Disorder and linker deficiency in porphyrinic Zr-MOFs: resolving the Zr_8O_6 cluster conundrum in PCN-221

Charlotte Koschnick^{1,2,3,4,†}, Robert Stäglich^{5,6,†}, Tanja Scholz^{1,†}, Maxwell W. Terban^{1,†}, Alberto von Mankowski^{1,2,3,4}, Gökcen Savasci^{1,2,4}, Florian Binder^{1,2}, Alexander Schökel⁷, Martin Etter⁷, Jürgen Nuss¹, Renée Siegel^{5,6}, Luzia S. Germann^{1,8}, Christian Ochsenfeld^{2,1,4}, Robert E. Dinnebier¹, Jürgen Senker^{5,6,*}, Bettina V. Lotsch^{1,2,3,4,*}

¹Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

²Department of Chemistry, University of Munich, Butenandtstraße 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany

³Nanosystems Initiative Munich, Schellingstraße 4, 80799 Munich, Germany

⁴Center for Nanoscience, Schellingstraße 4, 80799 Munich, Germany

⁵Department for Inorganic Chemistry III, University of Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447 Bayreuth, Germany

⁶North Bavarian NMR Center, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447 Bayreuth, Germany

⁷Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

⁸Current address: Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke St. W., H3A 0B8 Montreal, Canada

[†] These authors contributed equally

^{*} Corresponding authors

Abstract

Porphyrin-based metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), exemplified by the prototypical representatives MOF-525, PCN-221, and PCN-224, are among the most promising MOF systems for catalysis, optoelectronics, and solar energy conversion. However, subtle differences between synthetic protocols for these three MOFs give rise to vast discrepancies in purported product outcomes and description of framework topologies. Here, we reveal the type and disorder of the Zr-clusters based on a comprehensive synthetic and structural analysis spanning local and long-range length scales. Our analysis on PCN-221 reveals $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters in four distinct orientations within the unit cell, rather than Zr_8O_6 clusters as originally published, accompanied by random linker vacancies around 50%. We propose disordered PCN-224 (*d*PCN-224) as a unified model to understand PCN-221, MOF-525, and PCN-224 by varying the degree of orientational cluster disorder, linker conformation and vacancies, and cluster—linker binding. Our work thus introduces a new perspective on network topology and disorder in Zr-MOFs and pinpoints the structural variables that direct their functional properties.

Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have rapidly emerged as promising materials for diverse applications, such as gas storage and separation, catalysis, sensing, and biomedical applications.¹ The judicious choice and combination of organic linker and inorganic metal (cluster) allows for the precise tuning of pore shapes, sizes, and functionality towards specific applications.²⁻⁴ Recently, MOFs based on Zr-oxo-cluster secondary building units (SBUs) linked by aromatic carboxylic acid linkers have garnered significant attention, as the high valence state of Zr^{4+} and the inertness of the Zr–O bond tolerate pronounced Zr^{4+} –O bond polarization and give rise to superior stability.^{1,5,6} Furthermore, a wide variety of isolated Zr-oxo-clusters, including Zr_3 , Zr_4 , Zr_5 , Zr_6 , Zr_8 , Zr_{10} , and Zr_{18} , have been reported, providing the basis for a rich diversity of new Zr-MOFs with different network topologies and properties.^{5,6} The prototypical $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ cluster is encountered most commonly and has been identified to form highly stable SBUs.⁵ Well-studied MOFs built from this cluster include those with terephthalic acid (UiO-66⁷) and pyrene based (NU-1000⁸) linkers, among others.^{7,9-11} Combination of Zr SBUs with the chromophore tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin (TCPP) gives rise to a series of porphyrinic MOFs¹² with interesting (opto)electronic properties, which are exploited in energy conversion schemes^{13,14} or catalysis¹⁵. So far, six MOFs built from $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters with different coordination of the TCPP linker have been reported: PCN-223¹⁶ and MOF-525¹⁷ (both 12-connected), MOF-545/PCN-222^{17,18}, PCN-225¹⁹, and NU-902²⁰ (all 8-connected), and PCN-224²¹ (6-connected).

In 2013, PCN-221, a MOF similar to MOF-525, was reported featuring Zr_8O_6 clusters instead of $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ clusters (Figure 1).²² Curiously, the Zr–Zr distance in PCN-221 is only 2.69 Å, much shorter than in all inorganic Zr compounds compiled from the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) and Zr-cluster-based frameworks from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) (Figure S14). Both MOF-525 and PCN-221 are described with a cubic structure of similar unit cell size and with 12 coordination sites on the SBU. In MOF-525, the reported structure exhibits a completely planar TCPP linker, whereas in PCN-221 the phenyl rings are rotated out-of-plane by 90°. ^{17,22}

Figure 1: Published crystal structures (top) with unit cell (grey) of the cubic porphyrinic MOFs PCN-221, MOF-525, and PCN-224 viewed along the *c-axis*, and their corresponding Zr_8O_6 , straight $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ and tilted $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster (bottom), respectively.^{17,21,22}

A difficulty in studying porphyrin-based MOF structures is the synthesis of phase-pure products, due to similar reaction conditions for all six structures.²³ High-throughput syntheses of MOF-525 have shown the impact of modulator concentration, temperature, and reaction time on the crystallinity and phase purity, demonstrating the complexity in obtaining optimum reaction conditions.^{24,25} Although not explicitly stated in the literature, it is discussed in the MOF community that syntheses of porphyrin-based Zr-MOFs are notoriously difficult to reproduce, leading to the co-existence of different MOF-525 and PCN-221 protocols.^{17,22,24-27} In fact, many studies report the same product for different experimental data^{21,28-42}, suggesting that the synthetic protocols and the resulting structures are still not well understood. This is exacerbated by poor quality and insufficient powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data making structure assignment ambiguous. As a consequence, many publications do not reveal differences in the PXRD patterns between MOF-525, PCN-221, and the ordered defect structure PCN-224.^{21,24-45} However, linker vacancies in MOFs have been found to impact the material's properties due to larger pores (adsorption applications) or open metal sites (e.g. Lewis acid catalyzed reactions), highlighting the importance of being able to reliably distinguish between these MOFs.^{5,46}

$\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster-based MOFs have been found to be remarkably tolerant towards high linker deficiencies, especially in UiO-66 and its analogues.⁴⁷⁻⁵¹ The tolerance is a result of large linker

coordination numbers and the ability to compensate defects with either modulator molecules, hydroxy groups, or hydroxy water-pairs.^{6, 52,53} The amount of linker vacancies can be tuned synthetically, and the inclusion of defects has been shown to proceed in a correlated manner.^{48,54,55} These defect sites can be utilized to introduce functional groups by means of solvent-assisted ligand incorporation to tune the MOF's properties.^{56,57} Only recently, the notion that linker vacancies are prevalent also in the TCPP-based MOF-525 has gained attention, with vacancies reported up to 50%.^{25,46}

Here, we performed a comprehensive synthetic study on PCN-221 and undertook detailed investigations of the resulting structures using total scattering pair distribution function (PDF) analysis, powder and single crystal X-ray diffraction (PXRD/SCXRD), solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ssNMR) spectroscopy, and quantum-chemical calculations. We have determined that PCN-221 forms with orientationally disordered $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters, rather than Zr_8O_6 cubes, correlated with random vacant linker sites. The combined evidence suggests that the structure is a disordered variant of PCN-224, for which we recommend a new name, *d*PCN-224. This study thus highlights the large untapped potential for incorporating correlated orientational disorder of sub-components as a powerful design principle for developing synthetic protocols.

Results and Discussion

Experimental protocols for PCN-221, MOF-525, and PCN-224 are substantially inconsistent throughout the literature. To get reliable access to these systems, we prepared a number of MOF products using different synthetic routes including the following Zr-sources: i) zirconium(IV) chloride (**MOF_ZrCl₄**) as originally reported²², ii) zirconyl chloride octahydrate (**MOF_ZrOCl₂**), and iii) pre-synthesized $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4\text{Bz}_{12}$ clusters (**MOF_Zr₆**). In particular, syntheses based on ZrOCl_2 (ii) showed good reproducibility (details on all syntheses see SI Section 1.3). In addition, **PCN-224** with TCPP linker vacancies appearing in an ordered 3D checkerboard fashion (Figure 1) was synthesized as reference.

The PXRD patterns of the products **MOF_ZrCl₄**, **MOF_ZrOCl₂**, and **MOF_Zr₆** from the three routes are all very similar and do not show features that would suggest significantly different structures (Figure 2). We compared these to calculated PXRD patterns based on the published crystal structures of PCN-221, which is reported with a Zr₈O₆ cluster and twisted phenyl linker conformation, and MOF-525, reported with a Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ cluster and planar linker conformation (for structural details see Figure 1). At low scattering angles the simulated patterns of the published structure of PCN-221 and MOF-525 are strikingly similar, due to the identical space group $Pm\bar{3}m$ and similar lattice parameters (19.51 Å and 19.39 Å, respectively).^{17,22} This makes structure assignment exceedingly difficult in the absence of good quality data extending to higher angles. Nevertheless, all sample patterns show better agreement with the simulated structure of PCN-221, including the **MOF_Zr₆** sample synthesized from pre-formed Zr₆O₄(OH)₄Bz₁₂ clusters. This is surprising, since PCN-221 is published with Zr₈O₆ clusters. A rearrangement of the pre-synthesized Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ cluster is not expected during the synthesis and crystallization of **MOF_Zr₆**, raising the question of whether Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ or Zr₈O₆ clusters are actually present. For comparison, we measured PXRD data of **PCN-224**, with ordered TCP linker vacancies, showing superstructure reflections at 3.2 and 5.5° 2θ (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Comparison of measured PXRD patterns (Cu Kα₁) of **MOF_ZrCl₄**, **MOF_ZrOCl₂**, **MOF_Zr₆**, and **PCN-224** and simulated PXRD patterns (lit.) of PCN-221, MOF-525, and PCN-224.^{17,22} The grey bars highlight the superstructure reflections of PCN-224 at 3.2 and 5.5° 2θ.

Due to the ambiguity in cluster constitution, based on comparison of measured and calculated PXRD data, we proceeded to use total scattering pair distribution function (PDF) analysis to investigate the short-range order of the Zr-oxo cluster. For all samples, the PDF data show peaks at the same atom-pair distances, which corroborates similar local Zr environments within the clusters of different samples (Figure 3a). The experimentally determined Zr–O distances of 2.28 Å and Zr–Zr distances of 3.54 Å are found to match with those expected for a $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster, rather than 2.12 Å and 2.69 Å, respectively, for the Zr_8O_6 cluster (Figure 3b).²² The reported structure for MOF-525 gives slightly contracted distances, Zr–O (2.06 Å) and Zr–Zr (3.32 Å) compared to the experimental data, while the structure of PCN-224, with Zr–O (2.15 Å) and Zr–Zr (3.50 Å), gives the best overall agreement to the local structure. These findings are in agreement with quantum-chemical calculations on the structure of a Zr_8O_6 cluster, for which no energetically favorable geometry could be successfully determined. In contrast, an optimized $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster shows very good agreement with the Zr–Zr distances from the PDF refinement (Figure S19–S21 and S33).

Figure 3: a) Experimental PDFs of **MOF_ZrCl₄**, **MOF_ZrOCl₂**, **MOF_Zr₆**, and **PCN-224**; b) experimental PDF of **MOF_ZrCl₄** compared to the simulated PDFs (lit.) of the published MOF structures (solid line) and SBUs only (dashed line) of PCN-224, MOF-525, and PCN-221.^{17,21,22} The star highlights the Zr–Zr distance of 2.69 Å in PCN-221.

All three synthetic routes **MOF_ZrCl₄**, **MOF_ZrOCl₂**, and **MOF_Zr₆** thus yielded a MOF whose structure agrees well in the long range (PXRD) with the published structure for PCN-221 with a Zr₈O₆ cluster, but locally contradicts the presence of Zr₈O₆ clusters. To elucidate this discrepancy, we studied the structure of the MOF by SCXRD. Several reaction parameters had to be adjusted to grow single crystals of the same MOF with suitable sizes for SCXRD (Figure S22). Indexing revealed a primitive cubic unit cell with $a = 19.315(2)$ Å, and the initial structure solution gave a Zr₈O₆ cluster similar to the structure of PCN-221.²² However, residual electron density arranged in a triangular shape remained around each Zr atom, manifesting itself as extremely flat, disk-shaped thermal ellipsoids of the Zr in the Zr₈O₆ cluster (Figure 4a), as previously reported.²² The corners of the Zr₈ cube point toward all eight triangles of the cuboctahedral cage formed by the carbon atoms of the coordinating linker molecules (Figure 4). In fact, it is possible to describe such a distribution of electron density by superimposing four different Zr₆ cluster orientations as seen in the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell of PCN-224, so that the corners of any Zr₆ octahedron point to six of the eight cuboctahedron's triangles. The four orientations are related by 90° rotations around the crystallographic axes (Figure 4a). Overlapping these four Zr₆ clusters adds up to a truncated cube (corner-to-corner distance = 2.70 Å) with a strong resemblance to the Zr₈O₆ cluster structure published for PCN-221 (Zr–Zr = 2.69 Å). Using this disoriented model, the *R*-value was improved by 12%, compared to the structure model built of Zr₈O₆ cubes. For more details on the SC refinement and crystallographic data please refer to SI (Section 2.5). Our analysis is further consistent with the PDF data that suggest the local environment to be more similar to PCN-224. We found additional evidence for our structural model in the Fourier map of the (111) crystallographic plane through the truncated corners of the cube that show a three-fold symmetry in the electron density map with maxima on the superimposed Zr sites (Figure 4b).

The tilted Zr₆O₈ cluster orientations offer 12 simultaneous carboxylate positions coordinating such that six of them bridge two adjacent Zr atoms (*bridging ligands*) and the other six chelate single Zr atoms (*chelating ligands*). This building unit shows similarities to the hexagonal TCPP based PCN-223¹⁶ featuring both bridging and chelating ligands, rather than only bridging as in MOF-525 and PCN-224.

Figure 4: a) Zr_8 cluster (turquoise) determined from SCXRD data, with a flat disk-shaped ellipsoid of the Zr atom (left) and a truncated cube created by the overlap of four different orientations of $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters (blue, green, red, and orange), each occupied by 25% (right); b) Fourier map of the (111) plane through the truncated corners of the cube showing the electron density map of three Zr atoms (positions indicated in white).

The SCXRD model was solved with a 90° dihedral angle between the porphyrin and the phenyl ring, rather than 0° as reported for MOF-525. The refinement of this angle is prohibited by the symmetry restrictions of the cubic space group $Pm\bar{3}m$. These values are closer to the published structure of PCN-224, in which the phenyl rings of the porphyrin linker are twisted out-of-plane by approximately 78° .²¹ The potential energy surface obtained from quantum-chemical calculations of TCPP in the gas phase shows a steep maximum at 0° and a very shallow minimum in the range of $60\text{--}90^\circ$ (Figure S36, Table S15). This confirms the preference for a twisted dihedral angle of the phenyl rings.

The occupancy of the linker was further investigated by SCXRD, PDF, Rietveld, and chemical analysis (SI Section 2.3) as well as ssNMR spectroscopy. SCXRD analysis revealed that the porphyrin moiety of the linker is not fully occupied, but rather approximately 50%; therefore, only six out of the twelve sites on the Zr_6O_8 cluster are coordinated by TCPP, similar to PCN-224. Compared to the porphyrin

moiety, the phenyl rings refined to a higher occupancy of approximately 75%. This suggests that besides six TCPP linkers, leftover pore content may occupy the TCPP-vacant sites.

PDF analysis provided further insights into the linker vacancies and cluster orientations (Figure 5). The intermediate-range atomic density distribution from 5–40 Å suggests a high degree of similarity to **PCN-224** with respect to the preferred coordination of linkers around the Zr-oxo clusters, and the relative concentration of linker present, confirmed by comparison to published models (Figure 5b). The PDFs of all samples thus also indicate 50–60% porphyrin occupancy. Furthermore, slightly increased broadening of features at long distances suggest a more disordered long-range structure compared to the **PCN-224** sample (Figure S17). This could be attributed to more randomly distributed vacancies, in contrast to ordered vacancies in PCN-224.

For Rietveld refinements (Figure S6), accounting for orientationally averaged clusters, nonplanar porphyrin linkers, and random linker vacancies led to substantially improved agreement with the PXRD data. Additional electron density coordinating cluster-surface sites was required to obtain a good fit. This could be primarily accounted for by remaining water content, though contribution from other remaining coordinative species is possible. Multiphase refinements performed found no preference for any ordered domains of MOF-525-like structure.

The models discussed still could not explain weak, diffuse features consistently observed at the superstructure reflection positions of PCN-224 (Figure 5c and Figure S9), which were also observed by SCXRD (Figure S24). We built a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell and refined separate occupancy factors for each individual linker and cluster orientations for each cluster site, allowing for different local vacancy—orientation environments. This gave a good fit over the entire angular range and reproduced the weak features. All together, the findings suggest that these structures form more as a frustrated network than as a simple crystal, though the average structure can be described by orientationally disordered $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters and randomly distributed linker vacancies. As such, we suggest revising the naming of PCN-221 instead to a disordered variant of PCN-224: *d*PCN-224.

Figure 5: a) MOF structures shown with Zr (teal) and porphyrin linkers with 100% (red), 50% (pink), and 0% (grey) occupancy: (1) MOF-525 with planar TCPP linkers and no vacancies, (2) MOF-525 structure with twisted phenyl rings in the TCPP linker and no linker vacancies, (3) PCN-221 (Zr_8 clusters) with equally distributed linker vacancies, (4) PCN-224 structure with ordered TCPP vacancies, (5) *d*PCN-224-1 built of Zr_6 clusters with four different orientations and equally distributed linker vacancies, and (6) *d*PCN-224-2 with relative cluster orientations and linker occupation refined separately for each individual linker and cluster site, allowing for different possible local environments. b) PDF fits compared to experimental *d*PCN-224 (PDF of **MOF_ZrOCl₂** measured using synchrotron radiation), showing poor agreement between the local environments in structures 1–3 and good agreement with the local structure of PCN-224 (structure 4). c) Improvement of the Rietveld refinements of experimental *d*PCN-224 (also sample **MOF_ZrOCl₂**) for models shown. The extra intensities generated by the 2x2x2 supercell model of *d*PCN-224 are highlighted (*).

Figure 6: ssNMR spectroscopic analysis of *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224. a) ^1H high-resolution MAS NMR spectra recorded at a field of 23.48 T ($\nu_0(^1\text{H}) = 1$ GHz). Characteristic resonances for the aromatic and NH protons of the TCPP linker, the $\mu_3\text{-OH}$ groups of the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster, hydroxy groups, and water molecules are highlighted by color-coded regions. b) ^{13}C -CPMAS spectra with assignment of the aromatic carbons of the TCPP linkers and benzoic acid, and chemically inequivalent carboxylic acid groups, characteristic of chelating and bridging binding motifs for the carboxylic acid groups to the cluster. c) Main coordination motifs for the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters, from left to right: hydroxy water pairs and bridging and chelating carboxylate groups, which were found in decreasing proportions along this sequence. d) ^{91}Zr qCPMG VOS⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ consisting of at least four different quadrupolar lineshapes, typical for quadrupolar coupling constants between 20 and 25 MHz. Together with the non-axial symmetry of the quadrupolar coupling tensors, an environment with a low symmetry and complex coordination of the Zr atoms is revealed within the cluster.

To obtain insights into the local coordination environment of the Zr-oxo cluster in *d*PCN-224, a comprehensive set of solid-state NMR measurements was carried out. High-resolution ^1H , ^{13}C , and ^{15}N MAS NMR spectra of *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224 (Figure 6a, 6b, S25–S27) are very similar, further supporting the proposed structural relation between both compounds on local length scales. The NMR spectra show well-resolved resonances for all characteristic chemical groups of the TCPP linkers, the modulator molecules (acetate (Ac) and benzoate (Bz)), and the Zr clusters. In particular, the presence of sharp and well-defined resonances for the $\mu_3\text{-OH}$ groups at around 3.3 ppm in the ^1H MAS NMR spectra (Figure 6a and S25) underlines the presence of $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ units. The ratio between the

intensities of the μ_3 -OH (≈ 3.3 ppm) and the NH (≈ -3.2 ppm) resonances allowed us to derive a TCPP linker occupancy of 53(4)% for *d*PCN-224 and 40(4)% for PCN-224 of the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ cluster.

The aromatic regions (7–9 ppm) of the ^1H NMR spectra show significantly more intensity than expected for TCPP linkers alone. Combined with the small amount of modulator molecules, as determined from the ^1H and ^{13}C CP MAS spectra (Figures 6a, S25 and S26), we conclude that the remaining six (*d*PCN-224) to seven (PCN-224) coordinatively unsaturated sites at the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters are mainly saturated by hydroxy water pairs (for details see SI, sect. 2.6). A similar situation was recently reported for the same cluster type in NU-1000.^{52,62} The strong downfield shift for the protons within such pairs translates into comparably short O–O distances between 2.6 and 2.7 Å (Figure 6c), characteristic for strong hydrogen bonds.^{53,62,63}

The carboxylic region of the ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra for *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224 contains several signals between 167.3 and 177.2 ppm centered around a main peak at ≈ 171.9 ppm (Figure 6b). Such a broad spread reflects different binding modes between the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters and the carboxylate units of the TCPP linkers and residual modulator molecules, in combination with a configurational variance from cluster to cluster. Based on DFT calculations (Figure S30 and ref.⁶⁴) we assigned the central resonance and its high-field region (165–173 ppm) to carboxylates in a bridging motif and the low-field region (173–176 ppm) to a chelating motif. As such, the primary coordination motif consists in bridging TCPP linkers for both *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224, in accordance with the published structure model of PCN-224²¹ and reported calculations showing that bridging carboxylate groups are favorable over chelating ones.⁶⁴ A small part of carboxylate groups is found in a chelating motif reflecting the local disorder of the clusters in both *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224.

The distribution of different binding modes is also reflected in the lineshapes of the ^{91}Zr wideline NMR spectra (Figure 6d). They consist of at least four different quadrupolar shapes with non-axial symmetric coupling tensors and coupling constants (C_Q) between 10 and 25 MHz. This proves a markedly more diverse coordination for the individual Zr atoms compared to a free $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4\text{Bz}_{12}$ cluster with 12-fold

benzoate coordination⁶⁵ (Figure S31). Compared to the free cluster, the broader variance for *d*PCN-224 and PCN-224 reflects the disorder induced by attached hydroxy water pairs, residual modulator molecules, and the strain on the Zr clusters imposed by the framework.

Finally, we revisit the implications of randomly distributed linker vacancies at approximately 50% occupation as consistently derived from SCXRD, Rietveld, PDF, and ssNMR. The favorable coordination of bridging ligands⁶⁴, as implied by ssNMR, suggests that TCPP vacancies should preferentially occur where chelating coordination would otherwise be required, similar to the reported PCN-224 structure. Recently, highly defective MOF-525 structures with up to 50% linker vacancies were reported, further supporting the observation of high vacancy concentrations in our proposed structure of *d*PCN-224.^{25,46} Both *d*PCN-224 and MOF-525 are built from Zr₆ clusters, however, MOF-525 only has one cluster orientation, where the primary axes of the octahedron are aligned with the unit cell axes. This straight orientation imposes a more energetically demanding conformation of the TCPP molecules with either fully planar TCPP molecules, or with the carboxylate groups oriented unfavorably perpendicular to the twisted phenyl groups (Figure S35 and S36). Unlike the MOF-525 models, the six bridging TCPP linkers in *d*PCN-224 both have twisted phenyl rings and coplanar carboxylate groups, which represents an energetically more favorable situation. We thus conjecture that the formation of MOF-525 with only straight cluster orientations may be hindered by a combination of kinetic limitations and configurational entropy considerations, due to the likelihood that TCPP initially attaches to one of the six discussed bridging sites between differently tilted clusters, which is incompatible with the 12-fold bridging-only coordination of MOF-525 (Figure S37). This may well explain why we consistently obtained *d*PCN-224 as the dominant structure. While we cannot exclude the possibility of site-isolated MOF-525-like cluster orientations in *d*PCN-224, possibly in regions of higher coordination, we found no evidence for the formation of ordered domains of the reported MOF-525 structure.

Conclusions

We have revisited the prototypical porphyrinic MOF PCN-221 and resolved the long-standing question regarding the formation of a Zr_8O_6 secondary building unit within this compound. Based on a combination of local and long-range sensitive structural techniques, we have revealed that the superposition of four statically disordered $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters appears on average as a truncated cube, which can easily be misinterpreted as a Zr_8O_6 cluster. The orientations of the clusters, random linker vacancies up to 50%, and the conformation of the TCPP linker are all reminiscent of PCN-224; however, PCN-224 is distinct in that it forms an ordered 3D checkerboard arrangement of linker vacancies and ordered relative cluster orientations. We therefore recommend referring to PCN-221 as a disordered variant of PCN-224: *d*PCN-224. The remaining coordination sites at the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters are completed by hydroxy-water pairs and modulator molecules. The origin of the linker vacancies was traced back to two different coordination environments in *d*PCN-224 – bridging and chelating. While the former is energetically more favorable according to quantum chemical calculations, the relatively flat energy landscape for the different coordination modes and linker conformations, and the high configurational entropy associated with the 12 coordination sites of the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ clusters rationalizes the defect-prone nature of these MOFs. This work thus sheds light on the interplay between network topology, defects, and disorder in Zr-MOFs, and opens up a unique design space by invoking additional degrees of freedom such as correlated cluster orientation, cluster disorder, and cluster—linker binding modes. Once controlled, these design criteria could unleash a huge untapped potential for directing the functional properties of MOFs.

References

- 1 Yuan, S. *et al.* Stable Metal–Organic Frameworks: Design, Synthesis, and Applications. *Advanced Materials* **30**, 1704303, doi:10.1002/adma.201704303 (2018).
- 2 Lu, W. *et al.* Tuning the structure and function of metal–organic frameworks via linker design. *Chemical Society Reviews* **43**, 5561–5593, doi:10.1039/C4CS00003J (2014).

- 3 Wang, Z. & Cohen, S. M. Postsynthetic modification of metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Society Reviews* **38**, 1315–1329, doi:10.1039/B802258P (2009).
- 4 Yin, Z., Wan, S., Yang, J., Kurmoo, M. & Zeng, M.-H. Recent advances in post-synthetic modification of metal–organic frameworks: New types and tandem reactions. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **378**, 500–512, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.11.015> (2019).
- 5 Bai, Y. *et al.* Zr-based metal–organic frameworks: design, synthesis, structure, and applications. *Chemical Society Reviews* **45**, 2327–2367, doi:10.1039/C5CS00837A (2016).
- 6 Yuan, S., Qin, J.-S., Lollar, C. T. & Zhou, H.-C. Stable Metal–Organic Frameworks with Group 4 Metals: Current Status and Trends. *ACS Central Science* **4**, 440–450, doi:10.1021/acscentsci.8b00073 (2018).
- 7 Cavka, J. H. *et al.* A New Zirconium Inorganic Building Brick Forming Metal Organic Frameworks with Exceptional Stability. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **130**, 13850–13851, doi:10.1021/ja8057953 (2008).
- 8 Mondloch, J. E. *et al.* Vapor-Phase Metalation by Atomic Layer Deposition in a Metal–Organic Framework. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 10294–10297, doi:10.1021/ja4050828 (2013).
- 9 Kung, C.-W. *et al.* Metal–Organic Framework Thin Films Composed of Free-Standing Acicular Nanorods Exhibiting Reversible Electrochromism. *Chemistry of Materials* **25**, 5012–5017, doi:10.1021/cm403726v (2013).
- 10 Furukawa, H. *et al.* Water Adsorption in Porous Metal–Organic Frameworks and Related Materials. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **136**, 4369–4381, doi:10.1021/ja500330a (2014).
- 11 Guillemin, V. *et al.* A Series of Isorecticular, Highly Stable, Porous Zirconium Oxide Based Metal–Organic Frameworks. **51**, 9267–9271, doi:10.1002/anie.201204806 (2012).
- 12 Gao, W.-Y., Chrzanowski, M. & Ma, S. Metal–metalloporphyrin frameworks: a resurging class of functional materials. *Chemical Society Reviews* **43**, 5841–5866, doi:10.1039/C4CS00001C (2014).
- 13 Lee, C. Y. *et al.* Light-Harvesting Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs): Efficient Strut-to-Strut Energy Transfer in Bodipy and Porphyrin-Based MOFs. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **133**, 15858–15861, doi:10.1021/ja206029a (2011).
- 14 Shaikh, Shaunak M. *et al.* Light harvesting and energy transfer in a porphyrin-based metal organic framework. *Faraday Discussions* **216**, 174–190, doi:10.1039/C8FD00194D (2019).
- 15 Pereira, F. C., Simões, M. Q. M., Tomé, P. C. J. & Almeida Paz, A. F. Porphyrin-Based Metal–Organic Frameworks as Heterogeneous Catalysts in Oxidation Reactions. *Molecules* **21**, doi:10.3390/molecules21101348 (2016).
- 16 Feng, D. *et al.* A Highly Stable Porphyrinic Zirconium Metal–Organic Framework with shp-a Topology. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **136**, 17714–17717, doi:10.1021/ja510525s (2014).
- 17 Morris, W. *et al.* Synthesis, Structure, and Metalation of Two New Highly Porous Zirconium Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Inorganic Chemistry* **51**, 6443–6445, doi:10.1021/ic300825s (2012).
- 18 Feng, D. *et al.* Zirconium-Metalloporphyrin PCN-222: Mesoporous Metal–Organic Frameworks with Ultrahigh Stability as Biomimetic Catalysts. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **51**, 10307–10310, doi:10.1002/anie.201204475 (2012).
- 19 Jiang, H.-L. *et al.* An Exceptionally Stable, Porphyrinic Zr Metal–Organic Framework Exhibiting pH-Dependent Fluorescence. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 13934–13938, doi:10.1021/ja406844r (2013).
- 20 Deria, P. *et al.* Framework-Topology-Dependent Catalytic Activity of Zirconium-Based (Porphinato)zinc(II) MOFs. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **138**, 14449–14457, doi:10.1021/jacs.6b09113 (2016).

- 21 Feng, D. *et al.* Construction of Ultrastable Porphyrin Zr Metal–Organic Frameworks through Linker Elimination. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 17105–17110, doi:10.1021/ja408084j (2013).
- 22 Feng, D. *et al.* Metal–Organic Frameworks Based on Previously Unknown Zr₈/Hf₈ Cubic Clusters. *Inorganic Chemistry* **52**, 12661–12667, doi:10.1021/ic4018536 (2013).
- 23 Gong, X., Noh, H., Gianneschi, N. C. & Farha, O. K. Interrogating Kinetic versus Thermodynamic Topologies of Metal–Organic Frameworks via Combined Transmission Electron Microscopy and X-ray Diffraction Analysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **141**, 6146–6151, doi:10.1021/jacs.9b01789 (2019).
- 24 Kelty, M. L. *et al.* High-throughput synthesis and characterization of nanocrystalline porphyrinic zirconium metal–organic frameworks. *Chemical Communications* **52**, 7854–7857, doi:10.1039/C6CC03264H (2016).
- 25 Shaikh, S. M. *et al.* Synthesis and Defect Characterization of Phase-Pure Zr-MOFs Based on Meso-tetracarboxyphenylporphyrin. *Inorganic Chemistry* **58**, 5145–5153, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b00200 (2019).
- 26 Kung, C.-W. *et al.* Post metalation of solvothermally grown electroactive porphyrin metal–organic framework thin films. *Chemical Communications* **51**, 2414–2417, doi:10.1039/C4CC09272D (2015).
- 27 Hong, S. W. *et al.* Substrate templated synthesis of single-phase and uniform Zr-porphyrin-based metal–organic frameworks. *Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers* **7**, 221–231, doi:10.1039/C9QI01045A (2020).
- 28 Lin, D. *et al.* One-pot synthesis of mercapto functionalized Zr-MOFs for the enhanced removal of Hg²⁺ ions from water. *Chemical Communications* **55**, 6775–6778, doi:10.1039/C9CC03481A (2019).
- 29 Kim, K. *et al.* MOF × Biopolymer: Collaborative Combination of Metal–Organic Framework and Biopolymer for Advanced Anticancer Therapy. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **11**, 27512–27520, doi:10.1021/acsami.9b05736 (2019).
- 30 Sharma, N., Dhankhar, S. S. & Nagaraja, C. M. Environment-friendly, co-catalyst- and solvent-free fixation of CO₂ using an ionic zinc(ii)–porphyrin complex immobilized in porous metal–organic frameworks. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels* **3**, 2977–2982, doi:10.1039/C9SE00282K (2019).
- 31 Wang, H. *et al.* Renal-Clearable Porphyrinic Metal–Organic Framework Nanodots for Enhanced Photodynamic Therapy. *ACS Nano* **13**, 9206–9217, doi:10.1021/acs.nano.9b03531 (2019).
- 32 Han, D. *et al.* Enhanced photocatalytic activity and photothermal effects of Cu-doped metal–organic frameworks for rapid treatment of bacteria-infected wounds. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **261**, 118248, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118248> (2020).
- 33 Zhou, Q. *et al.* Simultaneous Unlocking Optoelectronic and Interfacial Properties of C60 for Ultrasensitive Immunosensing by Coupling to Metal–Organic Framework. *Analytical Chemistry* **92**, 983–990, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.9b03915 (2020).
- 34 Zheng, F. *et al.* Organ-on-a-Chip Systems: Microengineering to Biomimic Living Systems. **12**, 2253–2282, doi:10.1002/sml.201503208 (2016).
- 35 Ma, Y. *et al.* Linker-Eliminated Nano Metal–Organic Framework Fluorescent Probe for Highly Selective and Sensitive Phosphate Ratiometric Detection in Water and Body Fluids. *Analytical Chemistry* **92**, 3722–3727, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.9b04958 (2020).
- 36 Wang, J. *et al.* Porphyrinic Metal–Organic Framework PCN-224 Nanoparticles for Near-Infrared-Induced Attenuation of Aggregation and Neurotoxicity of Alzheimer’s Amyloid-β Peptide. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **10**, 36615–36621, doi:10.1021/acsami.8b15452 (2018).
- 37 Park, J., Jiang, Q., Feng, D., Mao, L. & Zhou, H.-C. Size-Controlled Synthesis of Porphyrinic Metal–Organic Framework and Functionalization for Targeted Photodynamic Therapy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **138**, 3518–3525, doi:10.1021/jacs.6b00007 (2016).

- 38 Epp, K., Semrau, A. L., Cokoja, M. & Fischer Roland, A. Dual Site Lewis-Acid Metal-Organic Framework Catalysts for CO₂ Fixation: Counteracting Effects of Node Connectivity, Defects and Linker Metalation. *ChemCatChem* **0**, doi:10.1002/cctc.201800336 (2018).
- 39 Liu, W.-L. *et al.* Expandable Immunotherapeutic Nanoplatfoms Engineered from Cytomembranes of Hybrid Cells Derived from Cancer and Dendritic Cells. **31**, 1900499, doi:10.1002/adma.201900499 (2019).
- 40 Lin, W., Gong, J., Fang, L. & Jiang, K. A Photodynamic System based on Endogenous Bioluminescence for in vitro Anticancer Studies. **645**, 1161-1164, doi:10.1002/zaac.201900144 (2019).
- 41 Zhang, L. *et al.* Cooperative Sieving and Functionalization of Zr Metal–Organic Frameworks through Insertion and Post-Modification of Auxiliary Linkers. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **11**, 22390-22397, doi:10.1021/acsami.9b05091 (2019).
- 42 Zhang, Y., Wang, Q., Chen, G. & Shi, P. DNA-Functionalized Metal–Organic Framework: Cell Imaging, Targeting Drug Delivery and Photodynamic Therapy. *Inorganic Chemistry* **58**, 6593-6596, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b00734 (2019).
- 43 Wu, L.-Y. *et al.* Encapsulating Perovskite Quantum Dots in Iron-Based Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs) for Efficient Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction. **58**, 9491-9495, doi:10.1002/anie.201904537 (2019).
- 44 Lin, W. *et al.* A porphyrin-based metal–organic framework as a pH-responsive drug carrier. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **237**, 307-312, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2016.02.040> (2016).
- 45 Hod, I. *et al.* Fe-Porphyrin-Based Metal–Organic Framework Films as High-Surface Concentration, Heterogeneous Catalysts for Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂. *ACS Catalysis* **5**, 6302-6309, doi:10.1021/acscatal.5b01767 (2015).
- 46 Gong, X. *et al.* Metal–Organic Frameworks for the Exploitation of Distance between Active Sites in Efficient Photocatalysis. **59**, 5326-5331, doi:10.1002/anie.201915537 (2020).
- 47 Valenzano, L. *et al.* Disclosing the Complex Structure of UiO-66 Metal Organic Framework: A Synergic Combination of Experiment and Theory. *Chemistry of Materials* **23**, 1700-1718, doi:10.1021/cm1022882 (2011).
- 48 Wu, H. *et al.* Unusual and Highly Tunable Missing-Linker Defects in Zirconium Metal–Organic Framework UiO-66 and Their Important Effects on Gas Adsorption. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 10525-10532, doi:10.1021/ja404514r (2013).
- 49 Øien, S. *et al.* Detailed Structure Analysis of Atomic Positions and Defects in Zirconium Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Crystal Growth & Design* **14**, 5370-5372, doi:10.1021/cg501386j (2014).
- 50 Trickett, C. A. *et al.* Definitive Molecular Level Characterization of Defects in UiO-66 Crystals. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **54**, 11162-11167, doi:10.1002/anie.201505461 (2015).
- 51 Rogge, S. M. J. *et al.* Thermodynamic Insight in the High-Pressure Behavior of UiO-66: Effect of Linker Defects and Linker Expansion. *Chemistry of Materials* **28**, 5721-5732, doi:10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b01956 (2016).
- 52 Planas, N. *et al.* Defining the Proton Topology of the Zr₆-Based Metal–Organic Framework NU-1000. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **5**, 3716-3723, doi:10.1021/jz501899j (2014).
- 53 Steiner, T. The Hydrogen Bond in the Solid State. **41**, 48-76, doi:10.1002/1521-3773(20020104)41:1<48::Aid-anie48>3.0.Co;2-u (2002).
- 54 Cliffe, M. J. *et al.* Correlated defect nanoregions in a metal–organic framework. *Nature Communications* **5**, 4176, doi:10.1038/ncomms5176 (2014).
- 55 Shearer, G. C. *et al.* Tuned to Perfection: Ironing Out the Defects in Metal–Organic Framework UiO-66. *Chemistry of Materials* **26**, 4068-4071, doi:10.1021/cm501859p (2014).

- 56 Deria, P. *et al.* MOF Functionalization via Solvent-Assisted Ligand Incorporation: Phosphonates vs Carboxylates. *Inorganic Chemistry* **54**, 2185-2192, doi:10.1021/ic502639v (2015).
- 57 Deria, P. *et al.* Perfluoroalkane Functionalization of NU-1000 via Solvent-Assisted Ligand Incorporation: Synthesis and CO₂ Adsorption Studies. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **135**, 16801-16804, doi:10.1021/ja408959g (2013).
- 58 Massiot, D. *et al.* ⁷¹Ga and ⁶⁹Ga nuclear magnetic resonance study of β-Ga₂O₃: resolution of four- and six-fold coordinated Ga sites in static conditions. *Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance* **4**, 241-248, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-2040\(95\)00002-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-2040(95)00002-8) (1995).
- 59 Meiboom, S. & Gill, D. Modified Spin-Echo Method for Measuring Nuclear Relaxation Times. **29**, 688-691, doi:10.1063/1.1716296 (1958).
- 60 Carr, H. Y. & Purcell, E. M. Effects of Diffusion on Free Precession in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Experiments. *Physical Review* **94**, 630-638, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.94.630 (1954).
- 61 Larsen, F. H., Jakobsen, H. J., Ellis, P. D. & Nielsen, N. C. Sensitivity-Enhanced Quadrupolar-Echo NMR of Half-Integer Quadrupolar Nuclei. Magnitudes and Relative Orientation of Chemical Shielding and Quadrupolar Coupling Tensors. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **101**, 8597-8606, doi:10.1021/jp971547b (1997).
- 62 Grüninger, H. *et al.* Hidden Oceans? Unraveling the Structure of Hydrous Defects in the Earth's Deep Interior. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **139**, 10499-10505, doi:10.1021/jacs.7b05432 (2017).
- 63 Eckert, H., Yesinowski, J. P., Silver, L. A. & Stolper, E. M. Water in silicate glasses: quantitation and structural studies by proton solid echo and magic angle spinning NMR methods. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **92**, 2055-2064, doi:10.1021/j100318a070 (1988).
- 64 Walther, P., Puchberger, M., Kogler, F. R., Schwarz, K. & Schubert, U. Ligand dynamics on the surface of zirconium oxo clusters. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **11**, 3640-3647, doi:10.1039/B820731C (2009).
- 65 Kickelbick, G. & Schubert, U. Oxozirconium Methacrylate Clusters: Zr₆(OH)₄O₄(OMc)₁₂ and Zr₄O₂(OMc)₁₂ (OMc = Methacrylate). *Chemische Berichte* **130**, 473-478, doi:10.1002/cber.19971300406 (1997).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of V. Duppel (MPI for Solid State Research) for the SEM images and Dr. T. Stuerzer (Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) for carrying out SCXRD measurements. The authors further thank L. Beisswenger and J. Wulfes for experiments and S. Bette for helpful discussion. Financial support was granted by the Max Planck Society, the University of Munich (LMU), the Center for NanoScience (CeNS), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the priority program "Coornets" SPP 1928 (proj. nos. SE 1417/8-1 and LO 1801/4-1), the Cluster of Excellence "Nanosystems Initiative Munich" (NIM), and "e-conversion". M.W.T. gratefully acknowledges support from BASF. C.O. acknowledges further support as Max-Planck-Fellow at the MPI-FKF Stuttgart. We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz

Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at beamline P02.1.

Author contributions

C.K., R.St., A.M., J.S., and B.V.L. conceived the project. C.K., A.M., and F.B. designed and carried out sample synthesis and analysis. R.St., R.Si., and J.S. measured and analyzed solid-state NMR. T.S. performed SCXRD analysis. M.W.T. performed PXRD and PDF measurements and analysis. T.S. and M.W.T. performed structural modeling and refinements. G.S. performed quantum chemical calculations. A. S. and M.E. measured synchrotron X-ray data. J.N. helped with SCXRD measurement. L.G., C.O., and R.D. contributed useful discussion on measurements and modeling. C.K., R.St., T.S., M.W.T., G.S., A.M., J.S., and B.V.L contributed to overall interpretation, and C.K., R.St., T.S., M.W.T, J.S., and B.V.L. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional Information

Supplementary information is available for this paper.