

3

4

Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Light-Activated Antibiotics

2

Inga S. Shchelik, Andrea Tomio, and Karl Gademann

Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057, Zurich, Switzerland

- 5
- 6

7 ABSTRACT

8 The spatial and temporal control of bioactivity of small molecules by light (photopharmacology) constitutes a 9 promising approach for study of biological processes and ultimately for the treatment of diseases. In this study, we 10 investigated two different 'caged' antibiotic classes that can undergo remote activation with UV-light at λ =365 nm, 11 via the conjugation of deactivating and photocleavable units through a short synthetic sequence. The two widely used 12 antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin were thus enhanced in their performance by rendering them photoresponsive and thus suppressing undesired off-site activity. The antimicrobial activity against Bacillus subtilis 13 14 ATCC 6633, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, S. aureus ATCC 43300 (MRSA), Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, 15 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 could be spatiotemporally controlled with light. Both molecular series 16 displayed a good activity window. The vancomycin derivative displayed excellent values against Gram-positive strains after uncaging, and the next-generation caged cephalosporin derivative achieved good and broad activity 17 18 against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains after photorelease.

19 Key words: antibacterial agents, photopharmacology, photocaging, vancomycin, cephalosporin.

20 INTRODUCTION

Pharmacotherapy often remains the treatment of choice for many diseases via suitable medication.¹ However, this 21 approach is often associated with issues related to environmental toxicity,² poor drug selectivity causing side-effects,³ 22 and the emergence of resistance in certain disease areas such as infectious diseases.⁴⁻⁶ So far, several stimuli-23 responsive systems have been developed to overcome these issues, including either endogenous stimuli (such as 24 enzyme, pH, redox reactions) or exogenous stimuli (such as light, ionizing irradiation, magnetic fields).^{7,8} In terms 25 of exogenous approaches, photopharmacology has demonstrated excellent performance in achieving control of time, 26 area, and dosage of therapeutics by light.9-11 The development of such strategies includes incorporation of 27 photoswitchable groups into the molecular structure of bioactive compounds,^{12–22} introduction of functional groups 28 29 for light-triggered drug self-destruction,²³ or in general 'caging' the activity of compounds.²⁴⁻²⁷ In this respect, caged compounds include photoactivatable probes such as photo-protecting groups, photocleavable linkers, or 30 photodegradable peptides,^{28,29} and these compounds remain biologically or functionally inert prior to uncaging. 31 Photoactivation of caged compounds enables the spatiotemporal regulation of the activity of the drugs of interest, 32 33 which has been successfully applied as powerful tools in biological studies. There are many examples of successful utilization of photocaging handles directly on antitumor drugs,³⁰⁻³³ neurotransmitters,³⁴⁻³⁶ or peptides.³⁷ 34 Related to antibiotics, there have been many examples of photoswitchable groups attached to antibiotics, which have 35 been demonstrated to successfully inhibit bacterial growth by irradiation.^{12,14–16,19,20,22,38} However, thermodynamic 36 37 equilibration of the photoswitches invariably leads to a decrease of antibiotic activity over time, often during the 38 application. In order to prevent bacterial regrowth, constant and longer irradiation needs to be employed, which 39 consequently might lead to side-effects due to undesired prolonged UV irradiation.

40 In contrast, photocaging of antibiotics presents the complementary and unique strategy of releasing the active 41 compounds ad finitum. Advantages of this strategy include (1) short exposure to UV light, (2) release of maximum concentration within a short time frame, and (3) prolonged activity of the antibacterial agents. Interestingly, there are 42 only few reports on photocaged antibiotics, used for the study of protein translation, ^{39,40} hydrogel modification for 43 antibacterial wound dressings,⁴¹ blocking the group responsible for antibiotic activity,^{42,43} or living organism 44 45 functionalisation.⁴⁴ However, to the best of our knowledge, examples remain very scarce and the important classes 46 of vancomycin and cephalosporin antibiotics have not been addressed so far. In this study, we report the control of 47 activity of two widely used antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin, where the caging functionality was appended 48 to the pharmacophore. We demonstrate that UV-light exposure at $\lambda = 365$ nm uncages the precursor antibiotics and 49 thereby releases antibacterial activity in the presence of bacteria.

Vancomycin and cephalosporin are members of the class of antibiotics that inhibit the cell wall biosynthesis in bacteria.⁴⁵ Both drugs remain on the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines.⁴⁶ Vancomycin is active against Gram-positive bacteria and widely used in clinics worldwide, especially for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*, MRSA).⁴⁷ We evaluated members of the 4th generation of cephalosporins, which feature inhibitory effects against various Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas* *aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*).^{48,49} The evolution of microbial resistance to vancomycin and cephalosporins is an emerging problem, that renders those particularly interesting candidates for photopharmacology.^{50,51} The development of photoresponsive analogues and control of their activity could reduce undesirable bacterial interactions with the active drug form, thus limiting the progress of bacterial resistance.

59 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

71

60 We chose to employ a dual strategy featuring both a photocleavable group for caging combined with a PEGylation 61 approach for steric blocking. We hypothesized that the introduction of a relatively long PEG chain to vancomycin 62 will suppress its activity and prevent binding with the terminal amino acid residues of the nascent peptide chain 63 during cell wall synthesis. In case of cephalosporin, we expected to either avert the insertion of the drug into 64 penicillin-binding protein (PBP) or prevent transport issues by e.g. bacterial pumps by this approach. As consequence 65 for the design, compounds 1 and 2 were chosen as the target caged antibiotics of our study, which should release active compounds 3^{44} and 4. The good antibacterial activity of similar pyridinium cephalosporin derivatives was 66 shown earlier in several studies 52,53 and patents 54. Compounds 5 and 6 serve as control compounds to evaluate the 67 role of the PEG blocking group. Vancomycin has been modified according to a previously reported strategy at the 68 carboxylic acid position,^{44,55} and the cephalosporin modification was extending the C-3' position of the cepham ring 69 70 system with a nitrobenzyl caging group sterically modified by ethylene glycol units.



The synthesis of target vancomycin derivative 1 started with the linker preparation (Scheme 1). The acid functionality 72 73 of the Fmoc-Photo-Linker 7 was used for incorporation of the PEG chain via amide bond formation (-> 8a), followed 74 by Fmoc deprotection leading to the desired linker 9a with 60% yield over two steps. The last step included coupling 75 the obtained linker with vancomycin hydrochloride in presence of PyBop and HOBt as coupling agents to give target 76 compound 1. Analogously, the control compound 5 without the PEG chain was obtained via intermediates 8b and 77 **9b** in similar yields. The synthesis of target cephalosporin derivative 2 started with an S_N2 reaction between the 78 phenolate of 2-nitro-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde 10 and 1-bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane (Scheme 2) to give 11a. 79 Next, reductive amination to 12a with 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine was carried out. Carrying out this reaction with 80 stepwise addition of the reducing agent could improve the performance of the reaction and correspondingly, the yield. 81 For the key coupling with the cephalosporin core, the secondary N-atom on the intermediate amine had to be blocked.

- 82 The attempt to attach the linker directly to the cephalosporine core 14 led to the formation of undesired products. A 83 Boc protecting group was chosen to block the reactivity of secondary amine on the linker, and after the screening of 84 several conditions, the use of THF as a solvent was crucial for the reaction, in order to achieve full conversion and to avoid decomposition. The desired linker 13a was obtained via this route in 23% yield over 3 steps. The key 85 coupling reaction with cephalosporin 14 included three straight forward steps without the isolation of intermediates. 86 87 Moreover, both Boc and PMB protection groups could be removed in one step in presence of trifluoroacetic acid 88 (TFA) and anisole, to give the target compound, albeit in poor yield. Along the same lines, the preparation of control 89 compound 6 was achieved by using transient MOM protection via intermediates 11b, 12b, and 13b, and the active 90 compound 4 was obtained by direct reaction of core 14 with 4-(aminomethyl)-pyridine.
- 91 Scheme 1. Synthesis of UV-light regulated vancomycin derivatives 1 and 5.



- 92 $\mathbf{8b}: \mathbb{R}^1 = OMe$ $\mathbf{9b}: \mathbb{R}^1 = OMe$ 93"Reagents and conditions: (a) MeO-PEG24-amine, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, 2h, rt, 72% for $\mathbf{8a}$. (b) MeOH, H2SO4, 50 °C, overnight, 97% for $\mathbf{8b}$.94(c) 20% piperidine, DMF, 1-2h, rt, 83% for $\mathbf{9a}$, 95% for $\mathbf{9b}$. (d) Vancomycin hydrochloride, PyBop, HOBt, DMF, 2h, rt, 28% for 1 from $\mathbf{9a}$, 30% for 5 from $\mathbf{9b}$.
- 96

97 Scheme 2. Synthesis of cephalosporin derivatives 2, 4, and 6.



98

103 104 The general photochemistry of compounds **1** and **2** was studied next. In an earlier study, we investigated the 105 photoproperties of similar vancomycin analog with the same nitrobenzyl photo group attached.⁴⁴ It was demonstrated 106 that the release of vancomycin amide **3** takes place rapidly during the UV-irradiation (λ =365 nm) of a vancomycin 107 derivative with photocleavable linker and reaches a maximum of 70% after 5 min.⁴⁴ Next, the photocleavage efficacy 108 of cephalosporin derivative **5** was investigated. It was shown that the cephalosporin with pyridyl-methylamine moiety 109 **4** as a product was released after UV-irradiation (λ =365 nm, Figure S1). Moreover, the same tendency in efficacy for 110 the photocleavage of the linker was observed compared to the vancomycin derivative. The maximum conversion of 111 roughly 70% was observed after only 6 min of irradiation (Figure S2). Importantly, no by-products except of the

- 112 cleaved linker could detected after the photocleavage by UHPLC-MS (Figure S4).
- The antimicrobial activity studies of all obtained compounds were investigated by performing a broth dilution method 113 according to the EUCAST standard protocol.⁵⁶ The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the target 114 compounds 1 and 2, compounds released after UV-irradiation 3 and 4, as well as control compounds 5 and 6 were 115 116 determined against two Gram-negative strains E.coli ATCC 25922 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853, and three Gram-117 positive strains B. subtilis ATCC 6633, S. aureus ATCC 29213 (VSSA), S. aureus ATCC 43300 (MRSA) (Table 1). As was expected, PEG containing vancomycin derivative 1 did not display significant activity against Gram-positive 118 119 strains with a MIC value more than 64 μ g/mL. In contrast, the compound **3** lacking a PEG group displayed excellent 120 activity with MIC values of 0.125 µg/mL and 1 µg/mL against B. subtilis and S. aureus, respectively, similar to 121 vancomycin itself. Moreover, released vancomycin amide 3 featured the same MIC values compared to vancomycin.⁴⁴ These experimental observations corroborate the hypothesis that the presence of long PEG chains is 122 123 necessary for low antibacterial activity of vancomycin derivatives.
- 124 Concerning cephalosporin derivatives, an insertion of PEG linker lowered the antibiotic activity against all the tested 125 strains, as shown in table 1. The released cephalosporin derivative 4 exhibited especially high activity against Gram-126 negative strains with a MIC value of 2 µg/mL, however it turned out to be less active against Gram-positive strains, 127 especially S. aureus. This can be explained by the fact that cephalosporins possessing a thiadiazole side chain and 128 zwitterionic properties in their core exhibit low β -lactamase hydrolysis and higher penetration rate through the outer membrane, what renders them especially active against Gram-negative bacteria.^{57,58} For the control compound 6 129 having only a nitrobenzyl group, the MIC value decreased only for P. aeruginosa. The significant difference in 130 131 activity after the incorporation of photo-linker was not observed against other strains, which necessitates the need of 132 the PEG chain in the structure.¹⁶From these results we decided to focus on exploration cephalosporin activity against Gram-negative strains and vancomycin activity against Gram-positive bacteria for the next experiments on in situ 133 134 cleavage.
- 135
- Table 1. MIC values (in µg/mL) of vancomycin and cephalosporin derivatives. Red background denotes caged
 precursors, and green background denotes active uncaged antibiotics.

	Va	ancomycin Seri	ies	Cephalosporin Series			
	1	3	5	2	4	6	
E. coli ATCC 25922	-	-	-	8	1-2	1	
P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853	-	-	-	64	2-4	32	
B. subtilis ATCC 6633	32	0.06-0.125	0.125	8	2-4	1	
S. aureus ATCC 29213	>64	0.5-1	0.5	32	8	4	
S. aureus ATCC 43300	>64	1-2	1	64	32	16	

138

139 A time-resolved growth analysis in 96-well format was performed, in order to investigate the dynamic effect of target 140 antibiotics 1 and 2 on the bacterial growth before and after irradiation. A series of 2-fold dilutions starting from 64-141 32 µg/mL of corresponding antibiotic was carried out in one half of a 96-wells plate. The solutions were UV-142 irradiated for 5 min at λ = 365 nm. Next, the dilution step was repeated in the second half of the same 96-wells plate 143 followed by the bacteria inoculation at optical density $OD_{600} = 0.1$. The bacterial growth curves were recorded at 37 °C by a plate reader, measuring the OD₆₀₀ every 20 min during 18 h. Vancomycin derivative 1 was first tested 144 145 against the Gram-positive strain B. subtilis. The desired inhibition was observed for the solutions contained 146 compound 1 starting from 1 µg/mL and above, after the UV-irradiation. In contrast, non-irradiated solutions did not 147 impact on B. subtilis growth at all tested concentrations. No difference between "non-activated" and "activated" 148 forms of vancomycin derivative 1 was observed at the concentration 0.5 μ g/mL (Figure 1, A, B, Figure S5), which 149 is fully compliant with MIC data for both compound 1, released form 3, and photocleavage efficacy of introduced 150 linker.



152

Figure 1. Bacterial growth curves of Gram-positive bacteria at decreasing concentrations of the vancomycin derivative 1. (A) Non-irradiated samples in presence of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633. (B) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at $\lambda =$ 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633. (C) Non-irradiated samples in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213. (D) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at $\lambda =$ 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213. (E) Nonirradiated samples in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA). (F) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at $\lambda =$ 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA). (F) Sample after irradiated before inoculation. Data points represent mean value ±SD (n=3)

160 Next, the vancomycin derivative **1** was tested against difficult to treat strains of *S. aureus,* including MRSA strains. 161 We were pleased to observe the effective inhibition of bacterial growth at the range of 4 μ g/mL and above after an 5 162 minute UV-irradiation at $\lambda = 365$ nm of the antibiotic **1** (Figure 1C, 1E, Figure S6-S7). However, the normal bacterial

- growth remained for both vancomycin sensitive *S. aureus* (VSSA) and MRSA in presence of deactivated vancomycin
 derivative 1 at concentration 1 µg/mL and higher (Figure 1D, 1F, Figure S6-S7).
- 165 In the cephalosporin series, the antibacterial activity of compound 2 with different concentrations was first tested against Gram-negative strains E. coli and P. aeruginosa. From MIC results, we could identify a small window in 166 activity between "non-activated" and "activated" forms of cephalosporin derivative 2 against E. coli. Corroborating 167 168 these hypotheses, bacterial growth curve demonstrated that a concentration of $4 \mu g/mL$ of compound 2 delivered the expected difference in antibacterial activity before and after UV-irradiation (Figure 2A). In addition, the sample at 169 170 the concentration 2 µg/mL resulted in slight inhibition of bacterial growth after the release of the cephalosporin active 171 form. Unfortunately, starting from 8 μ g/mL and higher, compound 2 implied inhibitory activity even without UV-172 irradiation (Figure 2B, Figure S8).



173

Figure 2. Bacterial growth curves of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 at decreasing concentrations of the cephalosporin derivative 2. (A) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at $\lambda = 365$ nm for 5 min. (B) Non-irradiated samples. All the solutions were irradiated before inoculation. Data points represent mean value ±SD (n=3).

178 Next, the activity of cephalosporin derivative 2 at the different concentrations was tested against *P. aeruginosa*. After 179 the release of active compound **4** significant inhibition of bacterial growth at concentration 32 µg/mL was observed 180 (Figure 3A). The lower concentration of compound 2 (16 µg/mL) after UV exposure resulted in partial inhibition of 181 P. aeruginosa growth and an extended lag phase of 12 hours. Moreover, the further decrease of cephalosporin derivative concentration to 8 µg/mL correlated with reduced culture OD in the plateau phase. Finally, subsequent 182 183 lowering of antibiotic 2 loading did not impact on bacterial growth anymore. The small inhibition effect was observed 184 only at concentration 64 µg/mL for the compound 2 before the UV-irradiation (Figure 3A), which corresponds to the earlier obtained MIC results. From the obtained result, we have concluded that the starting concentration 32 µg/mL 185 186 of target cephalosporin derivative 2 is optimal to induce the expected difference between "activated" and "non-187 activated" forms of antibiotic.



188

189 Figure 3. Bacterial growth curves of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 at decreasing concentrations of the cephalosporin derivative 190 2. (A) Non-irradiated samples. (B) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at $\lambda = 365$ nm for 5 min. All the solutions 191 were irradiated before inoculation. Data points represent mean value ±SD (n=3).

193 Unfortunately, the testing of cephalosporin derivative 2 against Gram-positive strains yielded disappointing results, 194 with a no difference in growth evident before and after UV-irradiation. However, this lack of difference might be 195 due to a small or non-existent gap in activity between "non-active" and "active" forms of cephalosporin derivative 196 against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*.

197 Next, to show the absence of activity coming from the released by-product after the UV-irradiation of designed 198 antibiotics **1** and **2**, a control experiment was carried out. The linkers **9a** and **12a** in the highest concentration of 64 199 μ g/mL were UV-irradiated at λ =365 nm for 5 min and inoculated with bacteria. The time-resolved bacterial growth 200 analysis was repeated during 18 h at 37 °C. Gratifyingly, no inhibitory effect was observed for both linkers **9a** and 201 **12a** against Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains, respectively (Figures S9-S10).

202 In order to demonstrate the benefit of our designed antibiotics 1 and 2, the study of their effect after UV-irradiation 203 was carried out in the exponential phase of bacterial growth. The bacteria were incubated with compounds 1 or 2 for 7 h, whereafter the solutions were exposed to UV light at λ =365 nm for 5 min. The dynamic growth analysis was 204 205 recorded during 18 h in total. As shown in Figure 4, the clear inhibition of the bacterial growth was observed for 206 Gram-positive B. subtilis (A) and VSSA (B) after the release of vancomycin amide 3, and Gram-negative E. coli (D) 207 in presence of cephalosporin derivative 4 compare to the negative control experiments. The concentration of the 208 compound 1 used to inhibit B. subtilis growth was 2 times higher (2 µg/mL) compared to the experiment with 209 irradiation at t = 0 min, which was not the case for the other strains. This can be explained by the insufficient amount 210 of antibiotic released at a lower loading concentration to kill a large number of bacteria formed after 7 hours of

211 incubation. Concerning MRSA and P. aeruginosa, a slowdown in bacterial growth has been detected after UV-

- 212 irradiation of antibiotics 1 and 2, respectively (Figure 4C and 4E). It was also demonstrated that the bacteria was not
- affected by the UV-irradiation step as the control experiment did not exhibit any deviations in growth.



Figure 4. Bacterial growth in presence of modified antibiotics with UV-irradiation step after 7h of bacterial growth for 5 min at 365 nm. (A) *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 mixed with vancomycin derivative 1 (2 μ g/mL) (B) *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 mixed with vancomycin derivative 1 (4 μ g/mL) (C) *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 mixed with vancomycin derivative 1 (4 μ g/mL) (D) *E. coli* mixed with cephalosporin derivative 2 (4 μ g/mL) (E) *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 mixed with cephalosporin derivative 2 (32 μ g/mL). Data points represent mean values ±SD (n=3).

222 The use of photoswitching groups in antibiotics remains challenging for retaining the desired biological effect for a long time. Thermal isomerization of these antibiotics over time led to a loss in activity, as shown by group of 223 Feringa.¹⁵ For long-term maintenance of the therapeutic effect, irreversible antibiotic release thereby constitutes an 224 225 advantage. We could successfully demonstrate the remaining antibacterial effect after the activation of the designed 226 compounds over a period of 18 h. Moreover, the loading concentration of target antibiotics required to observe the 227 desired inhibition after UV-irradiation remained low and can be predicted accurately from the MIC results and 228 photocleavage efficacy. However, the initial concentration of the "caged" derivative has to be correlated with the 229 starting amount of bacteria. This tendency was observed for antibiotic 1 tested against S. aureus, when $2 \mu g/mL$ of 230 vancomycin derivative 1 was not sufficient to inhibit bacterial growth after the release of the active drug 3, as the 231 bacterial density used for the experiment was 200 times higher compared to MIC test. Additionally, the prolonged 232 log phase in case of *P. aeruginosa* in presence of 16 µg/mL of cephalosporin **2** reveal the importance to use the higher concentration of antibiotic to observe full inhibitory effect. This delay in bacterial growth in presence of insufficient 233 amount of antibiotic was earlier showed by the group of Bunge studying *Enterococcus faecium*.⁵⁹ Another advantage 234 of the system reported herein is that by small changes in initial antibiotic structure, we retained the biological activity 235 236 against a broader spectrum of bacterial strains. The small difference in activity was observed for cephalosporin derivative, however, it could be readily improved by the introduction of longer PEG chains. 237

In summary, we have developed a new and efficient PEGylation approach for the caging of antibiotic activity. In this report, we applied photo modifications for UV light-stimulated control of the activity of two broadly used antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin. The modified antibiotics could be irreversibly turned into an active form using an external stimulus. The release experiments performed in presence of Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains showed strong inhibition of bacterial growth after UV-irradiation (λ =365 nm, 5 min) in both lag and exponential growth phases. In principle, the developed approach could be applied to any antibiotic possessing active groups for the modification, opening the field of photopharmacology without the need for dramatic changes in a drug structure.

245 246

247 METHODS

Chemistry. General. Reactions were carried out under inert gas (N_2 or Ar) in oven-dried (120°C) glass equipment 248 249 and monitored for completion by TLC or UHPLC-MS (ESI). Solvents for reactions and analyses were of analytical 250 grade. Fmoc-photo-linker 7, NH₂C₂H₄PEG₂₃OMe (m-PEG₂₄-amine), 5-Hydroxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde 10, and 1-251 bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane was purchased from Iris biotech, BroadPharm, FluoroChem and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. The synthesis of compounds 11b and 14 have already been reported.^{60,61} Analytical thin-layer 252 253 chromatography (TLC) was run on Merck TLC plates silica gel 60 F254 on glass plate with the indicated solvent 254 system; the spots were visualized by UV light (365 nm), and stained by anisaldehyde, ninhydrin, or KMnO₄ stain. 255 Silica gel column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230 - 400 Mesh) purchased from Sigma-256 Aldrich with the solvent mixture indicated. The SPE columns used were DSC-18 (Supelco, Sigma). Preparative 257 HPLC separations were performed on a Shimadzu HPLC system (LC-20AP dual pump, CBM-20A Communication Bus Module, SPP-20, A UV/VIS Detector, FRC-10A Fraction collector) using reverse-phase (RP) columns Gemini-258 NX C18 (250 mm x 21.2 mm; 10 μm, 110 Å) or Synergi Hydro-RP (250 mm x 21.2 mm; 10 μm, 80 Å). Ultra-high-259 260 performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) coupled to mass spectrometer (MS) experiments were performed on 261 an Ultimate 3000 LC system (HPG-3400 RS pump, WPS-3000 TRS autosampler, TCC-3000 RS column oven, 262 Vanquish DAD detector from Thermo Scientific) coupled to a triple quadrupole (TSQ Quantum Ultra from Thermo Scientific). The separation was performed using a RP column (Kinetex EVO C18; 50×2.1 mm; 1.7µm; 100 Å, 263 264 Phenomenex), a flow of 0.4 ml/min, a solvent system composed of A ($H_2O + 0.1\%$ HCO₂H) and B (MeCN + 0.1% HCO₂H) and an elution gradient starting with 5% B, increasing from 5% to 95% B in 3.5 min, from 95% to 100% B 265 266 in 0.05 min, and washing the column with 100% B for 1.25 min. UHPLC-MS measurements after the photolysis 267 experiments the fragments ions were monitored by SIM mode focusing on the m/z 505.7 Da and 656.8 Da. IR-268 spectroscopy was performed on a Varian 800 FT-IR ATR Spectrometer. Lyophilization was performed on a Christ 269 Freeze drver ALPHA 1-4 LD plus. High-resolution electrospray mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were recorded on a 270 timsTOF Pro TIMS-QTOF-MS instrument (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany). The samples were 271 dissolved in (e.g. MeOH) at a concentration of ca. 50 µg/ml and analyzed via continuous flow injection (2 µL/min). 272 The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive (or negative) electrospray ionization mode at 4'000 V (-4'000 273 V) capillary voltage and -500 V (500 V) endplate offset with a N_2 nebulizer pressure of 0.4 bar and a dry gas flow of 274 4 l/min at 180°C. Mass spectra were acquired in a mass range from m/z 50 to 2'000 at ca. 20'000 resolution (m/z

- 275 622) and at 1.0 Hz rate. The mass analyzer was calibrated between m/z 118 and 2'721 using an Agilent ESI-L low
- concentration tuning mix solution (Agilent, USA) at a resolution of 20'000 giving a mass accuracy below 2 ppm. All
- 277 solvents used were purchased in best LC-MS quality ¹H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Avance II or III-
- 278 500 (500 MHz with Cryo-BBO, TXI, BBI or BBO probes). Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) on
- 279 the delta (δ) scale and coupling constants (J) were reported in Hz. Chemical shifts were calibrated according to the
- 280 used solvents.⁶²
- 281 (9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(1-(5-methoxy-2-nitro-4-((75-oxo-2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,38,41,44,47,
- 282 50,53,56,59, 62,65,68,71-tetracosaoxa-74-azaoctaheptacontan-78-yl) oxy)phenyl)ethyl)carbamate (8a).
- To a solution of Fmoc-photo-linker 7 (17.4 μ g, 0.034 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (0.350 mL) at 0°C, distilled *N*,*N*diisopropylethylamine (0.017 ml, 0.1 mmol) and HATU (25.4 mg, 0.067 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture turned dark brown. *m*-PEG24-amine (40 μ g, 0.037 mmol) was added after 10 min. The reaction was stirred at 0°C for 1 h and then at rt for 1 h. The solvents were evaporated followed by purification of crude product by flash silica column chromatography, (DCM:MeOH 100:5) to obtain the product **8a** (38 μ g, 0.033 mmol, 72%) as a slightly yellow oil.
- 289 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.29$ (DCM:MeOH 100:5); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.82 – 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.58 – 7.54 (m, 3H), 290 7.45 - 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.33 - 7.27 (m, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.49 - 6.45 (m, 1H), 5.60 - 5.49 (m, 1H), 5.40 - 5.36 (m, 291 1H), 4.45 – 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 4.13 – 4.08 (m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.74 – 3.57 (m, 121H), 3.57 – 3.51 (m, 6H), 292 3.48 - 3.42 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 2.45 - 2.35 (m, 3H), 2.22 - 2.15 (m, 2H), 2.07 - 1.82 (m, 6H), 1.60 - 1.39 (m, 293 4H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.23, 155.57, 153.96, 147.18, 143.98, 141.43, 140.56, 134.43, 127.81, 127.13, 294 125.03, 120.09, 109.99, 72.06, 70.69, 70.30, 70.05, 68.72, 66.65, 59.16, 56.47, 48.60, 47.35, 39.38, 32.65, 25.03, 21.81. **IR (film)**: $v_{\text{max}} = 2872, 1719, 1648, 1519, 1452, 1349, 1272, 1247, 1217, 1182, 1096, 948, 836, 762, 742 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 295 296 ¹; **ESI-HRMS**: calcd for $C_{77}H_{127}O_{31}N_3Na [M+Na]^+$, m/z = 1612.83457 Da, found 1612.83540 Da.
- 297 *Methyl* 4-(4-(1-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)butanoate (**8b**)
- 298 Fmoc-photo-linker 7 (50 mg, 0.096 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (0.9 mL). Sulfuric acid (3 drops) was added to 299 the reaction mixture and the mixture was stirred overnight at 50 °C. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure 300 and DCM was added to the reaction mixture. The formed precipitate was filtered off and the solution was 301 concentrated to afford the desired product 8b as a white solid (49 mg, 0.096 mmol, 97%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.49 – 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 302 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.32 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 5.21 (p, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 – 4.23 (m, 2H), 4.20 – 4.15 (m, 1H), 303 304 4.06 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.47 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.04 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 305 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 172.88, 155.25, 153.40, 146.23, 143.89, 143.61, 140.73, 139.92, 135.47, 127.59, 306 126.90, 125.00, 120.10, 109.37, 108.16, 67.78, 65.21, 56.19, 51.35, 46.68, 45.93, 29.82, 23.97, 21.89. $\mathbf{R}_f = 0.9$ 307 (DCM/MeOH 20:1). IR (film): 3348, 2938, 1736, 1687, 1579, 1451, 1375, 1335, 1278, 1254, 1218, 1178, 1119, 308 1086, 1070, 1051, 1021, 876, 758, 738, 646. HR-ESI-MS (MeOH): calcd for $C_{29}H_{30}O_8N_2Na$ [M+Na], m/z =309 557.18944, found 557.18952.
- Compounds 9a and 9b. *General procedure*. Compound 8a or 8b was treated with a solution of piperidine in DMF (20% v/v, 0.01mM solution). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1-2 h. The solvent was evaporated and the

- 312 remaining reaction mixture was washed with ether (2x10 mL) to afford the desired products. Analytical data and
- 313 yields for obtained compounds are described below.
- 314 *4-(4-(1-aminoethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)-N-(2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,38,41,44,47,50,*
- 315 53,56,59,62,65,68,71-tetracosaoxatriheptacontan-73-yl)butanamide (9a)
- 316 The product was obtained as a slightly yellow oil (24 μ g, 0.020 mmol, 87%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.27
- 317 (s, 1H), 7.92 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 7.42 (m, 2H), 4.02 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.66 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.60
- -3.44 (m, 121H), 3.44 3.34 (m, 12H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 3.20 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, J = 5.8 Hz, 2.14 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2.14), 1.93 (t
- 319 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 171.50, 153.17, 146.22, 140.13, 109.75,
- 320 108.38, 71.28, 69.78, 69.72, 69.58, 69.56, 69.11, 68.26, 58.05, 56.19, 38.52, 31.47, 24.65. ESI-HRMS: calcd for
- 321 $C_{62}H_{118}O_{29}N_3 [M+H]^+$, m/z = 1368.78455 Da, found 1368.78635 Da.
- 322 *Methyl* 4-(4-(1-aminoethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)butanoate (9b)
- The product was obtained as a slightly yellow amorphous solid (10 mg, 0.044 mmol, 73%). $\mathbf{R}_f = 0.45$ (DCM/MeOH 15:1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 4.79 (q, J = 6.5, 2H), 4.09 (t, J = 6.4, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.55 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.21 – 2.14 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.42 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.51, 153.89, 146.69, 140.85, 137.72, 109.25, 109.06, 68.34, 56.42, 51.86, 46.02, 30.52, 24.91, 24.42. **IR (film):** 2954, 1735, 1577, 1516, 1442, 1333, 1272, 1210, 1174, 1052, 818, 759; **HR-ESI-MS**: calcd for C₁₄H₂₁O₆N₂ [M+H], m/z = 313.13941, found 313.13930.
- Compounds 1 and 5. *General procedure*. Vancomycin hydrochloride (1 eq.), PyBoP (3 eq.) and HOBt (1 eq.) were dissolved in dry DMF (0.05 mM, based on vancomycin). To the reaction mixture freshly distilled *N*,*N*diisopropylethylamine (3 eq.) was added followed by the addition of linker 9 or 16 (1.1 eq.). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt and the solvent was evaporated. The mixture was dissolved in MeCN:H₂O (1:1, with 0.1% HCO₂H) and filtered through a SPE column. The solvent was evaporated and the compound was purified by preparative RP-HPLC. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.
- 335 *Vancomycin derivative with* PEG_{24} *linker* (1)
- RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% 40% B for 36 min; 40% 100% B for 2 min, wash. The desired product,
 eluting at 28.2 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product 1 (3.1 mg, 0.008 mmol, 28%) as a white solid.
- ¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 8.48 (s, 1H), 7.68 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.61 (s, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04
- 339 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 2H), 5.
- 6.9 Hz, 1H, 5.48 5.44 (m, 1H), 5.42 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.38 5.28 (m, 1H), 4.63 4.58 (m, 1H), 4.16 4.09 (m, 1H), 4.16 4.09
- 341 2H), 3.88 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 2H), 3.78 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.70 3.58 (m, 95H), 3.58 3.51 (m, 6H), 3.51 3.47
- 342 (m, 1H), 3.39 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.87 2.79 (m, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.45 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.14 (p, J
- 343 = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (dd, J = 4.1, 13.3 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (p, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.71 1.68 (m,
- 344 1H), 1.58 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.4 Hz,
- 345 3H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). HR-ESI-MS (water): calcd for $C_{128}H_{192}O_{52}N_{12}Cl_2 [M+2H]^{2+}$, m/z = 1399.60573, found
- 346 1399.60396. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% 95% B for 3.5
- 347 min; 95% 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product 1 was eluted at 2.02 min and was detected at 270 nm
- 348

349 *Vancomycin derivative with photo linker (5)*

RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% - 30% B for 46 min; 30% - 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product,
eluting at 34.4 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product 5 (12.3 mg, 0.023 mmol, 30%) as a slightly
yellowish solid.

¹**H** NMR (500 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 8.50 (s, 1H), 7.65 – 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.61 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.58 – 7.53 (m, 1H), 353 7.24 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02 – 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.42 354 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.79 - 5.76 (m, 1H), 5.70 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (d, J =355 5.42 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 – 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.31 – 5.28 (m, 1H), 4.19 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.15 – 4.10 (m, 2H), 3.87 – 356 357 3.83 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.77 - 3.71 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 2H), 3.55 - 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.42 - 3.36 (m, 1H), 2.82 (dd, J = 3.36 (m, 2H), 3.57 - 3.50 (m, 2H),358 2.6, 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.35 – 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.13 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.08 – 2.02 359 (m, 1H), 1.92 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 - 1.74 (m, 1H), 1.68 - 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.58 - 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 - 1.49 (m, 1H), 1.54 - 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 - 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 (m, 1H),3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). HR-ESI-MS (water): 360 calcd for $C_{80}H_{95}O_{29}N_{11}C_{12}$ [M+2H]²⁺, m/z = 871.78316, found 871.78295. The purity of the compound was analyzed 361 by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product 5 362 363 was eluted at 2.11 min and was detected at 270 nm.

364 *5-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)-2-nitrobenzaldehyde (11a)*

365 5-Hydroxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde 10 (100 mg, 0.598 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (6 mL) and powdered K₂CO₃ 366 (99 mg, 0.718 mmol) was added. After 5 min 1-bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane (0.090 mL, 0.658 mmol) was 367 added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90 °C overnight. Then, the mixture was cooled to rt, diluted with H₂O, and extracted with DCM (3x30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over 368 369 Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (n-370 pentane: EtOAc 2:1) to afford the desire product 11a as yellow oil (158 mg, 0.598 mmol, 98%). $\mathbf{R}_{f}(n$ -pentane: EtOAc 2:1 = 0.3. ¹**H** NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.47 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, 371 J = 9.1, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.30 - 4.25 (m, 2H), 3.92 - 3.86 (m, 2H), 3.74 - 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.59 - 3.55 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 372 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 188.60, 163.44, 142.48, 134.40, 127.36, 119.26, 114.06, 72.04, 71.04, 69.42, 373 68.78, 59.25. IR (film): 2881, 1695, 1583, 1516, 1485, 1425, 1389, 1329, 1288, 1246, 1234, 1199, 1164, 1108, 1074, 374 375 1048, 934, 886, 846, 746, 676, 631. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}O_6N [M+H]^+$, m/z = 270.09721, found 270.09718. 376 Compounds 12a and 12b. General procedure. In a flask covered with aluminum foil, NaBH(OAc)₃ (1 eq.) and molecular sieves (3 Å) were set under argon and suspended in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.2 M solution). Then, 4-377 378 (aminomethyl)pyridine (1.1 eq) was added by syringe, followed by AcOH (glacial, 0.1 mL, 2 mmol). The reaction 379 mixture was stirred at rt while a solution of **11a** or **11b** (1 eq) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (0.2 M solution) was added 380 dropwise by syringe over 10 min. After 3 h another equivalent of NaBH(OAc)₃ was added. The reaction was stirred 381 for additional 2h at rt followed by the addition of one more equivalent of NaBH(OAc)₃. After 6 h in total, the reaction 382 mixture was poured into sat. NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with DCM (3x20 mL). The combined organic phase 383 was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was 384 purified by column chromatography. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.

385

386 *N-(5-(2-(2-methoxy)ethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)methanamine (12a)*

- The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 20:1) The desired product **12a** was obtained as yellow oil (41 mg, 0.186 mmol, 63%). Rf = 0.31 (DCM/MeOH 20:1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 8.48 (dd,
- $389 \qquad J = 4.4, 1.6, 2H), 8.02 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.8 Hz,$
- 390 1H), 4.25 4.21 (m, 2H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 3.79 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 3.61 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.47 3.44 (m, 2H),
- 391 3.28 (s, 2H), 3.24 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 162.19, 149.32, 141.36, 127.32, 122.80, 115.75, 112.92,
- 392 71.21, 69.68, 68.57, 67.93, 57.99, 51.08, 49.30. **IR (film):** 2879, 1603, 1579, 1512, 1453, 1414, 1337, 1287, 1109,
- 393 1080, 993, 840. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for $C_{18}H_{24}O_5N_3$ [M+H], m/z = 362.17105 found 362.17078

394 *N-(5-(methoxymethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)methanamine (12b)*

The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 98:2, 95:5). The desired product **12b** was obtained as yellow oil (173 mg, 0.570 mmol, 48%). Rf = 0.28 (DCM/MeOH 95:5).¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.55 (d, J = 4.7, 2H), 8.07 (dd, J = 9.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.24 (d, J = 2.6, 1H), 7.02 (ddd, J =9.1, 2.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 3.87 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.24, 149.99, 148.91, 142.68, 138.20, 127.92, 123.19, 118.24, 115.02, 94.40, 56.64, 52.15, 50.91. IR (film): 2907, 1603, 1579, 1513, 1485, 1414, 1337, 1249, 1206, 1152, 1089, 1068, 993, 925, 840, 798, 755, 485.HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₁₅H₁₈N₃O₄ [M+H]⁺, m/z = 304.12918, found 304.12899.

- 402 **Compounds 13a and 13b.** *General procedure.* Compound **12a** or **12b** was dissolved in dry THF (0.2 M) and 403 TEA (2 eq.) was added. Boc₂O (2 eq.) was dissolved in THF (0.1 M solution) and added to the solution. The reaction 404 was stirred for 3-4 h. The formation of product was observed by UHPLC. The solvent was removed under reduced 405 pressure. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography. The purification methods, analytical 406 data, and yields are shown below.
- 407 *Tert-butyl (5-(2-(2-methoxy)ethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate (13a)*
- 408 The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 40:1) The desired product 13a was obtained 409 as a dark yellow oil (50 mg, 0.221 mmol, 49%). Rf = 0.30 (DCM/MeOH 40:1). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 410 8.53 (s, 2H), 8.16 – 8.10 (m, 1H), 7.24 (s, 2H), 7.09 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.84 – 4.74 (m, 1H), 4.54 - 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.23 - 4.16 (m, 2H), 4.12 - 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.79 - 3.75 (m, 2H), 3.59 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.6411 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 412 162.71, 154.99, 149.67, 140.71, 113.56, 71.23, 69.72, 68.51, 68.07, 58.05, 27.75, 22.78. IR (film): 2961, 1700, 1579, 413 1516, 1462, 1414, 1337, 1279, 1110, 1071, 993, 841. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}O_7N_3$ [M+H]⁺, m/z = 462.22348414 415 found 462.22356.

416 *Tert-butyl (5-(methoxymethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate (13b)*

- The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 95:5) The desired product **13b** was obtained as a dark yellow oil (30 mg, 0.072 mmol, 55%). Rf = 0.35 (DCM/MeOH 95:5). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 8.55-8.48 (m, 2H), 8.09 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J= 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 161.07, 154.98,
- 421 149.65, 147.54, 141.41, 137.19, 127.89, 127.73, 121.03, 114.58, 93.93, 79.95, 55.98, 50.01, 48.76, 27.75. **IR (film):**

422 2976, 1696, 1581, 1517, 1482, 1455, 1413, 1338, 1276, 1241, 1206, 1156, 1068, 995, 925, 842, 755. HRMS (ESI):
423 calcd for C₂₀H₂₆N₃O₆ [M+H]⁺, *m/z* = 404.18161 found 404.18187.

424 Cephalosporin derivatives 2, 4, and 6. General procedure. Under an Ar atmosphere, NaI (3 eq.) was added to a mixture of compound 15 (1.5 eq) in dry acetone (0.1M). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 40 min. After this 425 426 time the linker 13a, 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine or 13b (1 eq.) in dry acetone (0.05 M) was added and the reaction was 427 stirred at rt for 3 - 4 h. After the reaction was finished, the solvent was evaporated and the mixture was washed with 428 isopropyl ether (IPE, 2 mL). The formed precipitate was dissolved in mixture of DCM:anisole:TFA 5:1:1 (0.1 mL). 429 The reaction mixture was stirred overnight and then IPE (2 mL) was added into the reaction. The resulting suspension 430 was centrifuged. The supernatant was removed, and the precipitate was washed with IPE two more times. The crude 431 was dissolved in mixture of MeOH/H2O/CH3CN filtered through SPE column and purified by RP-HPLC. The 432 purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.

433 *Cephalosporin derivative with PEG*₂ *linker (2)*

434 RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% - 45% B for 56 min; 45% - 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product, 435 eluting at 31 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product 2 (0.700 mg, 0.043 mmol, 2%) as a slightly 436 yellowish solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 9.05 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 8.44 – 8.40 (m, 1H), 8.07 – 8.05 (m, 437 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20 438 -5.13 (m, 2H), 4.28 - 4.21 (m, 2H), 4.12 (h, J = 3.1, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.88 - 3.84 (m, 2H), 3.73 - 3.66 (m, 439 3H), 3.63 - 3.58 (m, 1H), 3.57 - 3.54 (m, 2H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (d, J = 17.8 Hz, 1H). 440 **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for $C_{31}H_{36}O_{10}N_9S_2$ [M], m/z = 758.20211, found 758.20314. The purity of the compound was 441 analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The

- 442 product **2** was eluted at 2.49 min and was detected at 270 nm.
- 443 *Cephalosporin derivative (4)*
- 444RP-HPLC (Hydro): Gradient 0% B for 14 min; 0% 20% B for 16 min; 20% 100% B for 2 min, wash. The desired445product, eluting at 4.3 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product 4 (3.56 mg, 0.072 mmol, 10%) as a white446solid.¹H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 9.23 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 5.87 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.73447(d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.74 3.66 (m,4481H), 3.24 3.19 (m, 1H). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₁₉H₂₁O₅N₈S₂⁺ [M]⁺, m/z = 505.10708, found 505.10666. The449purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% 100%450for 0.05 min, wash. The product 4 was eluted at 0.34 min and was detected at 270 nm.
- 451 *Cephalosporin derivative with photo linker (6)*
- 452 RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% 45% B for 56 min; 45% 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product,
- 453 eluting at 34.4 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product 6 (0.35 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1%) as a slightly
- 454 yellowish solid. ¹**H NMR** (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 8.82 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 8.62 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.06
- 455 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.84 6.82 (m, 1H), 6.81
- 456 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, J
- 457 14.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 3H). **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_8N_9S_2^+[M]^+$, m/z
- 458 = 656.13403, found 656.13410. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B,

459 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product 6 was eluted at 0.91 min and was detected at
460 270 nm.

461 Photolysis experiment. Photolysis experiments were performed using a Sina UV lamp (SI-MA-032-W; equipped
 462 with UV lamps 4x9, 365 nm) at the distance of ~5 cm. See the Supporting Information for the detailed procedure.

463 Microbiological Assays. Bacterial Strains, Media, Reagents and Equipment. Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis, ATCC 464 6633) Staphylococcus aureus (VSSA strain ATCC 29213), methicillin and oxacillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus 465 (MRSA strain ATCC 43300), Gram-negative Escherichia coli (strain ATCC 25922) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 466 (strain ATCC 27853) was purchased from either the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ) or the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The bacteria culture was stored at -80 °C, and new 467 468 cultures were prepared by streaking on Luria Broth (LB) or Trypsic Soy agar plates. The overnight culture was 469 prepared by inoculating a single colony into a sterile plastic tube (15 mL) containing the bacteria medium (5 mL, LB 470 or Trypsic Soy) and the cultures were shaken (200 rcf/min) overnight at 37 °C. Synthesized compounds were prepared 471 in water at stock concentrations of 1 mg/mL. The microplate reader used for the experiments was the Synergy H1 472 apparatus from BioTek. The Incubation assays were performed using an Eppendorf Thermomixer Compact with 1.5 473 mL blocks at 25 °C with a mixing speed of 700 rpm. Optical density for bacterial suspension adjustment was 474 measured by Biochrom Cell Density Meter Ultrospec 10.

475 *MICs of Tested Compounds*. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tested compounds and control 476 antibiotics was determined using the broth microdilution method according to the guidelines outlined by the European 477 Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).⁵² See the Supporting Information for the detailed 478 procedure.

479 Time-resolved bacterial growth analysis. In 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial dilutions of antibiotics 1 or 480 2 (ranging from 64 µg/ml to 0.125 µg/ml) were prepared in Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a 481 final volume of 50 µl for each second line of the plate. The mixture was UV-irradiated at a wavelength of 365 nm 482 for 5 min. Two-fold serial dilutions (ranging from 64 µg/ml to 0.125 µg/ml) were repeated for the unfilled lines in 483 the same 96-well microtiter plate. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control wells were inoculated with 50 μ l of the bacterial suspension in concentration 1×10^6 cfu/ml⁻¹, which results in final desired 484 inoculum of 5 x 10^5 cfu/ml⁻¹ in a volume 100 µl. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 18 h and the cell density 485 486 (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate reader. All experiments 487 were performed in triplicates.

488 Antibacterial activity at exponential phase of bacterial growth. In 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial 489 dilutions of antibiotics **1** or **2** (ranging from 64 μ g/ml to 0.25 μ g/ml) were prepared in Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) 490 in a final volume of 50 μ l for each line of the plate. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control 491 wells were inoculated with 50 μ l of the bacterial suspension in concentration 1x10⁶ cfu/ml⁻¹, which results in final 492 desired inoculum of 5 x 10⁵ cfu/ml⁻¹ in a volume 100 μ l. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 18 h and the cell 493 density (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate reader with the 494 irradiation step after 7h of bacterial growth. All experiments were performed in triplicates. 495 Antibacterial activity of the by-products after the UV-irradiation. In 96-well microtiter plate, linkers **9a** and **13a** 496 (concentration 64 ug/mL) were prepared in Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μ l. Each well 497 containing the linker solution and the growth control wells were UV-irradiated at a wavelength of 365nm for 5 min. 498 After that the wells were inoculated with 50 μ l of the bacterial suspension in concentration 1x10⁶ cfu/ml⁻¹, which 499 results in final desired inoculum of 5 x 10⁵ cfu/ml⁻¹ in a volume 100 μ l. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 18 496 h and the cell density (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate.

501 All experiments were performed in triplicates.

502 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

503 Supporting information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds and details about photochemical data, microbiological assays, and bacterial growth curves.

506

507 AUTHOR INFORMATION

- 508 Corresponding Author
- 509 karl.gademann@uzh.ch
- 510

511 Author Contributions

I.S.S. and K. G. designed the study. I.S.S. carried out the synthesis and characterization of all derivatives and
biological experiments. A. .T. carried out the synthesis optimization of cephalosporin derivatives. I. S. S. and K. G.
analyzed data and discussed the results. I. S. S. and K. G. wrote the manuscript.

515

516 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

517 We acknowledge the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, Grant No. 182043) and a Bundesstipendium (to 518 I.S.S.) for financial support.

519

520 **REFERENCES**

- (1) Vallance, P.; Smart, T. G. (2006) The Future of Pharmacology. *British Journal of Pharmacology*,
 147(S1), S304-S307. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706454.
- 523 (2) Klatte, S.; Schaefer, H.-C.; Hempel, M. (2017) Pharmaceuticals in the Environment A Short
 524 Review on Options to Minimize the Exposure of Humans, Animals and Ecosystems. *Sustainable*525 *Chem. and Pharm.* 5, 61-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.scp.2016.07.001.
- 526
 (3)
 Edwards, I. R.; Aronson, J. K. (2000) Adverse Drug Reactions: Definitions, Diagnosis, and

 527
 Management. *The Lancet*, 356(9237), 1255–1259. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02799-9.
- 528(4)Davies, J.; Davies, D. (2010) Origins and Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. Microbiology and529Molecular Biology Reviews, 74 (3), 417–433. DOI: 10.1128/MMBR.00016-10.

530 531	(5)	Arias, C. A.; Murray, B. E. (2009) Antibiotic-Resistant Bugs in the 21st Century — A Clinical Super-Challenge. <i>N. Engl. J. Med.</i> 360 (5), 439-443. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp0804651.
532 533 534	(6)	Mattar, C.; Edwards, S.; Baraldi, E.; Hood, J. (2020) An Overview of the Global Antimicrobial Resistance Research and Development Hub and the Current Landscape. <i>Curr. Opin. Microbiol.</i> 57, 56-61. DOI: 10.1016/j.mib.2020.06.009.
535 536	(7)	Alvarez-Lorenzo, C.; Concheiro, A. (2014) Smart Drug Delivery Systems: From Fundamentals to the Clinic. <i>Chem. Commun.</i> 50, 7743-7765. DOI: 10.1039/C4CC01429D.
537 538	(8)	Wang, S.; Huang, P.; Chen, X. (2016) Stimuli-Responsive Programmed Specific Targeting in Nanomedicine. <i>ACS Nano</i> , 10 (3), 2991-2994. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.6b00870.
539 540	(9)	Velema, W. A.; Szymanski, W.; Feringa, B. L. (2014) Photopharmacology: Beyond Proof of Principle. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (6), 2178–2191. DOI: 10.1021/ja413063e.
541 542 543	(10)	Ankenbruck, N.; Courtney, T.; Naro, Y.; Deiters, A. (2018) Optochemical Control of Biological Processes in Cells and Animals. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 57, 2768–2798. DOI: 10.1002/ange.201700171.
544 545 546	(11)	Welleman, I. M.; Hoorens, M. W. H.; Feringa, B. L.; Boersma, H. H.; Szymański, W. (2020) Photoresponsive Molecular Tools for Emerging Applications of Light in Medicine. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> 11, 11672–11691. DOI: 10.1039/d0sc04187d.
547 548 549	(12)	Wegener, M.; Hansen, M. J.; Driessen, A. J. M.; Szymanski, W.; Feringa, B. L. (2017) Photocontrol of Antibacterial Activity: Shifting from UV to Red Light Activation. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 139, 17979–17986. DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b09281.
550 551 552	(13)	Weston, C. E.; Krämer, A.; Colin, F.; Yildiz, Ö.; Baud, M. G. J.; Meyer-Almes, FJ.; Fuchter, M. J. (2017) Toward Photopharmacological Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Using Photoswitchable Amidohydrolase Inhibitors. <i>ACS Infect. Dis.</i> 3, 152–161. DOI: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00148.
553 554 555	(14)	Velema, W. A.; Hansen, M. J.; Lerch, M. M.; Driessen, A. J. M.; Szymanski, W.; Feringa, B. L. (2015) Ciprofloxacin-Photoswitch Conjugates: A Facile Strategy for Photopharmacology. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> 26, 2592–2597. DOI: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.5b00591.
556 557 558	(15)	Velema, W. A.; van der Berg, J. P.; Hansen, M. J.; Szymanski, W.; Driessen, A. J. M.; Feringa, B. L. (2013) Optical Control of Antibacterial Activity. <i>Nature Chemistry</i> , 5 (11), 924–928. DOI: 10.1038/nchem.1750.
559 560 561	(16)	Fu, X.; Bai, H.; Qi, R.; Zhao, H.; Peng, K.; Lv, F.; Liu, L.; Wang, S. (2019) Optically-Controlled Supramolecular Self-Assembly of an Antibiotic for Antibacterial Regulation. <i>Chem. Comm.</i> 55 (96), 14466–14469. DOI: 10.1039/c9cc07999h.

563 564	Controlling the Activity of Quorum Sensing Autoinducers with Light. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> , 6, 3593–3598. DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00215j.
565 (18) 566 567	Hansen, M. J.; Hille, J. I. C.; Szymanski, W.; Driessen, A. J. M.; Feringa, B. L. (2019) Easily Accessible, Highly Potent, Photocontrolled Modulators of Bacterial Communication. <i>Chem</i> , 5, 1293–1301. DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2019.03.005.
568 (19) 569	Babii, O.; Afonin, S.; Berditsch, M.; Reiβer, S.; Mykhailiuk, P. K.; Kubyshkin, V. S.; Steinbrecher, T.; Ulrich, A. S.; Komarov, I. V. (2014) Controlling Biological Activity with Light: Diarylethene-Containing Cyclic Peptidomimetics. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 53, 3392–3395. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201310019.
572 (20) 573 574	Li, Z.; Wang, Y.; Li, M.; Zhang, H.; Guo, H.; Ya, H.; Yin, J. (2018) Synthesis and Properties of Dithienylethene-Functionalized Switchable Antibacterial Agents. <i>Org. Biomol. Chem.</i> 16, 6988–6997. DOI: 10.1039/C8OB01824C.
575 (21) 576	Pianowski, Z. L. (2019) Recent Implementations of Molecular Photoswitches into Smart Materials and Biological Systems. <i>Chem. Eur. J.</i> 25, 5128–5144. DOI: 10.1002/chem.201805814.
577 (22) 578 579	Yeoh, Y. Q.; Yu, J.; Polyak, S. W.; Horsley, J. R.; Abell, A. D. (2018) Photopharmacological Control of Cyclic Antimicrobial Peptides. <i>ChemBioChem</i> , 19, 2591–2597. DOI: 10.1002/cbic.201800618.
580 (23) 581	Lee, W.; Li, Z. H.; Vakulenko, S.; Mobashery, S. A (2000) Light-Inactivated Antibiotic. <i>J. Med. Chem.</i> 43, 128–132. DOI: 10.1021/jm980648a.
582 (24) 583 584	Mizukami, S.; Hosoda, M.; Satake, T.; Okada, S.; Hori, Y.; Furuta, T.; Kikuchi, K. (2010) Photocontrolled Compound Release System Using Caged Antimicrobial Peptide. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 132, 9524–9525. DOI: 10.1021/ja102167m.
585 (25) 586 587	 Feng, Y.; Zhang, Y. Y.; Li, K.; Tian, N.; Wang, W. B.; Zhou, Q. X.; Wang, X. S. (2018) Photocleavable Antimicrobial Peptide Mimics for Precluding Antibiotic Resistance. <i>New J. Chem.</i> 42, 3192–3195. DOI: 10.1039/c8nj00015h.
588 (26) 589	Ellis-Davies, G. C. R. (2007) Caged Compounds: Photorelease Technology for Control of Cellular Chemistry and Physiology. <i>Nature Methods</i> , 4, 619–628. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth1072.
590 (27) 591	Binder, D.; Bier, C.; Grünberger, A.; Drobietz, D.; Hage-Hülsmann, J.; Wandrey, G.; Büchs, J.; Kohlheyer, D.; Loeschcke, A.; Wiechert, W.; Jaeger, KE.; Pietruszka, J.; Drepper, T. (2016) Photocaged Arabinose: A Novel Optogenetic Switch for Rapid and Gradual Control of Microbial Gene Expression. <i>ChemBioChem</i> , 17, 296–299. DOI: 10.1002/cbic.201500609.

594 595	(28)	Brieke, C.; Rohrbach, F.; Gottschalk, A.; Mayer, G.; Heckel, A. (2012) Light-Controlled Tools. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 51, 8446–8476. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201202134.
596 597	(29)	Silva, J. M.; Silva, E.; Reis, R. L. (2019) Light-Triggered Release of Photocaged Therapeutics - Where Are We Now? <i>J. Controlled. Release</i> , 298, 154–176. DOI: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.02.006.
598 599 600	(30)	Guo, Z.; Ma, Y.; Liu, Y.; Yan, C.; Shi, P.; Tian, H.; Zhu, WH. (2018) Photocaged Prodrug under NIR Light-Triggering with Dual-Channel Fluorescence: In Vivo Real-Time Tracking for Precise Drug Delivery. <i>Sci. China Chem.</i> 61(10), 1293–1300. DOI: 10.1007/s11426-018-9240-6.
601 602 603 604	(31)	Paul, A.; Mengji, R.; Bera, M.; Ojha, M.; Jana, A.; Singh, N. D. P. (2020) Mitochondria-Localized <i>in Situ</i> Generation of Rhodamine Photocage with Fluorescence Turn-on Enabling Cancer Cell-Specific Drug Delivery Triggered by Green Light. <i>Chem. Commun.</i> 56, 8412–8415. DOI: 10.1039/D0CC03524F.
605 606 607	(32)	Dcona, M. M.; Mitra, D.; Goehe, R. W.; Gewirtz, D. A.; Lebman, D. A.; Hartman, M. C. T. (2012) Photocaged Permeability: A New Strategy for Controlled Drug Release. <i>Chem. Commun.</i> 48, 4755- 4757. DOI: 10.1039/c2cc30819c.
608 609 610	(33)	Moodie, L. W. K.; Hubert, M.; Zhou, X.; Albers, M. F.; Lundmark, R.; Wanrooij, S.; Hedberg, C. (2019) Photoactivated Colibactin Probes Induce Cellular DNA Damage. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 58, 1417–1421. DOI: 10.1002/ange.201812326.
611 612 613	(34)	Kumar, P.; Shukhman, D.; Laughlin, S. T. A Photocaged, (2016) Cyclopropene-Containing Analog of the Amino Acid Neurotransmitter Glutamate. <i>Tetrahedron Lett.</i> 57 (51), 5750–5752. DOI: 10.1016/j.tetlet.2016.10.106.
614 615 616	(35)	Asad, N.; McLain, D. E.; Condon, A. F.; Gore, S.; Hampton, S. E.; Vijay, S.; Williams, J. T.; Dore, T. M. (2020) Photoactivatable Dopamine and Sulpiride to Explore the Function of Dopaminergic Neurons and Circuits. <i>ACS Chem. Neurosci.</i> 11(6), 939–951. DOI: 10.1021/acschemneuro.9b00675.
617 618 619	(36)	Breitinger, HG. A.; Wieboldt, R.; Ramesh, D.; Carpenter, B. K.; Hess, G. P. (2000) Synthesis and Characterization of Photolabile Derivatives of Serotonin for Chemical Kinetic Investigations of the Serotonin 5-HT ₃ Receptor. <i>Biochemistry</i> , 39(18), 5500-5508. DOI: 10.1021/bi992781q.
620 621	(37)	So, W. H.; Wong, C. T. T.; Xia, J. (2018) Peptide Photocaging: A Brief Account of the Chemistry and Biological Applications. <i>Chin. Chem. Lett.</i> 29(7), 1058–1062. DOI: 10.1016/j.cclet.2018.05.015.
622 623 624	(38)	Weston, C. E.; Krämer, A.; Colin, F.; Yildiz, Ö.; Baud, M. G. J.; Meyer-Almes, F. J.; Fuchter, M. J. (2007) Toward Photopharmacological Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Using Photoswitchable Amidohydrolase Inhibitors. <i>ACS Inf. Dis.</i> 3 (2), 152–161. DOI: 10.1021/acsinfecdis.6b00148.

625 626 627 628	(39)	 Buhr, F.; Kohl-Landgraf, J.; tom Dieck, S.; Hanus, C.; Chatterjee, D.; Hegelein, A.; Schuman, E. M.; Wachtveitl, J.; Schwalbe, H. (2015) Design of Photocaged Puromycin for Nascent Polypeptide Release and Spatiotemporal Monitoring of Translation. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 54, 3717–3721. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201410940.
629 630 631	(40)	Elamri, I.; Heumüller, M.; Herzig, L-M; Stirnal, E.; Wachtveitl, J.; Schuman, E. M.; Schwalbe, H. (2018) A New Photocaged Puromycin for an Efficient Labeling of Newly Translated Proteins in Living Neurons. <i>ChemBioChem</i> , 19 (23), 2458-2464. DOI: 10.1002/cbic.201800408.
632 633 634 635	(41)	Shi, Y.; Truong, V. X.; Kulkarni, K.; Qu, Y.; Simon, G. P.; Boyd, R. L.; Perlmutter, P.; Lithgow, T.; Forsythe, J. S. (2015) Light-Triggered Release of Ciprofloxacin from an in Situ Forming Click Hydrogel for Antibacterial Wound Dressings. <i>J. Mater. Chem. B</i> , 3, 8771–8774. DOI: 10.1039/c5tb01820j.
636 637 638	(42)	Kumari, P.; Kulkarni, A.; Sharma, A. K.; Chakrapani, H. (2018) Visible-Light Controlled Release of a Fluoroquinolone Antibiotic for Antimicrobial Photopharmacology. <i>ACS Omega</i> , 3, 2155–2160. DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.7b01906.
639 640 641	(43)	Velema, W. A.; van der Berg, J. P.; Szymanski, W.; Driessen, A. J. M.; Feringa, B. L. (2014) Orthogonal Control of Antibacterial Activity with Light. <i>ACS Chem. Biol.</i> 9, 1969–1974. DOI: 10.1021/cb500313f.
642 643	(44)	Shchelik, I. S.; Sieber, S.; Gademann, K. (2020) Green Algae as a Drug Delivery System for the Controlled Release of Antibiotics. <i>Chem. Eur. J.</i> 26, 16644-16648. DOI: 10.1002/chem.202003821.
644 645	(45)	Gualerzi, C. O., Brandi, L., Fabbretti, A., Pon, C. L., (2013) Antibiotics: Targets, Mechanisms and Resistance. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. Weinheim, Germany. DOI: 10.1002/9783527659685.
646 647	(46)	World Health Organization Model List of Essential Medicines: 21st List 2019.; Geneva. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHOMVPEMPIAU2019.06 (retrieved January 11th, 2021)
648 649 650 651	(47)	Boyce, J. M.; Cookson, B.; Christiansen, K.; Hori, S.; Vuopio-Varkila, J.; Kocagöz, S.; Öztop, A. Y.; Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C. M.; Harbarth, S.; Pittet, D. (2005) Meticillin-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus. The Lancet Infectious Diseases</i> , 5, 653-663. DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70243-7.
652 653 654 655	(48)	Lambert, ML.; Suetens, C.; Savey, A.; Palomar, M.; Hiesmayr, M.; Morales, I.; Agodi, A.; Frank, U.; Mertens, K.; Schumacher, M.; Wolkewitz, M. (2011) Clinical Outcomes of Health-Care-Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance in Patients Admitted to European Intensive-Care Units: A Cohort Study. <i>Lancet Infect. Dis.</i> 11, 30–38. DOI: 10.1016/S1473.

656 657 658	(49)	Suárez, C.; Peña, C.; Tubau, F.; Gavaldà, L.; Manzur, A.; Dominguez, M. A.; Pujol, M.; Gudiol, F.; Ariza, J. (2009) Clinical Impact of Imipenem-Resistant <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> Bloodstream Infections. <i>Journal of Infection</i> , 58, 285–290. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinf.2009.02.010.
659 660 661	(50)	Miller, W. R.; Munita, J. M.; Arias, C. A. (2014) Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance in Enterococci. <i>Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.</i> 12(10), 1221-1236. DOI: 10.1586/14787210.2014.956092.
662 663 664	(51)	Dahms, R. A. (1998) Third-Generation Cephalosporins and Vancomycin as Risk Factors for Postoperative Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus Infection. <i>Archives of Surgery, 133</i> , 1343-1346. DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.133.12.1343.
665 666 667 668 669	(52)	Yoshizawa, H.; Kubota, T.; Itani, H.; Minami, K.; Miwa, H.; Nishitani, Y. (2004) New Broad- Spectrum Parenteral Cephalosporins Exhibiting Potent Activity against Both Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) and Pseudomonas Aeruginosa. Part 3: 7β-[2-(5-Amino-1,2,4- Thiadiazol-3-Yl)-2- Ethoxyiminoacetamido] Cephalosporins Bearing 4-[3-(Aminoalkyl)-Ureido]-1- Pyridinium at C-3'. <i>Bioorg. Med. Chem.</i> 12 (15), 4221–4231. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2004.05.021.
670 671 672 673 674	(53)	Daniel D. Long, James B. Aggen, Jason Chinn, Seok-Ki Choi, Burton G. Christensen, Paul R. Fatheree, David Green, Sharath S. Hegde, J. Kevin Judice, Koné Kaniga, KevinM. Krause, Michael Leadbetter, Martin S. Linsell, Daniel G. Marquess, Edmund J.Moran, Matthew B. Nodwell, John L. Pace, Sean G. Trapp, S. Derek Turner (2008) Exploring the Positional Attachment of Glycopeptide/bb-lactam Heterodimers. <i>J. Antibiot.</i> 61(10), 603–614. DOI: 10.1038/ja.2008.80.
675	(54)	Teraji, T. Sakane, K. Goto, J. Cephem Compounds. U.S. Patent 4,463,000, Jun. 31, 1984.
676 677	(55)	Wach, JY.; Bonazzi, S.; Gademann, K. (2008) Antimicrobial Surfaces through Natural Product Hybrids. <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> 47 (37), 7123-7126. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200801570.
678	(56)	EUCAST (2021). Broth Microdilution – EUCAST reading guide v 3.0.
679 680 681 682	(57)	Pucci, M. J.; Boice-Sowek, J.; Kessler, R. E.; Dougherty, T. J. (1991) Comparison of Cefepime, Cefpirome, and Cefaclidine Binding Affinities for Penicillin-Binding Proteins in <i>Escherichia coli</i> K- 12 and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> SC8329. <i>Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.</i> , 35(11), 2312-2317 DOI: 10.1128/aac.35.11.2312
683 684 685	(58)	Garau, J.; Wilson, W.; Wood, M.; Carlet, J. (1997) Fourth-Generation Cephalosporins: A Review of in Vitro Activity, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Clinical Utility. <i>Clinical Microbiology and Infection</i> , <i>3</i> (<i>1</i>), S87–S101. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.1997.tb00649.x.
686 687	(59)	Theophel, K.; Schacht, V. J.; Schlüter, M.; Schnell, S.; Stingu, CS.; Schaumann, R.; Bunge, M. (2014) The Importance of Growth Kinetic Analysis in Determining Bacterial Susceptibility against

- Antibiotics and Silver Nanoparticles. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5(544), 1-10. DOI:
 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00544.
- (60) Tsushima, M.; Kano, Y.; Umemura, E.; Iwamatsu, K.; Tamura, A.; Shibahara, S. Novel (1998)
 (60) Cephalosporin Derivatives Possessing a Bicyclic Heterocycle at the 3-Position. Part II: Synthesis and
 (62) Antibacterial Activity of 3-(5-Methylthiazolo[4,5- c]Pyridinium-2-Yl)Thiomethylcephalosporin
 (63) Derivatives and Related Compounds. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 6(9), 1641-1653. DOI: 10.1016/S0968(64) 0896(98)00103-5.
- 695 (61) Saneyoshi, H.; Kondo, K.; Iketani, K.; Ono, A. (2017) Alkyne-Linked Reduction-Activated
 696 Protecting Groups for Diverse Functionalization on the Backbone of Oligonucleotides. *Bioorg. Med.*697 *Chem.* 25(13), 3350-3356. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2017.04.020.
- 698 (62) Gottlieb, H. E., Kotlyar, V., and Nudelman, A. (1997) NMR chemical shifts of common laboratory
 699 solvents as trace impurities. *J. Org. Chem.* 62, 7512–7515.

Supporting information

1. Photolysis experiments

Stock solutions (1 mM) of cephalosporin derivatives: Compounds 4 and 6 were dissolved in PBS buffer (pH=7.4) and diluted to obtain several concentrations ($2.5 - 20 \mu$ M). These solutions were used for the experiments shown below and for generating the calibration curve. All stock solutions were freshly prepared.

Calibration curves were built by plotting linear regression of the mass intensity versus the concentration of the standard. From these curves the coefficients of correlation (R^2) and slope were calculated.

• Analysis of the solution after the UV-irradiation of cephalosporin derivative 6



Figure S1. UHPLC-MS chromatograms comparison recorded in SIM-(+)mode of cephalosporin derivative **6**. From top to bottom: synthetic cephalosporin derivative **6**, product after irradiation of the cephalosporine derivative **6** solution, synthetic cephalosporin derivative **4**.

• Photolysis experiment of compound **6**



Figure S2. Analysis of the conversion of compound 6 into compound 4 after sample irradiation at a wavelength of 365 nm during different time. Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n = 3).



Figure S3. Calibration curves for compound 4 on the left and for compound 6 on the right.

• Analysis of the solution after UV-irradiation of compound 6 for by-products.



Figure S4. UHPLC-MS chromatograms recorded in SIM (-)mode after the UV irradiation of a solution of the cephalosporin derivative **6**. From top to bottom: product **4**, by-product (linker), UV-trace (270 nm) of the solution after the UV irradiation.

2. Microbiological assays

• *MIC*

In a 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial dilutions of the respective antibiotics (ranging from 64 μ g/ml to 0.125 μ g/ml) were prepared in Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μ l. The bacterial suspensions turbidity was adjusted to a McFarland Standard 0.5 (absorbance at 600 nm 0.08–0.13) to get approximately 1x10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹, then the bacterial suspension was diluted by a factor of 1:100 for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, by a factor 1:200 for *B. subtilis* and by a factor 1:150 for *E. coli* in MHB. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control well were inoculated with 50 μ l of the bacterial suspension. This results in the final desired inoculum of 5 x 10⁵ cfu ml⁻¹ in a volume 100 μ l. The plate was then incubated at 37 °C for 18 h, after which minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by visual inspection and it is defined as the minimal concentration of a compound that prevents microbial growth.

Table S1. MIC values of synthesized compounds

	MIC (µg/mL)						
Bacterial Strains	1	3	5	2	4	6	
Gram-negative							
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 25922	-	-	-	8	1-2	1	
P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853	-	-	-	64	2-4	32	
Gram-positive							
B. subtilis ATCC 6633	32	0.06-0.125	0.125	8	2-4	1	
S. aureus ATCC 29213	>64	0.5-1	0.5	32	8	4	
S. aureus ATCC 43300	>64	1-2	1	64	32	16	

• Time-resolved bacterial growth analysis

Results for antibiotic 1



Figure S5. Bacterial growth curves of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 incubated with antibiotic **1** at increasing concentrations. Compound **7** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).



Figure S6. Bacterial growth curves of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 incubated with antibiotic **1** at increasing concentrations. Compound **7** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).



Figure S7. Bacterial growth curves of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 incubated with antibiotic 1 at increasing concentrations. Compound 7 after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).

Results for antibiotic 2



Figure S8. Bacterial growth curves of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 incubated with antibiotic **2** at increasing concentrations. Compound **2** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).

• Antibacterial activity of the by-products after the UV-irradiation



Scheme S1. Proposed decomposition products of the linker 9a after UV-irradiation



Scheme S2. Proposed decomposition products of the linker 12a after UV-irradiation

Results for the linker 9a



Figure S9. Growth of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, *S. aureus* ATCC 43300, *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 incubated with linker **9a** and UV-irradiated at 365 nm for 5 min at time 0. The results represent data for the linker concentrations 64 μ g/mL. Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).

Results for the linker 12a



Figure S10. Growth of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *E. coli* ATCC 25922 incubated with linker **12a** and UV-irradiated at 365 nm for 5 min at time 0. The results represent data for the linker concentrations 64 μ g/mL. Data points represent mean value \pm SD (n=3).

3. NMR spectra of newly synthesized compounds





S-7











Figure S12. UHPLC trace of compound **1**. Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



Figure S13. UHPLC trace of compound **5.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



101 MHz ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃ of 11a







S-14







Figure S14. UHPLC trace of compound **4.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



Figure S15. UHPLC trace of compound **2.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



Figure S16. UHPLC trace of compound **6.** Top: UHPLC-MS chromatograms of the compound **6**, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound **6** at 270 nm.