

# Using atomic charges to describe the $pK_a$ of carboxylic acids

Zeynep Pinar Haslak,<sup>†,‡</sup> Sabrina Zareb,<sup>†</sup> Viktorya Aviyente,<sup>‡</sup> and Gerald Monard<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Université de Lorraine, CNRS, LPCT, F-54000 Nancy, France*

<sup>‡</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Boğaziçi University, 34342 Bebek, Istanbul, Turkey*

E-mail: Gerald.Monard@univ-lorraine.fr

## Abstract

In this study, we present an accurate protocol for the fast prediction of  $pK_a$ 's of carboxylic acids based on the linear relationship between computed atomic charges of the anionic form of the carboxylate fragment and their experimental  $pK_a$  values. Five charge descriptors, three charge models, three solvent models, gas phase calculations and several DFT methods (combination of eight DFT functionals and fifteen basis sets) were tested. Among those, the best combination to reproduce experimental  $pK_a$ 's is to compute NPA atomic charge using the SMD model at the M06L/6-311G(d,p) level of theory and selecting the maximum atomic charge on carboxylic oxygen atoms ( $R^2 = 0.955$ ). The applicability of the suggested protocol and its stability along geometrical changes are verified by molecular dynamics simulations performed for a set of aspartate, glutamate and alanine peptides. By reporting the calculated atomic charge of the carboxylate form into the linear relationship derived in this work, it will be possible to estimate accurately the amino acid's  $pK_a$ 's in protein environment.

## Introduction

A large number of chemical and biological systems contain acidic and basic groups which govern the interactions with the surroundings and therefore the function of the system. At a particular pH, the extent to which an ionizable species can be protonated or deprotonated by the hydrogen transfer from/to the environment is determined by the  $pK_a$  of the species. Most of the drug molecules are weak acids or weak bases and when they are in solution they are in their both ionized and nonionized states. Solubility, lipophilicity and permeability of a drug ligand in a cell membrane is governed by the  $pK_a$ 's of the acidic and basic sites within the molecule, since only the uncharged ligands can penetrate into the cell membrane.<sup>1</sup> Besides, the interactions between the ionizable functional groups of the ligand with the residues of the target protein, which affects the affinity, activity and efficacy of the ligand, is highly dependent on the  $pK_a$ 's of the side chains in the active site and the drug molecule. On the other hand, the changes in the protonation states of the aminoacids in the residues have a direct impact on establishing the protein conformation and stability,<sup>2</sup> solubility and folding,<sup>3</sup> catalytic activity of enzymes<sup>4</sup> and their binding ability.

Carboxylic acids are the main acidic functional groups in biological systems. Glutamate and aspartate have carboxylic acid groups in their side chains and these groups help in holding the peptide together by hydrogen bonds. More than 30% of the ionizable residues (32% of the Arg residues, 19% of the Asp residues, 13% of the Glu residues, and 6% of the Lys)<sup>5</sup> are buried inside the hydrophobic cavities which limits the contact with solvent.<sup>6</sup> Since the protein matrix is heterogeneous, the fluctuations in the electrostatic environment alter the interactions between buried charges which in turn leads to modifications in the affinities of the protonation sites for ionization; and thus their  $pK_a$  values are re-adjusted.<sup>7</sup> Eventually, in polar parts of the protein the  $pK_a$  of the acidic groups in the residues shifts to higher values and the  $pK_a$  of the basic groups shifts to lower values from those of the isolated amino acids.<sup>8</sup> Hydrogen bondings between the amino acid's functional groups and the side chain or the backbone atoms also tend to result in  $pK_a$  deviations; especially when the number of H-bonds increases and if they are rigid the effect is larger such that the  $pK_a$  for acidic side chains are perturbed above their intrinsic  $pK_a$  values and for the basic groups the reverse is observed.<sup>3,9</sup> Salt-bridge formation

between two residues, which contributes to protein stability, is also reported to result in lower or higher  $pK_a$  values with the same trends in polarization and hydrogen bonding effects.<sup>10</sup>

Dissociation constants of organic compounds can be determined experimentally by potentiometric, spectrophotometric, chromatographic, electrophoresis, calorimetric, conductometric and NMR techniques but among them only NMR titrations estimate the  $pK_a$  values accurately when applied to amino acids. In case of non-soluble membrane proteins, where NMR is inapplicable, one needs X-ray crystal structures but there is only a limited number of structures present in the literature.<sup>11</sup> On the other hand, poor resolution of the crystals and lack of conformational flexibility restrict the X-ray crystallography applications. A more reliable technique for producing high resolution crystallographic protein structures is neutron diffraction method. Despite its strong accuracy in determining hydrogen locations on the amino acids, this technique is much more limited in use with respect to NMR and X-ray crystallography, since there is a little number of instruments available for measurements and it needs long data collection times and larger volumes of crystals.<sup>12</sup>

Due to the difficulties in  $pK_a$  measurements of molecules in large complex mediums and short-lived intermediates with experimental means as discussed above, the need for accurate  $pK_a$  estimations by the applications of theoretical approaches is necessary. The features that determine the acidities of different classes of chemical compounds can be explained by the molecular structure. The traditional method for the calculation of  $pK_a$ 's is based on the free energy changes in the thermodynamic cycle. Typically electrostatic interactions are obtained by numerically solving the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation (LPBE). Despite the enormous number of successful  $pK_a$  predictions by using the deprotonation energies and solvation free energies,<sup>13-16</sup> these calculations usually fail in their purpose due to the instability of the ion in gas phase and the conformational differences between the solvent and gas phase calculations.<sup>17</sup> Besides empirical methods such as PROPKA and the methods based on Poisson-Boltzmann equation, Generalized Born equation, QM/MM or Molecular Dynamics or a combination of one or more; quantitative structure property relationship (QSPR) is a widely used technique in which several molecular descriptors are successfully linked to  $pK_a$ 's of organic molecules such as topological state,<sup>18,19</sup> atom type,<sup>20,21</sup> group philicity,<sup>22</sup> bond length and frequency,<sup>23,24</sup>

maximum surface potential,<sup>25</sup> HOMO and LUMO energies,<sup>26,27</sup> atomic charge.<sup>28,29</sup> Among them, the concept of partial atomic charges is closely related to the relative acidity and basicity of a molecule.

A Multiple Linear Regression model was developed by Dixon and Jurs with an accuracy of 0.5 units for the calculation of  $pK_a$ 's of oxyacids by using the empirical atomic charges of atoms in a molecule.<sup>29</sup> The model is based on the changes in the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  charges upon going from the neutral to ionic state, concerning the resonance and inductive effects of nearby atoms. Citra constructed four linear regression models by using the partial atomic charges on oxygen and hydrogen atoms which are involved in deprotonation and O-H bond order for the set of phenols, alcohols and aromatic and non-aromatic carboxylic acids.<sup>30</sup> Various combinations of different level of theories, basis sets and charge models were tested by Vareková *et al.* in order to create a model for phenols.<sup>31</sup> Recently, Ugur *et al.* made use of a similar approach with an extended study for the prediction of amino acid  $pK_a$ 's in proteins and developed an accurate protocol by computing the atomic charge on the anionic form of alcohols and thiols.<sup>32</sup> Among the tested DFT functionals, basis sets, semiempirical methods, solvation and charge models, they observed the best combination is NPA charge calculation in CPCM model at the B3LYP/3-21G ( $R^2=0.995$ ) level of theory for alcohols and M06-2X/6-311G ( $R^2=0.986$ ) level of theory for thiols in order to reproduce the experimental  $pK_a$ 's. Moreover, they tested the stability of the calculated  $pK_a$ 's in amino acids by MM-MD and DFT-MD calculations. Regarding the successful applications of QM charges as descriptors, in this study we aim to suggest an accurate protocol for the fast prediction of  $pK_a$ 's of carboxylic acids.

## Computational Details

### Experimental Database

From literature,<sup>33,34</sup> we have selected a total of 59 carboxylic acid compounds with  $pK_a$ 's ranging from 0.65 to 5.12. We have selected molecules which have the widest range of experimental  $pK_a$ 's as possible. Most of these molecules are also small and rather rigid molecules. We have avoided flexible molecules in order to overcome the risk of failing to obtain their global min-

ima during geometry optimization, which would raise systematical errors in  $pK_a$  predictions.<sup>34</sup> A training set of 30 small molecules (see Table 1 and Figure SI1) and a test set of 29 small molecules (see Table 2 and Figure SI2) have been extracted from the ensemble.

## Quantum Mechanical Calculations

All of the Quantum Mechanical (QM) calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 09<sup>35</sup> program package. Eight different density functionals (BLYP,<sup>36,37</sup> B3LYP,<sup>36,38</sup> OLYP,<sup>36,39</sup> PBE,<sup>40</sup> PBE0,<sup>41</sup> M06,<sup>42,43</sup> M06L,<sup>43,44</sup> M062X<sup>42,43</sup>) and fifteen different basis sets were used. To interpret the aqueous solvent environment, the universal solvent model (SMD<sup>45</sup>), the polarizable continuum model (PCM<sup>46</sup>), and the polarizable conductor solvent model (CPCM<sup>47</sup>) were employed with a dielectric constant ( $\epsilon$ ) of 78.5. Three different types of atomic charge models were tested: Mulliken population analysis,<sup>48</sup> Löwdin population analysis,<sup>49</sup> Natural Population Analysis (NPA).<sup>50</sup> Compared to the study from Ugur et al.,<sup>32</sup> Electrostatic Potential (ESP) derived atomic charges, like the Merz-Kollman (MK) model<sup>51</sup> and the CHelpG model,<sup>52</sup> are not reported here since preliminary studies have shown us that, as in the cases of thiols and alcohols, they do not perform better than NPA atomic charges (data not shown). Unless otherwise stated, all the charge calculations were performed on the optimized geometries (after including or not the solvent effect) that do not contain any imaginary frequency.

## Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Molecular dynamics simulations have been performed using the AMBER biomolecular package.<sup>53</sup> All simulated molecules have been modeled with the AMBER ff14SB protein force field.<sup>54</sup> The aqueous polar environment was mimicked by the implicit modified generalized Born model with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  are 1.0, 0.8, and 4.85<sup>55</sup> as implemented in AMBER 18 (igb = 5). Following minimization, the systems were heated up to 300 K using the Langevin thermostat during 50 ps with a collision frequency  $\gamma = 10 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ , and a timestep of 1 fs. Then, NVT production runs were performed for another 150 ps using the same thermostat algorithm. From each of these molecular dynamics, 1500 frames were extracted, one every 0.1 ps.

## Results and Discussions

The linear relationship between atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's depends on many factors: the choice of the DFT method, the choice of the basis set, the use (or not) of an implicit solvent model, the type of the atomic charge model, and which atomic charges are considered. From the overall present study (see Supplementary Information for the full detailed results), we have found that the best combination of all these factors is to consider the highest oxygen atomic charge of each carboxylate fragment computed with NPA at the M06L/6-311G(d,p) level using the SMD implicit solvent model. In what follows, we present a linear relationship between experimental  $pK_a$ 's and atomic charges computed using the theoretical framework discussed above. Then, using these results as a reference, we discuss the choice of charge descriptor, charge model, solvent model, DFT functional and basis set by changing one of these parameters while the others remain fixed to their best combination.

### Linearity of the Relationship Between Experimental $pK_a$ 's and Atomic Charges

For each molecule of the training set, a geometry optimization was performed at the M06L/6-311G(d,p) level using the SMD implicit solvent model. We ensure that no imaginary frequency remains for any molecule. Atomic charges were computed using the natural population analysis. For each carboxylate fragment, we extracted the highest of the two oxygen atomic charges and we compared it with the experimental  $pK_a$  of the corresponding molecule. Figure 1 shows the relationship between experimental  $pK_a$  and computed NPA charge for the training set. A linear equation is obtained by a least-square fit:

$$pK_a = a \cdot Q + b \quad \text{with} \quad Q = \max \{q(O_1), q(O_2)\} \quad (1)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are the fitted parameters and  $Q = \max \{q(O_1), q(O_2)\}$  is the highest atomic charges of the two carboxylate oxygens, respectively. The parameters  $a$  and  $b$  and the squared Pearson correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) are also illustrated in Figure 1. The predicted  $pK_a$ 's are computed using Eq. 1 (i.e., by reporting  $\max \{q(O_1), q(O_2)\}$  of a given molecule into the

parametrized equation).




Figure 1: Linear regression between calculated NPA atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ . Calculations were done using M06L/6-311G(d,p)//SMD.

For carboxylate molecules, the  $R^2$  value has been found to be 0.955. No strong outlier molecule was observed for the training set. The maximum difference between predicted and experimental  $pK_a$  among all the molecules was found as -0.60 units (see Table 1). These results indicate a strong correlation between experimental  $pK_a$ 's and the oxygen charges.

In order to analyze the influence of the charge descriptor, charge model and solvent model on the quality of the fit, the same protocol was applied with four other charge descriptors, two other charge models, two other solvent models and gas phase calculations.

## Influence of the charge descriptor

Compared to alcohols and thiols molecules that were analyzed by Ugur et al.,<sup>32</sup> the negative charge of the base form in the case of carboxylate can be shared between different atoms: the carbon and the two oxygen atoms of the carboxylate fragment. Thus, there are different ways to extract atomic charges for this fragment and then to compare them with experimental  $pK_a$ 's. We have analyzed different atomic extraction schemes for the negative charge  $Q$  of the

carboxylate fragment composed of atoms C, O<sub>1</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>:

$$Q = \max \{q(\text{O}_1), q(\text{O}_2)\} \quad (2)$$

$$Q = \min \{q(\text{O}_1), q(\text{O}_2)\} \quad (3)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} [q(\text{O}_1) + q(\text{O}_2)] \quad (4)$$

$$Q = q(\text{C}) + q(\text{O}_1) + q(\text{O}_2) \quad (5)$$

$$Q = q(\text{C}) \quad (6)$$

From the two oxygen atomic charges, it is possible to extract the highest value (Eq. 2), the lowest value (Eq. 3), or the average (Eq. 4). The carbon atomic charge can also be taken into account via the sum of all 3 atomic charges (Eq. 4) or by itself (Eq. 6).

Figure 2 shows the relationship between carboxylate atomic charges expressed by Eqs.3-6 and experimental  $pK_a$ 's using M06L/6-311G(d,p)//SMD. When the lowest (i.e., the most negative) oxygen atomic charge is considered, the linear relationship is less accurate than with the highest oxygen atomic charge scheme:  $R^2 = 0.866$  for the "min" scheme vs.  $R^2 = 0.955$  for the "max" scheme, respectively. This is somewhat unexpected, since if one considers a proton, one could expect it to be more attracted by the most negative oxygen atoms. Therefore, one could expect that the  $Q = \min \{q(\text{O}_1), q(\text{O}_2)\}$  scheme should better reflect the experimental  $pK_a$ 's. **TO BE VERIFIED** In all our linear regressions with different density functionals, basis sets, etc., we have never found a better regression with the scheme  $Q = \min \{q(\text{O}_1), q(\text{O}_2)\}$  than with its  $Q = \max \{q(\text{O}_1), q(\text{O}_2)\}$  counterpart. As a consequence the scheme  $Q = \frac{1}{2} [q(\text{O}_1) + q(\text{O}_2)]$  that computes the average of the two oxygen atomic charges is placed in between the two previous scheme with  $R^2 = 0.924$ .

Another possibility to search for a relationship between experimental  $pK_a$  and atomic charge is to take into account the atomic charge on the carboxylate carbon. Figure 2(d) shows the (lack of) relationship between the carbon atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's. With a  $R^2 = 0.055$ , the carbon charge cannot be regarded as a descriptor of the experimental  $pK_a$ . As a consequence, when the three atomic charges on the carboxylate fragment are considered together (Eq. 5), the correlation coefficient ( $R^2 = 0.536$ ) is worse than when the carbon atom is not






Figure 2: Effect of the charge descriptor on the linear regression between calculated atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's. Calculations were done with M06L/6-311G(d,p)//SMD: (a) Minimum atomic charge on  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ ; (b) Average sum of atomic charges on  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ ; (c) Sum of atomic charges on C,  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ ; (d) Atomic charge on C.

included.

## Influence of the charge model

In a  $pK_a$  prediction model, the variations in the  $pK_a$  during the dissociation process should be reflected precisely by the electronic changes. Three different charge schemes were tested for their predictivity power to generate charges that associate with the experimental  $pK_a$ 's: NPA<sup>50</sup> as well as Mulliken<sup>48</sup> and Löwdin<sup>49</sup> population analysis. These methods are based on charge partition schemes and define the atomic orbitals by wave functions. In the Mulliken population analysis, the calculated electron density is equally shared through the adjacent atoms in a molecule without taking into account the electronegativity and polarizability differences in atom types. Löwdin population analysis is very similar to the Mulliken method with only difference in usage of orthogonal basis functions. Neither Löwdin or Mulliken schemes are able to reproduce the values of the dipole moments and they are both dependent on the basis set that is used. Natural population analysis localizes and classifies the orbitals into core, valence and Rydberg each of which contribute differently to the density. This partitioning of the atomic orbitals makes the NPA method less basis set dependent than its counterparts.

The strength of a carboxylic acid is determined by the strength of its conjugate base and the strength of a base is proportional to the charge density on the carboxylate oxygens. The lesser the charge density on the oxygen atoms means more stability and thus it becomes a weaker base and finally a stronger acid. Figure 3 presents the linear regressions between the highest oxygen atomic charge and experimental  $pK_a$  for the training set at the M06L/6-311G(d,p)//SMD using the Mulliken population analysis (Figure 3(a)) and the Löwdin population analysis (Figure 3(b)). The charge analysis shows that the oxygen charges become more negative with increasing  $pK_a$ , suggesting that an oxygen atom with more associated electron density readily accepts a proton; indication of a stronger conjugate base and thus a weaker acid. Mulliken and Löwdin charges give  $R^2$  coefficients lower than that of NPA with values of 0.733 and 0.788 respectively. This result is similar to those obtained for alcohols and thiols by Ugur et al.:<sup>32</sup> atomic charges extracted from natural population analysis are more linearly correlated to  $pK_a$ 's than using the Mulliken's or Löwdin's schemes. Using Eq. 1, the calculated  $pK_a$  of




Figure 3: Effect of the charge model on the linear regression between calculated atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's. Calculations were done with M06L/6-311G(d,p)//SMD: (a) Mulliken atomic charge model; (b) Löwdin atomic charge model

the strongest outlier is 1.35 unit different from the experimental  $pK_a$  when Löwdin charges are used. In case of Mulliken scheme, all predicted  $pK_a$ 's are within  $\pm 1$  unit range, no strong outliers are observed.

## Influence of the solvent model

The description of the surrounding environment that the charged species is exposed to accounts for the ideal charge derivation scheme. Implicit solvent models offer some advantages for modeling the interactions between the solute and the solvent. In this part of the study, we have tested the accuracy of PCM and CPCM implicit solvation models in addition to SMD model calculations. Besides, due to its smaller computational costs, gas phase calculations have been taken into consideration. Figure 4 presents the linear regression fits of CPCM, PCM and gas phase calculations using NPA charges and the DFT methods as discussed in the previous sections.

Both PCM and CPCM calculations are as accurate as SMD calculations with  $R^2=0.930$  (Figure 1 and Figure 4). The predictivity of gas phase model is poorer ( $R^2 = 0.826$ ) compared




Figure 4: Effect of the implicit solvent model on the linear regression between calculated atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's. Calculations were done with M06L/6-311G(d,p): (a) PCM model (b) CPCM model (c) gas phase.

to other models where PCM, CPCM and SMD solvation methods are applied since in this study we have extracted the water phase acidities rather than gas-phase proton affinities. SMD model is different from PCM and CPCM models in considering the dispersion-repulsion energies in addition to electronic energy. These additional terms seem to contribute in finding the global minimum in geometry optimizations and assigning the atomic charges. Maximum deviations of the predicted  $pK_a$ 's from the experimental  $pK_a$ 's are found to be 0.75, 0.80 and 1.13 units for PCM, CPCM and gas phase calculations respectively.

## Density Functionals and Basis Set Benchmarks

A deep analysis of DFT functionals and basis sets influence on  $pK_a$  prediction capability for carboxylic acids have been performed by applying the same protocol to the training set. Highest NPA charge on the oxygen atoms of carboxylate fragment calculated at various level of theories with SMD model were extracted to obtain  $R^2$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  values in Eq. 1 from the linear fit with experimental  $pK_a$ 's. In Figure 5, for each combination of DFT functional and basis set, the Mean Absolute Deviations (MADs) are presented as box representations. The differences between the experimental and predicted  $pK_a$ 's ( $\Delta pK_a$ ) have been calculated for each level of theory and the maximum value of this difference (MAX- $\Delta pK_a$ ) is represented as black colored lines in Figure 5.

All of the DFT methods gave strong correlations between calculated NPA atomic charges and experimental  $pK_a$ 's with  $R^2$  range of  $0.702 \leq R^2 \leq 0.955$ . The largest MADs and MAX- $\Delta pK_a$ 's were found for the combinations of 3-21G basis set with all the functionals except M06L. Removing the 3-21G basis set combinations from the benchmark study, we obtained high accuracy range of MAD and  $\Delta pK_a$  values ( $0.17 \leq \text{MAD} \leq 0.36$  and  $0.56 \leq \text{MAX-}\Delta pK_a \leq 1.13$ ). The power of the predictivity slightly diminishes with the addition of diffuse functions to the basis set for any of the DFT functionals (i.e. 6-31+G\* has higher MAD and MAX- $\Delta pK_a$  compared to 6-31G\*). On the other hand, polarization functions did not cause any significant improvement. Regarding the performance of the functionals, in all subsets the largest MADs were obtained with either M06-2X or OLYP functionals. The smallest MADs were found for the combinations of all basis sets with the M06L functional (except 6-31G) and among all the




Figure 5: . Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) and maximum difference between predicted and experimental  $pK_a$  ( $MAX-\Delta pK_a$ ) for eight different DFT functionals and fifteen different basis sets considered in this work. Geometry optimizations and NPA charge calculations were done using the SMD model.

tested methods M06L/6-311G(d,p) gave the most accurate result with MAD value of 0.174. When we applied the Eq. 1 to the test set, the MAD value for the predicted  $pK_a$ 's was found to be 0.199 and the  $MAX-\Delta pK_a$  was found to be 0.87.

The average predicted  $pK_a$  over all the methods has been calculated in order to have an overview on the efficiency of the level of theory. The minimum and maximum predicted  $pK_a$ 's among all the methods (except 3-21G basis set due to its large MAD and  $MAX-\Delta pK_a$ ) were added to the average predicted  $pK_a$  of each molecule as error bars. The predicted  $pK_a$  is plotted versus experimental values for both training and test sets (Figure 6). Minimum, maximum and average values of the predicted  $pK_a$  were found to be within the range of  $\pm 1$  unit compared to the experimental value.

## Stability of the Prediction Along Geometry Changes

The stability of the calculated  $pK_a$ 's with respect to geometrical changes is crucial for the  $pK_a$  predictions of proteins. Short molecular dynamics simulations (150 ps) for N-acetyl alanine




Figure 6: Predicted  $pK_a$  over all the DFT functionals and basis sets (3-21G discarded) versus experimental  $pK_a$  for the Training (a) and Test (b) sets (solvation model=SMD, charge model=NPA). Circles show the average  $pK_a$ , and the error bars denote minimum and maximum predicted  $pK_a$ .

and dipeptide forms of aspartate and glutamate were performed in order to provide multiple geometries around the optimum structures and to establish the variability of the  $pK_a$  prediction with respect to geometrical changes. A total of 1500 frames were extracted from these MD simulations and single point NPA charge calculations were performed on these geometries by using SMD with M06L/6-311G(d,p) method. The predicted  $pK_a$ 's were obtained using  $a$  and  $b$  values derived from the fit. The experimental  $pK_a$ 's ( $pK_a$  [aspartate]=3.94,<sup>56</sup>  $pK_a$  [glutamate]=4.25,<sup>57</sup>  $pK_a$  [alanine]=3.67<sup>57</sup>) were taken as a reference and the fluctuations of the calculated  $pK_a$ 's with respect to geometrical changes were observed. The average value over all the frames were calculated and found to be in very good agreement with the experimental values for three of the peptides (red line in Figure 7). Almost 95% of the predictions are within  $\pm 1$   $pK_a$  unit. These results point out that the suggested protocol can accurately and efficiently predict  $pK_a$ 's of aspartate, glutamate and alanine in solution.




Figure 7: Deviations of predicted  $pK_a$  with respect to geometrical changes. Geometries were obtained from aqueous phase MD calculations. M06L/6-311G(d,p) method was used for single point NPA calculations using SMD. The red line shows the numerical average of the  $pK_a$  deviations.



## Conclusions

In this study, a protocol has been suggested in order to obtain a fast and accurate  $pK_a$  prediction for small carboxylic acids and its applicability to proteins has been tested with three amino acids. According to the suggested protocol,  $pK_a$ 's are computed by using the equation derived from the linear regression of the experimental  $pK_a$ 's with the atomic charges on the carboxylate fragment. Five charge descriptors, three charge models, three solvent models, gas phase calculations and several DFT methods (combination of eight DFT functionals and fifteen basis sets) were tested. Among those, NPA charge calculations performed with the SMD solvation model on optimized geometries gave the most accurate results. The best combination of DFT functionals and basis sets were found to be M06L/6-311G(d,p) ( $R^2 = 0.955$ ). The strongest linearity is found by selecting the maximum atomic charge on carboxylic oxygen atoms and relating it to the experimental  $pK_a$ . Molecular dynamics simulations have been performed for a set of aspartate, glutamate and alanine peptides in order to test the stability of the prediction. The protocol was applied to a randomly selected set of frames which were extracted from MD simulations and the calculations showed that the predicted  $pK_a$ 's were scattered within  $\pm 1$  unit from the experimental value. The ultimate goal would be to transfer the suggested protocol to the  $pK_a$  prediction of aspartate, glutamate and alanine within the protein environment. By reporting the calculated atomic charge of the carboxylate form into the linear relationship derived in this work, it should be possible to estimate the  $pK_a$ 's of aspartate, glutamate and alanine.

## References

- (1) Brunton, L.L., Lazo, J.S., Parker, K. *Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*, 11th ed.; Mc.Graw-Hill Medical Pub.: NewYork, 2005.
- (2) Forsyth, W. R.; Antosiewicz, J. M.; Robertson, A. D. Empirical relationships between protein structure and carboxyl  $pK_a$  values in proteins. *Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics* **2002**, *48*, 388–403.

- (3) Li, H.; Robertson, A. D.; Jensen, J. H. Very fast empirical prediction and rationalization of protein pK a values. *Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics* **2005**, *61*, 704–721.
- (4) Harris, T. K.; Turner, G. J. Structural Basis of Perturbed pK a Values of Catalytic Groups in Enzyme Active Sites. *IUBMB Life* **2002**, *53*, 85–98.
- (5) Pace, C. N.; Grimsley, G. R.; Scholtz, J. M. Protein ionizable groups: pK values and their contribution to protein stability and solubility. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2009**, *284*, 13285–13289.
- (6) Kim, J.; Mao, J.; Gunner, M. R. Are acidic and basic groups in buried proteins predicted to be ionized? *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2005**, *348*, 1283–1298.
- (7) Ji, C.; Mei, Y.; Zhang, J. Z. Developing polarized protein-specific charges for protein dynamics: MD free energy calculation of pKa shifts for Asp26/Asp 20 in thioredoxin. *Biophysical Journal* **2008**, *95*, 1080–1088.
- (8) Isom, D. G.; Castaneda, C. A.; Cannon, B. R.; Garcia-Moreno, B. Large shifts in pKa values of lysine residues buried inside a protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2011**, *108*, 5260–5265.
- (9) Li, H.; Robertson, A. D.; Jensen, J. H. The determinants of carboxyl pKa values in turkey ovomucoid third domain. *Proteins* **2004**, *55*, 689–704.
- (10) Anderson, D. E.; Becktel, W. J.; Dahlquist, F. W. pH-Induced Denaturation of Proteins: A Single Salt Bridge Contributes 3-5 kcal/mol to the Free Energy of Folding of T4 Lysozyme. *Biochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 2403–2408.
- (11) Schmidt, H.L.F., Shah, G.J, Sperling, L.J., Rienstra, C.M., NMR determination of protein p Ka values in the solid state. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2010**, *1*, 1623–1628.
- (12) Oksanen, E.; Chen, J. C.; Fisher, S. Z. Neutron crystallography for the study of hydrogen bonds in macromolecules. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1–26.

- (13) Liptak, M. D.; Shields, G. C. Accurate pKa calculations for carboxylic acids using Complete Basis Set and Gaussian-n models combined with CPCM continuum solvation methods. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2001**, *123*, 7314–7319.
- (14) Liptak, M. D.; Gross, K. C.; Seybold, P. G.; Feldgus, S.; Shields, G. C. Absolute pK<sub>a</sub> Determinations for Substituted Phenols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 6421–6427.
- (15) Rebollar-Zepeda, A. M.; Galano, A. First principles calculations of pK<sub>a</sub> values of amines in aqueous solution: Application to neurotransmitters. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* **2012**, *112*, 3449–3460.
- (16) Thapa, B.; Schlegel, H. B. Calculations of pK<sub>a</sub>'s and redox potentials of nucleobases with explicit waters and polarizable continuum solvation. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2015**, *119*, 5134–5144.
- (17) Casanovas, R.; Ortega-Castro, J.; Frau, J.; Donoso, J.; Muñoz, F. Theoretical pKa calculations with continuum model solvents, alternative protocols to thermodynamic cycles. 2014.
- (18) Zhang, J., Kleinoder, T., Gasteiger, J., Prediction of pKa values for aliphatic carboxylic acids and alcohols with empirical atomic charge descriptors. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **2006**, *46*, 2256–2266.
- (19) Milletti, F.; Storchi, L.; Sforza, G.; Cruciani, G. New and original pKa prediction method using grid molecular interaction fields. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **2007**, *47*, 2172–2181.
- (20) Xing, L., Glen, R. C., Novel Methods for the Prediction of logP, pKa, and logD. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences* **2002**, *42*, 796–805.
- (21) Xing, L.; Glen, R. C.; Clark, R. D., Predicting pKa by Molecular Tree Structured Fingerprints and PLS. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences* **2003**, *43*, 870–879.

- (22) Parthasarathi, R., Padmanabhan, J., Elango, M., Chitra, K., Subra-manian, V., Chattaraj, P. K., pKa Prediction Using Group Philicity. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2006**, *110*, 6540–6544.
- (23) Tao, L.; Han, J.; Tao, F. M. Correlations and predictions of carboxylic acid pKa values using intermolecular structure and properties of hydrogen-bonded complexes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2008**, *112*, 775–782.
- (24) Abkowicz-Bieńko, A. J.; Latajka, Z. Density Functional Study on Phenol Derivative-Ammonia Complexes in the Gas Phase. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2000**, *104*, 1004–1008.
- (25) Caballero-García, G.; Mondragón-Solórzano, G.; Torres-Cadena, R.; Díaz-García, M.; Sandoval-Lira, J.; Barroso-Flores, J. Calculation of  $V_s, \text{Max}$  and its use as a descriptor for the theoretical calculation of pKa values for carboxylic acids. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*.
- (26) Grüber, C.; Buß, V. Quantum-mechanically calculated properties for the development of quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR'S). pKA-values of phenols and aromatic and aliphatic carboxylic acids. *Chemosphere* **1989**, *19*, 1595–1609.
- (27) Soriano, E.; Cerdán, S.; Ballesteros, P. Computational determination of pKa values. A comparison of different theoretical approaches and a novel procedure. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* **2004**, *684*, 121–128.
- (28) Clarke, F. H.; Cahoon, N. M. Ionization Constants by Curve Fitting: Determination of Partition and Distribution Coefficients of Acids and Bases and Their Ions. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **1987**, *76*, 611–620.
- (29) Dixon, S. L.; Jurs, P. C. Estimation of pKa for organic oxyacids using calculated atomic charges. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **1993**, *14*, 1460–1467.
- (30) Citra, M. J. Estimating the pKa of phenols, carboxylic acids and alcohols from semi-empirical quantum chemical methods. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *38*, 191–206.

- (31) Varekova, R.S., Geidl, S., Ionescu, C.M., Skrehota, O., Kudera, M., Sehnal, D., Bouchal, T., Abagyan, R., Huber, H.J., Koca, J., Predicting pKa Values of Substituted Phenols from Atomic Charges: Comparison of Different Quantum Mechanical Methods and Charge Distribution Schemes. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **2011**, *51*, 1795–1806.
- (32) Ugur, I.; Marion, A.; Parant, S.; Jensen, J. H.; Monard, G. Rationalization of the p K a values of alcohols and thiols using atomic charge descriptors and its application to the prediction of amino acid p K a's. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **2014**, *54*, 2200–2213.
- (33) Lide, D. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 91st ed.; CRS Press, 2009.
- (34) Zhang, S.; Baker, J.; Pulay, P. A reliable and efficient first principles-based method for predicting pK(a) values. 2. Organic acids. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2010**, *114*, 432–442.
- (35) Frisch, M. J. et al. Gaussian 09 Revision B.01. Gaussian Inc.
- (36) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.
- (37) Becke, A. D. Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100.
- (38) Becke, A. D. A new mixing of Hartree-Fock and local density-functional theories. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 1372–1377.
- (39) Handy, N. C.; Cohen, A. J. Left-right correlation energy. *Mol. Phys.* **2001**, *99*, 403–412.
- (40) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (41) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1999**, *110*, 6158.

- (42) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215–241.
- (43) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Density functionals with broad applicability in chemistry. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 157–167.
- (44) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. A new local density functional for main-group thermochemistry, transition metal bonding, thermochemical kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 194101.
- (45) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. Universal solvation model based on solute electron density and on a continuum model of the solvent defined by the bulk dielectric constant and atomic surface tensions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 6378–6396.
- (46) Scalmani, G.; Frisch, M. J. Continuous surface charge polarizable continuum models of solvation. I. General formalism. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 114110.
- (47) Barone, V.; Cossi, M. Quantum Calculation of Molecular Energies and Energy Gradients in Solution by a Conductor Solvent Model. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 1995–2001.
- (48) Mulliken, R. S. Electronic Population Analysis on LCAO[Single Bond]MO Molecular Wave Functions. I. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1955**, *23*, 1833–1840.
- (49) Löwdin, P.-O. On the Non-Orthogonality Problem Connected with the Use of Atomic Wave Functions in the Theory of Molecules and Crystals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1950**, *18*, 365–375.
- (50) Reed, A. E.; Weinstock, R. B.; Weinhold, F. Natural population analysis. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 735–746.
- (51) Singh, U. C.; Kollman, P. A. An approach to computing electrostatic charges for molecules. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1984**, *5*, 129–145.

- (52) Breneman, C. M.; Wiberg, K. B. Determining atom-centered monopoles from molecular electrostatic potentials. The need for high sampling density in formamide conformational analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1990**, *11*, 361–373.
- (53) Case, D. A. et al. AMBER 2018. University of California: San Francisco, 2018.
- (54) Maier, J. A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K. E.; Simmerling, C. ff14SB: Improving The Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3696–3713.
- (55) Onufriev, A.; Bashford, D.; Case, D. A. Exploring protein native states and large-scale conformational changes with a modified generalized born model. *Proteins* **2004**, *55*, 383–394.
- (56) Grimsley, G. R.; Scholtz, J. M.; Pace, C. N. A summary of the measured pK values of the ionizable groups in folded proteins. *Protein Science* **2009**, *18*, 247–251.
- (57) Thurlkill, R. L.; Grimsley, G. R.; Scholtz, J. M.; Pace, C. N. pK values of the ionizable groups of proteins. *Prot. Sci.* **2006**, *15*, 1214–1218.

# Graphical TOC Entry






Table 1: Carboxylic Acid Training Set: CAS Number, Molecule Name, Experimental  $pK_a$ , Predicted  $pK_a$ , and Differences between Experimental and Predicted  $pK_a$  values

CAS Number	Molecule Name	$pK_a$ (exp.)	$pK_a$ (pred.) <sup>a</sup>	$\Delta pK_a$
129-66-8	2,4,6-Trinitrobenzoic acid	0.65 <sup>33</sup>	0.63	-0.02
610-30-0	2,4-Dinitrobenzoic acid	1.42 <sup>34</sup>	1.39	-0.03
471-25-0	Propiolic acid	1.89 <sup>34</sup>	1.85	-0.04
552-16-9	2-Nitrobenzoic acid	2.21 <sup>34</sup>	2.60	0.39
1460-34-0	$\alpha$ -Keto- $\beta$ -methylvaleric acid	2.30 <sup>34</sup>	2.18	-0.12
590-93-2	2-Butynoic acid	2.62 <sup>33</sup>	2.52	-0.10
298-12-4	2-Oxoacetic acid	2.98 <sup>34</sup>	2.38	-0.60
69-72-7	2-Hydroxybenzoic acid	2.98 <sup>33</sup>	2.89	-0.09
122-59-8	Phenoxyacetic acid	3.17 <sup>33</sup>	3.62	0.45
88-14-2	2-Furoic acid	3.27 <sup>34</sup>	3.52	0.25
62-23-7	4-Nitrobenzoic acid	3.43 <sup>33</sup>	3.45	0.02
480-63-7	2,4,6-Trimethylbenzoic acid	3.55 <sup>34</sup>	3.74	0.19
625-45-6	Methoxyacetic acid	3.57 <sup>34</sup>	3.93	0.36
1877-72-1	3-Cyanobenzoic acid	3.60 <sup>33</sup>	3.66	0.06
33445-07-7	Isopropoxyacetic acid	3.69 <sup>34</sup>	3.97	0.28
64-18-6	Formic acid	3.76 <sup>34</sup>	3.86	0.10
627-03-2	Ethoxyacetic acid	3.84 <sup>34</sup>	3.96	0.12
488-93-7	3-Furoic acid	3.90 <sup>33</sup>	4.05	0.15
99-06-9	3-Hydroxybenzoic acid	4.08 <sup>33</sup>	4.07	-0.01
93-09-4	2-Naphtoic acid	4.16 <sup>33</sup>	4.04	-0.12
190965-42-5	3-Propoxybenzoic acid	4.20 <sup>34</sup>	4.08	-0.12
99-04-7	3-Methylbenzoic acid	4.25 <sup>33</sup>	4.18	-0.07
103-82-2	Phenylacetic acid	4.31 <sup>33</sup>	4.37	0.06
99-50-3	3,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	4.48 <sup>33</sup>	4.41	-0.07
79-31-2	Isobutyric acid	4.64 <sup>34</sup>	4.74	0.10
1759-53-1	Cyclopropanecarboxylic acid	4.83 <sup>33</sup>	4.64	-0.19
142-62-1	Hexanoic acid	4.85 <sup>33</sup>	4.94	0.09
6202-94-4	trans-2-Methylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid	5.00 <sup>34</sup>	4.75	-0.25
6142-57-0	cis-2-Methylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid	5.02 <sup>34</sup>	4.72	-0.30
541-47-9	3-Methyl-2-butenic acid	5.12 <sup>34</sup>	4.64	-0.48

<sup>a</sup>  $pK_a$  values are computed for each molecule on the anionic form, optimized with M06L/6-311G(d,p) and SMD, using the highest NPA atomic charge of the two oxygen atoms of the carboxylate fragment (see text).

Table 2: Monocarboxylic Acid Test Set: CAS Number, Molecule Name, Experimental  $pK_a$ , Predicted  $pK_a$ , and Differences between Experimental and Predicted  $pK_a$  values

CAS Number	Molecule Name	$pK_a$ (exp.)	$pK_a$ (pred.) <sup>a</sup>	$\Delta pK_a$
625-75-2	Nitroacetic acid	1.48 <sup>33</sup>	2.19	0.71
372-09-8	Cyanoacetic acid	2.47 <sup>33</sup>	3.34	0.87
127-17-3	Pyruvic acid	2.60 <sup>34</sup>	2.59	-0.01
5699-58-1	Acetopyruvic acid	2.61 <sup>34</sup>	2.11	-0.50
121-92-6	3-Nitrobenzoic acid	3.46 <sup>33</sup>	3.57	0.11
619-65-8	4-Cyanobenzoic acid	3.55 <sup>33</sup>	3.62	0.07
2516-93-0	Butoxyacetic acid	3.66 <sup>34</sup>	3.96	0.30
54497-00-6	Propoxyacetic acid	3.69 <sup>34</sup>	3.94	0.25
50-21-5	2-Hydroxypropanoic acid	3.83 <sup>34</sup>	3.95	0.12
79-14-1	Hydroxyacetic acid	3.83 <sup>33</sup>	3.90	0.07
118-90-1	2-Methylbenzoic acid	3.98 <sup>34</sup>	3.79	-0.19
586-38-9	3-Methoxybenzoic acid	4.09 <sup>34</sup>	4.07	-0.02
65-85-0	Benzoic acid	4.19 <sup>34</sup>	4.12	-0.07
2529-39-7	2,3,4,5-Tetramethylbenzoic acid	4.22 <sup>34</sup>	4.06	-0.16
86-55-5	1-Naphtioic acid	4.24 <sup>34</sup>	3.71	-0.53
79-10-7	Acrylic acid	4.25 <sup>33</sup>	3.95	-0.30
1077-07-2	3-Allylbenzoic acid	4.32 <sup>34</sup>	4.12	-0.20
99-94-5	4-Methylbenzoic acid	4.37 <sup>33</sup>	4.27	-0.10
5438-19-7	4-Propoxybenzoic acid	4.46 <sup>34</sup>	4.43	-0.03
100-09-4	4-Methoxybenzoic acid	4.50 <sup>33</sup>	4.42	-0.08
1498-96-0	4-Butoxybenzoic acid	4.53 <sup>34</sup>	4.43	-0.10
99-96-7	4-Hydroxybenzoic acid	4.58 <sup>34</sup>	4.45	-0.13
64-19-7	Acetic acid	4.76 <sup>34</sup>	4.81	0.05
107-92-6	Butyric acid	4.82 <sup>34</sup>	4.90	0.08
109-52-4	Pentanoic acid	4.86 <sup>34</sup>	4.93	0.07
79-09-4	Propanoic acid	4.87 <sup>33</sup>	4.87	-0.00
98-89-5	Cyclohexanecarboxylic acid	4.90 <sup>34</sup>	5.05	0.15
3400-45-1	Cyclopentanecarboxylic acid	4.99 <sup>33</sup>	4.93	-0.06
75-98-9	Trimethylacetic acid	5.05 <sup>34</sup>	4.63	-0.42

<sup>a</sup>  $pK_a$  values are computed for each molecule on the anionic form, optimized with M06L/6-311G(d,p) and SMD, using the highest NPA atomic charge of the two oxygen atoms of the carboxylate fragment (see text).

CAS Number	Molecule Name	Apparent $pK_a$ (exp.)		Microscopic $pK_a$ (pred.)		Apparent $pK_a$ (pred.)	
		$pK_a$ 1	$pK_a$ 2	$pK_a$ 1	$pK_a$ 2	$pK_a$ 1 ( $\Delta pK_a$ )	$pK_a$ 2 ( $\Delta pK_a$ )
110-16-7	Maleic acid	1.92	6.23 <sup>33</sup>	2.41	4.45	2.16 (0.24)	4.75 (-1.48)
595-84-6	EthylmethyImalonic acid	2.86	6.41 <sup>34</sup>	3.71	4.92	3.42 (0.56)	5.22 (-1.19)
601-75-2	Ethylmalonic acid	2.99	5.83 <sup>34</sup>	3.35	4.84	3.05 (0.06)	5.14 (-0.69)
595-46-0	Dimethylmalonic acid	3.17	6.06 <sup>34</sup>	3.44	4.63	3.14 (-0.03)	4.93 (-1.13)
5802-65-3	Cyclopentyl-1,1-dicarboxylic acid	3.23	6.08 <sup>34</sup>	3.34	4.74	3.12 (-0.11)	5.05 (-1.03)
608-40-2	meso-2,3-Dimethylsuccinic acid	3.77	5.94 <sup>34</sup>	4.19	4.78	3.89 (0.12)	5.08 (-0.86)
16713-66-9	Cyclopentyl-1,1-diacetic acid	3.80	6.77 <sup>34</sup>	4.75	5.01	4.45 (0.65)	5.31 (-1.46)
2305-31-9	cis-Cyclohexane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid	4.10	5.46 <sup>34</sup>	4.68	4.90	4.38 (0.28)	5.20 (-0.26)
110-15-6	Succinic acid	4.21	5.64 <sup>33</sup>	4.71	5.01	4.41 (0.20)	5.31 (-0.33)
876-05-1	cis-Cyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid	4.26	5.51 <sup>34</sup>	4.54	4.78	4.24 (-0.02)	5.09 (-0.42)
2305-30-8	trans-Cyclohexane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid	4.31	5.73 <sup>34</sup>	4.72	5.02	4.41 (0.10)	5.32 (-0.41)
110-94-1	Glutaric acid	4.32	5.42 <sup>33</sup>	4.80	4.93	4.50 (0.18)	5.23 (-0.19)
826-02-8	trans-Cyclopentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid	4.32	5.42 <sup>34</sup>	4.81	5.00	4.51 (0.19)	5.30 (-0.12)
124-04-9	Adipic acid	4.41	5.41 <sup>33</sup>	4.91	4.95	4.60 (0.19)	5.25 (-0.16)

<sup>a</sup>  $pK_a$  values are computed for each molecule on the anionic form, optimized with M06L/6-311G(d,p) and SMD, using the highest NPA atomic charge of the two oxygen atoms of the carboxylate fragment (see text).

Table 3: Dicarboxylic Acid Test Set: CAS Number, Molecule Name, Experimental  $pK_a$ , Predicted  $pK_a$ , and Differences between Experimental and Predicted  $pK_a$  values