An *In silico* scientific basis for LL-37 as a therapeutic and Vitamin D as preventive for Covid-19.

Kiran Bharat Lokhande^{a,c}, Tanushree Banerjee^{b,c}, K. Venkateswara Swamy^d, Manisha Deshpande^c

^aBioinformatics Research Laboratory, ^bMolecular Neuroscience Research Laboratory,

^cDr. D.Y. Patil Biotechnology and Bioinformatics Institute, ^cDr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Bangalore-Mumbai Highway, Tathawade, Pune – 411 033, Maharashtra, India.

^dMIT School of Bioengineering Sciences & Research, a constituent unit of MIT Art, Design and Technology University, Rajbaugh Loni Kalbhor, Solapur Highway, Pune - 412 201, Maharashtra, India.

Correspondence:-

Dr. Manisha Deshpande,
Dr. D.Y. Patil Biotechnology and Bioinformatics Institute,
Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Bangalore-Mumbai Highway,
Tathawade, Pune – 411033, Maharashtra, India.
Email: manisha.deshpande@dpu.edu.in
Phone: +91-20-67919444
Fax: +91 20 27420010

Email addresses of co-authors:-

Kiran Bharat Lokhande: kiran.lokhande@dpu.edu.in
Tanushree Banerjee: tanushree.banerjee@dpu.edu.in
K. Venkateswara Swamy: venkateswara.swamy@mituniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT

A multi-pronged approach with help in all forms possible is essential to *completely* overcome the Covid-19 pandemic. There is a requirement to research as many new and different types of approaches as possible to cater to the entire world population, complementing the vaccines with promising results. The need is also because SARS-CoV-2 has several unknown or variable facets which get revealed from time to time. In this report, *in silico* scientific findings are presented, which are indicative of the potential for the use of the LL-37 human anti-microbial peptide as a therapeutic against SARS-CoV-2. This indication is based on the high structural similarity of LL-37 to the N-terminal helix, with which the virus interacts, of the receptor for SARS-CoV-2, Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2. Moreover, there is positive prediction of binding of LL-37 to the receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV-2. As Vitamin D is known to upregulate the expression of LL-37, the vitamin is a candidate preventive molecule. This report provides the possible basis for why there is an inverse correlation between Vitamin D levels in the body and the severity of or susceptibility to Covid-19. The path for development of LL-37 as a therapeutic could be of lesser duration, as LL-37 is native to the human body. With the scientific link put forth herein, Vitamin D could be used at an effective, medically prescribed, safe dose as a preventive. The information in this report would be valuable in bolstering the worldwide efforts to control the pandemic as early as possible.

KEYWORDS:- SARS-CoV-2, Receptor Binding Domain, LL-37, Structural analysis, Molecular Docking, Vitamin D.

INTRODUCTION

The world is plagued with the peculiar and daunting problem that a vast population of humans does not mount an effective innate immune response against SARS-CoV-2 (Vabret *et al*, 2020). World-wide efforts have come / are coming to fruition for the development of vaccines and drugs. Yet, there could be challenges to surmount, confounded by the nature and behaviour

of SARS-CoV-2 (Calina *et al*, 2020). Even with the promising results of vaccines developed in the world, it is well recognized that the need for more modalities is essential, due to the sheer enormity of the problem. It could take a long time before Covid-19 is wiped from the face of Earth in totality. Also, by now, it is known that the virus is notoriously dynamic and also that there are several unknowns. SARS-CoV-2 has hypervariable genomic hotspots, evolves rapidly by mutations, and there is variability in epidemiologic and clinical attributes. The picture is not fully known about the human immune response to the virus and neither are its clinical manifestations completely understood (Abduljalil & Abduljalil, 2020). Thus, to minimize the period of suffering and bring the ultimate goal closer, there should be research in the direction of as many *different* types of approaches that can be thought of, including non-typical approaches. In this work, a different possibility is presented, in the form of the human anti-microbial peptide LL-37 as a therapeutic, the reasoning for which is collated and elucidated below.

SARS-CoV-2 attaches to the cell surface receptor Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) by the binding of the Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) of its Spike protein to ACE2. The N-terminal helix (NTH) of the peptidase domain of ACE2 is mainly responsible for the interaction with the RBD (Lan *et al*, 2020). The Receptor Binding Motif (RBM) within the RBD, forms a concavity into which the NTH fits in. Most of the residues of ACE2 that form interactions with the RBD are present in the N-terminal helix. A stretch of ACE2 from Ser19 to Asn53 comprises the NTH (Chowdhury *et al*, 2020).

LL-37, a 37 amino acid peptide, produced from the cleavage of an 18 kDa polypeptide, hCAP18, is an anti-microbial peptide (AMP) present in humans (Agier *et al*, 2015). It is produced not only by multiple immune cells like NK cells, B cells, mast cells etc, but also by epithelial cells present in the skin and the respiratory tract (Mansour *et al*, 2014). LL-37 is majorly present extracellularly (Sorenson *et al*, 2001). Within cells, it is present in its proform in granules. LL-37 can get internalized into human macrophages where it can act in clearance of intracellular pathogens (Tang *et al*, 2015). LL-37 is internalized by human mast cells which it induces to release nucleic acids (Dahl *et al*, 2018). Zhang *et al* (2010) have reported about LL-37 being a cell-penetrating peptide (CPP). The physiological concentration of LL-37 is known to be in the range of 2-5 µg/ml. However, in case of infection its concentration could rise up to 20 µg/ml

(Tripathi *et al*, 2015). LL-37 also exhibits antiviral activity against several viruses by interacting with the viral envelopes (Ahmed, 2019). LL-37 has also been found to interfere with the replication of several single-stranded enveloped RNA viruses similar to SARS-CoV-2, such as Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Influenza A hepatitis C virus, Dengue virus, HIV-1 and Vaccinia Virus (Crane-Godreau *et al*, 2020). A metabolite of Vitamin D3, 1 α ,25- dihydroxy vitamin D3 (1,25D3), is known for its role in transcriptional regulation of many genes of the immune system (Gurlek *et al*, 2002). Genes regulated by 1,25D3 also code for anti-microbial peptides. Human antimicrobial peptide hCAP-18/LL-37 gene is one such gene which is upregulated by Vitamin D (Svensson *et al*, 2016).

Moving on to the logic of this study, a large collection of evidence has mounted that there is a correlation between Covid-19 and Vitamin D. In PubMed, there are numerous articles reporting or discussing such correlation. To give an example, in an Israeli population-based analysis involving 7807 people, 10% individuals who were Covid-19 positive had lower 25(OH) Vitamin D levels in the plasma than the individuals who were tested negative and the finding was statistically significant (Merzon *et al*, 2020). In another work, which is most relevant to our study, the binding of LL-37 to enveloped viruses and their consequent disablement has been described and it has been postulated that Vitamin D deficiency manifests as aggravated Covid-19 through consequent low expression of cathelicidin (Crane-Godreau *et al*, 2020). Besides severity of disease, a recent study by Meltzer *et al* (2020) implicates an increased risk of contracting Covid-19 when there is deficiency of Vitamin D. Also, several studies have found Vitamin D deficiency to be linked with greater risk of viral respiratory tract infections (Greiller & Martineau, 2015). In our study, the question was asked - Could this association be something that could be tapped for developing a potential therapy? LL-37 is known to have a helical structure (Zhao *et al*, 2018) as depicted in Figure 1 (Panel I). The actual part of ACE2 that the RBD binds to is the N-terminal helix (NTH) (Figure 1, Panel I) of ACE2.

Thus, a hypothesis was made that LL-37, could be binding to RBD and if true, this could be one of the most effective way in which LL-37 could interfere with SARS-CoV-2 by binding to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the virus. Therefore, in this work, *in silico* studies were undertaken, mainly to test the hypothesis put forth and to unearth the possibility, if any, of binding

of LL-37 to RBD. A great value of this approach to develop a treatment would be that a molecule from the body itself could be used as a therapeutic.

The Spike protein RBD is amply glycosylated (Shajahan *et al*, 2020) and the glycan moieties are considered to be steric hindrances for neutralizing antibodies to bind – a mechanism of immune evasion by the virus. The RBD gets exposed when the virus is about to bind to ACE2; this is referred to as the “UP” state of the RBD, as against the unavailable “DOWN” state, these states being alternated by the control of glycans which is discussed by Cai *et al* (2020). The development of neutralizing antibodies as a therapeutic depends on the “Open” or available state of the RBD as against its “closed” state (Mercurio *et al*, 2020). So, in the UP or Open state of the RBD, LL-37 could also bind to it.

METHODS

Retrieval of 3-D Structures and their Preparation

The secondary structure of the N-terminal helix (NTH) of ACE2 was obtained by extracting residues 19-53 of hACE2 from PDB ID 6LZG from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) of the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (<https://www.rcsb.org/>), using the Maestro software (Schrödinger Release 2020-2: *Maestro*, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2020). The NMR structure of human LL-37 and two X-ray crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor-Binding Domain (RBD) in complex with its receptor i.e. human ACE2 (hACE2) were retrieved from the PDB database (<https://www.rcsb.org/>) with PDB ID: 2K6O (Wang, 2008), 6LZG (Wang *et al*, 2020) and 6M0J (Lan *et al*, 2020) respectively. Another three peptides that are structurally similar to LL-37 have been used as ‘controls’. The three-dimensional structures of these 3 peptides viz. Pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide-38 (PACAP-38) (PDB ID: 2D2P) (Tateishi *et al*, 2006), Amylin endocrine hormone (PDB ID: 2KB8) (Patil *et al*, 2009) and Glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2) (PDB ID: 2L63) (Venneti & Hewage, 2011) were retrieved from the PDB database. The sequences of NTH and the peptides are given in Table 1(A) and their structures are shown in Figure 1 (Panel I). The Schrödinger’s Protein Preparation Wizard tool (Sastry *et al*, 2013; Schrödinger Release 2020-2: Protein Preparation Wizard, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY,

2020) was used to prepare the downloaded PDB structures for structural correctness with respect to optimization of H-bonds and energy minimization of heavy atoms by using OPLS-2005 force field, resulting into high-confidence structures for further molecular docking studies.

Quantitative Assessment of NTH Structure Similarity with LL-37, PACAP-38, Amylin, and GLP-2

Firstly, the 4 peptide sequences were compared with the NTH sequence using BLASTP (National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Library of Medicine). To assess the structural similarity between N-terminal Helix (NTH) of hACE2 with LL-37, and the 3 control peptides, the quantitative assessment of protein structure similarity was carried out using TM-Align online server (Zhang & Skolnick, 2005; <https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/TM-Align/>). The TM-Align program produces the optimized residual alignment between two structures depending on their structural similarity followed by structural superimposition and gives the TM-score which is a measure of the structural similarity. TM-score gives the values in between 0 to 1, where 0 indicates the given structures are structurally different while 1 indicates perfect alignment and implies that both the structures are identical to each other. If the TM-score is higher than 0.5, then it signifies that similar structural folds are present in both the structures and a score < 0.3 indicates random structural similarity. Further, a greater value for TM-score signifies stronger structural similarity (Xu & Zhang, 2010). CATH (Database based on Class, Architecture, Topology and Homologous superfamily) and SCOP (Structural classification of Proteins database) are commonly used standards for comparison and classification of protein structures (Csaba & Zimmer, 2009). For CATH, a TM-score of 0.5 means that it is 37% probable that the two structures fall into the same topology family; when the TM-score is 0.6, this probability elevates to 80%. When TM-score is < 0.4, it means that are nearly zero protein pairs in the same SCOP Fold family. When the TM-score is > 0.6, the probability of the two proteins being in the same SCOP Fold is raised to > 65%. TM-Align also classifies the alignment of amino acid pairs according to a distance cut-off 'd', distinguishing the pairs with $d < 5.0 \text{ \AA}$ from those with $d > 5.0 \text{ \AA}$, the former being the better aligned pairs than the latter.

Macromolecular or Protein-Protein Docking

For the macromolecular docking of given structures, we used the HDock online server for blind docking (<http://hdock.phys.hust.edu.cn/>) to predict their binding complexes with binding affinity. HDock predicts the intermolecular interactions at the interface of two proteins in binding complexes through a hybrid algorithm (Yan *et al*, 2020). The HDock algorithm executes rigid docking by considering both the receptor and ligand as rigid molecules. We performed macromolecular docking of LL-37 (PDB ID: 2K6O) with RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (from PDB ID: 6LZG and 6MOJ). For this, we separated the RBD-ACE2 complex structures using Maestro software and used only RBD structural coordinates for docking. Then, these docking results were compared with positive and control dockings. Positive control docking i.e. docking of SARS-CoV-2 RBD with its receptor hACE2 was done by taking the 6LZG PDB complex, separating the two protein structures and then docking them. For control dockings, the 3 control peptides were docked with SARS-CoV-2 RBD (from 6LZG). Also, as a different type of control, LL-37 was docked with the Spike protein region from residue 96 to residue 318, which is adjacent (immediately upstream) to RBD by extracting the structure of this region from the full spike protein structure of SARS-CoV-2 (PDB ID: 6Z97) (Huo *et al*, 2020), using Maestro software. All docked complexes were downloaded from the HDock server and their intermolecular interaction pattern was analyzed using Maestro.

RESULTS

Structural Alignment of LL-37, PACAP-38, Amylin and GLP-2, with NTH

BLASTP gave the result “No significant sequence similarity” (to NTH) for all peptides. The validation of the TM-Align server was done by giving the same hACE2 NTH structure as structure 1 and structure 2 inputs to the server. The resulting TM-score of this alignment was 1, with RMSD 0 Å and this confirmed the accuracy of the TM-Align server. Structural similarity searches were performed in the RCSB PDB to make the study more stringent by finding structures similar to LL-37 under (i) strict and (ii) relaxed criteria. From the searches, structures that were isolated, i.e., not in complex or as dimer/tetramer etc, as that could change their native structure; in the range

37 plus/minus 5 residues; and those that were Solution NMR structures (as solution NMR gives the structure in native state) were selected. Structural alignment of each was done with NTH of ACE2. The results are tabulated in Table 1(B). The score for LL-37 was 0.68037, so it falls under the high similarity category according to CATH and SCOP. It shows that LL-37 has a significantly higher score than all (except 2LAT), *even when compared with structures that were already similar to itself*.

The structural alignments generated from the TM-Align server are shown in Figure 1 (Panel II). It can be seen that LL-37 even shares a similar bend in the helix as NTH, and this could help in fitting of LL-37 into the concavity of RBD. Also, although the peptide Amylin has aligned with NTH with a score a little greater than 0.5, it can be seen from the figure that there is a clear bifurcation of the two structures towards the N-terminus. This study suggests that the reported human anti-microbial peptide LL-37 is structurally very close to hACE2 NTH and this feature could facilitate its binding to RBD. For each peptide, the amino acids that aligned with those of NTH are shown in Figure 2 (Panel I). It can be seen that LL-37 and PACAP-38 have a greater number of aligned residues with $d < 5.0 \text{ \AA}$, and hence, better alignment than Amylin and GLP-2. Now, those structures with alignment RMSD $> 2.0 \text{ \AA}$ and/or those that are not found as free peptides in the circulation (which includes 2LAT), were eliminated. Thus, 2D2P, 2KB8 and 2L63 were selected as control peptides for docking studies.

Intermolecular Interactions between hACE2 and RBD (Positive Control)

The already determined crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 RBD complexed with hACE2 (PDB ID: 6LZG) was used for docking analysis. The complexed structure was split into separate SARS-CoV-2 RBD and separate hACE2 structure and subjected to macromolecular docking calculations. The docking results gave a structurally very similar complex as compared to the crystal structure (PDB ID: 6LZG) with a docking score of -334.45. Intermolecular interactions between this docked complex are summarized in Table 2(A). The N-Terminal Helix of hACE2 is exposed towards the Receptor Binding Motif (RBM) of SARS-CoV-2 RBD and this interface makes a very stable complex by forming 15 different interactions comprising of 9 hydrogen bond interactions, 4 aromatic hydrogen bonds, and two salt bridges. From the table, it can be seen that

9 of the interactions predicted by our docking were common with the RBD-ACE2 interactions in the experimental RBD-ACE2 complex structures determined by Shang *et al* (2020), or Lan *et al* (2020) or Yan *et al* (2020). This indicates the validity of the docking method used in this work.

Intermolecular Interactions between LL-37 and RBD (Test)

The docked complexes of LL-37-RBD_{6LZG} and LL-37-RBD_{6M0J} gave docking scores of -253.75 and -238.18 respectively (a more negative value indicates stronger binding and stable complex). The intermolecular interactions between LL-37 and SARS-CoV-2 RBD from 6LZG and 6M0J PDB are illustrated in Figure 3 (Panel I). In the LL-37-RBD (RBD from PDB ID: 6LZG) complex, there are eight interactions including three hydrogen bonds, three aromatic hydrogen bonds, and two salt bridges and the detailed interaction analysis is summarized in Table 3(A). In the LL-37-RBD (RBD from PDB ID: 6M0J) complex also, eight interactions were observed at the interface including two hydrogen bonds, four aromatic hydrogen bond interactions and two salt bridges. In Figure 2 (Panel II), the positions of NTH (extracted from ACE2 of 6LZG) and LL-37 docked with the RBD from 6LZG, across the surface of the receptor binding motif (RBM) of the RBD from 6LZG are shown. From this figure, it is apparent that LL-37 could hinder the binding of RBD to ACE2 by occupying the region where NTH interacts with RBD.

From this docking study, it is clear that the residues from LL-37, viz., Glu16, Arg23, Asp26 and Phe27, also residues from SARS-CoV-2 RBD, viz., Glu406, Lys417, Tyr421, Gly 496 and Tyr505 are very important residues for binding as these interactions were observed in both the Test complexes. The regions of RBD spanned by LL-37 in each case are shown diagrammatically in Figure 3 (Panel II). Thus, five residues of RBD contacted by LL-37 were found reproducible between the two test dockings. This finding also demonstrates that LL-37 binding to RBD appears to be specific. The presence of 2 salt bridges in both the Test complexes predicts thermostability of the binding of LL-37 to RBD. Comparing the docking of LL-37 with RBD and hACE2 with RBD, hACE2 forms a very stable complex with RBD (-334.45 docking score), as not only the N-terminal helix but other secondary structure elements of hACE2 are exposed to the surface binding site of SARS-CoV-2 RBD. Despite the weaker binding energy of the LL-37-RBD complex, the stability of this complex is very similar to the stability of the hACE2-RBD complex because the scoring

function of any docking program not only considers the number of interactions but also takes into consideration the Van der Waals' and electrostatic interactions, the decrease in entropy which occurs when the ligand binds, the hydrogen bonds and solvation factor. As seen in Table 2(A), not only the NTH of hACE2 contributed in the binding but other residues are also involved in the binding with SARS-CoV-2 RBD resulting in a lower binding energy as compared to LL-37-RBD complex. LL-37 consists of only a helix for docking with RBD, therefore the docking score for this complex was -253.75. To validate this point, only the NTH was extracted from the ACE2 of 6LZG and was docked with the RBD of 6LZG. The docked model which showed the binding position of NTH similar to the NTH position when the entire ACE2 binds to RBD as in determined structures (Figure 2, Panel II) was analyzed, and its docking score was -224.14. The detailed interactions are given in Table 2(B), from which it is seen that the interactions are mostly not common with the experimentally determined interactions. This would be due to the NTH alone docking in a similar but not perfectly the same position as when in the entire ACE2, due to the surrounding influences of ACE2.

Intermolecular Interactions between other peptides and RBD (Control)

The docked complex of 2D2P-RBD_{6LZG} gives a docking score of -230.30. Five interactions including three hydrogen bonds, one aromatic hydrogen bond, and Pi-Pi interaction were detected at the interface of the 2D2P-RBD complex, and the detailed interaction analysis is summarized in Table 3(B). The docked complex of 2KB8-RBD_{6LZG} gives -229.10 as docking score. Seven interactions were observed at the interface including three hydrogen bonds, three aromatic hydrogen bond interactions and one salt bridge. 2L63 forms only three interactions (two aromatic hydrogen bonds and one hydrogen bond) with RBD of SARS-CoV-2 and gives a docking score of -202.59. No salt bridges are formed. The intermolecular interactions between 2D2P, 2KB8, 2L63 and SARS-CoV-2 RBD from 6LZG PDB are illustrated in Figure 4 (Panel I). There was no commonality between RBD residues interacted with by NTH and by any of the Test or Control peptides. Figure 4 (Panel II) diagrammatically shows the alignments of the regions of RBD spanned by the control peptides. We also explored the binding stability of LL-37 peptide towards the region which is immediately adjacent and upstream to SARS-CoV-2 RBD. This docking result

gives a docking score of -209.74 by forming two interactions at the interface of this complex (Table 3(B), Figure 4 (Panel I)). Thus, LL-37 showed poor binding to a region adjacent to RBD and this also is indicative of the specificity of LL-37 binding to RBD.

From these docking studies, we can conclude that, *in silico*, LL-37 shows a binding affinity and relative stability of binding towards the RBD of SARS-CoV-2. Now, with a lower structural alignment (with NTH) TM-score, visually poorer alignment with a bifurcation, and lesser no. of aligned residue pairs with $d < 5.0 \text{ \AA}$; 2KB8 has shown almost comparable docking as LL-37. This would be attributed to the amino acid sequence of 2KB8 which must have amino acids at appropriate positions so that they could dock with RBD. But, even so, the chances of LL-37 binding to RBD are greater than 2KB8, because LL-37, with its better structural attributes, would first more easily enter and fit into the binding region, and then only the amino acid interactions would take place.

Sequence variation in geographical isolates of SAR-CoV2:- For analyzing the variations in the sequence of SARS-CoV-2 isolated from different geographical regions we used the 'Latest Global Analysis' tool of the 'nextstrain.org' database (Hadfield *et al*, 2018), wherein we downloaded the analyzed sequences of 3564 genomes of different geographical isolates of SARS-CoV-2 reported from December 2019 to 9th November 2020. Subsequently we analyzed the mutations of amino acid residues which are observed to participate in the interaction with LL-37 in our docking. As shown in Figure 3 (Panel II), the number of mutations of these residues in 3564 SARS-CoV-2 genomes is only 1 or 0 and hence these amino acids are well conserved, which is a plus point for LL-37 as a therapeutic.

Cell-penetrating peptide activity of LL-37:- Two prediction servers were used. The SkipCPP-Pred tool (<http://server.malab.cn/SkipCPP-Pred/Index.html>) (Wei *et al*, 2017) predicted LL-37 to belong to the cell-penetrating class with a prediction confidence of 0.806. The MLCPP algorithm (<http://www.thegleelab.org/MLCPP/>) (Manavalan *et al*, 2018) predicted LL-37 to be a CPP with a probability score of 0.68. The uptake efficiency was predicted as Low with a probability score of 0.45. Additionally, LL-37 is found deposited as a CPP in the database CPPsite 2.0 (Agrawal *et al*, 2015; Gautam *et al*, 2012).

Safety analyses of LL-37:- It is recognized that peptides, even including LL-37, could have adverse effects when used as therapeutics (Chen & Lu, 2019). For possible delivery of LL-37 through the inhalatory route, LL-37 was assessed for allergenicity. Taking an example of a human polypeptide which is used by inhalation in clinical practice, namely insulin, it has been reported that allergic reactions can occur although insulin is an innate protein (Gatto *et al*, 2019; Mastrandrea, 2010). The algorithm AllergenFP v.1.0 (Dimitrov *et al*, 2014) predicted LL-37 to be a “probable non-allergen”. Using the server AllerCatPro (Maurer-Stroh *et al*, 2019) LL-37 as a query gave the result “No Evidence” for allergenicity. AllergenFP v.1.0 predicted human Insulin (UniProt sequence ID P01308) to be a “probable allergen” and AllerCatPro gave the result “Strong Evidence” for insulin as an allergen. The software program ToxinPred (Gupta *et al*, 2013) predicted LL-37 to be a Non-Toxin by all Support Vector Machine methods available on the server. For prediction of hemolytic activity, the tool HAPPENN, which employs neural networks method was used (Timmons & Hewage, 2020). LL-37 was predicted to have very low hemolytic scores of 0.073, 0.089, and 0.09 by the three methods available in the tool, degree of hemolytic activity increasing from 0 to 1.

DISCUSSION

In summary, based on our *in silico* findings, we putatively propose that LL-37 could be used as a therapeutic for Covid-19. Besides the known action of LL-37 against enveloped viruses, we provide *in silico* data for the binding of LL-37 to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 itself. The very good structural alignment of LL-37 with the NTH suggests that LL-37 could stop RBD from binding ACE2 even by simply occupying the space intended by the virus for NTH. Also, from analysis of the docking studies (Figure 3, Panel II), it can be seen that LL-37 spans a considerable stretch of RBD, from Glu407 to Tyr506 in both the Test dockings which includes the entire Receptor Binding Motif. This again is indicative of the potential for effective blocking of the RBD. Although the docking energy of LL-37 is not comparable to that of ACE2, if present at sufficient concentration in the body, due to its structural similarity to NTH, LL-37 could also simply create a physical hinderance for the virus binding to ACE2. It may be noted that the interactions of the peptide PACAP-38 with RBD span a much shorter region of RBD than LL-37. Also, although the interactions of GLP-2 span a long region of RBD, the RBM is almost completely missed out by

this peptide. Amylin spans the RBD well, but as explained above, NTH is more likely to bind to RBD than Amylin. Besides, PACAP-38, Amylin and GLP-2, based on their normal function in the body, are unsuitable to use as therapeutic peptides, unlike LL-37. Now, it is of noteworthy importance that, following our previous report of *in silico* binding of LL-37 to RBD (Lokhande *et al*, 2020), Roth *et al* (2020) have demonstrated *in vitro* that LL-37 does bind to RBD. This corroborates our prediction as sound, and also highlights the value of *in silico* studies. In the pages of scientific literature, ours was the very first study describing the binding of LL-37 to the RBD of the virus causing an epic pandemic, and the value this could have.

This finding of LL-37 binding to RBD also provides a boost for the use of Vitamin D at an effective, medically prescribed dose for protection against SARS-CoV-2, especially as LL-37 is expressed in the respiratory epithelial cells also, and Vitamin D elevates LL-37 levels. The European Food and Safety Authority advise 4000 IU/day and the Endocrine Society, 10000 IU/day of Vitamin D, as an upper limit for safety (Amrein *et al*, 2020). A randomized controlled trial involving 373 healthy adults having normal Vitamin D levels, reported similar safety data for Vitamin D doses upto 400, 4000 and 10000 IU/day (Billington *et al*, 2020). In the future, it would be helpful to design a water-soluble derivative of Vitamin D to avoid the adverse effect of hypervitaminosis.

Even when the RBD is in the UP state, it might be difficult for the immune surveillance mechanism of neutralizing antibodies to reach the RBD, and hence the efficient immune evasion by the virus. Also, it is reasonable to conjecture that a small peptide like LL-37 would more easily access the RBD in its UP state, than large molecules like neutralizing antibodies. The prediction that LL-37 is a cell-penetrating peptide raises the interesting possibility of LL-37 intracellularly binding to the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 as the protein is produced in the infected cells and then, following viral particle assembly, release of virions from the cells which already have the RBD blocked by LL-37 and hence cannot infect new cells.

Regarding the safety of using LL-37 as a therapeutic, it has been cautioned that there could be adverse effects including cell membrane-destabilization above critical concentrations of LL-37 (Chen & Lu, 2019). A clinical trial testing the safety of LL-37 in the treatment of non-healing

leg ulcers demonstrated that topical LL-37 application was safe (Grönberg *et al*, 2020). Now, LL-37 has been approved for Phase II clinical trials (<https://clinicaltrials.gov>; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04098562) for its anti-microbial action in the management of diabetic foot ulcers (Chen & Lu, 2019). There is also approval for a clinical study of intratumoral injection of LL-37 for the condition of melanoma (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02225366). At high concentrations *in vitro*, LL-37 has been found cytotoxic to different eukaryotic cells (Johansson *et al*, 1998), but when human serum is present, the cytotoxic activity of LL-37 is inhibited, and this could be the reason why LL-37 is not cytotoxic in the body. Indeed, the cytotoxicity of LL-37 secreted into the blood is negated by the binding of LL-37 to plasma proteins such as apolipoprotein A-I (Ciornei *et al*, 2005). It is well elucidated by Xhindoli *et al* (2016) how the cytotoxic effects of LL-37 are counteracted by its binding to apolipoprotein A-I. The K_D of the binding is such that apolipoprotein A-I at its physiological concentration in plasma, can render inactive (including cytotoxic activity) 90% of the LL-37 molecules present at concentrations which would be toxic to the body's cells. This still leaves sufficient free LL-37 molecules for antimicrobial action. Data is also indicative that eukaryotic cells are more resistant (than prokaryotic cells) to the cytotoxicity of LL-37 due to the expression of heparan sulfate by eukaryotic cells and the structure and composition of their membranes (Zhang *et al*, 2010). A very recent report describes a cooperation between LL-37 and Human Neutrophil Peptide-1, that shields mammalian plasma membranes from lysis (Drab & Sugihara, 2020). Now, it has been reported that excision of the N-terminal hydrophobic residues from LL-37 reduces its cytotoxicity (Ciornei *et al*, 2005). From Figure 2(Panel I,B), it can be seen that the first three N-terminal amino acids (LLG) of LL-37 do not align with the NTH residues. Also, from the docking data, these 3 amino acids do not form interactions with RBD. This means that, for the development of LL-37 as a therapeutic, the option of removing 2-3 amino acids from the N-terminus is available.

The predicted non-allergenicity of LL-37 can mean that LL-37 could be administered to patients through the respiratory tract route, which would have more immediate efficacy in treating the disease. Also, this would greatly improve the deliverability to large populations in a shorter time, due to ease of administration compared to an injectable. If administered intravenously, being a peptide, LL-37 could migrate from the circulation to the infected regions in the lungs. Peptide

therapeutics enter the tissues from the vasculature by diffusion or convective extravasation, and transfer from the circulation to the tissues also depends on the properties of specific peptides (Diao & Meibohm, 2013). In relation to the lungs, as respiratory epithelial cells express hCap-18, LL-37 has been found to be present in the bronchial alveolar lavage fluid (Tjabringa *et al*, 2005), and increased levels have been detected in tracheal aspirates during infection. In the lungs, the normal role of LL-37 is to provide innate immunity against bacterial infections. In the case of Covid-19, SARS-CoV-2 infects the respiratory epithelial cells (Mason, 2020), the hCap-18 expression system of which could hence be adversely affected, which anyway is upregulated in response to bacterial infection. Thus, as we have shown that there could be a binding connection between LL-37 and RBD, it *could* be advocated that external administration of LL-37 directly into the lungs would be beneficial in the treatment of Covid-19. Besides, the epithelial cells yet unaffected by the virus, can be stimulated to produce LL-37 by the use of Vitamin D. Caution would have to be exercised if LL-37 is to be used as a therapeutic, because it is reported to contribute to inflammatory processes in the lungs. At the same time, LL-37 is also involved in wound repair involving skin epithelial cells (Tjabringa *et al*, 2005). This role of LL-37 *may* have (positive) implications for Covid-19. Grant *et al* (2020) have reviewed that Vitamin D could have a protective effect against Covid-19 severity through its upregulation of cathelicidin, which in turn results in decrease in the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and increase in anti-inflammatory cytokines. Consequently, the cytokine storm associated with Covid-19 could be controlled. The development of LL-37 as a therapeutic for Covid-19 will have to be in the context of the various roles and effects of the molecule. But the fact that LL-37 is a molecule already present in the human circulation, and LL-37 as a therapeutic has received approval for clinical trials could help in paving the way for its use in the case of Covid-19. LL-37 as a therapeutic, which would need only peptide synthesis, would also be relatively cost-effective.

Further actual experimental studies, in addition to the work by Roth *et al* mentioned above, would be required to evaluate in detail the binding of LL-37 with the RBD. If such studies confirm the finding of our *in silico* studies, then LL-37 has a good potential to be developed as a therapeutic. As it is a molecule native to the human body, its development up the regulatory pathway could be of shorter duration. To minimize the morbidity until the developed / upcoming

vaccines become available to all people in the world, Vitamin D administration in a safe range to the entire population could be advocated by authorities. Our findings add significant weight to a similar recommendation made by Griffin *et al* (2020), based on the association of Vitamin D deficiency with heightened risk of getting Covid-19 infection. It could be the simplest prophylaxis to implement, and worldwide Vitamin D supplementation could be advocated, as only possible benefit could come out of it, *when consumed within a safe limit as advised by authorities*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful for the valued support of Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth (DPU), Pune, India, and of Prof. Jayanta K. Pal, Director, Dr. D. Y. Patil Biotechnology and Bioinformatics Institute (DYPBBI, a constituent of DPU), Pune, for carrying out this work. They are also thankful for the valued support of Director and Head of MIT School of Bioengineering Sciences & Research, Pune. Senior Research Fellowship awarded to Mr. Kiran Bharat Lokhande (Project ID: 2019-3458; File No.: ISRM/11(54)/2019) by the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi is also acknowledged.

FUNDING: This work was supported by Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pune, with funding for the hardware used in this study, but no specific grant was received for this project. DPU or DYPBBI had no involvement in the study design, collection and analyses of data, or in the writing of the article. Dr. D.Y. Patil Biotechnology and Bioinformatics Institute gave the final approval to submit the article for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS: The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Abduljalil, J. M., & Abduljalil, B. M. (2020). Epidemiology, genome, and clinical features of the pandemic SARS-CoV-2: a recent view. *New microbes and new infections*, 35, 100672. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100672>
2. Agier, J., Efenberger, M., & Brzezińska-Błaszczuk, E. (2015). Cathelicidin impact on inflammatory cells. *Central-European journal of immunology*, 40(2), 225–235. <https://doi.org/10.5114/ceji.2015.51359>

3. Agrawal, P., Bhalla, S., Usmani, S. S., Singh, S., Chaudhary, K., Raghava, G. P., & Gautam, A. (2016). CPPsite 2.0: a repository of experimentally validated cell-penetrating peptides. *Nucleic acids research*, 44(D1), D1098–D1103. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1266>
4. Ahmed, A., Siman-Tov, G., Hall, G., Bhalla, N., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Human Antimicrobial Peptides as Therapeutics for Viral Infections. *Viruses*, 11(8), 704. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v11080704>
5. Amrein, K., Scherkl, M., Hoffmann, M., Neuwersch-Sommeregger, S., Köstenberger, M., Tmava Berisha, A., Martucci, G., Pilz, S., & Malle, O. (2020). Vitamin D deficiency 2.0: an update on the current status worldwide. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, 74(11), 1498–1513. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-020-0558-y>
6. Billington, E. O., Burt, L. A., Rose, M. S., Davison, E. M., Gaudet, S., Kan, M., Boyd, S. K., & Hanley, D. A. (2020). Safety of High-Dose Vitamin D Supplementation: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*, 105(4), dgz212. <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgz212>
7. Cai, Y., Zhang, J., Xiao, T., Peng, H., Sterling, S. M., Walsh, R. M., Jr, Rawson, S., Rits-Volloch, S., & Chen, B. (2020). Distinct conformational states of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, eabd4251. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd4251>
8. Calina, D., Docea, A. O., Petrakis, D., Egorov, A. M., Ishmukhametov, A. A., Gabibov, A. G., Shtilman, M. I., Kostoff, R., Carvalho, F., Vinceti, M., Spandidos, D. A., & Tsatsakis, A. (2020). Towards effective COVID-19 vaccines: Updates, perspectives and challenges (Review). *International journal of molecular medicine*, 46(1), 3–16. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2020.4596>
9. Chen, C. H., & Lu, T. K. (2020). Development and Challenges of Antimicrobial Peptides for Therapeutic Applications. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9010024>
10. Chowdhury, R., Boorla, V. S., & Maranas, C. D. (2020). Computational biophysical characterization of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein binding with the ACE2 receptor and

implications for infectivity. *Computational and structural biotechnology journal*, 18, 2573–2582.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2020.09.019>

11. Ciornei, C. D., Sigurdardóttir, T., Schmidtchen, A., & Bodelsson, M. (2005). Antimicrobial and chemoattractant activity, lipopolysaccharide neutralization, cytotoxicity, and inhibition by serum of analogs of human cathelicidin LL-37. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 49(7), 2845–2850. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.7.2845-2850.2005>

12. Crane-Godreau, M. A., Clem, K. J., Payne, P., & Fiering, S. (2020). Vitamin D Deficiency and Air Pollution Exacerbate COVID-19 Through Suppression of Antiviral Peptide LL37. *Frontiers in public health*, 8, 232. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00232>

13. Csaba, G., Birzele, F., & Zimmer, R. (2009). Systematic comparison of SCOP and CATH: a new gold standard for protein structure analysis. *BMC structural biology*, 9, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6807-9-23>

14. Dahl, S., Anders, E., Gidlöf, O., Svensson, D., & Nilsson, B. O. (2018). The host defense peptide LL-37 triggers release of nucleic acids from human mast cells. *Peptides*, 109, 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2018.10.001>

15. Diao, L., & Meibohm, B. (2013). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic correlations of therapeutic peptides. *Clinical pharmacokinetics*, 52(10), 855–868. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-013-0079-0>

16. Dimitrov, I., Naneva, L., Doytchinova, I., & Bangov, I. (2014). AllergenFP: allergenicity prediction by descriptor fingerprints. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 30(6), 846–851. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt619>

17. Drab, E., & Sugihara, K. (2020). Cooperative Function of LL-37 and HNP1 Protects Mammalian Cell Membranes from Lysis. *Biophysical journal*, S0006-3495(20)30855-9. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2020.10.031>

18. Gautam, A., Singh, H., Tyagi, A., Chaudhary, K., Kumar, R., Kapoor, P., & Raghava, G. P. (2012). CPPsite: a curated database of cell penetrating peptides. *Database : the journal of biological databases and curation*, 2012, bas015. <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bas015>

19. Gatto, N. M., Bracken, M. B., Kolitsopoulos, F., Duggan, W. T., Koch, G. G., Wise, R. A., & Jackson, N. C. (2019). Pulmonary and cardiovascular safety of inhaled insulin in routine

- practice: The Exubera Large Simple Trial (VOLUME). *Contemporary clinical trials communications*, 18, 100427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conctc.2019.100427>
20. Grant, W. B., Lahore, H., McDonnell, S. L., Baggerly, C. A., French, C. B., Aliano, J. L., & Bhattoa, H. P. (2020). Evidence that Vitamin D Supplementation Could Reduce Risk of Influenza and COVID-19 Infections and Deaths. *Nutrients*, 12(4), 988. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12040988>
 21. Greiller, C. L., & Martineau, A. R. (2015). Modulation of the immune response to respiratory viruses by vitamin D. *Nutrients*, 7(6), 4240–4270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7064240>
 22. Griffin, G., Hewison, M., Hopkin, J., Kenny, R. A., Quinton, R., Rhodes, J., & Thickett, D. (2020). Preventing vitamin D deficiency during the COVID-19 pandemic: UK definitions of vitamin D sufficiency and recommended supplement dose are set too low. *Clinical medicine (London, England)*, clinmed.2020-0858. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmed.2020-0858>
 23. Grönberg, A., Mahlapuu, M., Stähle, M., Whately-Smith, C., & Rollman, O. (2014). Treatment with LL-37 is safe and effective in enhancing healing of hard-to-heal venous leg ulcers: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society*, 22(5), 613–621. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12211>
 24. Gupta, S., Kapoor, P., Chaudhary, K., Gautam, A., Kumar, R., Open Source Drug Discovery Consortium, & Raghava, G. P. (2013). In silico approach for predicting toxicity of peptides and proteins. *PloS one*, 8(9), e73957. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073957>
 25. Gurlek, A., Pittelkow, M. R., & Kumar, R. (2002). Modulation of growth factor/cytokine synthesis and signaling by 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3): implications in cell growth and differentiation. *Endocrine reviews*, 23(6), 763–786. <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2001-0044>
 26. Hadfield, J., Megill, C., Bell, S. M., Huddleston, J., Potter, B., Callender, C., Sagulenko, P., Bedford, T., & Neher, R. A. (2018). Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 34(23), 4121–4123. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407>

27. Huo, J., Zhao, Y., Ren, J., Zhou, D., Duyvesteyn, H., Ginn, H. M., Carrique, L., Malinauskas, T., Ruza, R. R., Shah, P., Tan, T. K., Rijal, P., Coombes, N., Bewley, K. R., Tree, J. A., Radecke, J., Paterson, N. G., Supasa, P., Mongkolsapaya, J., Screatton, G. R., ... Stuart, D. I. (2020). Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by Destruction of the Prefusion Spike. *Cell host & microbe*, S1931-3128(20)30351-6. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.06.010>
28. Johansson, J., Gudmundsson, G. H., Rottenberg, M. E., Berndt, K. D., & Agerberth, B. (1998). Conformation-dependent antibacterial activity of the naturally occurring human peptide LL-37. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 273(6), 3718–3724. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.6.3718>
29. Lan, J., Ge, J., Yu, J., Shan, S., Zhou, H., Fan, S., Zhang, Q., Shi, X., Wang, Q., Zhang, L., & Wang, X. (2020). Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*, 581(7807), 215–220. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5>
30. Lokhande, K. B., Banerjee, T., Swamy, K. V., & Deshpande, M.. (2020). An in Silico Scientific Basis for LL-37 as a Therapeutic and Vitamin D as Preventive for Covid-19. (Version 1). ChemRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.12928202.v1>
31. Manavalan, B., Subramaniam, S., Shin, T. H., Kim, M. O., & Lee, G. (2018). Machine-Learning-Based Prediction of Cell-Penetrating Peptides and Their Uptake Efficiency with Improved Accuracy. *Journal of proteome research*, 17(8), 2715–2726. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00148>
32. Mansour, S. C., Pena, O. M., & Hancock, R. E. (2014). Host defense peptides: front-line immunomodulators. *Trends in immunology*, 35(9), 443–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2014.07.004>
33. Mason R. J. (2020). Pathogenesis of COVID-19 from a cell biology perspective. *The European respiratory journal*, 55(4), 2000607. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00607-2020>
34. Mastrandrea L. D. (2010). Inhaled insulin: overview of a novel route of insulin administration. *Vascular health and risk management*, 6, 47–58. <https://doi.org/10.2147/vhrm.s6098>

35. Maurer-Stroh, S., Krutz, N. L., Kern, P. S., Gunalan, V., Nguyen, M. N., Limviphuvadh, V., Eisenhaber, F., & Gerberick, G. F. (2019). AllerCatPro-prediction of protein allergenicity potential from the protein sequence. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 35(17), 3020–3027. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz029>
36. Meltzer, D. O., Best, T. J., Zhang, H., Vokes, T., Arora, V., & Solway, J. (2020). Association of Vitamin D Status and Other Clinical Characteristics With COVID-19 Test Results. *JAMA network open*, 3(9), e2019722. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.19722>
37. Mercurio, I., Tragni, V., Busto, F., De Grassi, A., & Pierri, C. L. (2020). Protein structure analysis of the interactions between SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and the human ACE2 receptor: from conformational changes to novel neutralizing antibodies. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS*, 1–22. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03580-1>
38. Merzon, E., Tworowski, D., Gorohovski, A., Vinker, S., Golan Cohen, A., Green, I., & Frenkel Morgenstern, M. (2020). Low plasma 25(OH) vitamin D level is associated with increased risk of COVID-19 infection: an Israeli population-based study. *The FEBS journal*, 10.1111/febs.15495. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.15495>
39. Patil, S. M., Xu, S., Sheftic, S. R., & Alexandrescu, A. T. (2009). Dynamic alpha-helix structure of micelle-bound human amylin. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 284(18), 11982–11991. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M809085200>
40. Roth, A., Lütke, S., Meinberger, D., Hermes, G., Sengle, G., Koch, M., Streichert, T., & Klatt, A. R. (2020) LL-37 fights SARS-CoV-2: The Vitamin D-Inducible Peptide LL-37 Inhibits Binding of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein to its Cellular Receptor Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 In Vitro. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.02.408153>
41. Sastry, G. M., Adzhigirey, M., Day, T., Annabhimoju, R., & Sherman, W. (2013). Protein and ligand preparation: parameters, protocols, and influence on virtual screening enrichments. *Journal of computer-aided molecular design*, 27(3), 221–234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-013-9644-8>

42. Shajahan, A., Supekar, N. T., Gleinich, A. S., & Azadi, P. (2020). Deducing the N- and O- glycosylation profile of the spike protein of novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. *Glycobiology*, cwaa042. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwaa042>.
43. Shang, J., Ye, G., Shi, K., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Aihara, H., Geng, Q., Auerbach, A., & Li, F. (2020). Structural basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*, 581(7807), 221–224. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y>
44. Sørensen, O. E., Follin, P., Johnsen, A. H., Calafat, J., Tjabringa, G. S., Hiemstra, P. S., & Borregaard, N. (2001). Human cathelicidin, hCAP-18, is processed to the antimicrobial peptide LL-37 by extracellular cleavage with proteinase 3. *Blood*, 97(12), 3951–3959. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.v97.12.3951>
45. Svensson, D., Nebel, D., & Nilsson, B. O. (2016). Vitamin D3 modulates the innate immune response through regulation of the hCAP-18/LL-37 gene expression and cytokine production. *Inflammation research : official journal of the European Histamine Research Society ... [et al.]*, 65(1), 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-015-0884-z>
46. Tang, X., Basavarajappa, D., Haeggström, J. Z., & Wan, M. (2015). P2X7 Receptor Regulates Internalization of Antimicrobial Peptide LL-37 by Human Macrophages That Promotes Intracellular Pathogen Clearance. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)*, 195(3), 1191–1201. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1402845>
47. Tateishi, Y., Jee, J.G., Inooka, H., Tochio, H., Hiroaki, H., Shirakawa, M. (2006). The solution structure of micelle-bound peptide. <http://doi.org/10.2210/pdb2D2P/pdb>
48. Timmons, P. B., & Hewage, C. M. (2020). HAPPENN is a novel tool for hemolytic activity prediction for therapeutic peptides which employs neural networks. *Scientific reports*, 10(1), 10869. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67701-3>
49. Tjabringa, G. S., Rabe, K. F., & Hiemstra, P. S. (2005). The human cathelicidin LL-37: a multifunctional peptide involved in infection and inflammation in the lung. *Pulmonary pharmacology & therapeutics*, 18(5), 321–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pupt.2005.01.001>
50. Tripathi, S., Wang, G., White, M., Qi, L., Taubenberger, J., & Hartshorn, K. L. (2015). Antiviral Activity of the Human Cathelicidin, LL-37, and Derived Peptides on Seasonal and

- Pandemic Influenza A Viruses. *PloS one*, 10(4), e0124706.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124706>
51. Vabret, N., Britton, G. J., Gruber, C., Hegde, S., Kim, J., Kuksin, M., Levantovsky, R., Malle, L., Moreira, A., Park, M. D., Pia, L., Risson, E., Saffern, M., Salomé, B., Esai Selvan, M., Spindler, M. P., Tan, J., van der Heide, V., Gregory, J. K., Alexandropoulos, K., ... Sinai Immunology Review Project (2020). Immunology of COVID-19: Current State of the Science. *Immunity*, 52(6), 910–941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.05.002>
 52. Venneti, K. C., & Hewage, C. M. (2011). Conformational and molecular interaction studies of glucagon-like peptide-2 with its N-terminal extracellular receptor domain. *FEBS letters*, 585(2), 346–352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.12.011>
 53. Wang G. (2008). Structures of human host defense cathelicidin LL-37 and its smallest antimicrobial peptide KR-12 in lipid micelles. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 283(47), 32637–32643. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M805533200>
 54. Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Wu, L., Niu, S., Song, C., Zhang, Z., Lu, G., Qiao, C., Hu, Y., Yuen, K. Y., Wang, Q., Zhou, H., Yan, J., & Qi, J. (2020). Structural and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using Human ACE2. *Cell*, 181(4), 894–904.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045>
 55. Wei, L., Tang, J., & Zou, Q. (2017). SkipCPP-Pred: an improved and promising sequence-based predictor for predicting cell-penetrating peptides. *BMC genomics*, 18(Suppl 7), 742. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4128-1>
 56. Xhindoli, D., Pacor, S., Benincasa, M., Scocchi, M., Gennaro, R., & Tossi, A. (2016). The human cathelicidin LL-37--A pore-forming antibacterial peptide and host-cell modulator. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1858(3), 546–566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbame.2015.11.003>
 57. Xu, J., & Zhang, Y. (2010). How significant is a protein structure similarity with TM-score = 0.5?. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 26(7), 889–895. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq066>

58. Yan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Xia, L., Guo, Y., & Zhou, Q. (2020). Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 367(6485), 1444–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb2762>
59. Yan, Y., Tao, H., He, J., & Huang, S. Y. (2020). The HDock server for integrated protein-protein docking. *Nature protocols*, 15(5), 1829–1852. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-020-0312-x>
60. Zhang, X., Oglęcka, K., Sandgren, S., Belting, M., Esbjörner, E. K., Nordén, B., & Gräslund, A. (2010). Dual functions of the human antimicrobial peptide LL-37-target membrane perturbation and host cell cargo delivery. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1798(12), 2201–2208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2009.12.011>
61. Zhang, Y., & Skolnick, J. (2005). TM-Align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic acids research*, 33(7), 2302–2309. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki524>
62. Zhao, L., Cao, Z., Bian, Y., Hu, G., Wang, J., & Zhou, Y. (2018). Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Human Antimicrobial Peptide LL-37 in Model POPC and POPG Lipid Bilayers. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 19(4), 1186. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19041186>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Manisha Deshpande conceived, designed and led the project and carried out the Structural Alignment study, the Safety studies, CPP prediction and data analyses. She has also written most of the Introduction and Discussion of the manuscript. Kiran Lokhande performed all the Molecular Docking studies and analyses, analysed the Structural Alignment results and has written the details about the docking in the manuscript. Tanushree Banerjee gave the advice to carry out structural studies, performed the mutation study, and contributed to the writing. K.V. Swamy mentored Kiran Lokhande, did analysis of the data and critical reading of the manuscript and gave valuable suggestions. All authors have critically read and approved the final manuscript.

TABLE LEGENDS

Table 1. (A) The amino acid sequences of NTH and the peptides used in this study. **(B)** Quantitative assessment, using TM-Align server, of hACE2 NTH Structural Similarity with peptides from RCSB (<https://www.rcsb.org/>) selected on the basis as described in the Results.

The reference protein was NTH of ACE2. The descriptions are from (<https://www.rcsb.org/>).
RMSD: Root mean square deviation of the alignments.

Table 2. (A) Detailed intermolecular interaction analysis of the hACE2-SARS-CoV-2 RBD complex. Interactions which were common with the RBD-ACE2 crystal structure determined by Shang *et al* (2020), are in Green. The interaction common with the RBD-ACE2 crystal structure reported by Lan *et al* (2020) is in Red, and the one common with RBD-ACE2 cryo-electron microscopy structure described by Yan *et al* (2020) is in Blue. Interactions not found in any of these 3 reports are in Black. **(B)** Detailed intermolecular interaction analysis of the complex of NTH alone with RBD of SARS-CoV-2. HB: Hydrogen bond; Ar-HB: Aromatic hydrogen bond; *Docking score.

Table 3. (A) Detailed intermolecular interaction analysis of the LL-37-SARS-CoV-2 RBD complex. **(B)** Detailed intermolecular interaction analysis of the complexes of PACAP-38, Amylin, GLP-2 with SARS-CoV-2 RBD, and LL-37 with the region adjacent (immediately upstream) to RBD. HB: Hydrogen bond; Ar-HB: Aromatic hydrogen bond; Pi-Pi: Non-covalent interaction between aromatic rings; *Docking score.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Panel I: Secondary structures of NTH and peptides used in the study **(A)** The secondary structure of NTH was obtained by extracting residues 19-53 of hACE2 using Maestro from PDB ID 6LZG from <https://www.rcsb.org/> **(B)** The structure of the LL-37 peptide (2K6O) from <https://www.rcsb.org/>. **(C)** The structure of the PACAP-38 peptide (2D2P) from <https://www.rcsb.org/> **(D)** The structure of the Amylin endocrine hormone (2KB8) from <https://www.rcsb.org/>. **(E)** The structure of the GLP-2 peptide (2L63) from <https://www.rcsb.org/>. **Panel II:** Structural alignment of hACE2 NTH with **(A)** hACE2 NTH, **(B)** LL-37, **(C)** PACAP-38, **(D)** Amylin and **(E)** GLP-2. The structure of hACE2 NTH is represented in blue color and aligned peptides are shown in red color.

Figure 2. Panel I: The amino acids participating in the structural alignment of the different peptides with NTH. In each case, the top sequence is of NTH. The bottom sequence is of the

following peptides **(A)** NTH **(B)** LL-37 **(C)** PACAP-38 **(D)** Amylin **(E)** GLP-2. Two dots between a pair of aligned residues indicates $d < 5.0 \text{ \AA}$ as explained in the Methods. A single dot shows other aligned residue pairs and dashes mean no structural alignment. **Panel II:** Depiction of the binding positions of NTH (extracted from ACE2 of PDB ID 6LZG complex) and docked LL-37 (PDB ID 2K6O) across the surface of the receptor binding motif (RBM) of the RBD from PDB ID 6LZG.

Figure 3. Panel I: Intermolecular interactions and binding mode of LL-37 (green color) with **(A)** SARS-CoV-2 RBD from 6LZG PDB (cyan color) **(B)** SARS-CoV-2 RBD from 6MOJ PDB (cyan color). Hydrogen bonds, aromatic hydrogen bonds and salt bridges are represented by dashed black, blue and pink colored lines respectively. **Panel II:** Diagrammatic representation of molecular docking of LL-37 to RBD. Sequence: RBD sequence (319 to 541 of UniProt ID PODTC2 common to 6MOJ and 6LZG); Red sequence: RBM sequence; Large font bold residues: Contacted by LL-37; Blue bar: Contact region covered by LL-37. **(A)** LL-37 bound to RBD of 6MOJ (Test1); Black/red residues: Common with LL-37_RBD of 6LZG docking; Green residue : Not common with LL-37_RBD of 6LZG docking; **(B)** LL-37 bound to RBD OF 6LZG (Test2); Black/red residues: Common with LL-37_RBD of 6MOJ docking; Green residue : Not common with LL-37_RBD of 6MOJ docking. Numbers below residues: Number of mutations in 3564 SARS-CoV-2 genomes.

Figure 4. Panel I: Intermolecular interactions and binding mode of **(A)** PACAP-38 with SARS-CoV-2 RBD, **(B)** Amylin with SARS-CoV-2 RBD, **(C)** GLP-2 with SARS-CoV-2 RBD, and **(D)** LL-37 with SARS-CoV-2 region (96-318) which is adjacent to RBD. Hydrogen bonds, aromatic hydrogen bonds, Pi-Pi stacking and salt bridges are represented by dashed black, blue, sky blue and pink colored lines respectively. **Panel II:** Diagrammatic representation of molecular docking of control peptides to RBD. Sequence: RBD sequence (319 to 541 of UniProt ID PODTC2 common to 6MOJ and 6LZG); Red sequence: RBM sequence; Large font bold residues: Contacted by the peptide; Blue bar: Contact region covered by the peptide. **(A)** PACAP-38 binding to RBD OF 6LZG (Control 1); **(B)** Amylin binding to RBD OF 6LZG (Control 2); **(C)** GLP-2 binding to RBD of 6LZG (Control 3).

A

STRUCTURE	AMINO ACID SEQUENCE
NTH	STIEEQAKTFLDKFNHEAEDLFYQSSLASWNYNTN
2K6O (LL-37)	LLGD FFRKSKEKIGKEFKRIVQRIKDFLRNLP RTES
2D2P	HSDGIFTDSYSRYRKQMAVKKYLA AVL GKRYKQRVKNKX
2KB8	KCNTATCATQRLANFLVHSSNNFGAILSSTNVGSNTY
2L63	HADGSFSDEMNTILDNLAARDFINWLIQTKITD

B

STRUCTURE	PEPTIDE LENGTH	TM-SCORE	RMSD (Å)	ALIGNED LENGTH	DESCRIPTION
N-terminal helix	35	1	0	35	N-terminal helix of ACE2 (from 6LZG)
STRICT SEARCH					
2K6O (LL-37)	37	0.68037	1.5	32	Anti-microbial peptide
2D2P	38	0.56736	1.62	34	Pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide-38
1GOE	42	0.51713	2.61	33	Corticotropin releasing hormone
1G09	42	0.52615	2.61	33	Corticotropin releasing hormone
2RMV	34	0.55258	1.52	27	N-BAR domain
2KB8	37	0.54169	1.87	31	Amylin endocrine hormone
2N7I	37	0.59781	2.33	32	Prolactin receptor transmembrane domain
2LAT	37	0.69158	0.94	31	Human minimembrane protein Ost4
RELAXED SEARCH					
2L63	33	0.44354	1.8	23	Glucagon-like peptide-2
6ITH	35	0.55154	1.64	32	Trans-membrane domain of syndecan-2
1R02	33	0.32949	2.35	22	Orexin-A: Regulator of Appetite and Wakefulness
2L77	39	0.49377	2.2	25	PAP248-286
2RND	34	0.51577	1.16	25	N-terminal BAR peptide
5NAO	35	0.54347	1.41	28	TLR4 transmembrane domain (624-657)
6AHZ	35	0.59246	1.58	29	Polysialyltransferase Domain (PSTD) in Polysialyltransferase ST8siaIV
6F46	32	0.39864	1.43	22	Transmembrane helix of BclxL

TABLE 1

A

INTERACTING RESIDUES (-334.45)*			
hACE2 (PDB ID: 6LZG)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG)	BOND TYPE	BOND DISTANCE (Å)
Ser19	Ala475	HB	2.70
Tyr83	Asn487	HB	2.22
Tyr83	Asn487	Ar-HB	3.20
Phe28	Tyr489	Ar-HB	3.51
Lys31	Glu484	Salt-Bridge	3.79
Thr27	Phe456	Ar-HB	2.94
Asp30	Phe456	Ar-HB	3.03
Asp30	Lys417	Salt-Bridge	2.30
Asp30	Lys417	HB	1.36
Glu35	Gln493	HB	1.93
Gln42	Gly446	HB	1.66
Lys353	Gly496	HB	1.69
Gln42	Gln498	HB	2.17
Lys353	Gln498	HB	1.80
Lys353	Gly502	HB	2.10

B

INTERACTING RESIDUES (-224.14)*			
NTH (PDB ID: 6LZG)	RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG)	TYPE OF BOND	BOND DISTANCE (Å)
Ile21	Gln498	HB	2.74
Phe28	Tyr449	Ar-HB	2.67
Phe32	Gln493	Ar-HB	2.54
Phe32	Arg403	Pi-cation	5.58
Asn33	Tyr505	HB	1.82
Ser47	Tyr421	HB	1.88
Leu39	Phe456	Ar-HB	2.33
Asn51	Ser459	HB	1.70

TABLE 2

A

INTERACTING RESIDUES		BOND TYPE	BOND DISTANCE (Å)
LL-37 (PDB ID: 2K6O)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG) (-253.75)*		
Asn30	Asn501	HB	1.67
Phe27	Gly496	Ar-HB	2.75
Asp26	Tyr505	Ar-HB	2.73
Arg23	Tyr505	HB	2.48
Arg23	Glu406	Salt Bridge	4.12
Glu16	Lys417	Salt Bridge	3.18
Glu16	Lys417	HB	2.53
Glu16	Tyr421	Ar-HB	1.75
LL-37 (PDB ID: 2K6O)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6M0J) (-238.18)*		
Asp26	Tyr505	Ar-HB	2.96
Phe27	Gly496	Ar-HB	2.82
Arg23	Tyr505	HB	2.74
Arg23	Glu406	Salt Bridge	3.25
Glu16	Phe456	Ar-HB	3.38
Glu16	Lys417	Salt Bridge	3.09
Glu16	Tyr421	Ar-HB	2.25
Glu16	Tyr421	HB	1.94

B

INTERACTING RESIDUES		BOND TYPE	BOND DISTANCE (Å)
PACAP-38 (PDB ID: 2D2P)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG) (-230.30)*		
Lys15	Leu455	HB	1.56
Arg12	Phe456	Ar-HB	2.63
Tyr13	Tyr489	Pi-Pi	5.46
Arg30	Gln498	2 HB	1.71, 2.08
Amylin (PDB ID: 2KB8)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG) (-229.10)*		
Phe23	Gly446	Ar-HB	2.63
Phe23	Tyr449	Ar-HB	3.55
Ser19	Tyr449	HB	1.90
Asn14	Tyr505	Ar-HB	2.37
Asn14	Arg403	HB	2.09
Lys1	Asp420	Salt Bridge	4.27
Lys1	Asn460	HB	1.15
GLP-2 (PDB ID: 2L63)	SARS-CoV-2 RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG) (-202.59)*		
Phe22	Asn450	Ar-HB	2.85
His1	Asn343	HB	2.23
His1	Glu340	Ar-HB	3.28
LL-37 (PDB ID: 2K6O)	SARS-CoV-2 Region Adjacent to RBD (PDB ID: 6LZG) (-209.74)*		
Phe17	Phe238	Pi-Pi	4.80
Arg7	Asp138	Salt Bridge	3.13

TABLE 3

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

HIGHLIGHTS

- *In silico* studies on antimicrobial peptide LL-37 as a therapeutic for Covid-19.
- Anti-microbial peptide LL-37 is structurally similar to N-terminal helix of ACE2.
- LL-37 binds to the receptor binding domain of the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.
- First study describing the binding of LL-37 to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2.
- LL-37, approved for 3 other clinical trials, is not found to be allergenic/toxic.