Natural contaminants in infant food: The case of regulated and emerging mycotoxins

3 Dominik Braun^a, Maximilian Eiser^a, Hannes Puntscher^a, Doris Marko^a, Benedikt Warth^a*

^aUniversity of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology, Währinger Straße 38, 1090 Vienna, Austria

- 6 Corresponding Author
- 7 *Benedikt Warth, University of Vienna, Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology,
- 8 Währinger Straße 38, 1090 Vienna, Austria.
- 9 Phone: +43 1 4277 70806
- 10 E-mail: benedikt.warth@univie.ac.at

11 Abstract

12 Breast milk substitutes, e.g. infant formulae, are commonly introduced to the diet within the first months of 13 life. As the infants' detoxifying capability is not fully developed, it is of vital importance to minimize their 14 exposure to food contaminants. Here, we present a comprehensive multi-mycotoxin assessment in infant 15 food. Samples from the Austrian and Czech market (n=59) were screened for 46 mycotoxins and key 16 metabolites using two complementary LC-MS/MS methods. Trace levels of 17 mycotoxins, including aflatoxin 17 B_1 (0.4 µg/kg), zearalenone (<2.3 µg/kg), deoxynivalenol (<131 µg/kg) and fumonisin B_1 (<39 µg/kg) were 18 detected. Infant formulae were contaminated at lower levels compared to cereal-based products. Overall, 19 the concentrations of most toxins were near or below their respective LOQ values. However, two raw flour 20 samples exceeded the regulatory limit of aflatoxin B₁ for infant foods. Interestingly, two toxins not reported 21 previously, namely aflatoxicol and sterigmatocystin, were identified in 3 and 17% of infant foods, 22 respectively.

23 Keywords

24 Food safety; infant and public health; environmental contaminants; infant formulae; complementary food

1. Introduction

26 Mycotoxins, a diverse class of toxic secondary metabolites produced by various fungal species frequently 27 contaminate agricultural crops pre- or postharvest. This leads to serious economic losses and furthermore, the chronic exposure to contaminated foodstuff has been linked to long-term effects on human health 28 29 (Miller, et al., 2014). Several mycotoxins are strictly regulated by the Commission Regulation (EC) 30 No 1881/2006 (EC, 2006) and monitored in the European Union. This includes aflatoxins (AFB₁, B₂, G₁, G₂ and 31 M₁), deoxynivalenol (DON), fumonisins (FB₁ and B₂), ochratoxin A (OTA), zearalenone (ZEN), T2 and HT-2-32 toxin (T2; HT-2). In addition, various strategies have been elaborated to minimize the contamination of 33 foodstuffs over the last years (Magan, Aldred, Mylona, & Lambert, 2010). However, insufficiently 34 toxicologically characterized mycotoxins remain. These so-called emerging mycotoxins are neither 35 legislatively regulated, nor routinely analyzed in food. Most of these emerging mycotoxins are produced by 36 Aspergillus, Penicillium, Fusarium, and Claviceps species. These are microscopic filamentous fungi that are 37 commonly found as plant pathogens infesting a wide variety of food crops ranging from grains and nuts to 38 fruits (Fraeyman, Croubels, Devreese, & Antonissen, 2017). Alternaria mycotoxins, considered relevant 39 emerging mycotoxins produced by Alternaria fungi, gained more and more interest in the last decade. 40 Extracts of Alternaria cultures, especially alternariol (AOH), alternariol monomethyl ether (AME) and the 41 altertoxins (ATX I, II and III) have shown genotoxic and mutagenic effects in different cell lines (Aichinger, et 42 al., 2019; Jarolim, et al., 2017).

43 Children, especially infants, are generally more sensitive to toxic exposures from the environment. In fact, in 44 the first months of life the human metabolism is not fully developed, leading to a lower capacity for the 45 detoxification of environmental contaminants. In addition, infants have a higher intake of food per kilogram 46 body weight compared to adults (Cohen Hubal, et al., 2000). Moreover, their nutrition is mostly resticted to breast milk, fruits, milk-based infant formulae and cereal products. As a result, the occurrence of toxic 47 48 contaminants in these foodstuffs may lead to a higher exposure compared to adults. Considering estimates 49 of mycotoxin contamination in up to 80% of the global supply of grains (Eskola, et al., 2019), the accurate 50 determination of mycotoxins in cereal-based infant food is of particular interest. In recent years several 51 reports have been published investigating the mycotoxin contamination of infant foods (Gotthardt, et al., 52 2019; Lombaert, et al., 2003; Meucci, Razzuoli, Soldani, & Massart, 2010). However, critical data gaps were 53 identified to understand the impact of chronical dietary low background exposures on infant health (LaKind, 54 et al., 2018; Lehmann, et al., 2018). Yet, most of the published studies relied on complex and time-consuming 55 sample preparation procedures, like solid phase extraction (SPE) (Lombaert, et al., 2003), included a very 56 limited number of analytes or investigated only single toxins (Asam & Rychlik, 2013; Mahnine, et al., 2011; 57 Meucci, et al., 2010). However, co-occurrence of multiple mycotoxins is very common in food commodities and may lead to combinatory effects even below the threshold of the single toxin (Vejdovszky, Hahn, Braun, 58 59 Warth, & Marko, 2017). Single or mycotoxin class based extraction procedures are not feasible for a multi-60 analyte approach covering a large number of chemically diverse targets. Sample preparation protocols that

enable the simultaneous extraction of analytes covering a wide range of polarities are required. A number of
established multi-analyte approaches utilize 'dilute and shoot' protocols . In these cases, the matrices are
extracted in a single step, followed by dilution in neat solvent and direct analysis without any further cleanup steps. Other approaches make use of a *QuEChERS* (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Efficient, Rugged and Safe) method,
which is usually followed by a SPE to remove interfering matrix components and to concentrate the analytes
of interest (Braun, et al., 2018).

67 The aim of the present work was to identify and quantify 46 regulated and emerging mycotoxins in processed 68 cereal-based infant foods and infant formulae samples obtained from the Austrian and Czech market. 69 Moreover, raw flour samples of rice and maize provided by a local mill were included in the survey, as these 70 were intended for the production of infant cereals. Thus, a total of 59 samples composed of diverse matrices 71 (rice, maize, wheat, spelt, millet, oatmeal and milk powder) were analyzed by two analytical methods based 72 on LC-MS/MS after a single extraction step. Therefore, we present the first multi-mycotoxin assessment in 73 infant food covering a wide range of different mycotoxin classes. Our findings aim to contribute to more 74 comprehensive exposure data and thus, improve risk assessment of mycotoxins found in complementary 75 infant food.

76 2. Materials and Methods

77 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

78 LC-MS grade solvents (acetonitrile (ACN), methanol (MeOH) and water) were purchased from VWR and 79 Honeywell, respectively. Glacial acetic acid (ACS, ≥99.7%) was purchased from Fisher Chemicals, while acetic 80 acid (LC-MS grade, ≥100%,), ammonia solution (LC-MS grade, 25% in H₂O) and ammonium acetate solution (LC-MS grade, 5 M in H₂O) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For the preparation of calibration standards 81 82 and spiking solutions, a multi-component mixture of aflatoxin B₁, B₂, G₁, G₂, M₁, M₂, P₁, Q₁ (AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁, 83 AFG₂, AFM₁, AFM₂, AFP₁, AFQ₁), aflatoxin B₁-N7-guanine (AFB₁-N7-Gua), aflatoxicol (AFL), sterigmatocystin 84 (STC), ochratoxin A, B and α (OTA, OTB, OT α), citrinin (CIT), dihydrocitrinone (DH-CIT), nivalenol (NIV), DON, T-2, HT-2, FB₁, FB₂, ZEN, α-zearalenol (α-ZEL), β-zearalenol (β-ZEL), beauvericin (BEA) as well as enniatin A, 85 86 A₁, B and B₁ (EnnA, EnnA₁, EnnB, EnnB₁) was prepared according to Braun, et al. (2018). The concentration of 87 the individual analytes in this solution were as follows: NIV (8 μg/mL); FB₁ (7.5 μg/mL); DON, HT-2 and FB₂ 88 (4.5 μg/mL); AFL and AFB₁-N7-Gua (1.5 μg/mL); OTα (1 μg/mL); α-ZEL and β-ZEL (800 ng/mL); ZEN, DH-CIT, T-89 2, OTA and OTB (600 ng/mL); AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁, AFG₂, AFM₁, AFM₂, AFP₁, AFQ₁ (300 ng/mL); STC (150 ng/mL); 90 BEA, EnnA, EnnA₂, EnnB, EnnB₁ (60 ng/mL). A second multi-standard mixture, containing the Alternaria toxins 91 AOH, AME, altenuene (ALT), isoaltenuene (isoALT), tenuazonic acid (TeA), tentoxin (TEN), altenuic acid III (AA-92 III), altenusin (ALS), alterperylenol (ALP), ATX-II, AOH-3-glucoside (AOH-3-Glc), AOH-9-glucoside (AOH-9-Glc), 93 AOH-3-sulfate (AOH-3-S), AME-3-glucoside (AME-3-Glc) and AME-3-sulfate (AME-3-S) was prepared 94 according to (Puntscher, et al., 2018). A solution of ATX-I (10.35 μ g/mL) in ACN was additionally prepared.

95 The concentrations of the individual analytes were as follows: TeA (30 µg/mL); ALT, isoALT, ALS, AA-III (15 µg/mL); ATX-I (10.35 µg/mL); AME-3-Glc (5.0 µg/mL); AOH, AOH-3-Glc, AOH-3-S, AME-3-S, ATX-II, ALP 96

97 (2.5 μg/mL); TEN (800 ng/mL) and AME (500 ng/mL). All solutions were stored at -20 °C.

A working solution containing all toxins was prepared by diluting 300 µL of the first multi-standard stock, 98 99 360 µL of the Alternaria toxin multi-standard stock and 90 µl of the stock solution containing ATX-I with 100 150 µL MeOH in an amber glass vial. This working solution was subsequently vortexed for 30 s and stored at 101 -20 °C.

102 2.2. Infant food samples

103 Commercially available infant food products (cereal-based infant foods and infant formulae) were collected 104 from retail outlets in Vienna, Austria (n=41) and Prague, Czech Republic (n=3). Infant food was manufactured 105 in four different European countries (Supplementary Table S2). All products were labeled and packaged for 106 retail markets and intended for end consumers. Most products were harvested from organic agriculture 107 (67%), while the others were grown conventionally (33%). Incremental sample aliquots were transferred to 108 15 mL tubes and stored at -20 °C until extraction. In addition, a local mill provided raw flour samples of rice 109 (n=11) and maize (n=4) in vacuum sealed plastic bags containing 20 to 50 g. These were stored at -20 °C until 110 extraction.

111 2.3. Sample preparation

112 For sample extraction the protocol according to Puntscher, et al. (2018) was applied. In brief, 1.00 ± 0.01 g 113 of the respective samples were transferred to 15 mL tubes, suspended with 5 mL of an extraction solvent 114 (MeOH:H₂O:HOAc; 79:20:1; v:v:v) and homogenized on an overhead shaker (50 rpm; 22 °C) for one hour. 115 Subsequently, samples were centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 10 min, followed by the dilution of the resulting 116 supernatant (900 μL) with a solvent mixture (900 μL; MeOH:H₂O; 10:90; v:v) and vortexing for 30 s. After a second centrifugation step (14000 rpm, 12 min, 4 °C), samples were filtered (Chromafil®, PTFE, 0.20µm, 117 118 Macherey-Nagel, Germany), transferred into amber LC vials and stored at -20 °C until analysis.

119

2.4. Preparation of calibration standards

120 Calibration standards were prepared covering three orders of magnitude on seven levels (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). Due to the lack of certified reference material, and the wide variety of commodities 121 122 tested in this pilot survey, no matrix-matched calibration was performed. Instead, calibration standards were 123 prepared by diluting the working solution in solvent (MeOH:H₂O, 10:90, v:v). After homogenization, these 124 solutions were transferred to amber vials and stored at -20 °C until analysis. Calibration curves were 125 evaluated using linear regression analysis with a weighting factor of 1/x.

126 **2.5. Spiking experiments**

127 To evaluate the extraction efficiency and matrix effects, spiking experiments in triplicate were conducted in 128 five matrices (rice, maize, wheat, oatmeal and infant formulae; Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). Since no certified reference materials were available, a sample of each matrix was randomly chosen and spiked in 129 130 three independent experiments. For spiking experiments 30 µL working solution were used to spike sample 131 aliquots which were left at ambient temperature for 5 min to allow solvent evaporation. After 132 homogenization, these samples were extracted as described above. Spiking levels, as well as apparent 133 recoveries are reported in Table 1. Apparent recoveries were determined by the ratio of sample 134 concentration calculated using the solvent calibration curves and the known spiking level, multiplied by 100.

135 **2.6. LC-MS/MS measurements**

An UltiMate 3000 UHPLC system (Thermo Scientific), coupled to a TSQ Vantage triple-quadrupole mass
 spectrometer via a heated electrospray-ionization source (Thermo Scientific) was utilized. To screen for all
 mycotoxins of interest two established methods were used.

139 Method 1: Multi-mycotoxin method

140 Mycotoxins regulated in infant cereal and infant formulae products as well as several emerging 141 mycotoxins from Aspergillus, Penicillium and Fusarium were determined using an LC-MS method 142 adapted from Braun, et al. (2018). Briefly, an Acquity HSS T3 column (C18, 1.8 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm, Waters, Vienna, Austria) was used to chromatographically separate the analytes of interest. The autosampler 143 was set to 10 °C while the column was maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phase consisted of eluent A1 144 145 (water/ ammonium actetate (5mM)/ acetic acid (0.1%)) and eluent B (MeOH) with a flow rate of 146 250 μ L/min. The injection volume was 5 μ L. All instrumental LC-MS parameters such as gradient and 147 MS/MS settings were reported in detail by Braun, et al. (2018).

148 Method 2: Multi-Alternaria toxin method

For the determination of *Alternaria* toxins., the LC-MS/MS method described by Puntscher, et al. (2018) was utilized. Briefly, chromatographic separation was achieved using a Ascentis Express column (C18, 2.7 μ m, 2.1 × 100 mm, Supelco, Vienna, Austria). The autosampler was kept at 10 °C and the column maintained at 30 °C. The mobile phase consisted of eluent A2 (aqueous solution of NH₄Ac, 5 mM, pH adjusted to 8.7 with 25% ammonia solution), while MeOH was used as eluent B. The injection volume was 5 μ L.

155 **2.7. Quality control and data evaluation**

To monitor the instrument's performance and ensure reproducible measurements, quality control samples containing reference standards in solvent were injected in triplicate at the beginning and in the end of each measurement. Peak shapes, peak areas as well as retention times were evaluated to ensure system integrity. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) was estimated as three and ten times the signal-tonoise ratio for each analyte using spiked blank samples, respectively. Data acquisition and data evaluation
 was performed using Xcalibur (version 3.1) and TraceFinder (version 3.3 and 4.1), respectively.

162 **2.8. Preliminary exposure assessment**

The estimated daily intake of mycotoxins via complementary infant food products was calculated utilizing a deterministic approach. Here, we assumed an upper bound worst case scenario by considering the LOD values as maximum concentrations for analytes, which are regulated but were not detected. LOQ values or the quantified maximum concentrations were used for analytes, which were detected in the samples (Table 2). The hypothetical daily intake was calculated on the basis of the upper bound concentration in food, daily food consumption and infant body weight (bw). The following equation was used to calculate the hypothetical upper bound mycotoxin intake (hUBI) on a daily base:

170
$$hUBI = \frac{C_{mycotoxin\,(upper\ bound)} \times IR}{bw}$$

While hUBI is given in micrograms per kilogram body weight per day, C represents the upper bound concentration of the respective mycotoxin (microgram per kilogram) and IR is the intake rate of food by the infant (g/day). The IR was based on exclusive intake of infant food products and the recommended daily dose at the age of six months (100 g/day) was used as stated on the package leaflet. In addition, an median infant weight (8 kg) was selected by using published weight-for-age standards (WHO, 2006).

176 **3. Results and discussion**

177

3.1. Method performance and limitations

178 The parameters describing the method's performance of the detected toxins (n = 17) in the analyzed samples 179 are reported in Table 1. The absence of reference material to evaluate the extraction efficiency and matrix 180 effects was compensated by the determination of the apparent recovery using the calibration slope of 181 solvent standards. Here, most mycotoxins (n = 30) in the spiked matrices were recovered in the range of 50 to 150%. For five analytes, apparent recoveries below 50% were observed in the evaluated matrices. This 182 183 could be the results of limited analyte extraction efficiency, high signal suppression or the combination of 184 both. Interestingly, for seven analytes apparent recoveries above 150% were determined, which most likely 185 was the result of significant signal enhancement. Matrix-matched standard calibration, however, was not 186 feasible, as neither certified reference material, nor appropriate blank samples were available for the infant 187 food products. The apparent recovery of four analytes, BEA, EnnA₁, EnnB and EnnB₁, was not successfully 188 evaluated for oatmeal, as the sample used for spiking was naturally contaminated. This was also the case for 189 EnnB and EnnB₁ in wheat samples. The results of these analytes were not corrected for recovery in these 190 matrices. Spelt and millet samples were deemed to be sufficiently similar to wheat, thus the respective 191 recovery of wheat was utilized to correct for matrix effects and extraction efficiency. FB₂ was not successfully

evaluated, as this toxin exhibited significant carry-over. Mycotoxins detected in naturally contaminatedsamples were arranged by product type and the respective data are reported in Table 2.

3.2. Mycotoxin contamination in infant food products

Infant food products and raw flour samples (n=59) were screened for 46 different mycotoxins using two LC-MS methods. Overall, 17 different mycotoxins were detected, while the quantification was possible for 59% of contaminated samples. In addition, co-occurrence of mycotoxins was found in 59% of samples (n = 35). Interestingly, an organic spelt cereal sample was contaminated with nine mycotoxins, while in ten samples (17%) no mycotoxin was detected.

200 3.2.1. Regulated mycotoxins

201 Commercially available cereal-based infant foods (n = 35) were grouped considering their main ingredients: 202 rice (n=8), maize (n=1), wheat (n=6), spelt (n=5), millet (n=5), oatmeal (n=6) and products containing skim 203 milk powder and cereal flour (milk cereal; n=4). Additionally, 15 raw flour samples intended for the 204 production of infant foods, were classified as rice flour (n=11) and maize flour (n=4).

The mycotoxins AFB₁, ZEN, DON, T-2 and FB₁, regulated by the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 (EC, 2006) for cereal-based food intended for the consumption by infants, were determined in 30 samples.

207 In contrast, the regulated mycotoxins OTA and HT-2 were not detected in any sample. The highest prevalence 208 in cereal-based food was observed for T-2 and FB1 with 26% and 20%, respectively. Concentration levels of 209 up to 3.0 µg/kg T-2 were detected in commercial samples, while only one raw maize flour sample contained 210 0.9 μ g/kg T-2. The concentration of FB₁ did not exceed 8.3 μ g/kg in commercial samples. Comparably higher 211 FB₁ concentrations ranging from 13 to 39 μ g/kg were only found in raw flour samples. Here, processing 212 practices may reduce the mycotoxin concentration in the finished products. However, all samples in which 213 T-2 and FB₁ was detected were below their maximum residue limit (MRL) of 15 μ g/kg and 200 μ g/kg, respectively. No contamination trend towards any specific commodity was observed, although T-2 was not 214 215 found in spelt and FB₁ was not detected in wheat and oatmeal samples. The high incidence of T-2 was not 216 expected, as only few studies have reported this toxin in infant foods in very low concentrations. The highest 217 incidence of T-2 was found by Tanaka, et al. (2010) in Japan, reporting a concentration range from 0.1 to 218 0.6 µg/kg in 12% of biscuits intended for infant consumption. Zhang, Flannery, Oles, and Adeuya (2018) 219 detected T-2 in only 3 of 147 tested infant foods in the US below the LOQ of 1.6 μg/kg. Furthermore, Lu, Ruiz 220 Leal, Míguez, and Fernández-Franzón (2013) reported T-2 in a single sample of cereal-based infant food at 221 $0.039 \,\mu$ g/kg. A probable cause for the high incidence may be the large share of cereal samples from organic 222 agriculture, as 7 of the 9 samples positive for T-2 were labeled organic. Similarly, the majority of FB₁ positive 223 samples in the present study (10 out of 12) were organic samples. However, label of organic or conventional 224 production does not address influencing factors for mycotoxin contamination such as storage, transport or 225 processing practices. Moreover the sample size in this study was rather limited. The reported concentration 226 levels for FB₁ were below those detected by previous studies, e.g. D'Arco, Fernandez-Franzon, Font, Damiani,

and Manes (2009) recorded mean levels of 159 µg/kg in organic and 3 µg/kg in conventional maize samples.
 In conformity with a survey of cereal-based infant food in Canada conducted by Lombaert, et al. (2003), no
 FB₁ was detected in oat-based samples. Others reported that fumonisins were below their detection limit in
 infant food products (Cirillo, Ritieni, Galvano, & Amodio Cocchieri, 2003).

231 In processed cereal samples, DON was detected only in one sample of spelt and oatmeal (25 and 62 µg/kg), 232 which is clearly below the MRL of 200 µg/kg. Equally to T-2 and FB₁, the DON concentration was higher in 233 two raw flour samples with 126 and 131 μ g/kg. Even higher frequencies and concentrations in baby foods 234 were reported before. Juan, Raiola, Manes, and Ritieni (2014) found DON in 76% of cereal-based baby food 235 samples and Lombaert, et al. (2003) in 63%. Cirillo, et al. (2003) reported DON in 60% of infant foods. The 236 rare occurrence of DON was not expected and may be a result of more rigorous controls during the food 237 production process. ZEN was detected in a single commercial wheat-based sample (1.2 µg/kg), which did not 238 exceed the MRL of 20 μg/kg for grain-based infant foods. Moreover, ZEN concentrations of 2.2 and 2.3 μg/kg 239 were determined in raw maize flour samples, co-occurring with DON.

240 Interestingly, the highly carcinogenic AFB₁ was detected in two raw rice flour samples, one at 0.4 μ g/kg and 241 one below the respective LOQ value of 0.3 µg/kg. These samples exceeded the MRL of AFB₁ in processed 242 cereal-based infant foods (0.1 µg/kg). However, due to the fact that AFB₁ was only detected in raw flour 243 samples, the concentration in the processed product will likely be below the MRL. Recently, AFB1 was 244 detected in infant foods, e.g. Hernandez-Martinez and Navarro-Blasco (2010) reported several samples (n=7) 245 even above the MRL. Others reported either only a single occurrence (Alvito, Sizoo, Almeida, & van Egmond, 246 2010) or no contamination of AFB₁ (Beltrán, et al., 2011; Juan, et al., 2014; Zhang, et al., 2013). OTA was not found in any of the analyzed samples, which is most certainly due to the high limit of detection (0.4 µg/kg). 247 248 In fact, this toxin is frequently detected in cereal-based infant food products across Europe and North 249 America at concentrations lower than the LOD value of the present study and thus not likely a concern for 250 infant health (Alvito, et al., 2010; Juan, et al., 2014; Lombaert, et al., 2003).

251 **3.2.2.** Non-regulated aflatoxins and derivatives

252 Besides regulated aflatoxins, AFL and STC, two non-regulated aflatoxin derivatives were detected (Figure 1), 253 although not co-occurring with AFB1. A quarter of all processed cereal foods (n=8) exhibited a contamination 254 with STC, a precursor of AFB₁. STC was detected in all commodities except for the single maize-based sample 255 in concentration levels up to 0.5 µg/kg. The occurrence of STC in infant foods has previously been 256 investigated by Sartori, de Moraes, Santos, Souza, and da Nobrega (2017) (LOD 0.04 μ g/kg) and Liu, Fan, 257 Huang, Jin, and Zhu (2016) (LOD 0.11 µg/kg), although both studies did not identify any positive sample. In 258 addition, we report AFL in one oatmeal sample below the respective LOQ value in the present study. 259 Moreover, in one milk cereal sample a concentration of $1.1 \,\mu$ g/kg AFL was detected. To the best of our 260 knowledge, this is the first study which reports both AFL and STC in infant food products.

261 3.2.3. Fusarium toxins

262 Besides DON and T2, only NIV was detected among the trichothecenes in two cereal-based samples. NIV was 263 found in one rice sample at a concentration of 20 μ g/kg and in one wheat sample below the LOQ of 16 μ g/kg. 264 In contrast to commercial samples, raw flour samples were not contaminated. In addition, no co-occurrence 265 with any of the other trichothecenes (DON, T-2) was observed. Hexadepsipeptides like BEA and the enniatins, 266 especially EnnB, were frequently found in all cereal-based commodities analyzed in concentration levels up 267 to 40 μg/kg. The highest incidence of EnnB was found in 60% of processed cereal-based samples. In contrast, 268 only two raw maize flour samples were contaminated by EnnB. Other hexadepsipeptides monitored, namely 269 BEA, EnnB₁, EnnA₁ and EnnA, were detected in 26, 17, 11 and 3% of the processed cereal-based samples, 270 respectively. These results are in agreement with results published by Juan, Manes, Raiola, and Ritieni (2013). 271 Analyzing cereal-based infant foods from Italy, these authors reported that EnnB, EnnB₁, BEA, EnnA and 272 EnnA₁ were detected in 70, 26, 17, 13 and 9% of all samples, respectively. However, concentration levels in 273 the present study were significantly lower. Others did not detect this mycotoxin class in wheat-based 274 products from Morocco (Mahnine, et al., 2011). This is in contrast to the present study, where all six wheat-275 based samples tested contained at least one hexadepsipeptide. However, the sensitivity of the applied 276 analytical method was higher, resulting in significantly lower LOD values than those of Mahnine, et al. (2011). 277 In addition, two out of six rice-based samples in the study of Mahnine, et al. (2011) were contaminated with 278 either BEA or enniatins. In the present study, rice products contained the least variety of Fusarium toxins of 279 all commodities tested. In raw flour samples, the highest occurrence was observed for BEA. Here, all maize-280 based samples and one rice-based sample were contaminated with this mycotoxin.

281 3.2.4. Emerging Alternaria toxins

282 Infant food samples were screened for the occurrence of 16 mycotoxins and mycotoxin-conjugates produced 283 by the fungal species Alternaria. Four of these, namely AME, TeA, TEN and ALP were found in both processed 284 cereal-based infant foods and raw flour samples. AME was detectable in rice, millet, oatmeal and milk cereal 285 samples. While two raw samples of rice flour were contaminated below the LOQ value, the maximum AME 286 concentration of 1.1 µg/kg was observed in a commercial oatmeal sample. In contrast, AOH was not detected 287 in any of the analyzed samples. Previously, Scott, Zhao, Feng, and Lau (2012) analyzed AOH and AME 288 contamination of Canadian cereal products including infant foods. They frequently detected both toxins in 289 concentration levels up to 4.4 and 9.0 µg/kg, respectively. TEN was the most common Alternaria toxin, found 290 in 34% of processed cereal-based samples at concentrations up to 1.5 μ g/kg, followed by TeA in 31% of the 291 samples at concentrations up to 124 μ g/kg. The highest concentrations of TeA were detected in millet-based 292 samples, similar to previous published results: For example, Asam and Rychlik (2013) reported that on 293 average, sorghum-based infant foods contained higher amounts of TeA than infant foods based on other 294 cereals (550 µg/kg versus 20 µg/kg respectively). Except one spelt sample (99 µg/kg) all other TeA-positive 295 samples in the present study contained levels below the LOQ value of 24 µg/kg. One raw rice flour sample 296 was contaminated with TEN lower than the LOQ, while six out of eleven raw rice flour samples contained TeA

297 in concentrations up to 54 μ g/kg. Other *Alternaria* toxins or key metabolites thereof were not detected in 298 any of the analyzed samples.

299 3.2.5. Contamination patterns

300 Most cereal-based commodities analyzed (wheat, spelt, millet, oatmeal) showed traces of mycotoxins 301 deriving from both Fusarium and Alternaria fungi, without a notable trend towards any specific type of cereal 302 (Figure 2). Interestingly, rice-based samples, both commercial and raw samples, predominantly contained 303 toxins from Alternaria spp. and almost no Fusarium toxins. This contamination pattern is unusual considering 304 the widespread occurrence of *Fusarium* toxins in other commodities. *Fusarium* fungi have been shown to 305 readily produce mycotoxins using rice as a substrate (Mateo, Mateo, & Jimenez, 2002). On the other hand, 306 all five maize-based samples contained no Alternaria toxins on detectable levels, while all four raw maize 307 flour samples were contaminated with a variety of *Fusarium* mycotoxins.

308 3.2.6. Infant formulae

309 Compared to cereal-based infant foods, the infant formulae (n=9) analyzed in the present study were 310 contaminated only by a small number of mycotoxins. Moreover, mycotoxins found in these samples were 311 mostly below the respective LOQ values or not detectable at all. In infant formulae only AFM₁ is regulated 312 with a MRL of 0.025 μ g/kg. This toxin was not detected in any of the nine infant formulae samples. However, 313 the applied screening method was not suitable to detect levels of AFM₁ below 0.3 μ g/kg. Thus, it cannot be 314 excluded that AFM₁ might exceed the MRL level. Results from previous studies indicate that AFM₁ does not 315 frequently occur in infant formulae (Beltrán, et al., 2011; Meucci, et al., 2010; Zhang, et al., 2013). Hence, 316 early-life exposure towards AFM₁ resulting from this commodity may not imply a relevant health risk. ZEN 317 and FB1, regulated for cereal-based foods, were detected in two and three infant formulae samples, 318 respectively. ZEN was quantified in one sample with a concentration of 0.7 μ g/kg. All detections of FB₁ were 319 below the LOQ of 7.0 μ g/kg. Similar to cereal-based food, OTA was not detected in a single sample, while 320 Meucci, et al. (2010) reported OTA in 63% of powdered infant formulae in Italy. However, the sensitivity of 321 Meucci, et al. (2010) was higher compared to the present study (1.25 ng/L compared to 0.4 μg/kg) as a result 322 of an immuno-affinity clean-up. Following the fact that no OTA concentrations above 0.7 μg/L were detected 323 by Meucci, et al. (2010) the absence of OTA in the present study can be reasonably explained. Similarly to 324 cereal-based food STC was found in two samples, while emerging mycotoxins such as EnnB1 and AME were 325 detected only below their respective LOQ value in this matrix.

326

3.3. Estimation of the daily intake of mycotoxins from infant food products

327 The estimated daily intake of mycotoxins was based on an upper bound worst case scenario assuming 328 contamination of infant food products at the detected maximum concentration. For the three regulated 329 mycotoxins AFM₁, CIT and OTA, which have not been detected in any sample, hypothetical contamination at 330 the respective LOD values were assumed. The exposure to food contaminants in the critical time window of 331 infancy should be as minimal as possible, due to the less developed immune system. This is reflected by the

332 established MRL for mycotoxins in the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 (EC, 2006). Here, maximum 333 concentrations set for mycotoxins in infant food are generally lower. In addition, the European Food Safety 334 Authority (EFSA) stated that the tolerable daily intake (TDI) should be adjusted for infants for the first months 335 of life (EFSA, 2017). The calculated worst case scenario was thus compared to an infant age-corrected TDI 336 (Table 3). Based on the results, we conclude that the intake of DON via infant food may exceed the guidance 337 value by a factor of five, although the respective maximum concentration used for the exposure estimate did 338 not exceed the MRL. However, the exposure was assumed as an upper bound worst case scenario and the 339 maximum concentration was found in a raw flour sample. As already discussed, processing practices clearly 340 influence mycotoxin levels and thus it is likely that the final infant food product contains less and thus 341 potentially negligible amounts of DON. The rather lipophilic toxins BEA and EnnB were recently detected in 342 breast milk from Austrian and Nigerian mothers (Braun et al., 2020a; Braun et al., 2020b). Exposure estimates 343 based on infant food products were 10x and 500x higher for BEA and EnnB, respectively, compared to the 344 estimates for breast milk consumption. For the xenoestrogen ZEN, which has recently been shown to cross 345 the placental barrier (Warth, et al., 2019) a hUBI of 0.029 µg/kg bw/d was calculated. Based on the worst 346 case scenario in infant food the intake of ZEN is twice as high compared to the breast milk estimates we 347 calculated earlier (Braun, et al., 2018).

Moreover, cow milk is frequently used for the preparation of infant food which may not be mycotoxin free and thus extend the contamination pattern. These results clearly highlight that breastfeeding should not be avoided based on the potential presence of mycotoxins, as alternatives are more likely to be contaminated and lack the tailored nutritional composition for an developing infant.

352 **4. Conclusions**

353 Low concentration levels of 17 mycotoxins were detected in 83% of infant food and infant food raw flour 354 samples. All detected mycotoxins were present in cereal-based foods or raw flour samples, whereas only six 355 mycotoxins were found in infant formulae products. In addition, the toxin levels of infant formulae products 356 were near or below the respective LOQ values, confirming that the exposure to mycotoxins from these foods 357 is low. Even though the mycotoxin levels were low in cereal-based products too, co-occurrence of toxins was 358 frequently observed and in a single sample nine different mycotoxins were detected. Within this study we 359 could confirm that regulated mycotoxins did not exceed the MRL, however, sample size and geographical 360 distribution limit the overall conclusions and have to be verified in subsequent studies. In contrast to ready-361 to-use products, in two raw flour samples AFB₁ was detected above the MRL. To the best of our knowledge, 362 we describe the first detection of STC and AFL in cereal-based infant foods. Samples from organic agriculture, 363 which represented the majority of the samples in this preliminary study, were more likely to be contaminated 364 than those from conventional agriculture. However, the levels of toxins detected in both groups did not differ significantly. In conclusion, infant food products included in this study (cereal-based infant foods and milk-365 366 based infant formulae) can be considered as safe regarding this class of natural food contaminants. Certainly,

- 367 breast feeding is still considered to be the most advantageous nourishment for infants and should be favored
- 368 over complementary foods.

369 Acknowledgements

- 370 The authors want to gratefully thank the Mass Spectrometry Centre of the University of Vienna for technical
- 371 support. This research was financed by the University of Vienna, Austria and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF):
 372 P 33188-B.

Author contributions

D.B. conceived, designed and planned the experiments, supported sample analysis, LC-MS/MS measurement, data evaluation, interpretation and drafted the paper. M.E. collected and analyzed samples, evaluated data. H.P. conceived the experimental design and evaluated results. D.M. was involved in the experimental design and data interpretation. B.W. designed and supervised the study and supported analyses and data evaluation/interpretation. All authors contributed to manuscript writing.

379 Competing interests

380 The authors declare no competing interests.

381 Materials & Correspondence

382 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.W.

383 Data availability

The authors can confirm that all relevant data are included in the paper and/or its Supplementary Information files.

386 **References**

- Aichinger, G., Kruger, F., Puntscher, H., Preindl, K., Warth, B., & Marko, D. (2019). Naturally occurring mixtures
 of Alternaria toxins: anti-estrogenic and genotoxic effects in vitro. *Archives of Toxicology*, *93*(10),
 3021-3031.
- Alvito, P. C., Sizoo, E. A., Almeida, C. M. M., & van Egmond, H. P. (2010). Occurrence of Aflatoxins and
 Ochratoxin A in Baby Foods in Portugal. *Food Analytical Methods*, *3*(1), 22-30.
- Asam, S., & Rychlik, M. (2013). Potential health hazards due to the occurrence of the mycotoxin tenuazonic
 acid in infant food. *European Food Research and Technology*, 236(3), 491-497.
- Beltrán, E., Ibánez, M., Sancho, J. V., Cortés, M. A., Yusà, V., & Hernández, F. (2011). UHPLC–MS/MS highly
 sensitive determination of aflatoxins, the aflatoxin metabolite M1 and ochratoxin A in baby food and
 milk. *Food Chemistry*, *126*, 737-744.
- Braun, D., Ezekiel, C. N., Abia, W. A., Wisgrill, L., Degen, G. H., Turner, P. C., Marko, D., & Warth, B. (2018).
 Monitoring Early Life Mycotoxin Exposures via LC-MS/MS Breast Milk Analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*, 90(24), 14569-14577.
- Braun, D., Ezekiel, C. N., Marko, D., & Warth, B. (2020a). Exposure to Mycotoxin-Mixtures via Breast Milk: An
 Ultra-Sensitive LC-MS/MS Biomonitoring Approach. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, *8*, 423.
- Braun, D., Schernhammer, E., Marko, D., & Warth, B. (2020b). Longitudinal assessment of mycotoxin co exposures in exclusively breastfed infants. *Environment International*, *142*, 105845.
- Cirillo, T., Ritieni, A., Galvano, F., & Amodio Cocchieri, R. (2003). Natural co-occurrence of deoxynivalenol and
 fumonisins B1 and B2 in Italian marketed foodstuffs. *Food Addit Contam, 20*(6), 566-571.
- Cohen Hubal, E. A., Sheldon, L. S., Burke, J. M., McCurdy, T. R., Berry, M. R., Rigas, M. L., Zartarian, V. G., &
 Freeman, N. C. (2000). Children's exposure assessment: a review of factors influencing Children's
 exposure, and the data available to characterize and assess that exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives, 108*(6), 475-486.
- D'Arco, G., Fernandez-Franzon, M., Font, G., Damiani, P., & Manes, J. (2009). Survey of fumonisins B1, B2 and
 B3 in conventional and organic retail corn products in Spain and Italy and estimated dietary exposure.
 Food Additives & Contaminants, Part B: Surveillance, 2(2), 146-153.
- 413 EC. (2006). Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 Setting Maximum Levels for Certain Contaminants in 414 Foodstuffs. In *European Union Commission, Off. J. Eur. Communities L.*
- 415 EFSA. (2006). Opinion of the Scientific Panel on contaminants in the food chain [CONTAM] related to 416 ochratoxin A in food. In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 4, pp. 365.): Wiley Online Library.
- 417 EFSA. (2011). Scientific Opinion on the risks for public health related to the presence of zearalenone in food.
 418 In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 9, pp. 2197.).
- 419 EFSA. (2012). Scientific Opinion on the risks for public and animal health related to the presence of citrinin in
 420 food and feed. In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 10, pp. 2605.): Wiley Online Library.
- 421 EFSA. (2013a). Deoxynivalenol in food and feed: occurrence and exposure. In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 11, pp. 3379).
- 422 EFSA. (2013b). Scientific Opinion on risks for animal and public health related to the presence of nivalenol in
 423 food and feed. In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 11, pp. 3262).
- 424 EFSA. (2017). Guidance on the risk assessment of substances present in food intended for infants below 16 425 weeks of age. In *EFSA Journal* (Vol. 15).
- Eskola, M., Kos, G., Elliott, C. T., Hajslova, J., Mayar, S., & Krska, R. (2019). Worldwide contamination of food crops with mycotoxins: Validity of the widely cited 'FAO estimate' of 25. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1-17.
- Fraeyman, S., Croubels, S., Devreese, M., & Antonissen, G. (2017). Emerging Fusarium and Alternaria
 Mycotoxins: Occurrence, Toxicity and Toxicokinetics. *Toxins (Basel), 9*, 228.
- Gotthardt, M., Asam, S., Gunkel, K., Moghaddam, A. F., Baumann, E., Kietz, R., & Rychlik, M. (2019).
 Quantitation of Six Alternaria Toxins in Infant Foods Applying Stable Isotope Labeled Standards. *Front Microbiol, 10*, 109.
- Hernandez-Martinez, R., & Navarro-Blasco, I. (2010). Aflatoxin levels and exposure assessment of Spanish
 infant cereals. *Food Additives & Contaminants, Part B: Surveillance, 3*(4), 275-288.
- Jarolim, K., Del Favero, G., Ellmer, D., Stark, T. D., Hofmann, T., Sulyok, M., Humpf, H. U., & Marko, D. (2017).
 Dual effectiveness of Alternaria but not Fusarium mycotoxins against human topoisomerase II and
 bacterial gyrase. *Archives of Toxicology*, *91*(4), 2007-2016.

- Juan, C., Manes, J., Raiola, A., & Ritieni, A. (2013). Evaluation of beauvericin and enniatins in Italian cereal
 products and multicereal food by liquid chromatography coupled to triple quadrupole mass
 spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, *140*(4), 755-762.
- Juan, C., Raiola, A., Manes, J., & Ritieni, A. (2014). Presence of mycotoxin in commercial infant formulas and
 baby foods from Italian market. *Food Control, 39*, 227-236.
- Knutsen, H. K., Barregard, L., Bignami, M., Bruschweiler, B., Ceccatelli, S., Cottrill, B., Dinovi, M., Edler, L.,
 Grasl-Kraupp, B., Hogstrand, C., Hoogenboom, L., Nebbia, C. S., Petersen, A., Rose, M., Roudot, A. C.,
 Schwerdtle, T., Vleminckx, C., Vollmer, G., Wallace, H., Dall'Asta, C., Gutleb, A. C., Humpf, H. U., Galli,
 C., Metzler, M., Oswald, I. P., Parent-Massin, D., Binaglia, M., Steinkellner, H., Alexander, J., & Chain,
- 448 E. P. C. F. (2018). Appropriateness to set a group health-based guidance value for fumonisins and 449 their modified forms. *EFSA Journal, 16*(2), e05172.
- LaKind, J. S., Lehmann, G. M., Davis, M. H., Hines, E. P., Marchitti, S. A., Alcala, C., & Lorber, M. (2018). Infant
 Dietary Exposures to Environmental Chemicals and Infant/Child Health: A Critical Assessment of the
 Literature. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *126*(9), 96002.
- Lehmann, G. M., LaKind, J. S., Davis, M. H., Hines, E. P., Marchitti, S. A., Alcala, C., & Lorber, M. (2018).
 Environmental Chemicals in Breast Milk and Formula: Exposure and Risk Assessment Implications.
 Environmental Health Perspectives, *126*(9), 96001.
- Liu, S., Fan, J., Huang, X., Jin, Q., & Zhu, G. (2016). Determination of Sterigmatocystin in Infant Cereals from Hangzhou, China. *Journal of AOAC International, 99*(5), 1273-1278.
- Lombaert, G. A., Pellaers, P., Roscoe, V., Mankotia, M., Neil, R., & Scott, P. M. (2003). Mycotoxins in infant cereal foods from the Canadian retail market. *Food Addit Contam, 20*(5), 494-504.
- Lu, H., Ruiz Leal, M. J., Míguez, M. P., & Fernández-Franzón, M. (2013). Detection of seven trichothecene
 mycotoxins in infant cereal foods by QuEChERS extraction and liquid chromatography coupled to
 tandem mass spectrometry. *Rev. Toxicol., 30,* 203-208.
- Magan, N., Aldred, D., Mylona, K., & Lambert, R. J. (2010). Limiting mycotoxins in stored wheat. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess, 27*(5), 644-650.
- Mahnine, N., Meca, G., Elabidi, A., Fekhaoui, M., Saoiabi, A., Font, G., Manes, J., & Zinedine, A. (2011). Further
 data on the levels of emerging Fusarium mycotoxins enniatins (A, A1, B, B1), beauvericin and
 fusaproliferin in breakfast and infant cereals from Morocco. *Food Chemistry*, *124*(2), 481-485.
- Mateo, J. J., Mateo, R., & Jimenez, M. (2002). Accumulation of type A trichothecenes in maize, wheat and
 rice by Fusarium sporotrichioides isolates under diverse culture conditions. *Int J Food Microbiol*, 72(1 2), 115-123.
- 471 Meucci, V., Razzuoli, E., Soldani, G., & Massart, F. (2010). Mycotoxin detection in infant formula milks in Italy.
 472 Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess, 27(1), 64-71.
- Miller, J. D., Schaafsma, A. W., Bhatnagar, D., Bondy, G., Carbone, I., Harris, L. J., Harrison, G., Munkvold, G.
 P., Oswald, I. P., Pestka, J. J., Sharpe, L., Sumarah, M. W., Tittlemier, S. A., & Zhou, T. (2014).
 Mycotoxins that affect the North American agri-food sector: state of the art and directions for the
 future. *World Mycotoxin Journal*, 7(1), 63-82.
- Puntscher, H., Kutt, M. L., Skrinjar, P., Mikula, H., Podlech, J., Frohlich, J., Marko, D., & Warth, B. (2018).
 Tracking emerging mycotoxins in food: development of an LC-MS/MS method for free and modified
 Alternaria toxins. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *410*(18), 4481-4494.
- Sartori, A. V., de Moraes, M. H. P., Santos, R., Souza, Y. P., & da Nobrega, A. W. (2017). Determination of
 Mycotoxins in Cereal-Based Porridge Destined for Infant Consumption by Ultra-High Performance
 Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Food Analytical Methods*, 10(12), 4049-4061.
- 483 Scott, P. M., Zhao, W., Feng, S., & Lau, B. P. (2012). Alternaria toxins alternariol and alternariol monomethyl 484 ether in grain foods in Canada. *Mycotoxin Res, 28*(4), 261-266.
- Tanaka, H., Takino, M., Sugita-Konishi, Y., Tanaka, T., Leeman, D., Toriba, A., & Hayakawa, K. (2010).
 Determination of Fusarium mycotoxins by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry
 coupled with immunoaffinity extraction. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 24(16), 2445 2452.
- Vejdovszky, K., Hahn, K., Braun, D., Warth, B., & Marko, D. (2017). Synergistic estrogenic effects of Fusarium
 and Alternaria mycotoxins in vitro. *Archives of Toxicology*, *91*(3), 1447-1460.

- Warth, B., Preindl, K., Manser, P., Wick, P., Marko, D., & Buerki-Thurnherr, T. (2019). Transfer and Metabolism
 of the Xenoestrogen Zearalenone in Human Perfused Placenta. *Environmental Health Perspectives*,
 127(10), 107004.
- WHO. (2006). World Health Organization Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Child Growth
 Standards: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body
 mass index-for-age: Methods and development. In *World Health Organization* (pp. 312.).
- Zhang, K., Flannery, B. M., Oles, C. J., & Adeuya, A. (2018). Mycotoxins in infant/toddler foods and breakfast
 cereals in the US retail market. *Food Additives & Contaminants, Part B: Surveillance, 11*(3), 183-190.
- Zhang, K., Wong, J. W., Hayward, D. G., Vaclavikova, M., Liao, C. D., & Trucksess, M. W. (2013). Determination
 of mycotoxins in milk-based products and infant formula using stable isotope dilution assay and liquid
 chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *61*(26),
 6265-6273.
- 503

504 Figures



Figure 1: MRM-chromatograms of aflatoxicol (A) and sterigmatocystin (B). For both toxins a solvent blank,
 solvent calibrant and a naturally contaminated infant food sample are shown, respectively.



518 **Figure 2:** Occurrence of multiple mycotoxins in infant food and raw flour samples (n=59) based on food 519 categories (A) and co-occurrence of mycotoxins based on the producing fungal species (B).

520 Tables

521 **Table 1:** Method performance characteristics of 17 detected mycotoxins in infant cereal and formulae samples.^a

Analyte	Spiking level ^b	Limit of detection (LOD)	Limit of quantification (LOQ)	Calibration range ^c	R²	Apparent recovery $(R_A \pm RSD)^d$				
	r (1					Rice	Maize	Wheat ^{e,f}	Oatmeal ^f	Infant formulae ^g
	[μg/kg sample]	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]	[ng/mL]		[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
Method 1: Multi-mycotoxin method										
Aflatoxicol	15	0.1	0.25	0.01- 50	0.9976	34 ± 5	45 ± 5	66 ± 11	61 ± 9	98 ± 9
Aflatoxin B ₁	3.0	0.15	0.3	0.01 - 10	0.9905	60 ± 6	52 ± 3	71 ± 26	86 ± 17	102 ± 16
Sterigmatocystin	1.5	0.05	0.1	0.015 - 5	0.9946	78 ± 11	70 ± 15	99 ± 5	70 ± 2	108 ± 2
Zearalenone	6.0	0.3	0.6	0.02 - 20	0.998	54 ± 3	55 ± 6	41 ± 11	91 ± 17	80 ± 8
Deoxynivalenol	45	10	20	0.15 - 150	0.9717	25 ± 7	36 ± 2	52 ± 19	21 ± 32	20 ± 1
Nivalenol	80	8.0	16	0.27 - 267	0.9854	49 ± 18	53 ± 12	81 ± 31	53 ± 20	79 ± 17
T-2 toxin	6.0	0.3	0.6	0.02 - 20	0.9927	97 ± 11	77 ± 19	56 ± 29	77 ± 20	78 ± 9
Beauvericin	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02 - 20	0.9686	117 ± 6	153 ± 21	232 ± 39	n.a.	289 ± 16
Enniatin A	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02 - 20	0.9763	158 ± 14	129 ± 10	210 ± 5	208 ± 9	208 ± 4
Enniatin A ₁	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02 - 20	0.9702	122 ± 8	90 ± 11	168 ± 4	n.a.	167 ± 26
Enniatin B	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02 - 20	0.9531	89 ± 10	82 ± 21	n.a.	n.a.	165 ± 15
Enniatin B ₁	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.02 - 20	0.9624	74 ± 20	80 ± 18	n.a.	n.a.	69 ± 17
Fumonisin B ₁	75	3.5	7.0	0.25 - 250	0.9695	120 ± 2	166 ± 26	156 ± 42	134 ± 37	129 ± 9
Method 2: Multi-Alternaria toxin method										
Alternariol monomethyl ether	6.0	0.3	0.6	0.02 - 20	0.9962	90 ± 10	77 ± 5	62 ± 8	59 ± 6	93 ± 6
Tenuazonic acid	360	12	24	1.2 - 1200	0.9962	81 ± 10	72 ± 5	74 ± 3	66 ± 6	65 ± 7
Tentoxin	9.6	0.5	1.0	0.03 - 9.6	0.9705	120 ± 38	98 ± 22	75 ± 3	73 ± 10	119 ± 4
Alterperylenol	30	5.0	10	0.1 - 100	0.9843	114 ± 27	74 ± 10	112 ± 27	62 ± 12	113 ± 6

^aNon-detected mycotoxins (n=29) are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

523 ^bSpiking levels were identical for all matrices, each matrix was spiked in triplicate.

524 ^cConcentration range covered by calibration standards.

525 dCombined evaluation of extraction recovery and matrix effects, calculated as the ratio of measured analyte concentration of the spiked samples and the known spiking level. Average

526 and relative standard deviation of three independent experiments.

^eA wheat-based infant cereal sample (99% wheat) was chosen for the evaluation.

528 ^fRecovery was not calculated for analytes where no blank material was available and the spiked matrix was contaminated ("n.a.").

529 ^gBreast milk substitute products based on milk powder, whey and vegetable oils as main ingredients.

Analyte	Processed cereal-based infant foods (n=35)			Infant and follow-on formulae ^a (n=9)			Raw flour samples ^b (n=15)			
	Limit of									
	quantification	Incidence	Range	Mean ^c	Incidence	Range	Mean ^c	Incidence	Range	Mean ^c
	(LOQ)									
	[µg/kg]	n (%)	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]	n (%)	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]	n (%)	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]
Method 1: Multi-mycotoxin me	ethod									
Aflatoxicol	0.25	2 (6%)	<loq -="" 1.1<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aflatoxin B_1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 (13%)	<loq -="" 0.4<="" td=""><td>-</td></loq>	-
Sterigmatocystin	0.1	8 (23%)	<loq -="" 0.5<="" td=""><td>0.24</td><td>2 (22%)</td><td><loq -="" 0.2<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq></td></loq>	0.24	2 (22%)	<loq -="" 0.2<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq>	-	-	-	-
Zearalenone	0.6	1 (3%)	1.2	-	2 (22%)	<loq -="" 0.7<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>2 (13%)</td><td>2.2 - 2.3</td><td>-</td></loq>	-	2 (13%)	2.2 - 2.3	-
Deoxynivalenol	20	2 (6%)	25 - 62	43	-	-	-	2 (13%)	126 - 131	-
Nivalenol	16	2 (6%)	<loq -="" 20<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>2 (22%)</td><td><loq -="" 19<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq></td></loq>	-	2 (22%)	<loq -="" 19<="" td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq>	-	-	-	-
T-2 toxin	0.6	9 (26%)	0.8 - 3.0	1.5	-	-	-	1 (5%)	0.9	-
Beauvericin	0.4	5 (14%)	<loq -="" 3.1<="" td=""><td>1.9</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>5 (33%)</td><td>0.6 - 2.0</td><td>1.4</td></loq>	1.9	-	-	-	5 (33%)	0.6 - 2.0	1.4
Enniatin A	0.4	1 (3%)	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enniatin A1	0.4	4 (11%)	<loq -="" 2.1<="" td=""><td>0.7</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq>	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enniatin B	0.4	21 (60%)	<loq -="" 40<="" td=""><td>5.9</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>2 (13%)</td><td>3 - 5</td><td>-</td></loq>	5.9	-	-	-	2 (13%)	3 - 5	-
Enniatin B ₁	0.4	9 (26%)	<loq -="" 10<="" td=""><td>3.9</td><td>1 (11%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>1 (5%)</td><td>2</td><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq>	3.9	1 (11%)	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>1 (5%)</td><td>2</td><td>-</td></loq<>	-	1 (5%)	2	-
Fumonisin B1	7.0	7 (20%)	<loq -="" 8.3<="" td=""><td>4.8</td><td>3 (33%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>3.5</td><td>5 (33%)</td><td><loq -="" 39<="" td=""><td>27</td></loq></td></loq<></td></loq>	4.8	3 (33%)	<loq< td=""><td>3.5</td><td>5 (33%)</td><td><loq -="" 39<="" td=""><td>27</td></loq></td></loq<>	3.5	5 (33%)	<loq -="" 39<="" td=""><td>27</td></loq>	27
Method 2: Multi-Alternaria to>	kin method									
Alternariol monomethyl ether	0.6	7 (20%)	<loq -="" 1.1<="" td=""><td>0.6</td><td>1 (22%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>2 (13%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq>	0.6	1 (22%)	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>2 (13%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq<>	-	2 (13%)	<loq< td=""><td>-</td></loq<>	-
Tenuazonic acid	24	11 (31%)	<loq -="" 124<="" td=""><td>48</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>6 (40%)</td><td><loq -="" 54<="" td=""><td>37</td></loq></td></loq>	48	-	-	-	6 (40%)	<loq -="" 54<="" td=""><td>37</td></loq>	37
Tentoxin	1.0	12 (34%)	<loq -="" 1.5<="" td=""><td>0.9</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1 (6%)</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td></loq<></td></loq>	0.9	-	-	-	1 (6%)	<loq< td=""><td>-</td></loq<>	-
Alterperylenol	10	8 (23%)	<loq -="" 20<="" td=""><td>11</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></loq>	11	-	-	-	-	-	-

530 **Table 2:** Mycotoxins detected in cereal-based infant foods, infant formulae and raw flours of rice (n=11) and maize (n=4).

³Infant formulae powder based on skim milk, whey products and vegetable oils.

⁵³² ^bIntended for the production of infant foods.

⁵³³ ^cMean values were calculated for toxins with more than two positive samples. Samples below the LOQ were substituted with the respective LOQ/2 value.

Analyte	LOD	LOQ	Maximum concentration		hUBI ^b	
			Infant food	Adults	Infant corrected ^a	
	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg]	[µg/kg bw/d]	[µg/kg bw/d]	[µg/kg bw/d]
Method 1: Multi-mycotoxin method	l					
Aflatoxicol	0.1	0.25	1.1			0.014
Aflatoxin B ₁	0.15	0.3	0.4			0.005
Aflatoxin M ₁	0.3	0.6	n.d.			0.004
Beauvericin	0.2	0.4	3.1			0.039
Citrinin	0.15	0.3	n.d.	0.2 ^c	0.067	0.002
Deoxynivalenol	10	20	131	1 ^d	0.33	1.6
Enniatin A	0.2	0.4	0.4			0.005
Enniatin A1	0.6	0.4	2			0.025
Enniatin B	0.6	0.4	40			0.50
Enniatin B ₁	0.6	0.4	10			0.13
Fumonisin B ₁	3.5	7	39	2 ^e	0.67	0.49
Nivalenol	8	16	20	1.2 ^f	0.40	0.25
Ochratoxin A	0.4	0.8	n.d.	0.017 ^g	0.006	0.005
Sterigmatocystin	0.05	0.1	0.5			0.006
T-2 toxin	0.3	0.6	3			0.038
Zearalenone	0.3	0.6	2.3	0.25 ^h	0.083	0.029
Method 2: Multi-Alternaria toxin m	ethod					
Alternariol monomethyl ether	0.3	0.6	1.1			0.014
Tenuazonic acid	12	24	124			1.6
Tentoxin	0.5	1	1.5			0.019
Alterperylenol	5	10	20			0.25

535 **Table 3:** Preliminary exposure assessment using an upper bound worst case scenario and comparison to an infant corrected tolerable daily intake (TDI)

^aAccording to the EFSA guidance on the risk assessment of substances present in food intended for infants below 16 weeks of age the adult TDI was age-corrected (infant corrected

TDI = TDI/3)(EFSA, 2017). ^bFor calculation of the hypothetical upper bound intake (hUBI): Assuming contamination at the LOD for mycotoxins not detected, values <LOQ were

estimated at the LOQ level or maximum concentration in infant food was used. This upper bound value was multiplied by the intake rate (IR, 100 g/d; as stated on the package leaflet for infant formulae) and divided by the average infant body weight (bw, 8 kg). ^cAccording to EFSA (2012). ^dAccording to EFSA (2013a). ^eAccording to Knutsen, et al. (2018). ^fAccording

to EFSA (2013b). ^gTDI calculated as 120 ng/kg bw per week (EFSA, 2006) divided by 7. ^hAccording to EFSA (2011).