Fluorinated mannosides inhibit cellular fucosylation.
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Abstract: Fucose sugars are expressed on mammalian cell membranes as part of glycoconjugates and mediate essential physiological processes. The aberrant expression of fucosylated glycans has been linked to pathologies such as cancer, inflammation, infection, and genetic disorders. Tools to modulate fucose expression on living cells are needed to elucidate the biological role of fucose sugars and the development of potential therapeutic agents. Herein, we report a novel class of fucosylation inhibitors directly targeting de novo GDP-fucose biosynthesis. We demonstrate that cell permeable fluorinated mannoside 1-phosphate derivatives (Fucotrim I & II) are metabolic prodrugs that are metabolized to their respective GDP-mannose derivatives and efficiently inhibit cellular fucosylation.

L-Fucose (Fuc) is a 6-deoxyhexose expressed at the termini of glycan chains that decorate cell surface proteins and lipids. The fucose residues on glycoconjugates are essential mediators of physiological processes. For example, the fucose moiety in the tetrasaccharide sialyl Lewis x (sLe x) expressed on leukocytes is recognized by selectin receptors that regulate leukocyte recruitment and extravasation. The aberrant expression of sLe x and increased fucosylation has been linked to pathologies, most notably cancer, and has been shown to promote tumor progression. Reducing fucosylation of glycans in cancer using inhibitors of the fucose biosynthesis has therefore been recognized as a promising therapeutic option. Glycans or proteins are fucosylated by the action of thirteen fucosyltransferases (FucTs) that differ in acceptor glycan preference but all utilize GDP-fucose as the donor substrate.

Scheme 1. (a) Working model of metabolic fucosylation inhibitors. (b) Previous work showing mechanism of action of different 4,6-dehydratase inhibitor acting on CDP-6-glucose 4,6-dehydratase. Abbreviations: HK, hexokinase; PMM, phosphomannomutase; GDP, mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase; GMDS, GDP-mannose 4,6 dehydratase; FX, GDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-o-mannose-3,5-epimerase-4-reductase (GMER); FUK, fucokinase; FGPT, fucose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase.
Cells generate GDP-fucose either via the recycling of fucose released during glycan turnover in the lysosome (salvage pathway) or via de novo biosynthesis from mannose 1-phosphate (Scheme 1). To decrease cellular fucosylation, fucosyltransferase (FucT) inhibitors have attracted considerable attention. A common strategy has been mimicking the natural substrate guanosine diphosphate fucose (GDP-Fuc). However, the essential GDP moiety in these compounds is associated with a high polarity and low stability thereby limiting their use in vivo or by poor penetration of the cell membrane. This hurdle was recently overcome with the development of less polar, cell permeable fucose derivatives which are metabolized to the corresponding active GDP-fucose analogs through the salvage pathway. These compounds target FucTs by competitive inhibition and the de novo enzymes GMDS and FX by feedback inhibition. This strategy led to fucosylation inhibitors that are active in vitro and in vivo, showing promising anticancer effects in liver, breast and blood cancer models. Moreover, combining a fucosylation inhibitor with immunotherapy vaccination in immunocompetent mice completely protected against tumor growth due to enhanced immunocompetence.
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To this end, a common strategy has been mimicking the natural FucTs by competitive inhibition and the de novo enzymes GMDS and FX by feedback inhibition. This strategy led to fucosylation inhibitors that are active in vitro and in vivo, showing promising anticancer effects in liver, breast and blood cancer models. Moreover, combining a fucosylation inhibitor with immunotherapy vaccination in immunocompetent mice completely protected against tumor growth due to enhanced immunocompetence.

**Scheme 1**

In Scheme 1, we show that the development of less polar, cell permeable fucose derivatives which are metabolized to the corresponding active GDP-fucose analogs through the salvage pathway. These compounds target FucTs by competitive inhibition and the de novo enzymes GMDS and FX by feedback inhibition. This strategy led to fucosylation inhibitors that are active in vitro and in vivo, showing promising anticancer effects in liver, breast and blood cancer models. Moreover, combining a fucosylation inhibitor with immunotherapy vaccination in immunocompetent mice completely protected against tumor growth due to enhanced immunocompetence.

**Scheme 2**

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1–10. i) AcO, Pyr; ii) H2NNH2, HOAc, DMF; iii) bis(S-acetyl-2-thioethyl-L,N-diethylphosphoramidite (23), 1H-tetrazole, ACN, then mCPBA; iv) DMP, NaHCO3, DCI; v) DAST, DCM; vi) AcO, H2SO4, AcOH; vii) TMSCF3, TBAB, THF, then AcOH; viii) NBS, H2O/acetone. Herein we report the development of highly potent inhibitors of the de novo pathway based on fluorinated mannose 1-phosphate derivatives (Scheme 1a). We were inspired by a mechanism-based inhibitor for CDP-d-glucose 4,6-dehydratase isolated from *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. Use of a deoxy-difluoro modified CDP-glucose formed a reactive Michael acceptor inside the active site leading to entrapment (Scheme 1b). We hypothesized that GDP-d-deoxy-difluoro-mannose (GDP-d-Rha6F2) could inhibit GMDS, also a 4,6-dehydratase, through a similar mechanism. To allow passive diffusion over the cell membrane, lipophilic metabolic precursors were designed. Two entry points in the de novo biosynthesis were considered with precursors based on mannose (1–5) and mannose-1-phosphate (6–10). The lipophilic (thio)ester protecting groups are expected to be cleaved by esterases inside the cell, allowing the derivatives to enter the endogenous GDP-mannose biosynthetic pathway. To investigate the degree of fluorination on the inhibitory potency we modified the 6-position with none to three fluorines (2–5 & 7–10). Compounds 1–10 were synthesized as described in Scheme 2 and the supplementary information. Compounds 1 and 2 were obtained by acetylation of d-mannose and d-rhamnose, respectively. Mono- and difluorides 3 and 4 were obtained via DAST mediated fluorination of the 6-OH or aldehyde, respectively, followed by acetylation. The synthesis of protected 1-phosphate analogs 6–9 was achieved in a two-step sequence from their respective precursors 1–4. Selective deprotection of the anemic acetyl ester was achieved using hydrazinium acetate. The resulting lactol was reacted with phosphoramidite reagent 23 bearing two S-acetyl-2-thioethyl (SATE) groups and subsequently oxidized to corresponding phosphate triesters 6–9. Trifluorides 5 and 10 were prepared via a homologation approach by introducing a CF3-group at the C-5 position of the pentose d-lyxose. To this end, derivative 17 was oxidized and reacted with a trifluoromethyl anion affording a separable mixture of the desired 6-trifluoro d-rhamnose (19) and the byproduct L-gulose (20) derivative. Hydrolysis of the thioacetal with NBS and water resulted in ring closure and the resulting lactol was acetylated or phosphorylated to afford 5 and 10, respectively. This synthetic approach ensures pyranose formation.

**Table 1. EC50 values in micromolar for fucose expression inhibition.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>THP-1</th>
<th>Jurkat</th>
<th>AAL</th>
<th>AOL</th>
<th>AAL</th>
<th>AOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-o-Man-1P (6)</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-o-Rha-1P (7)</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-o-Rha6F-1P (8)</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-o-Rha6F2-1P (9)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-o-Rha6F3-1P (10)</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Fuc2F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Three cell lines (THP-1, Jurkat, EL4) were cultured for 3 days with 0–512 µM of compound 6–10, P-Fuc2F or DMSO control. The cells were stained with two fucose specific lectins (AAL & AOL) and analyzed by flow cytometry, presented as mean percentage lectin binding normalized to control (n=3). N.I, no inhibition (EC50 > 500 µM).
The inhibitory potency of 1–10 was assessed at different concentrations in human THP-1 cells with fucose specific AAL and AOL lectins (Figure S1a–d). The EC_{50} values were determined, defined as the concentration where a 50% decrease in lectin binding compared to control was observed (Table 1). The non-phosphorylated compounds 1–5 did not show any inhibition of fucosylation. In contrast, from the phosphorylated set 6–10, the mono-, di-, and trifluorinated derivatives 7–10 displayed inhibition of fucosylation. A trend was observed where increasing the number of C-6 fluorides increased its potency (Figure 1b). Inhibitors P-D-Rha6F2-1P (Fucotrim I, 9) and P-D-Rha6F2-1P (Fucotrim II, 10) afforded (sub)micromolar inhibition of fucosylation. Both Fucotrim I (9) & II (10) were more potent than fucosylation inhibitor P-Fuc2F (1,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-l-fucose), a known salvage pathway dependent inhibitor. Also in human Jurkat cells potent inhibition for Fucotrim I and II was observed (Table 1; Figure S1e–f). In mouse cell line EL4 however, only Fucotrim I (9) inhibited fucosylation while both Fucotrim II (10) and P-Fuc2F did not inhibit fucosylation (Table 1; Figure S1g–h).

Since Fucotrim I and II (9&10) are mannose-1-phosphate derivatives, it is possible that other glycosylation pathways such as mannosylation would be affected. Having established the ability to inhibit cellular fucosylation with AAL and AOL lectins, the effect on total cell surface glycosylation was evaluated using additional lectins after 3 days incubation (Figure 1a; Figure S2). N-glycans consist of a biantennary mannose containing backbone, recognized by WGA, and can be branched, recognized by L-Pha. These branches can be terminated with galactose, recognized by PNA, or α2,6- and α2,3-linked sialic acids recognized by SNA and MAL-II, respectively.\(^{17,18}\) Binding of LCA and PSA was decreased for all fucosylation inhibitors as they are core fucose dependent. The binding of WGA was unaffected as it recognizes core GlcNAc residues independent of fucosylation.\(^{17}\) Fucotrim I and P-Fuc2F showed a highly similar profile, not affecting the binding of L-Pha, PNA, and MAL-II. Only the binding of SNA increased using these inhibitors. Fucotrim II (10) on the other hand showed a less specific profile, decreasing the binding of L-Pha, SNA and MAL-II and increasing the binding of PNA. This would not be expected for a selective fucosylation inhibitor as sialylation is also clearly...
affected. From these results we conclude that Fucotrim I has a similar specificity as known fucosylation inhibitor P-Fuc2F but is more potent.

To further evaluate the potency of Fucotrim I and II, we made a direct comparison with known fucosylation inhibitors P-Fuc2F, P-L-Fuc6F3 (Fucostatin I) and P-L-Fuc-1CH2P (Fucostatin II) (Figure 1c). The EC50 values for known fucosylation inhibitors P-Fuc2F, P-L-Fuc-1CH2P (Fucostatin I) and P-L-Fuc-1CH2P (Fucostatin II) were determined at 1.3 µM and 0.41 µM respectively, which is in the same range as Fucotrim I and II under these conditions (Table 1). P-Fuc2F was significantly less potent with an EC50 of 31 µM.

The onset of inhibition and duration for recovery was evaluated on THP-1 cells with 10 and 100 µM compound using the AAL lectin (Figure 1d–e; Figure S3). In line with the potency data, no inhibition was observed for known inhibitor P-Fuc2F at 10 µM concentration. At the same concentration, Fucotrim I and II decreased lectin binding by over 50% after 3 days with full recovery to normal fucosylation levels after 4–5 days. At 100 µM concentration Fucotrim I and II inhibit fucosylation after 1 day and to over 50% after 3 days. Fucosylation was fully recovered to normal levels after 6 days. Similar results were obtained using the AOL lectin (Figure S3).

Finally, a toxicity profile of 1–10 was established by monitoring the metabolic activity and cell death of THP-1 cells after three days of treatment (Figure 1e; Figure S4). Importantly, none of the compounds were cytotoxic at concentrations up to 250 µM. However, the cell viability was decreased which might be attributed to the known relation between fucosylation and proliferation.9,10,19

It has been suggested that the reduced proliferation after incubation with known fucosylation inhibitors is caused by acting on the de novo biosynthesis of GDP-fucose rather than acting on fucosyltransferases which correlates with our findings.20

Figure 3. (a) Endogenous mechanism of action for human GMDS.21 (b) Natural substrate GDP-mannose (green carbons) was docked at the 4-fluoro-GDP-mannose (G4F) binding site in human GMDS (GDP,20, white carbons) in which also NADPH (cyan carbons) was cocrytallized. (c–i) Unnatural substrate GDP-D-Rha6F2 (c, green carbons) and the proposed metabolic intermediates (d–i, green carbons) were docked in the same active site. For each structure, the active site residues Glu157 and Tyr179 were first protonated or deprotonated and either NADPH or NADP+ was present accordingly with the known mechanism of action on GDP-mannose. Black dotted lines depict expected interactions between atoms leading to a new product, based on the natural mechanism.21
To test our hypothesized prodrug strategy, we studied the metabolism of Fucotrim I and II to their corresponding GDP-α Mannose (GMDS) analogs inside cells. To this end, intracellular nucleotide sugar levels were analyzed. THP-1 cells were treated for different time points with Fucotrim I and II and extracted metabolites analyzed using reverse-phase ion pairing chromatography coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer operating in negative ion mode (Figure 2, Figure S5). For analysis of GDP-α-Rha6F2 and GDP-α-Rha6F2 analogs, theoretical mass transitions were programmed. After incubations for only 1 hour, both Fucotrim GDP-analogs were observed with a maximum abundance after 4–8 hours (Figure 2a). Subsequently, GDP-fucose levels decreased after 1–2 days for both inhibitors, indicating inhibition of GDP-fucose biosynthesis by Fucotrim I and II (Figure 2b). GDP-mannose levels were also affected by both inhibitors. For Fucotrim I, the GDP-mannose levels recovered after 3 days and for Fucotrim II, GDP-mannose levels didn’t recover within the 3 days of the experiment. This correlates well with the lectin panel (Figure 1a) where after 3 days incubation Fucotrim I only shows an effect on fucosylation and Fucotrim II shows an effect on multiple forms of glycosyltransferases. Importantly, for both Fucotrim I and II no changes were observed for other nucleotide sugar levels such as UDP-glucose and UDP-galactose (Figure S5).

Having established the metabolism towards GDP-α-Rha6F2 and GDP-α-Rha6F2 inside the cell we investigated if a mechanism of inhibition as previously described for a bacterial CDP-glucose 4,6-dehydratase (Figure 1b) would be plausible for Fucotrim I using in silico experiments. The docking experiments were based on a recent study describing the mechanism of action for human GMDS in detail (Figure 3a). The natural substrate GDP-mannose was docked at the 4-fluoro-GDP-mannose (G4F) binding site in a human GMDS crystal structure (6GPJ) in which cofactor NADP+ was co-crystallized (Figure 3b). Docking of GDP-α-Rha6F2 in the same binding site resulted in similar poses as for GDP-mannose, suggesting it will still be a substrate for GMDS. The proposed metabolic intermediates (Figure 3d–i) are based on the endogenous mechanism of action for GMDS and a known 4,6-dehydratase inhibitor. The catalytic residues were positioned such that oxidation (I, Figure 3d), enolization (II, Figure 3e), elimination (III, Figure 3f–g) and reduction (IV, Figure 3h) do not seem to be affected sterically. In the endogenous mechanism enolization would yield the final product. For the difluoride derivative however, a second elimination might occur, affording an electrophilic intermediate (Figure 3i) that cannot be reduced due to the lack of reductant NADPH in the active site. It is hypothesized that this intermediate either competitively binds GMDS, or analogous to previous findings, reacts with a nucleophilic amino acid (e.g. Ser156) in GMDS resulting in covalent inhibition, or with water affording a natural intermediate for GMDS. The in silico experiments indicate that GDP-α-Rha6F2 likely acts on GMDS but further studies are needed to investigate the exact mechanism of action.

In conclusion, we have developed in vitro validated de novo pathway dependent fucosylation inhibitors. Fucotrim I (P-d-Rha6F2–1P, 9) and Fucotrim II (P-d-Rha6F2–1P, 10) are new tools to study fucosylation function and are good candidates for further therapeutic development. Additionally, this approach could be considered for targeting other 4,6-dehydratases affording cell active inhibitors.
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Fucose sugars on mammalian cell membranes play important roles in physiological and pathological processes. Inhibition of fucosylation has been identified as a therapeutic avenue. We have developed potent cell-active fucosylation inhibitors, directly inhibiting the de novo biosynthesis of GDP-fucose.
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