

# **Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Light-Activated Antibiotics**

Inga S. Shchelik, Andrea Tomio, and Karl Gademann

### Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057, Zurich, Switzerland

- 
- 

# **ABSTRACT**

 The spatial and temporal control of bioactivity of small molecules by light (photopharmacology) constitutes a promising approach for study of biological processes and ultimately for the treatment of diseases. In this study, we 10 investigated two different 'caged' antibiotic classes that can undergo remote activation with UV-light at  $\lambda$ =365 nm, via the conjugation of deactivating and photocleavable units through a short synthetic sequence. The two widely used antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin were thus enhanced in their performance by rendering them photoresponsive and thus suppressing undesired off-site activity. The antimicrobial activity against *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC 6633, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA), *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 could be spatiotemporally controlled with light. Both molecular series displayed a good activity window. The vancomycin derivative displayed excellent values against Gram-positive strains after uncaging, and the next-generation caged cephalosporin derivative achieved good and broad activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains after photorelease.

Key words: antibacterial agents, photopharmacology, photocaging, vancomycin, cephalosporin.

#### 20 **INTRODUCTION**

21 Pharmacotherapy often remains the treatment of choice for many diseases via suitable medication.<sup>1</sup> However, this 22 approach is often associated with issues related to environmental toxicity,<sup>2</sup> poor drug selectivity causing side-effects,<sup>3</sup> 23 and the emergence of resistance in certain disease areas such as infectious diseases.<sup>4-6</sup> So far, several stimuli-24 responsive systems have been developed to overcome these issues, including either endogenous stimuli (such as 25 enzyme, pH, redox reactions) or exogenous stimuli (such as light, ionizing irradiation, magnetic fields).<sup>7,8</sup> In terms 26 of exogenous approaches, photopharmacology has demonstrated excellent performance in achieving control of time, 27 area, and dosage of therapeutics by light. $9-11$  The development of such strategies includes incorporation of 28 photoswitchable groups into the molecular structure of bioactive compounds,  $12-22$  introduction of functional groups 29 for light-triggered drug self-destruction,<sup>23</sup> or in general 'caging' the activity of compounds.<sup>24–27</sup> In this respect, caged 30 compounds include photoactivatable probes such as photo-protecting groups, photocleavable linkers, or 31 photodegradable peptides, $28,29$  and these compounds remain biologically or functionally inert prior to uncaging. 32 Photoactivation of caged compounds enables the spatiotemporal regulation of the activity of the drugs of interest, 33 which has been successfully applied as powerful tools in biological studies. There are many examples of successful 34 utilization of photocaging handles directly on antitumor drugs,  $30-33$  neurotransmitters,  $34-36$  or peptides.  $37$ 35 Related to antibiotics, there have been many examples of photoswitchable groups attached to antibiotics, which have 36 been demonstrated to successfully inhibit bacterial growth by irradiation.<sup>12,14–16,19,20,22,38</sup> However, thermodynamic 37 equilibration of the photoswitches invariably leads to a decrease of antibiotic activity over time, often during the 38 application. In order to prevent bacterial regrowth, constant and longer irradiation needs to be employed, which 39 consequently might lead to side-effects due to undesired prolonged UV irradiation.

40 In contrast, photocaging of antibiotics presents the complementary and unique strategy of releasing the active 41 compounds *ad finitum*. Advantages of this strategy include (1) short exposure to UV light, (2) release of maximum 42 concentration within a short time frame, and (3) prolonged activity of the antibacterial agents. Interestingly, there are 43 only few reports on photocaged antibiotics, used for the study of protein translation, <sup>39,40</sup> hydrogel modification for 44 antibacterial wound dressings, <sup>41</sup> blocking the group responsible for antibiotic activity, <sup>42,43</sup> or living organism 45 functionalisation.<sup>44</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, examples remain very scarce and the important classes 46 of vancomycin and cephalosporin antibiotics have not been addressed so far. In this study, we report the control of 47 activity of two widely used antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin, where the caging functionality was appended 48 to the pharmacophore. We demonstrate that UV-light exposure at  $\lambda$  = 365 nm uncages the precursor antibiotics and 49 thereby releases antibacterial activity in the presence of bacteria.

50 Vancomycin and cephalosporin are members of the class of antibiotics that inhibit the cell wall biosynthesis in 51 bacteria.<sup>45</sup> Both drugs remain on the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines.<sup>46</sup> Vancomycin is 52 active against Gram-positive bacteria and widely used in clinics worldwide, especially for the treatment of 53 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*, MRSA).<sup>47</sup> We evaluated members of the 4<sup>th</sup> generation of 54 cephalosporins, which feature inhibitory effects against various Gram-negative bacteria, including *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa* (P. aeruginosa).<sup>48,49</sup> The evolution of microbial resistance to vancomycin and cephalosporins is an 56 emerging problem, that renders those particularly interesting candidates for photopharmacology.<sup>50,51</sup> The development of photoresponsive analogues and control of their activity could reduce undesirable bacterial interactions with the active drug form, thus limiting the progress of bacterial resistance.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

 We chose to employ a dual strategy featuring both a photocleavable group for caging combined with a PEGylation approach for steric blocking. We hypothesized that the introduction of a relatively long PEG chain to vancomycin will suppress its activity and prevent binding with the terminal amino acid residues of the nascent peptide chain during cell wall synthesis. In case of cephalosporin, we expected to either avert the insertion of the drug into penicillin-binding protein (PBP) or prevent transport issues by *e.g.* bacterial pumps by this approach. As consequence for the design, compounds **1** and **2** were chosen as the target caged antibiotics of our study, which should release 66 active compounds  $3^{44}$  and 4. The good antibacterial activity of similar pyridinium cephalosporin derivatives was 67 shown earlier in several studies<sup>52,53</sup> and patents<sup>54</sup>. Compounds 5 and 6 serve as control compounds to evaluate the role of the PEG blocking group. Vancomycin has been modified according to a previously reported strategy at the 69 carboxylic acid position,  $44,55$  and the cephalosporin modification was extending the C-3' position of the cepham ring system with a nitrobenzyl caging group sterically modified by ethylene glycol units.



 The synthesis of target vancomycin derivative **1** started with the linker preparation (Scheme 1). The acid functionality of the Fmoc-Photo-Linker **7** was used for incorporation of the PEG chain via amide bond formation (-> **8a**), followed by Fmoc deprotection leading to the desired linker **9a** with 60% yield over two steps. The last step included coupling the obtained linker with vancomycin hydrochloride in presence of PyBop and HOBt as coupling agents to give target compound **1**. Analogously, the control compound **5** without the PEG chain was obtained via intermediates **8b** and 77 **9b** in similar yields. The synthesis of target cephalosporin derivative 2 started with an  $S_N2$  reaction between the phenolate of 2-nitro-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde **10** and 1-bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane (Scheme 2) to give **11a**. Next, reductive amination to **12a** with 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine was carried out. Carrying out this reaction with stepwise addition of the reducing agent could improve the performance of the reaction and correspondingly, the yield. For the key coupling with the cephalosporin core, the secondary N-atom on the intermediate amine had to be blocked.

- The attempt to attach the linker directly to the cephalosporine core **14** led to the formation of undesired products. A Boc protecting group was chosen to block the reactivity of secondary amine on the linker, and after the screening of several conditions, the use of THF as a solvent was crucial for the reaction, in order to achieve full conversion and to avoid decomposition. The desired linker **13a** was obtained via this route in 23% yield over 3 steps. The key coupling reaction with cephalosporin **14** included three straight forward steps without the isolation of intermediates. Moreover, both Boc and PMB protection groups could be removed in one step in presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and anisole, to give the target compound, albeit in poor yield. Along the same lines, the preparation of control compound **6** was achieved by using transient MOM protection via intermediates **11b**, **12b**, and **13b**, and the active compound **4** was obtained by direct reaction of core **14** with 4-(aminomethyl)-pyridine.
- **Scheme 1.** Synthesis of UV-light regulated vancomycin derivatives **1** and **5**.



- 92<br>93 93 *a* Reagents and conditions: (a) MeO-PEG<sub>24</sub>-amine, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, 2h, rt, 72% for 8a. (b) MeOH, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 50 °C, overnight, 97% for 8b. (c) 20% piperidine, DMF, 1-2h, rt, 83% for **9a**, 95% for **9b**. (d) Vancomycin hydrochloride, PyBop, HOBt, DMF, 2h, rt, 28% for **1** from **9a**, 30% for **5** from **9b**.
- 

**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of cephalosporin derivatives **2**, **4**, and **6**.



98<br>99

99 <sup>a</sup> Reagents and conditions: (a) 1-bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 90°C, overnight, 98% for 11a. (b) MOMCl, DIPEA, DCM, 0o C-> rt, 1.5h, 85% for **11b**. (c) 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine, NaBH(OAc)3, AcOH, DCE, 6h, rt, 63% for **12a**, 48% for **12b**. (d) Boc2O, THF, 3h, rt, 49% for **13a**, 55% for **13b**. (e) NaI, acetone, 1h, rt, then **13a** or 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine or **13b**, acetone, 3-5h, rt, then anisole, TFA, DCM, 2-6h, rt, 2% for **2**, 10% for **4**, 1% for **6**.

 The general photochemistry of compounds **1** and **2** was studied next. In an earlier study, we investigated the 105 photoproperties of similar vancomycin analog with the same nitrobenzyl photo group attached.<sup>44</sup> It was demonstrated that the release of vancomycin amide **3** takes place rapidly during the UV-irradiation ( λ=365 nm) of a vancomycin 107 derivative with photocleavable linker and reaches a maximum of 70% after 5 min.<sup>44</sup> Next, the photocleavage efficacy  of cephalosporin derivative **5** was investigated. It was shown that the cephalosporin with pyridyl-methylamine moiety **4** as a product was released after UV-irradiation (λ=365 nm, Figure S1). Moreover, the same tendency in efficacy for the photocleavage of the linker was observed compared to the vancomycin derivative. The maximum conversion of roughly 70% was observed after only 6 min of irradiation (Figure S2). Importantly, no by-products except of the cleaved linker could detected after the photocleavage by UHPLC-MS (Figure S4).

 The antimicrobial activity studies of all obtained compounds were investigated by performing a broth dilution method 114 according to the EUCAST standard protocol.<sup>56</sup> The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the target compounds **1** and **2**, compounds released after UV-irradiation **3** and **4**, as well as control compounds **5** and **6** were determined against two Gram-negative strains *E.coli* ATCC 25922 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, and three Gram- positive strains *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633, *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 (VSSA), *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA) (Table 1). As was expected, PEG containing vancomycin derivative **1** did not display significant activity against Gram-positive strains with a MIC value more than 64 µg/mL. In contrast, the compound **3** lacking a PEG group displayed excellent activity with MIC values of 0.125 µg/mL and 1 µg/mL against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*, respectively, similar to vancomycin itself. Moreover, released vancomycin amide **3** featured the same MIC values compared to 122 vancomycin.<sup>44</sup> These experimental observations corroborate the hypothesis that the presence of long PEG chains is necessary for low antibacterial activity of vancomycin derivatives.

- Concerning cephalosporin derivatives, an insertion of PEG linker lowered the antibiotic activity against all the tested strains, as shown in table 1. The released cephalosporin derivative **4** exhibited especially high activity against Gram-126 negative strains with a MIC value of 2  $\mu$ g/mL, however it turned out to be less active against Gram-positive strains, especially *S. aureus*. This can be explained by the fact that cephalosporins possessing a thiadiazole side chain and zwitterionic properties in their core exhibit low *β*-lactamase hydrolysis and higher penetration rate through the outer 129 membrane, what renders them especially active against Gram-negative bacteria.<sup>57,58</sup> For the control compound 6 having only a nitrobenzyl group, the MIC value decreased only for *P. aeruginosa*. The significant difference in activity after the incorporation of photo-linker was not observed against other strains, which necessitates the need of 132 the PEG chain in the structure.<sup>16</sup> From these results we decided to focus on exploration cephalosporin activity against Gram-negative strains and vancomycin activity against Gram-positive bacteria for the next experiments on in situ cleavage.
- 
- **Table 1**. MIC values (in µg/mL) of vancomycin and cephalosporin derivatives. Red background denotes caged precursors, and green background denotes active uncaged antibiotics.



139 A time-resolved growth analysis in 96-well format was performed, in order to investigate the dynamic effect of target 140 antibiotics **1** and **2** on the bacterial growth before and after irradiation. A series of 2-fold dilutions starting from 64- 141 32  $\mu$ g/mL of corresponding antibiotic was carried out in one half of a 96-wells plate. The solutions were UV-142 irradiated for 5 min at  $\lambda = 365$  nm. Next, the dilution step was repeated in the second half of the same 96-wells plate 143 followed by the bacteria inoculation at optical density  $OD_{600} = 0.1$ . The bacterial growth curves were recorded at 144 37 °C by a plate reader, measuring the OD<sub>600</sub> every 20 min during 18 h. Vancomycin derivative 1 was first tested 145 against the Gram-positive strain *B. subtilis*. The desired inhibition was observed for the solutions contained 146 compound **1** starting from 1 µg/mL and above, after the UV-irradiation. In contrast, non-irradiated solutions did not 147 impact on *B. subtilis* growth at all tested concentrations. No difference between "non-activated" and "activated" 148 forms of vancomycin derivative **1** was observed at the concentration 0.5 µg/mL (Figure 1, A, B, Figure S5), which 149 is fully compliant with MIC data for both compound **1**, released form **3,** and photocleavage efficacy of introduced 150 linker.



152<br>153 153 **Figure 1**. Bacterial growth curves of Gram-positive bacteria at decreasing concentrations of the vancomycin derivative **1**. (**A**) 154 Non-irradiated samples in presence of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633. (**B**) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at  $\lambda$  = 155 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633. (**C**) Non-irradiated samples in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213. (**D**) 156 Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at λ = 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213. (**E**) Non-157 irradiated samples in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA). (**F**) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at λ 158 = 365 nm for 5 min in presence of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 (MRSA). All the solutions were irradiated before inoculation. Data 159 points represent mean value  $\pm SD(n=3)$ 

160 Next, the vancomycin derivative **1** was tested against difficult to treat strains of *S. aureus,* including MRSA strains. 161 We were pleased to observe the effective inhibition of bacterial growth at the range of 4  $\mu$ g/mL and above after an 5 162 minute UV-irradiation at  $\lambda = 365$  nm of the antibiotic 1 (Figure 1C, 1E, Figure S6-S7). However, the normal bacterial

- 163 growth remained for both vancomycin sensitive *S. aureus* (VSSA) and MRSA in presence of deactivated vancomycin 164 derivative **1** at concentration 1 µg/mL and higher (Figure 1D, 1F, Figure S6-S7).
- 165 In the cephalosporin series, the antibacterial activity of compound **2** with different concentrations was first tested 166 against Gram-negative strains *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. From MIC results, we could identify a small window in 167 activity between "non-activated" and "activated" forms of cephalosporin derivative **2** against *E. coli*. Corroborating 168 these hypotheses, bacterial growth curve demonstrated that a concentration of 4 µg/mL of compound **2** delivered the 169 expected difference in antibacterial activity before and after UV-irradiation (Figure 2A). In addition, the sample at 170 the concentration 2 µg/mL resulted in slight inhibition of bacterial growth after the release of the cephalosporin active 171 form. Unfortunately, starting from 8 µg/mL and higher, compound **2** implied inhibitory activity even without UV-172 irradiation (Figure 2B, Figure S8).



173

174 **Figure 2**. Bacterial growth curves of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 at decreasing concentrations of the cephalosporin derivative **2**. (A) 175 Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at  $\lambda = 365$  nm for 5 min. (B) Non-irradiated samples. All the solutions were 176 irradiated before inoculation. Data points represent mean value  $\pm SD$  (n=3).

 Next, the activity of cephalosporin derivative **2** at the different concentrations was tested against *P. aeruginosa*. After the release of active compound **4** significant inhibition of bacterial growth at concentration 32 µg/mL was observed (Figure 3A). The lower concentration of compound **2** (16 µg/mL) after UV exposure resulted in partial inhibition of *P. aeruginosa* growth and an extended lag phase of 12 hours. Moreover, the further decrease of cephalosporin derivative concentration to 8 µg/mL correlated with reduced culture OD in the plateau phase. Finally, subsequent lowering of antibiotic **2** loading did not impact on bacterial growth anymore. The small inhibition effect was observed only at concentration 64 µg/mL for the compound **2** before the UV-irradiation (Figure 3A), which corresponds to the 185 earlier obtained MIC results. From the obtained result, we have concluded that the starting concentration 32 µg/mL of target cephalosporin derivative **2** is optimal to induce the expected difference between "activated" and "non-activated" forms of antibiotic.



188

189 **Figure 3**. Bacterial growth curves of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 at decreasing concentrations of the cephalosporin derivative 190 **2**. (A) Non-irradiated samples. (B) Sample after irradiation at time 0 min with UV light at  $\lambda = 365$  nm for 5 min. All the solutions 191 were irradiated before inoculation. Data points represent mean value  $\pm$ SD (n=3).

 Unfortunately, the testing of cephalosporin derivative **2** against Gram-positive strains yielded disappointing results, with a no difference in growth evident before and after UV-irradiation. However, this lack of difference might be due to a small or non-existent gap in activity between "non-active" and "active" forms of cephalosporin derivative against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*.

 Next, to show the absence of activity coming from the released by-product after the UV-irradiation of designed antibiotics **1** and **2**, a control experiment was carried out. The linkers **9a** and **12a** in the highest concentration of 64  $\mu$ g/mL were UV-irradiated at  $\lambda$ =365 nm for 5 min and inoculated with bacteria. The time-resolved bacterial growth 200 analysis was repeated during 18 h at 37 °C. Gratifyingly, no inhibitory effect was observed for both linkers 9a and **12a** against Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains, respectively (Figures S9-S10).

 In order to demonstrate the benefit of our designed antibiotics **1** and **2**, the study of their effect after UV-irradiation was carried out in the exponential phase of bacterial growth. The bacteria were incubated with compounds **1** or **2** for 204 7 h, whereafter the solutions were exposed to UV light at  $\lambda$ =365 nm for 5 min. The dynamic growth analysis was recorded during 18 h in total. As shown in Figure 4, the clear inhibition of the bacterial growth was observed for Gram-positive *B. subtilis* (A) and VSSA (B) after the release of vancomycin amide **3**, and Gram-negative *E. coli* (D) in presence of cephalosporin derivative **4** compare to the negative control experiments. The concentration of the compound **1** used to inhibit *B. subtilis* growth was 2 times higher (2 µg/mL) compared to the experiment with 209 irradiation at  $t = 0$  min, which was not the case for the other strains. This can be explained by the insufficient amount of antibiotic released at a lower loading concentration to kill a large number of bacteria formed after 7 hours of

211 incubation. Concerning MRSA and *P. aeruginosa,* a slowdown in bacterial growth has been detected after UV-

- 212 irradiation of antibiotics **1** and **2**, respectively (Figure 4C and 4E). It was also demonstrated that the bacteria was not
- 213 affected by the UV-irradiation step as the control experiment did not exhibit any deviations in growth.



216 **Figure 4**. Bacterial growth in presence of modified antibiotics with UV-irradiation step after 7h of bacterial growth for 5 min at 217 365 nm. (A) *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 mixed with vancomycin derivative **1** (2 µg/mL) (B) *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 mixed with 218 vancomycin derivative **1** (4 µg/mL) (C) *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 mixed with vancomycin derivative **1** (4 µg/mL) (D) *E. coli* 219 mixed with cephalosporin derivative **2** (4 µg/mL) (E) *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 mixed with cephalosporin derivative **2** (32 220  $\mu$ g/mL). Data points represent mean values  $\pm$ SD (n=3).

 The use of photoswitching groups in antibiotics remains challenging for retaining the desired biological effect for a long time. Thermal isomerization of these antibiotics over time led to a loss in activity, as shown by group of 224 Feringa.<sup>15</sup> For long-term maintenance of the therapeutic effect, irreversible antibiotic release thereby constitutes an advantage. We could successfully demonstrate the remaining antibacterial effect after the activation of the designed compounds over a period of 18 h. Moreover, the loading concentration of target antibiotics required to observe the desired inhibition after UV-irradiation remained low and can be predicted accurately from the MIC results and photocleavage efficacy. However, the initial concentration of the "caged" derivative has to be correlated with the starting amount of bacteria. This tendency was observed for antibiotic **1** tested against *S. aureus*, when 2 µg/mL of vancomycin derivative **1** was not sufficient to inhibit bacterial growth after the release of the active drug **3**, as the bacterial density used for the experiment was 200 times higher compared to MIC test. Additionally, the prolonged log phase in case of *P. aeruginosa* in presence of 16 µg/mL of cephalosporin **2** reveal the importance to use the higher concentration of antibiotic to observe full inhibitory effect. This delay in bacterial growth in presence of insufficient 234 amount of antibiotic was earlier showed by the group of Bunge studying *Enterococcus faecium*.<sup>59</sup> Another advantage of the system reported herein is that by small changes in initial antibiotic structure, we retained the biological activity against a broader spectrum of bacterial strains. The small difference in activity was observed for cephalosporin derivative, however, it could be readily improved by the introduction of longer PEG chains.

 In summary, we have developed a new and efficient PEGylation approach for the caging of antibiotic activity. In this report, we applied photo modifications for UV light-stimulated control of the activity of two broadly used antibiotics vancomycin and cephalosporin. The modified antibiotics could be irreversibly turned into an active form using an external stimulus. The release experiments performed in presence of Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains 242 showed strong inhibition of bacterial growth after UV-irradiation ( $\lambda$ =365 nm, 5 min) in both lag and exponential growth phases. In principle, the developed approach could be applied to any antibiotic possessing active groups for the modification, opening the field of photopharmacology without the need for dramatic changes in a drug structure.

 

#### **METHODS**

**Chemistry.** *General.* Reactions were carried out under inert gas (N<sub>2</sub> or Ar) in oven-dried (120°C) glass equipment and monitored for completion by TLC or UHPLC−MS (ESI). Solvents for reactions and analyses were of analytical grade. Fmoc-photo-linker **7**, NH2C2H4PEG23OMe (m-PEG24-amine), 5-Hydroxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde **10**, and 1- bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane was purchased from Iris biotech, BroadPharm, FluoroChem and Sigma-Aldrich, 252 respectively. The synthesis of compounds 11b and 14 have already been reported.<sup>60,61</sup> Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was run on Merck TLC plates silica gel 60 F254 on glass plate with the indicated solvent 254 system; the spots were visualized by UV light (365 nm), and stained by anisaldehyde, ninhydrin, or KMnO<sub>4</sub> stain. Silica gel column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230 - 400 Mesh) purchased from Sigma- Aldrich with the solvent mixture indicated. The SPE columns used were DSC-18 (Supelco, Sigma). Preparative HPLC separations were performed on a Shimadzu HPLC system (LC-20AP dual pump, CBM-20A Communication Bus Module, SPP-20, A UV/VIS Detector, FRC-10A Fraction collector) using reverse-phase (RP) columns Gemini- NX C18 (250 mm x 21.2 mm; 10 µm, 110 Å) or Synergi Hydro-RP (250 mm x 21.2 mm; 10 µm, 80 Å). Ultra-high- performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) coupled to mass spectrometer (MS) experiments were performed on an Ultimate 3000 LC system (HPG-3400 RS pump, WPS-3000 TRS autosampler, TCC-3000 RS column oven, Vanquish DAD detector from Thermo Scientific) coupled to a triple quadrupole (TSQ Quantum Ultra from Thermo 263 Scientific). The separation was performed using a RP column (Kinetex EVO C18;  $50 \times 2.1$  mm;  $1.7 \mu m$ ; 100 Å, 264 Phenomenex), a flow of 0.4 ml/min, a solvent system composed of A ( $H_2O + 0.1\%$  HCO<sub>2</sub>H) and B (MeCN + 0.1% HCO2H) and an elution gradient starting with 5% B, increasing from 5% to 95% B in 3.5 min, from 95% to 100% B in 0.05 min, and washing the column with 100% B for 1.25 min. UHPLC-MS measurements after the photolysis experiments the fragments ions were monitored by SIM mode focusing on the *m*/*z* 505.7 Da and 656.8 Da. IR- spectroscopy was performed on a *Varian 800 FT-IR ATR Spectrometer*. Lyophilization was performed on a *Christ Freeze dryer ALPHA 1-4 LD plus.* High-resolution electrospray mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were recorded on a timsTOF Pro TIMS-QTOF-MS instrument (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany). The samples were 271 dissolved in (e.g. MeOH) at a concentration of ca. 50  $\mu$ g/ml and analyzed via continuous flow injection (2  $\mu$ L/min). The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive (or negative) electrospray ionization mode at 4'000 V (-4'000 273 V) capillary voltage and -500 V (500 V) endplate offset with a  $N_2$  nebulizer pressure of 0.4 bar and a dry gas flow of 274 4 l/min at 180°C. Mass spectra were acquired in a mass range from  $m/z$  50 to 2'000 at ca. 20'000 resolution (m/z

- 275 622) and at 1.0 Hz rate. The mass analyzer was calibrated between m/z 118 and 2'721 using an Agilent ESI-L low
- concentration tuning mix solution (Agilent, USA) at a resolution of 20'000 giving a mass accuracy below 2 ppm. All
- 277 solvents used were purchased in best LC-MS quality <sup>1</sup>H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Avance II or III-
- 500 (500 MHz with Cryo-BBO, TXI, BBI or BBO probes). Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) on
- 279 the delta  $(\delta)$  scale and coupling constants  $(J)$  were reported in Hz. Chemical shifts were calibrated according to the
- 280 used solvents.
- *(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(1-(5-methoxy-2-nitro-4-((75-oxo-2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,38,41,44,47,*
- *50,53,56,59, 62,65,68,71-tetracosaoxa-74-azaoctaheptacontan-78-yl) oxy)phenyl)ethyl)carbamate (8a).*
- 283 To a solution of Fmoc-photo-linker  $7(17.4 \text{ µg}, 0.034 \text{ mmol})$  in anhydrous DMF  $(0.350 \text{ mL})$  at  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , distilled *N*,*N*- diisopropylethylamine (0.017 ml, 0.1 mmol) and HATU (25.4 mg, 0.067 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture turned dark brown. *m*-PEG24-amine (40 ug, 0.037 mmol) was added after 10 min. The reaction was stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 1 h and then at rt for 1 h. The solvents were evaporated followed by purification of crude product by flash silica column chromatography, (DCM:MeOH 100:5) to obtain the product **8a** (38 µg, 0.033 mmol, 72%) as a slightly yellow oil.
- **R**<sub>*f*</sub> = 0.29 (DCM:MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 7.82 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.58 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.45 – 7.34 (m, 3H), 7.33 – 7.27 (m, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.49 – 6.45 (m, 1H), 5.60 – 5.49 (m, 1H), 5.40 – 5.36 (m, 1H), 4.45 – 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 4.13 – 4.08 (m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.74 – 3.57 (m, 121H), 3.57 – 3.51 (m, 6H), 3.48 – 3.42 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 2.45 – 2.35 (m, 3H), 2.22 – 2.15 (m, 2H), 2.07 – 1.82 (m, 6H), 1.60 – 1.39 (m, 4H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 172.23, 155.57, 153.96, 147.18, 143.98, 141.43, 140.56, 134.43, 127.81, 127.13, 125.03, 120.09, 109.99, 72.06, 70.69, 70.30, 70.05, 68.72, 66.65, 59.16, 56.47, 48.60, 47.35, 39.38, 32.65, 25.03, 295 21.81. **IR (film)**:  $v_{\text{max}} = 2872, 1719, 1648, 1519, 1452, 1349, 1272, 1247, 1217, 1182, 1096, 948, 836, 762, 742 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 296 <sup>1</sup>; **ESI-HRMS**: calcd for C<sub>77</sub>H<sub>127</sub>O<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z = 1612.83457$  Da, found 1612.83540 Da.
- *Methyl 4-(4-(1-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)butanoate (8b)*
- Fmoc-photo-linker **7** (50 mg, 0.096 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (0.9 mL). Sulfuric acid (3 drops) was added to 299 the reaction mixture and the mixture was stirred overnight at 50  $^{\circ}$ C. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and DCM was added to the reaction mixture. The formed precipitate was filtered off and the solution was concentrated to afford the desired product **8b** as a white solid  $(49 \text{ mg}, 0.096 \text{ mmol}, 97\%)$ . **1H NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6) δ 8.02 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.49 – 7.47 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.32 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 5.21 (p, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 – 4.23 (m, 2H), 4.20 – 4.15 (m, 1H), 4.06 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 2.47 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.04 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.41 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). 13 C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 172.88, 155.25, 153.40, 146.23, 143.89, 143.61, 140.73, 139.92, 135.47, 127.59, 126.90, 125.00, 120.10, 109.37, 108.16, 67.78, 65.21, 56.19, 51.35, 46.68, 45.93, 29.82, 23.97, 21.89. **R***<sup>f</sup>* = 0.9 (DCM/MeOH 20:1). **IR (film):** 3348, 2938, 1736, 1687, 1579, 1451, 1375, 1335, 1278, 1254, 1218, 1178, 1119, 1086, 1070, 1051, 1021, 876, 758, 738, 646. **HR-ESI-MS (MeOH)**: calcd for C29H30O8N2Na [M+Na], *m/z* = 557.18944, found 557.18952.
- **Compounds 9a and 9b.** *General procedure.* Compound **8a** or **8b** was treated with a solution of piperidine in DMF (20% v/v, 0.01mM solution). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1-2 h. The solvent was evaporated and the
- remaining reaction mixture was washed with ether (2x10 mL) to afford the desired product**s**. Analytical data and
- yields for obtained compounds are described below.
- *4-(4-(1-aminoethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)-N-(2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,38,41,44,47,50,*
- *53,56,59,62,65,68,71-tetracosaoxatriheptacontan-73-yl)butanamide (9a)*
- The product was obtained as a slightly yellow oil (24  $\mu$ g, 0.020 mmol, 87%). <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  8.27
- (s, 1H), 7.92 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.49 7.42 (m, 2H), 4.02 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.66 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.60
- 3.44 (m, 121H), 3.44 3.34 (m, 12H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 3.20 (q, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.93 (p, *J* =
- 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 3H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 171.50, 153.17, 146.22, 140.13, 109.75,
- 108.38, 71.28, 69.78, 69.72, 69.58, 69.56, 69.11, 68.26, 58.05, 56.19, 38.52, 31.47, 24.65. **ESI-HRMS**: calcd for
- $C_{62}H_{118}O_{29}N_3$   $[M+H]^+, m/z = 1368.78455$  Da, found 1368.78635 Da.
- *Methyl 4-(4-(1-aminoethyl)-2-methoxy-5-nitrophenoxy)butanoate (9b)*
- The product was obtained as a slightly yellow amorphous solid (10 mg, 0.044 mmol, 73%). **R***<sup>f</sup>* = 0.45 (DCM/MeOH 15:1). **<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 4.79 (q, *J* = 6.5, 2H), 4.09 (t, *J* = 6.4, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.55 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.21 – 2.14 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 173.51, 153.89, 146.69, 140.85, 137.72, 109.25, 109.06, 68.34, 56.42, 51.86, 46.02, 30.52, 24.91, 24.42. **IR (film):** 2954, 1735, 1577, 1516, 1442, 1333, 1272, 1210, 1174, 1052, 818, 759; **HR-ESI-MS**: calcd for  $328 \text{ C}_{14}H_{21}O_6N_2$  [M+H],  $m/z = 313.13941$ , found 313.13930.
- **Compounds 1 and 5.** *General procedure.* Vancomycin hydrochloride (1 eq.), PyBoP (3 eq.) and HOBt (1 eq.) were dissolved in dry DMF (0.05 mM, based on vancomycin). To the reaction mixture freshly distilled *N*,*N*- diisopropylethylamine (3 eq.) was added followed by the addition of linker **9** or **16** (1.1 eq.). The reaction mixture 332 was stirred for 1 h at rt and the solvent was evaporated. The mixture was dissolved in MeCN:H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1, with 0.1%) HCO2H) and filtered through a SPE column. The solvent was evaporated and the compound was purified by preparative RP-HPLC. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.
- *Vancomycin derivative with PEG24 linker (1)*
- RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% 40% B for 36 min; 40% 100% B for 2 min, wash. The desired product,
- eluting at 28.2 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product **1** (3.1 mg, 0.008 mmol, 28%) as a white solid.
- **<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (500 MHz, Methanol-*d*4) δ 8.48 (s, 1H), 7.68 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.61 (s, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04
- (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.93 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.69 (q, *J* =
- 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.48 5.44 (m, 1H), 5.42 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.38 5.28 (m, 1H), 4.63 4.58 (m, 1H), 4.16 4.09 (m,
- 2H), 3.88 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 2H), 3.78 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.70 3.58 (m, 95H), 3.58 3.51 (m, 6H), 3.51 3.47
- (m, 1H), 3.39 (t, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.87 2.79 (m, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.45 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.14 (p, *J*
- = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 13.3 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (p, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.71 1.68 (m,
- 1H), 1.58 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.52 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 345 3H), 0.95 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 3H). **HR-ESI-MS (water)**: calcd for C<sub>128</sub>H<sub>192</sub>O<sub>52</sub>N<sub>12</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>,  $m/z = 1399.60573$ , found
- 1399.60396. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% 95% B for 3.5
- min; 95% 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product **1** was eluted at 2.02 min and was detected at 270 nm
- 

#### *Vancomycin derivative with photo linker (5)*

 RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% - 30% B for 46 min; 30% - 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product, eluting at 34.4 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product **5** (12.3 mg, 0.023 mmol, 30%) as a slightly yellowish solid.

 **H NMR** (500 MHz, Methanol-*d*4) δ 8.50 (s, 1H), 7.65 – 7.62 (m, 1H), 7.61 – 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.58 – 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02 – 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.79 – 5.76 (m, 1H), 5.70 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 – 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.31 – 5.28 (m, 1H), 4.19 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.15 – 4.10 (m, 2H), 3.87 – 3.83 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.77 – 3.71 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 2H), 3.55 – 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.42 – 3.36 (m, 1H), 2.82 (dd, *J* = 2.6, 16.1 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.35 – 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.13 (p, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.08 – 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.92 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.74 (m, 1H), 1.68 – 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.58 – 1.54 (m, 1H), 1.54 – 1.49 (m, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H). **HR-ESI-MS (water)**: 361 calcd for  $C_{80}H_{95}O_{29}N_{11}C_{12} [M+2H]^{2+}$ ,  $m/z = 871.78316$ , found 871.78295. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product **5**  was eluted at 2.11 min and was detected at 270 nm.

- 
- *5-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)-2-nitrobenzaldehyde (11a)*
- 365 5-Hydroxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde  $10(100 \text{ mg}, 0.598 \text{ mmol})$  was dissolved in dry DMF (6 mL) and powdered  $K_2CO_3$  (99 mg, 0.718 mmol) was added. After 5 min 1-bromo-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane (0.090 mL, 0.658 mmol) was 367 added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 90  $^{\circ}$ C overnight. Then, the mixture was cooled to rt, diluted with H2O, and extracted with DCM (3x30 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (*n*- pentane:EtOAc 2:1) to afford the desire product **11a** as yellow oil (158 mg, 0.598 mmol, 98%). **R***<sup>f</sup>* (*n*-pentane:EtOAc 2:1) = 0.3. **<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 10.47 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.30 – 4.25 (m, 2H), 3.92 – 3.86 (m, 2H), 3.74 – 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.59 – 3.55 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 3H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 188.60, 163.44, 142.48, 134.40, 127.36, 119.26, 114.06, 72.04, 71.04, 69.42, 68.78, 59.25. **IR (film):** 2881, 1695, 1583, 1516, 1485, 1425, 1389, 1329, 1288, 1246, 1234, 1199, 1164, 1108, 1074, 1048, 934, 886, 846, 746, 676, 631. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for C12H16O6N [M+H]+ , *m/z* = 270.09721, found 270.09718. **Compounds 12a and 12b.** *General procedure.* In a flask covered with aluminum foil, NaBH(OAc)3 (1 eq.) and molecular sieves (3 Å) were set under argon and suspended in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.2 M solution). Then, 4- (aminomethyl)pyridine (1.1 eq) was added by syringe, followed by AcOH (glacial, 0.1 mL, 2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt while a solution of **11a** or **11b** (1 eq) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (0.2 M solution) was added 380 dropwise by syringe over 10 min. After 3 h another equivalent of NaBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub> was added. The reaction was stirred 381 for additional 2h at rt followed by the addition of one more equivalent of NaBH(OAc)<sub>3</sub>. After 6 h in total, the reaction 382 mixture was poured into sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and extracted with DCM (3x20 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4 and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.
- 

#### *N-(5-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)methanamine (12a)*

- The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 20:1) The desired product **12a** was obtained as yellow oil (41 mg, 0.186 mmol, 63%). *Rf* = 0.31 (DCM/MeOH 20:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 8.48 (dd,
- *J* = 4.4, 1.6, 2H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.36 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.8 Hz,
- 1H), 4.25 4.21 (m, 2H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 3.79 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 3.61 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.47 3.44 (m, 2H),
- 3.28 (s, 2H), 3.24 (s, 3H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 162.19, 149.32, 141.36, 127.32, 122.80, 115.75, 112.92,
- 71.21, 69.68, 68.57, 67.93, 57.99, 51.08, 49.30. **IR (film):** 2879, 1603, 1579, 1512, 1453, 1414, 1337, 1287, 1109,
- 1080, 993, 840. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for C18H24O5N3 [M+H], *m/z* = 362.17105 found 362.17078

*N-(5-(methoxymethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)methanamine (12b)*

 The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 98:2, 95:5). The desired product **12b** was obtained as yellow oil (173 mg, 0.570 mmol, 48%). *Rf* = 0.28 (DCM/MeOH 95:5).<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.55 (d, *J* = 4.7, 2H), 8.07 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.26 – 7.24 (d, *J* = 2.6, 1H), 7.02 (ddd, *J* = 9.1, 2.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.09 (s, 2H), 3.87 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 3H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 161.24, 149.99, 148.91, 142.68, 138.20, 127.92, 123.19, 118.24, 115.02, 94.40, 56.64, 52.15, 50.91. **IR (film):**  2907, 1603, 1579, 1513, 1485, 1414, 1337, 1249, 1206, 1152, 1089, 1068, 993, 925, 840, 798, 755, 485.**HRMS**  401 (ESI): calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}N_3O_4$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z = 304.12918$ , found 304.12899.

 **Compounds 13a and 13b.** *General procedure.* Compound **12a** or **12b** was dissolved in dry THF (0.2 M) and TEA (2 eq.) was added. Boc2O (2 eq.) was dissolved in THF (0.1 M solution) and added to the solution. The reaction was stirred for 3-4 h. The formation of product was observed by UHPLC. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude mixture was purified by column chromatography. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.

#### *Tert-butyl (5-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate (13a)*

- The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 40:1) The desired product **13a** was obtained 409 as a dark yellow oil (50 mg, 0.221 mmol, 49%).  $Rf = 0.30$  (DCM/MeOH 40:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_0$ )  $\delta$  8.53 (s, 2H), 8.16 – 8.10 (m, 1H), 7.24 (s, 2H), 7.09 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.84 – 4.74 (m, 1H), 4.54 – 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.23 – 4.16 (m, 2H), 4.12 - 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.79 – 3.75 (m, 2H), 3.59 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.6  $HZ$ ,  $2H$ ), 3.46 (dd,  $J = 5.8$ , 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 1.33 (d,  $J = 17.2$  Hz, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  162.71, 154.99, 149.67, 140.71, 113.56, 71.23, 69.72, 68.51, 68.07, 58.05, 27.75, 22.78. **IR (film):** 2961, 1700, 1579, 14 1516, 1462, 1414, 1337, 1279, 1110, 1071, 993, 841. **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z = 462.22348$ found 462.22356.
- *Tert-butyl (5-(methoxymethoxy)-2-nitrobenzyl)(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate (13b)*
- The purification is carried out by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 95:5) The desired product **13b** was obtained as a dark yellow oil (30 mg, 0.072 mmol, 55%). *Rf* = 0.35 (DCM/MeOH 95:5). **<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (500 MHz, DMSO-
- *d*6) δ 8.55-8.48 (m, 2H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J*
- = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 9H). **<sup>13</sup> C NMR** (126 MHz, DMSO) δ 161.07, 154.98,
- 149.65, 147.54, 141.41, 137.19, 127.89, 127.73, 121.03, 114.58, 93.93, 79.95, 55.98, 50.01, 48.76, 27.75. **IR (film):**

 2976, 1696, 1581, 1517, 1482, 1455, 1413, 1338, 1276, 1241, 1206, 1156, 1068, 995, 925, 842, 755. **HRMS (ESI):**  423 calcd for  $C_{20}H_{26}N_3O_6$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z = 404.18161$  found 404.18187.

 **Cephalosporin derivatives 2, 4, and 6.** *General procedure.* Under an Ar atmosphere, NaI (3 eq.) was added to a mixture of compound **15** (1.5 eq) in dry acetone (0.1M). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 40 min. After this time the linker **13a**, 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine or **13b** (1 eq.) in dry acetone (0.05 M) was added and the reaction was 427 stirred at rt for  $3 - 4$  h. After the reaction was finished, the solvent was evaporated and the mixture was washed with isopropyl ether (IPE, 2 mL). The formed precipitate was dissolved in mixture of DCM:anisole:TFA 5:1:1 (0.1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight and then IPE (2 mL) was added into the reaction. The resulting suspension was centrifuged. The supernatant was removed, and the precipitate was washed with IPE two more times. The crude was dissolved in mixture of MeOH/H2O/CH3CN filtered through SPE column and purified by RP-HPLC. The purification methods, analytical data, and yields are shown below.

*Cephalosporin derivative with PEG2 linker (2)*

 RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% - 45% B for 56 min; 45% - 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product, eluting at 31 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product **2** (0.700 mg, 0.043 mmol, 2%) as a slightly 436 vellowish solid. **<sup>1</sup>H NMR** (500 MHz, Methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  9.05 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 8.44 – 8.40 (m, 1H), 8.07 – 8.05 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 5.20 – 5.13 (m, 2H), 4.28 – 4.21 (m, 2H), 4.12 (h, *J* = 3.1, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.88 – 3.84 (m, 2H), 3.73 – 3.66 (m, 3H), 3.63 – 3.58 (m, 1H), 3.57 – 3.54 (m, 2H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 3.22 (d, *J* = 13.8 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (d, *J* = 17.8 Hz, 1H). **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for  $C_{31}H_{36}O_{10}N_9S_2$  [M],  $m/z = 758.20211$ , found 758.20314. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product **2** was eluted at 2.49 min and was detected at 270 nm.

*Cephalosporin derivative (4)*

 RP-HPLC (Hydro): Gradient 0% B for 14 min; 0% - 20% B for 16 min; 20% - 100% B for 2 min, wash. The desired product, eluting at 4.3 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product **4** (3.56 mg, 0.072 mmol, 10%) as a white solid.**<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 9.23 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 5.87 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, *J* = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.74 – 3.66 (m, 448 1H), 3.24 – 3.19 (m, 1H). **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub>N<sub>8</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> [M]<sup>+</sup>,  $m/z = 505.10708$ , found 505.10666. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B, 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product **4** was eluted at 0.34 min and was detected at 270 nm.

*Cephalosporin derivative with photo linker (6)*

- RP-HPLC: Gradient 5% B for 14 min; 5% 45% B for 56 min; 45% 100% B for 4 min, wash. The desired product,
- eluting at 34.4 min, was collected and lyophilized to afford product **6** (0.35 mg, 0.052 mmol, 1%) as a slightly
- yellowish solid. **<sup>1</sup> H NMR** (500 MHz, MeOD) δ 8.82 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 8.62 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.06
- (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.84 6.82 (m, 1H), 6.81
- (dd, *J* = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, *J* =
- 14.2 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.12 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 3H). **HRMS (ESI):** calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>8</sub>N<sub>9</sub>S<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> [M]<sup>+</sup>, m/z
- $458 = 656.13403$ , found 656.13410. The purity of the compound was analyzed by UHPLC. Gradient starts from 5% B,

 5% - 95% B for 3.5 min; 95% - 100% for 0.05 min, wash. The product **6** was eluted at 0.91 min and was detected at 270 nm.

 **Photolysis experiment.** Photolysis experiments were performed using a Sina UV lamp (SI-MA-032-W; equipped 462 with UV lamps  $4x9$ ,  $365 \text{ nm}$ ) at the distance of  $\sim$ 5 cm. See the Supporting Information for the detailed procedure.

 **Microbiological Assays.** *Bacterial Strains, Media, Reagents and Equipment. Bacillus subtilis* (*B. subtilis*, ATCC 6633) *Staphylococcus aureus* (VSSA strain ATCC 29213), methicillin and oxacillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA strain ATCC 43300), Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (strain ATCC 25922) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (strain ATCC 27853) was purchased from either the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ) or the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The bacteria culture was stored at  $-80$  °C, and new cultures were prepared by streaking on Luria Broth (LB) or Trypsic Soy agar plates. The overnight culture was prepared by inoculating a single colony into a sterile plastic tube (15 mL) containing the bacteria medium (5 mL, LB 470 or Trypsic Soy) and the cultures were shaken (200 rcf/min) overnight at 37 °C. Synthesized compounds were prepared in water at stock concentrations of 1 mg/mL. The microplate reader used for the experiments was the Synergy H1 apparatus from BioTek. The Incubation assays were performed using an Eppendorf Thermomixer Compact with 1.5 473 mL blocks at 25  $\degree$ C with a mixing speed of 700 rpm. Optical density for bacterial suspension adjustment was measured by Biochrom Cell Density Meter Ultrospec 10.

 *MICs of Tested Compounds.* The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tested compounds and control antibiotics was determined using the broth microdilution method according to the guidelines outlined by the European 477 Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).<sup>52</sup> See the Supporting Information for the detailed procedure.

 *Time-resolved bacterial growth analysis.* In 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial dilutions of antibiotics **1** or **2** (ranging from 64 μg/ml to 0.125 μg/ml) were prepared in Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μl for each second line of the plate. The mixture was UV-irradiated at a wavelength of 365 nm for 5 min. Two-fold serial dilutions (ranging from 64 μg/ml to 0.125 μg/ml) were repeated for the unfilled lines in the same 96-well microtiter plate. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control wells were 184 inoculated with 50 µl of the bacterial suspension in concentration  $1x10^6$  cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup>, which results in final desired inoculum of 5 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup> in a volume 100 μl. The plate was then incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 18 h and the cell density (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate reader. All experiments were performed in triplicates.

 *Antibacterial activity at exponential phase of bacterial growth.* In 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial dilutions of antibiotics **1** or **2** (ranging from 64 μg/ml to 0.25 μg/ml) were prepared in Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μl for each line of the plate. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control 491 wells were inoculated with 50 µl of the bacterial suspension in concentration  $1x10^6$  cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup>, which results in final desired inoculum of 5 x  $10^5$  cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup> in a volume 100 µl. The plate was then incubated at 37°C for 18 h and the cell density (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate reader with the irradiation step after 7h of bacterial growth. All experiments were performed in triplicates.

 *Antibacterial activity of the by-products after the UV-irradiation.* In 96-well microtiter plate, linkers **9a** and **13a** (concentration 64 ug/mL) were prepared in Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μl. Each well containing the linker solution and the growth control wells were UV-irradiated at a wavelength of 365nm for 5 min. After that the wells were inoculated with 50 µl of the bacterial suspension in concentration  $1x10^6$  cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup>, which results in final desired inoculum of 5 x  $10^5$  cfu/ml<sup>-1</sup> in a volume 100 ul. The plate was then incubated at 37<sup>o</sup>C for 18 h and the cell density (600 nm) was measured every 20 min (with shaking between measurements) in a microplate.

All experiments were performed in triplicates.

# **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

### **Supporting information**

504 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of new compounds and details about photochemical data, microbiological assays, and bacterial growth curves.

### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

- Corresponding Author
- karl.gademann@uzh.ch
- 

# **Author Contributions**

 I.S.S. and K. G. designed the study. I.S.S. carried out the synthesis and characterization of all derivatives and biological experiments. A. .T. carried out the synthesis optimization of cephalosporin derivatives. I. S. S. and K. G. analyzed data and discussed the results. I. S. S. and K. G. wrote the manuscript.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

 We acknowledge the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, Grant No. 182043) and a Bundesstipendium (to I.S.S.) for financial support.

# **REFERENCES**

- (1) Vallance, P.; Smart, T. G. (2006) The Future of Pharmacology. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 147(S1), S304-S307. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706454.
- (2) Klatte, S.; Schaefer, H.-C.; Hempel, M. (2017) Pharmaceuticals in the Environment A Short Review on Options to Minimize the Exposure of Humans, Animals and Ecosystems. *Sustainable Chem. and Pharm.* 5, 61-66. DOI: 10.1016/j.scp.2016.07.001.
- (3) Edwards, I. R.; Aronson, J. K. (2000) Adverse Drug Reactions: Definitions, Diagnosis, and Management. *The Lancet,* 356(9237), 1255–1259. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02799-9.
- (4) Davies, J.; Davies, D. (2010) Origins and Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 74 (3), 417–433. DOI: 10.1128/MMBR.00016-10.













- (60) Tsushima, M.; Kano, Y.; Umemura, E.; Iwamatsu, K.; Tamura, A.; Shibahara, S. Novel (1998) Cephalosporin Derivatives Possessing a Bicyclic Heterocycle at the 3-Position. Part II: Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of 3-(5-Methylthiazolo[4,5- c ]Pyridinium-2-Yl)Thiomethylcephalosporin Derivatives and Related Compounds. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 6(9), 1641-1653. DOI: 10.1016/S0968- 0896(98)00103-5.
- (61) Saneyoshi, H.; Kondo, K.; Iketani, K.; Ono, A. (2017) Alkyne-Linked Reduction-Activated Protecting Groups for Diverse Functionalization on the Backbone of Oligonucleotides. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 25(13), 3350-3356. DOI: 10.1016/j.bmc.2017.04.020.
- (62) Gottlieb, H. E., Kotlyar, V., and Nudelman, A. (1997) NMR chemical shifts of common laboratory solvents as trace impurities. *J. Org. Chem. 62*, 7512−7515.

# **Supporting information**

# **1. Photolysis experiments**

Stock solutions (1 mM) of cephalosporin derivatives: Compounds **4** and **6** were dissolved in PBS buffer ( $pH=7.4$ ) and diluted to obtain several concentrations ( $2.5 - 20 \mu M$ ). These solutions were used for the experiments shown below and for generating the calibration curve. All stock solutions were freshly prepared.

Calibration curves were built by plotting linear regression of the mass intensity versus the concentration of the standard. From these curves the coefficients of correlation  $(R^2)$  and slope were calculated.

• Analysis of the solution after the UV-irradiation of cephalosporin derivative **6**



**Figure S1**. UHPLC-MS chromatograms comparison recorded in SIM-(+)mode of cephalosporin derivative **6**. From top to bottom: synthetic cephalosporin derivative **6**, product after irradiation of the cephalosporine derivative **6** solution, synthetic cephalosporin derivative **4**.

• Photolysis experiment of compound **6**



**Figure S2.** Analysis of the conversion of compound **6** into compound **4** after sample irradiation at a wavelength of 365 nm during different time. Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



**Figure S3.** Calibration curves for compound **4** on the left and for compound **6** on the right.

• Analysis of the solution after UV-irradiation of compound **6** for by-products.



**Figure S4**. UHPLC-MS chromatograms recorded in SIM (-)mode after the UV irradiation of a solution of the cephalosporin derivative **6**. From top to bottom: product **4**, by-product (linker), UV-trace (270 nm) of the solution after the UV irradiation.

# **2. Microbiological assays**

# • *MIC*

In a 96-well microtiter plate, two-fold serial dilutions of the respective antibiotics (ranging from 64 μg/ml to 0.125 μg/ml) were prepared in Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton-II broth (MHB) in a final volume of 50 μl. The bacterial suspensions turbidity was adjusted to a McFarland Standard 0.5 (absorbance at 600 nm 0.08–0.13) to get approximately  $1x10<sup>8</sup>$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>, then the bacterial suspension was diluted by a factor of 1:100 for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, by a factor 1:200 for *B. subtilis* and by a factor 1:150 for *E. coli* in MHB. Each well containing the antibiotic solution and the growth control well were inoculated with 50 μl of the bacterial suspension. This results in the final desired inoculum of 5 x  $10^5$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> in a volume 100 μl. The plate was then incubated at 37 o C for 18 h, after which minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by visual inspection and it is defined as the minimal concentration of a compound that prevents microbial growth.

### **Table S1.** MIC values of synthesized compounds



• *Time-resolved bacterial growth analysis*

**Results for antibiotic 1**



**Figure S5.** Bacterial growth curves of *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 incubated with antibiotic **1** at increasing concentrations. Compound **7** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).



**Figure S6.** Bacterial growth curves of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 incubated with antibiotic **1** at increasing concentrations. Compound **7** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).



**Figure S7.** Bacterial growth curves of *S. aureus* ATCC 43300 incubated with antibiotic **1** at increasing concentrations. Compound **7** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

#### **Results for antibiotic 2**



**Figure S8.** Bacterial growth curves of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 incubated with antibiotic **2** at increasing concentrations. Compound **2** after UV-irradiation at 365 nm for 5 min (left graph), without UV-irradiation (right graph). Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

#### • *Antibacterial activity of the by-products after the UV-irradiation*



**Scheme S1**. Proposed decomposition products of the linker **9a** after UV-irradiation



**Scheme S2**. Proposed decomposition products of the linker **12a** after UV-irradiation

#### **Results for the linker 9a**



**Figure S9.** Growth of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, *S. aureus* ATCC 43300, *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 incubated with linker **9a** and UV-irradiated at 365 nm for 5 min at time 0. The results represent data for the linker concentrations 64  $\mu$ g/mL. Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

### **Results for the linker 12a**



**Figure S10.** Growth of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *E. coli* ATCC 25922 incubated with linker **12a** and UV-irradiated at 365 nm for 5 min at time 0. The results represent data for the linker concentrations 64  $\mu$ g/mL. Data points represent mean value  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

### **3. NMR spectra of newly synthesized compounds**









S-9



**Figure S12**. UHPLC trace of compound **1.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



**Figure S13.** UHPLC trace of compound **5.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



### S-12





S-14



126 MHz <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in DMSO- $d_6 + 0.1\%$ TMS (45<sup>o</sup>C) of 13a





**Figure S14.** UHPLC trace of compound **4.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



**Figure S15.** UHPLC trace of compound **2.** Top: MS data and retention time of the compound, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound at 270 nm.



**Figure S16.** UHPLC trace of compound **6.** Top: UHPLC-MS chromatograms of the compound **6**, Bottom: UV-trace of the compound **6** at 270 nm.