_2\text{O}(\text{EG}) > H\(_2\text{Cl}^+(\text{Ch}^+)\). \(\text{Also, and due to the high concentration of EG (2:1 mole ration with respect to ChCl), intermolecular interactions between ethylene glycol molecules are important. The interactions between the cation and the HBD are not marked, as shown in Figure 7. These results are in agreement with a recent \textit{ab-initio} MD study,\cite{72} even though the intensities of certain peaks do not coincide perfectly, as can be expected due to the small sizes and duration sof AIMD trajectories, as well as the obvious differences in the Hamiltonian. The positions of the first peaks in the H\(_2\text{O–Cl}, H\(_2\text{O–EG}, \text{and H}-\text{Cl}\) RDFs are 2.19 Å, 2.27 Å and 2.67 Å from the CL&Pol force field, and 2.10 Å, 2.14 Å and 2.75 Å from AIMD, which is quite close. The peak intensities are in
good accord between two methods for Cl \cdots Ch+ correlations, while the intensity for EG is lower from our force field when compared to AIMD, which could be related to faster diffusion of the HBD discussed above.

A lithium-based electrolyte was the next system of interest to validate the CL&Pol force field with the Tang-Toennies damping function. In the mixture of the ionic liquid with the salt, Li[FSI]=[C2_8]im][FSI], the density values (Table VI) are reproduced within 1\% for both compositions when using the traditional NH thermostat, while the tgNH thermostat leads to slightly higher densities, by 1.5\%, which is quite acceptable. Viscosity values are overestimated, especially at higher lithium salt content, which can be due to the OPLS-AA model for lithium and to the approximations used during the fit of the Green-Kubo relation. The classical NH thermostat shows better performance than the tgNH one due to its tendency to fluidize the system (caused by incorrect kinetic energy distribution) that compensates artefacts of the force field. The lack of experimental data on diffusion coefficients does not allow us to estimate the accuracy of the transport properties, but the general trends are in agreement with earlier work.\textsuperscript{73}

The strong interaction between Li+ and the O atom of the anion is confirmed by the radial distribution functions (Figure 8). The main peak of the RDF is at 2.1 Å with an intensity of 22.7, and the corresponding coordination number is 4.5 O atoms in the first solvation shell around Li+. This interaction dominates over the interaction of the anion with the H atoms of the imidazolium cation, where the intensity of the first peak does not exceed 2. A similar behavior of Li+ is observed in the Li[N(C$_2$H$_5$)$_2$] and [C$_2$H$_8$]im][N(C$_2$H$_5$)$_2$] system with a peak of intensity 20 at 1.9 Å.\textsuperscript{73}

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We extended the polarizable CL&Pol force field, initially developed for general protic ionic liquids, to systems containing strong hydrogen bonds or small ions with high charge density. These systems cause trajectory stability issues in polarizable simulations due to the strong pull of the small ions or of ionic H-bonds on the Drude induced dipoles. We found that a damping, or smearing, function acting at short range between those problematic charged sites and the Drude induced dipoles was needded in order to stabilize the trajectories, preventing the “polarization catastrophe”.

Inspired by work in the literature on molten salts, we applied a Tang-Toennies damping function to the interaction of Drude dipoles in those specific cases. The parameters of the damping function were validated through analyses of the structure and dynamics of five fundamentally different systems: protic ionic liquid, deep eutectic solvents, and a lithium in ionic liquid electrolyte. Comparison with experiment showed good prediction of density, viscosity, ion diffusion coefficients and correct description of the microscopic structure.

We also compared the performance of traditional and temperature-grouped Nose-Hoover thermostats for modelling ionic and ion-molecular systems, and the use of the latter is strongly recommended due to the correct treatment of translational, intramolecular and polarization degrees of freedom. At present, this thermostat is available in the OpenMM and LAMMPS molecular dynamics codes.

We recommend the periodic perturbation method of non-equilibrium MD to calculate viscosity of ionic fluids, since it gives better predictions with a tighter confidence interval than using the Green-Kubo relation: fitting the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( S(q) )</th>
<th>( q / \text{Å}^{-1} )</th>
<th>x-ray</th>
<th>H-EAN</th>
<th>d8-EAN</th>
<th>d3-EAN</th>
<th>exp</th>
<th>calc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. Experimental\textsuperscript{1,6} and calculated structure factor of liquid EAN at 298 K; from bottom to top: x-ray diffraction, neutron diffraction data of H-EAN, d3-EAN (deuterated ammonium group), d8-EAN (fully deuterated cation) with the respective vertical offset of 0.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 8.0.

TABLE VI. Experimental\textsuperscript{74} and calculated equilibrium and dynamic properties of [Li[FSI]+][C$_2$H$_8$]im][FSI] at 338 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \rho )</th>
<th>( \rho_{\text{lev}} )</th>
<th>( D_{\text{Li}+} )</th>
<th>( D_{\text{im}+} )</th>
<th>( D_{\text{ani}} )</th>
<th>( \eta^\text{eq} )</th>
<th>( \eta^\text{non-eq} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude NH</td>
<td>1.461</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>118 ± 61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drude tgNH</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>676 ± 417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXP</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are: \( \rho / \text{g cm}^{-3}, \rho_{\text{lev}} / \% , D/10^{-11} \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}, \eta/\text{mPa s} \).
FIG. 6. Probability contours revealing hydrogen bonds in ethylene glycol, [N$_{2220}$][NTf$_2$], EAN and ChCl–EG. The x-axes represent the distances between the H atoms and the acceptor atoms. The y-axes represent the angles formed by the D–H···A hydrogen bonds, where D is a donor atom attached to the hydrogen. The N$_3$ and H$_3$ atomic labels in the second plot correspond to H bond to N of the ammonium head group, and O$_{BT}$ are O atoms of NTf$_2$. The remaining labels are explained in the molecular structures within this text.

FIG. 7. Radial distribution functions of Cl–HO, Cl–H$_{OG}$, Cl–H$_1$, O$_H$–H$_{OG}$ of ChCl–EG at 298 K. The distributions of O$_H$–H$_O$ and O$_{HG}$–H$_O$ are excluded from the plot due to their similarity with the O$_H$–H$_{OG}$ data. (The groups in grey on the structural formulae correspond to chemical functional groups, not to the atom types used in the simulation.)

The tail of the autocorrelation function leads to large uncertainties even if long trajectories are used, and fitting of the “running integral” requires averaging over hundreds of independent equilibrium trajectories.

We implemented the Tang-Toennies damping function, the periodic perturbation method and the temperature-grouped Nosé-Hoover thermostat in the LAMMPS molecular dynamics code.

FIG. 8. Radial distribution function of Li–O$_{BT}$, H$_{CW}$–O$_{BT}$ and H$_{CR}$–O$_{BT}$ in Li[FSI]-[C$_2$Im][FSI] ($x_{Li[FSI]} = 0.2$) at 338 K.

The main contribution of this work is the extension of the CL&Poli force field to three classes of systems dominated by strong hydrogen bonds or containing small ions: protic ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents and alkali metal electrolytes. We provide here results on examples of the three classes, and a general and robust methodology has been proposed that can be readily extended to many similar systems, benefiting from the transferability of the fragment approach underlying the CL&Poli force field. The result of our polarizable simulations contribute to the understanding of the nature of hydrogen-bonding in ethylammonium nitrate, an important protic ionic li-
uid and intensely studied solvent, for which two conflicting views have been proposed in the literature

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

LAMMPS input files with initial configurations and force field parameters for EAN, [N\textsubscript{2220}][NTf\textsubscript{2}], Cl\textsubscript{2}Cl−EG and [Li][FSI]=[C\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1}Im][FSI] systems are available to download.
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The Tang-Toennies damping function is implemented as a coul/tt pair style

```
variable T equal 298
variable TDRUDE equal 1
variable P equal 1
comm_modify vel yes
fix npt all tgnpt/drude temp $(T) $(T) 100 $(TDRUDE) 20 iso $(P) $(P) 1000
```

The periodic perturbation method is implemented as a combination of fix and compute commands, which have been included in LAMMPS, version 5May2020. In the example below, the reciprocal viscosity is calculated with tgNH thermostat and an acceleration strength of 0.02 nm ps$^{-2}$. The cosine-shaped acceleration in the $x$ direction with periodicity in the $z$ direction is applied by using the fix accelerate/cos command. The compute viscosity/cos command calculates the velocity profile in response to the acceleration. Then, the reciprocal viscosity is calculated from the velocity profile and can be outputted by a thermo_style command. The generated collective velocities along $x$ should not be taken into account by thermostat. Therefore, the fix_modify command should be invoked to remove and restore the velocity profile before and after thermostating. This method

```
pair_style hybrid/overlay lj/cut/thole/long 2.6 12.0 coul/tt 4 12.0
```

where the degree of polynomial is 4 and the cutoff is 12 Å. The pair_coeff section of the input file requires the $b$ parameter and the pre-exponential factor (set to 1.0 in the present work) for the interactions between the highly-charged atom and all Drude dipoles.

```
pair_coeff 1 2 coul/tt 4.5 1.0
```

This new pair style is available in the USER-DRUDE package of LAMMPS, version 29Oct2020. A detailed description of input files preparation for strongly H-bonded systems and the usage of the coul/tt pair style is provided in the code repositories.\(^4\)

The tgNH thermostat is implemented as a fix command in LAMMPS (version 24Dec2020). In our implementation, the degrees of freedom (DOF) of the system are separated into three contributions: the motion of molecular center of mass (MCOM), the motion of center of mass of atom-Drude pairs (COM) relative to the MCOM, and the relative motion between atom-Drude pairs. The tgNH thermostat is composed of three independent thermostats applied to these three categories of DOF. The following example thermalizes the motions of MCOM and COM at 298 K with a time constant of 100 fs, and the relative motion of Drude pairs at 1 K with a time constant of 20 fs. This fix command also calculates the temperatures of these three DOF, which can be output by the thermo_style command.
is compatible with both the tgNH thermostat and traditional Nose-Hoover thermostat. For non-polarizable simulation, simply replace the `fix tgnpt/drude` command with a corresponding `fix npt` command.

```
variable A equal 0.02e-5 # angstrom/fs^2
fix cos all accelerate/cos {A}
compute cos all viscosity/cos
variable density equal density
variable Iz equal Iz
variable vMax equal c_nz[7]
variable reciprocalVis equal v_vMax/v_density*39.4784/v_lz/v_lz*100 # 1/Pa.s
fix npt all tgnpt/drude temp {T} {T} 100 {T_DRUDE} 20 iso {P} {P} 1000
fix_modify npt temp cos
thermo_modify temp cos
```

### Appendix B: Choice of acceleration strength in the periodic perturbation method for viscosity evaluation

We calculated viscosity using the periodic perturbation method with acceleration strengths in the range $0.005 - 0.1 \times 10^{-5}$ Å/fs$^{-2}$. As discussed previously by Hess, too big $A$ values lead to high share rates resulting in viscosity underestimation. On the other hand, too small $A$ values introduce noise in the calculated data, as reported in the Table VII. One could suggest to extrapolate the viscosity to zero acceleration strength, but the calculation at a series of $A$ value has high computational cost when a polarizable force field is used. Thus, we propose to evaluate viscosity at one value of acceleration strength, $0.02 \times 10^{-5}$ Å/fs$^{-2}$, found to be optimal for the systems discussed in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$A$/Å/fs$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$\eta$/mPa.s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAN</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>32.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>23.4 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are:
- $A/10^{-5}$ Å/fs$^{-2}$,
- $\eta$/mPa.s.