Water-involved methane selective catalytic oxidation by dioxygen over copper-zeolites

Lanlan Sun,¹ Yu Wang,¹ Chuanming Wang,^{2*} Zaiku Xie,² Naijia Guan,^{1,3} Landong Li^{1,3*}

¹ School of Materials Science and Engineering $\&$ National Institute for Advanced Materials, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, P.R. China

² State Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Engineering and Industrial Catalysis, SINOPEC Shanghai Research Institute of Petrochemical Technology, Shanghai 201208, P.R. China

³ Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry of Ministry of Education, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

Abstract: The selective oxidation of methane to methanol is a dream reaction of direct methane functionalization, which remains a key challenge in catalysis and a hot issue of controversy. Herein, we report the water-involved methane selective catalytic oxidation by dioxygen over copper-zeolites. At 573 K, a state-of-the-art methanol space-time yield of 543 mmol/mol $_{Cu}$ /h with methanol selectivity of 91 % is achieved with Cu-CHA catalyst. Temperature-programmed surface reactions with isotope labelling determine water as the dominating oxygen and hydrogen source of hydroxyl in methanol while dioxygen participates in the reaction through reducing to water. Spectroscopic analyses reveal the fast redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ during methane selective oxidation, which is closely related to the high catalytic activity of Cu-CHA. Density functional theory calculations suggest that both CuOH monomer and dimer in Cu-CHA can catalyze the selective oxidation of methane to methanol with Cu-OOH as the key reaction intermediate, and meanwhile, various copper sites undergo interconversion under reaction conditions.

Methane, the main component of natural gas, is an abundant fossil resource widely distributed throughout the earth (1). In the energy-intensive industrial processes, methane is first converted to the syngas via reforming or partial oxidation (2), and then transformed to fuel and chemicals. By contrast, the direct functionalization of methane appears to be more intriguing; however, it is greatly challenged by the large C-H bond dissociation energy of 435 kJ/mol. Several strategies, for example the oxidative or nonoxidative coupling (3-5) and the dehydroaromatization (6, 7), have been developed for the direct transformation of methane to chemicals (8). The selective oxidation of methane to methanol triggers persistent interests because this type of oxidative C−H bond activation is thermodynamically favorable under relatively mild conditions. The dream reaction of methane-to-methanol (MTM) has been accomplished with molecular Periana catalyst (9) and its solid analogue (10) in strong acidic media via multistep oxyfunctionalization, which unfortunately suffers from both economic and environment concerns.

Inspired by nature methane monooxygenase (MMO) that can catalyze the oxidation of methane by dioxygen under ambient conditions (11), the first-row transition metal cations stabilized by zeolite matrix, for example iron-zeolites (12, 13) and copper-zeolites (14-24), and copper in metal-organic frameworks (25, 26) have been developed as candidate materials for MTM transformation. A stepwise strategy consisting of i) sample activation in dioxygen at high temperature, ii) methane introduction at low temperature and iii) product extraction with water steam, is commonly employed, which tactfully blocks the co-existence of methane and dioxygen and accordingly hinders the over-oxidation of methanol (16-21). However, this is a chemical looping process and the maximum productivity of methanol is self-limited in one complete cycle (typically a dozen hours). To establish a promising MTM transformation, a continuous catalytic process is desired (27) and several key requirements should be satisfied, namely i) the use of abundant and inexpensive oxidants like dioxygen, ii) a high selectivity to methanol, iii) a sustainable methane conversion rate, and iv) good catalyst stability during operation.

We report here the continuous production of methanol from methane selective

catalytic oxidation in the presence of water and dioxygen. With the elaborate optimizing of catalytic materials and reaction conditions, high methanol selectivity and space-time yield can be simultaneously achieved, which makes an important step forward for the oxidative functionalization of methane. A clear picture of MTM mechanism is depicted via the combination of spectroscopic analyses and theoretical calculations, which clarifies the current misunderstandings and will stimulate the further development of this dream reaction.

Catalytic performance of copper-zeolites in methane oxidation

Copper-zeolites were prepared via wet ion-exchange (see supplementary materials for details, and Fig. S1-6 and Table S1 for preliminary characterization results) and applied in methane catalytic oxidation in the presence of water and dioxygen under different conditions. The reaction temperature was raised from 473 to 523~723 K to promote methane conversion, and meanwhile, the concentration of dioxygen was regulated (from impurities in methane and water, and extra dioxygen) to minimize byproduct carbon dioxide production. Cu-CHA, in significant contrast to other copper-zeolites such as Cu-MOR and Cu-MFI, exhibited remarkable catalytic activity in MTM (see catalyst and reaction condition optimization in Fig. S7-21). The catalytic performance of Cu-CHA was controlled by multiple factors, including but not limited to the reaction temperature, the dioxygen concentration and the space velocity.

Figure 1. **Steady-state methane selective catalytic oxidation to methanol. (a)**: Methane conversion on Cu-CHA (square), Cu-MOR (circle) and Cu-MFI (diamond) catalysts under different conditions. Typical reaction conditions: 0.1 g catalyst, total flowrate= 60 mL/min; 98% CH4, 0 or 2% H2O, 50~3000 ppm O2; **(b)**: Time-on-stream behaviors of Cu-CHA in methane catalytic oxidation to methanol (red column) and carbon dioxide (yellow column). Reaction conditions: 0.1 g catalyst, total flowrate= 60 mL/min; 98% CH₄, 2% H₂O, 400 ppm O₂, temperature= 573 K.

Experimentally, a methanol space time yield (STY) of 542 mmol/mol $_{Cu}/h$ (195 μ mol/g_{cat}/h) with methanol selectivity of 91 % was achieved at 573 K in the presence 2% water and 400 ppm dioxygen (Fig. 1a). This STY value is over an order of magnitude higher than previous reports on copper-zeolites in similar catalytic processes (Table S2). High reaction temperature of 573 K is crucial to trigger the fast Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ redox cycle (vide infra) to promote methane conversion, and meanwhile

the extremely low dioxygen/methane ratio $\left(\frac{2000}{12000} \right)$ is the key to maintain the high selectivity toward desired product methanol. The time-on-stream behaviors of Cu-CHA in MTM were further investigated, and both the methanol selectivity and STY were amazingly stable in 500 hours' operation (Fig. 1b). These data clearly demonstrate the potential of a one-step catalytic process for the continuous production of methanol from methane selective oxidation. Since 2 % water was employed as the reagent, methanol aqueous solution with mass fraction of 1.2 % could be obtained from MTM (Fig. S22) and it is valuable for further utilization.

Isotope labelling of reaction pathway

For insight into the reaction pathway of MTM, temperature-programmed surface reactions (TPSR) with isotope labelling were performed. In the TPSR mode, methane oxidation started at ~523 K, generating methanol as the desired product and carbon dioxide as the byproduct on Cu-CHA in the presence of water and dioxygen (Fig. S23; carbon dioxide was detected as the dominating product on Cu-MOR and Cu-MFI, Fig. S24 & S25). Dihydrogen was also detected in the outlet, but its amount was lower than methanol and slightly lagged behind methanol. In the absence of water, carbon dioxygen was detected as the dominating product and trace methanol could be detected at above 673 K when water was produced from methane total oxidation (Fig. S26), indicating the key role of water in MTM. With $^{13}CH_4$ as the isotopically labelled reagent, the carbon source of methanol and carbon dioxide was definitely confirmed to be methane (Fig. S27).

Figure 2. **Temperature-programmed surface reactions of methane oxidation on Cu-CHA with isotope labelling.** (a): $CH_4-D_2O-O_2$ system; (b): $CD_4-H_2O-O_2$ system; (c): CH₄-H₂¹⁸O-O₂ system; **(d)**: CH₄-H₂O-¹⁸O₂ system. Reaction conditions: 0.1 g catalyst, total flowrate= 60 mL/min; 98% methane, 2% water, 400 ± 50 ppm dioxygen.

Deuterium labelling experiments (D_2O) as reagent in Fig. 2a and CD_4 as reagent in Fig. 2b) indicated that the hydrogen in the hydroxyl of methanol dominantly came from water while the dihydrogen was apparently produced via the combination of hydrogen abstracted from methane and dissociated from water. Subsequently, ^{18}O labelling experiments were performed to reveal the active oxidant in MTM. With $H_2^{18}O$ as the isotopically labelled reagent (Fig. 2c), $CH₃¹⁸OH$ was observed as the dominating product together with significant amount of CH3OH due to the presence of non-labelled water in the reaction system. It seems that water acted as the dominating oxidant in methane conversion. The absence of non-labelled $CO₂$ ruled out the direct oxidation of methane by dioxygen, and the $C^{18}O_2$ and $CO^{18}O$ should come from the CH₃¹⁸OH

reforming (major route, Equation 1) and oxidation (minor route, Fig. S28, Equation 2), respectively. Meanwhile, the consumption of non-labelled dioxygen was observed at >573 K, confirming the participation of dioxygen in MTM. With $^{18}O_2$ as the isotopically labelled reagent (Fig. 2d), the oxygen in methanol product was further confirmed to be from water and the carbon dioxide byproduct mainly from methanol reforming. The consumption of ${}^{18}O_2$ and the formation of $H_2{}^{18}O$ were observed at >573 K, demonstrating the pathway of dioxygen participation in the reaction.

$$
CH3OH + H2O \rightarrow CO2 + 3H2
$$
 (1)

0 100 200 300 400 500 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 *n***H2 /** *n***CH3OH O² concentration (ppm) 0 100 200 300 400 500 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 (***n***H2** *- n***CO2*3)/** *n***CH3OH O² concentration (ppm) a b**

$$
2CH_3OH + 3O_2 \to 2CO_2 + 4H_2O \tag{2}
$$

Figure 3. **Dihydrogen production during methane selective oxidation catalyzed by Cu-CHA zeolite. (a)**: Effect of dioxygen concentration in the reaction system on the ratio of dihydrogen to methanol in the product; **(b)**: Effect of dioxygen concentration in the reaction system on the ratio of dihydrogen to methanol in the product with the secondary reforming of methanol excluded. Reaction conditions: 0.1 g catalyst, total flowrate= 60 mL/min; 98% CH₄, 2% H₂O 50~550 ppm O₂, He balance.

According to the TPSR results, both water and dioxygen participate in the MTM reaction. Providing methane is oxidized by water, dihydrogen should be produced together with methanol with stoichiometric ratio of 1 (Equation 3). However, the ratio

of dihydrogen to methanol was far below 1 and also depended on the concentration of dioxygen in the reaction system (Fig. 3a), i.e. $n_{\rm H2}/n_{\rm CH3OH}$ ratio increased with increasing dioxygen concentration from 50 to 550 ppm (high methanol selectivity of >85% was achieved in all cases). Considering that methanol could be further transformed to carbon dioxide via secondary reforming (Equation 1), the dihydrogen contribution from reforming was further deducted to give the intrinsic $n_{\text{H2}}/n_{\text{CH3OH}}$ ratio in methane selective oxidation. It is amazing to reveal that only very low amount of dihydrogen was produced along with methanol formation, i.e. $(n_{H2} - n_{CO2} \cdot 3)/n_{CH3OH} < 0.1$, at all dioxygen concentrations of 50~550 ppm. That is, dihydrogen was mainly produced from secondary methanol reforming instead of methane direct oxidation, in good agreement with the lagged formation of dihydrogen (Fig. S23). Now, we can present a picture of MTM pathway in the presence of water and dioxygen (shown as [O] for simplicity), as shown in Equation 4. From the view of element balance, methane can be oxidized by [O], but the presence of water is essential to initiate the reaction and becomes the main source of oxygen in methanol.

$$
CH4 + H2O \rightarrow CH3OH + H2
$$
 (3)

$$
CH_4 + H_2O + [O] \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O \tag{4}
$$

Dynamic changes in copper sites during reaction

The active copper sites in Cu-zeolites for MTM have been hotly debated (14, 16-20, 28-32). The topology and composition of zeolite host show significant impacts on the structure of cooper sites, which also undergo dynamic changes under various conditions (33-35). Here, the dynamic changes of copper sites in CHA under reaction relevant conditions were investigated by ultraviolet-visible-near infrared (UV-Vis-NIR) spectroscopy. The spectrum of Cu-CHA (473 K in He, Fig. S29) showed clear d-d transitions of Cu²⁺ (3d⁹) at ~12000 cm⁻¹ and ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) transitions of isolated $Cu^{2+} (O^2 Cu^{2+} \rightarrow O^2 Cu^+)$ at 50000~45000 cm⁻¹ (36-38). The Cu-CHA sample was then treated in different atmospheres at increasing temperature from 473 to 773 K to demonstrate the dynamic changes of copper sites (Fig. S30).

Treating in oxygen or water resulted in a slight increase in the intensity of band at 12000 cm⁻¹ and noticeable shifts in the band at 40000~30000 cm⁻¹, probably due to the reconstruction and creation of a small quantify of isolated Cu^{2+} species. Treating in methane resulted in significant declines in the intensities of bands at 12000 cm⁻¹ and 50000~45000 cm⁻¹, due to the reduction of Cu^{2+} in methane. The charge transfer transitions of Cu^{2+} and Cu^{+} were highly overlapped and their interpretation might be misleading, while the decline in the intensity of band at 12000 cm^{-1} clearly revealed the reduction of isolated Cu^{2+} to Cu^{+} since no d-d transitions occur for Cu^{+} with fully occupied d shell (37). Interestingly, the Cu⁺ could be readily oxidized back to Cu²⁺ in H₂O-O₂ at 573 K (Fig. S31). That is, a redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ in Cu-CHA might involve in the reaction system of $CH_4-H_2O-O_2$.

Figure 4. **UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopic analyses of copper sites in Cu-CHA zeolite. (a)**: In situ UV-Vis-NIR spectra of Cu-CHA sample under different atmospheres at $473 \sim 623$ K. Conditions: 25 mg catalyst, total flowrate = 15 mL/min; 0 or 98% CH₄, 0 or 2% H2O, 0 or 400 ppm O2, He balance; **(b)**: Dynamic changes in the intensities of absorption band at \sim 12000 cm⁻¹.

The dynamic changes of copper species in Cu-CHA under different atmospheres at a constant temperature were then focused. At low temperatures of 473 and 523 K, the introduction of dioxygen (CH₄-H₂O-O₂) resulted in the oxidation of $Cu⁺$ species (those existed in parent Cu-CHA due to the auto-reduction of Cu^{2+} in helium) to Cu^{2+} , while the Cu^{2+} species were quite stable in H₂O or CH₄-H₂O (Fig. 4a). At high temperatures of 573 and 623 K, the dynamic changes of copper species between the isolated Cu^{2+} and Cu⁺ under different atmospheres were clearly demonstrated (Fig. 4b). Typically, isolated Cu^{2+} species in Cu-CHA were quickly (partially) reduced by methane to Cu^{+} , which could not be oxidized back to Cu^{2+} simply by water. On the other hand, the introduction of trace dioxygen resulted in the fast re-oxidation of $Cu⁺$ to $Cu²⁺$. According to these results, isolated copper species should exist in the form of stable Cu^{2+} in Cu-CHA in CH₄-H₂O-O₂ at 473~623 K and the fast redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ should involve in MTM reaction at 573~623 K. This should be the key reason for the much higher MTM activity achieved in this study in comparison with literature reports under slightly different reaction conditions (23, 24). Besides, no fingerprint absorption features for dicopper species in the region of 20000~25000 cm-1 (28, 29) were observed under all employed conditions.

Figure 5. **FTIR spectroscopic analyses of Cu-CHA zeolite. (a)**: In situ FTIR spectra of Cu-CHA sample under different atmospheres at 573 K. Conditions: 25 mg catalyst, total flowrate= 15 mL/min; 0 or 98% CH₄, 0 or 2% H₂O, 0 or 400 ppm O_2 , He balance; **(b)**: Dynamic changes in the intensities of selected FTIR bands; **(c)**: Steady-state FTIR spectra of Cu-CHA sample under different atmospheres with isotope labelling at 573 K. Conditions: 25 mg catalyst, total flowrate= 15 mL/min; 0 or 98% methane, 0 or 2% water, 0 or 400 ± 50 ppm dioxygen.

For more information on Cu-CHA for MTM, in situ FTIR spectroscopic analyses were performed at 573 K. As shown in Fig. 5a, several IR bands at 3730, 3655, 3605 and 3580 cm^{-1} were observed for Cu-CHA in flowing helium, due to Si-OH, Cu(II)-OH, two types of Si-OH-Al species, respectively (34, 39). The Si-OH was very stable under different atmospheres and regarded as a reference for quantitative analyses (Fig. 5b). Feeding methane to Cu-CHA resulted in the quick appearance of IR band at 2155 cm⁻¹ due to the formation of carbonyl group on $Cu⁺$ (40), and the carbonyl group could be employed as in situ probe to titrate $Cu⁺$ centers. The intensity of IR band at 2155 cm⁻¹ decreased gradually with the introduction of water (CH4-H2O) and disappeared with the

further introduction of dioxygen (CH₄-H₂O-O₂). Removal of the O₂ from CH₄-H₂O-O₂ stream resulted in the reappearance of $Cu⁺$ centers. These results agree well with the dynamic changes of copper sites as revealed by in situ UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy (Fig. 4). Meanwhile, a noticeable increase in the intensity of Brønsted acid sites in the six-membered rings (3580 cm^{-1}) was observed upon methane activation, following the possible pathway of Equation 5 (Z: Zeolite Si-O-Al site). To confirm the hypothesis, CD⁴ was fed to Cu-CHA and the formation of deuterated Brønsted acid sites at 2640 $cm⁻¹$ could be identified (Fig. S32). With the introduction of water (CH₄-H₂O), the intensities of IR bands due to the Brønsted acid sites in the six-membered rings (3580 cm^{-1}) and Cu(II)-OH (3655 cm^{-1}) increased synchronously due to the hydrolysis of bare copper sites (Equation 6).

$$
Z_2 - Cu^{2+} + CH_4 \rightarrow Z - [Cu - CH_3]^+ + Z - H^+ \qquad (5)
$$

$$
Z_2 - Cu^{2+} + H_2O \rightarrow Z - [Cu - OH]^+ + Z - H^+ \qquad (6)
$$

The steady-state FTIR spectra of Cu-CHA sample under different atmospheres were then recorded. As shown in Fig. 5c, treating in isotope-labelled $^{18}O_2$ did not bring about significant changes in the structure of Cu-CHA, excluding the gas-phase oxygen isotope exchange by dioxygen. In contrast, treating in $H_2^{18}O$ resulted in the oxygen isotope exchange in Si-OH-Al (from 3605 and 3580 cm⁻¹ to 3595 and 3570 cm⁻¹, respectively) and Cu(II)-OH groups (from 3655 cm⁻¹ to 3645 cm⁻¹) with v_{O-H}/v_{18O-H} of ~1.003. That is, the active hydroxyls can undergo fast isotope exchange with water. Feeding methane to the 18 O-exchange Cu-CHA led to the formation of 18 O-carboxyl group (band at 2105 cm⁻¹, v_{C-O}/v_{C-18O} =1.024) on Cu⁺ sites, in accordance with the appearance of ¹³C-carboxyl group at 2107 cm⁻¹ upon feeding ¹³CH₄ to Cu-CHA ($v_{C-O}/v_{13C-O} = 1.023$).

Reaction mechanism of methane-to-methanol

Following nature particulate MMO (pMMO), the dicopper sites in zeolites have been proposed for methane oxidation to methanol via a chemical looping process. However, it has been recently argued that the pMMO contains only mononuclear copper centers (41). Experimentally, there were no signs of dicopper sites in our Cu-CHA under all

conditions employed (Fig. 4a). The dicopper sites, even if might exist under certain conditions, would undergo hydrolysis to monomeric cooper species in excess water. A series of Cu-CHA samples with different copper loadings were further prepared and their specific activity was compared. As shown in Fig. S33, the site specific methanol yields were in the similar high level of $400~600$ mmol/mol_{Cu}/h at copper loadings of 0.6~2.3 wt.% while the methanol yield decreased to <300 mmol/mol_{Cu}/h with further increasing copper loading to 3.5 wt.%. In this context, it is rational to propose monomeric cooper species, i.e. Cu(II)-OH observed by FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. 5), as the catalytically active sites for MTM, although the formation of dicopper sites could not be fully excluded.

Figure 6. **Reaction mechanism from DFT calculations. (a):** Proposed reaction mechanism for the methane oxidation to methanol reaction on Cu-CHA involving CuOOH intermediate; **(b):** Energy profile of methane selective oxidation to methanol catalyzed by CuOH monomer in CHA at 0 K; **(c):** Energy profile of methane selective oxidation to methanol catalyzed by CuOH dimer in CHA at 0 K.

Finally, the complete reaction mechanism for MTM conversion was proposed from spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations to rationalize the above experimental observations. As shown in Fig. 6a, CuOH monomer or dimer was set as the intrinsic active sites for MTM conversion. Regarding the structure evolution and the energy variation at CuOH monomer (Fig. 6b, Fig. S34), the activation of methane (**TS-A**, 90 kJ/mol) and the subsequent adsorption of water resulted in the formation of mobilized CH3Cu[OH2]² cation in the cage of CHA zeolite (**M3**). Dioxygen molecule was demonstrated to chemically adsorb on Cu⁺ center to form four-coordinated Cu complex (**M4**). Interestingly, the framework-bound methoxide (ZCH3) could be obtained via demethylation (**TS-B**) accompanied by the formation of two-coordinated H2OCuO² complexes (**M5**). Methanol could then be produced by the typical methylation ($TS-C$) between methoxide and adjacent H_2O , leaving H atom to H_2OCuO_2 . The energy barriers in both steps were 101 and 50 kJ/mol, respectively. The adsorbed dioxygen was found to play an important role to maintain the coordination structure of Cu complex and facilitate the formation of methanol from water. Framework-bound CuOOH or hydrated CuOOH (**M7**) was identified as the key intermediate in the MTM reaction pathway catalyzed by CuOH. The CuOOH was also active for methane (**TS-D**, 99 kJ/mol) to produce methanol and regenerate CuOH active center. Dioxygen served as the explicit oxidant for C-H activation in these steps. In the case of CuOH dimer evolution (Fig. 6c, Fig. S35), one CuOH was assumed to follow the aforementioned CuOH monomer pathway, generating CuOOH in close proximity to another CuOH site in zeolites. If appropriate in distance, more stable binuclear Cu complex (**D2**) could be formed. The feasible breaking of O-OH bond in CuOOH (**TS-E**, 82 kJ/mol) led to the formation of immobilized OHCuOCuOH complex (**D3**). The activation of methane by

OHCuOCuOH (**TS-F**) only needed to overcome a low barrier of ~59 kJ/mol to produce methanol and to regenerate CuOH dimer. Comparing the kinetics in Cu monomer and dimer pathways (**TS-D** versus **TS-F**), it seemed that HOCuOCuOH might be more active than CuOOH for methane activation. Herein, we would like to highlight that CuOH and the previous proposed active species like $Cu(II)$, CuOCu, CuOOCu could be readily interconverted by the participation of methane or water (Fig. 6a, Figs. S36-39). The proposed reaction pathway originated from CuOH provides an alternative to produce methanol without dihydrogen (Fig. 3b) and reveals the direct role of dioxygen in MTM conversion. In the experiments, the fast redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ during MTM reaction was clearly identified (Fig. 4) and the presence of $Cu⁺$ was proved to be necessary for the chemisorption of dioxygen by theoretical calculations (Fig. 6). That is, the fast redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ is the origination of the high activity of Cu-CHA under our reaction conditions. According to theoretical calculations, the oxygen in methanol might come from both water and dioxygen (see dioxygen labelling in Fig. 6a), while the fast oxygen isotope exchange between CuOH and water (Fig. 5c) made water as the apparent oxygen source of methanol (Fig. 2c, 2d). Water is known as a must for the methane-to-methanol conversion while it plays an important role in the catalytic route by hydrolyzing the Cu-O bond, which resulted in the formation of mobilized $CH₃Cu[OH₂]$ ₂ cations from framework-bound species and the transformation of dicopper species (CuOCu, CuOOCu) to monomeric cooper species (CuOH).

Conclusions

A catalytic route of methane selective oxidation to methanol in the presence of water and dioxygen was demonstrated, and the specific roles of water and dioxygen were clearly explicated. With the elaborate optimizing of catalyst and reaction parameters, a state-of-the-art methanol space-time yield of 543 mmol/mol_{Cu}/h with methanol selectivity of 91 % was achieved at 573 K with a Cu-CHA catalyst. The reaction pathway of MTM was established by kinetic analyses with isotope labelling. The apparent oxygen and hydrogen source of hydroxyls in methanol was determined to be water while dioxygen indeed participated in the reaction through reducing to water, resulting in the unique process of water-involved methane oxidation by dioxygen. The fast redox cycle of Cu^{2+} -Cu⁺-Cu²⁺ was identified by in situ spectroscopy under certain reaction conditions and revealed to be closely related to the high catalytic activity of Cu-CHA.

On the basis of all the experiment fragments, a detailed mechanism of MTM was interpreted via density functional theory calculations. Both CuOH monomer and dimer in Cu-CHA could catalyze the selective oxidation of methane to methanol, with CuOOH as the key intermediate. The previous proposed active species like Cu(II), CuOH, CuOCu and CuOOCu could be readily interconverted with the participation of methane or water under reaction conditions. These findings have addressed most misconceptions in MTM reaction over copper-zeolites (42) and will make a major step forward in methane oxidative functionalization.

References

1. McFarland, E. Unconventional chemistry for unconventional natural gas. Science **338,** 340-342 (2012).

2. Sun, L., Wang, Y., Guan, N. & Li, L. Methane activation and utilization: Current status and future challenges. *Energy Technol.* **8**, 1900628 (2020).

3. Keller, G. E. & Bhasin, M. M. Synthesis of ethylene via oxidative coupling of methane, *J. Catal.* **73**, 9-19 (1982).

4. Ito, T. & Lunsford, J. H. Synthesis of ethylene and ethane by partial oxidation of methane over lithium-doped magnesium oxide. *Nature* **314**, 721-722 (1985).

5. Guo, X. et al. nonoxidative conversion of methane to ethylene, aromatics, and hydrogen. *Science* **344**, 616-619 (2014).

6. Spivey, J. J. & Hutchings, G. Catalytic aromatization of methane. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 792-803 (2014).

7. Gao, J. et al. Identification of molybdenum oxide nanostructures on zeolites for natural gas conversion. *Science* **348**, 686-690 (2015).

8. Horn, R. & Schlögl, R. Methane activation by heterogeneous catalysis. *Catal. Lett.* **145**, 23-39 (2015).

9. Periana, R. A. et al. Platinum catalysts for the high-yield oxidation of methane to a methanol derivative. *Science* **280**, 560-564 (1998).

10. Palkovits, R., Antonietti, M., Kuhn, P., Thomas, A. & Schüth, F. Solid catalysts for the selective low-temperature oxidation of methane to methanol. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 6909-6912 (2009).

11. Sirajuddin, S. & Rosenzweig, A. C. Enzymatic oxidation of methane. *Biochemistry* **54**, 2283-2294 (2015).

12. Parfenov, M. V., Starokon, E. V., Pirutko, L. V. & Panov, G. I. Quasicatalytic and catalytic oxidation of methane to methanol by nitrous oxide over FeZSM-5 zeolite, *J. Catal.* **318**, 14-21 (2014).

13. Snyder, B. E. R. et al. The active site of low temperature methane hydroxylation in iron containing zeolites. *Nature* **536**, 317-321 (2016).

14. Groothaert, M. H., Smeets, P. J., Sels, B. F., Jacobs, P. A. & Schoonheydt, R. A. Selective oxidation of methane by the $bis(\mu - ox)$ dicopper core stabilized on ZSM-5 and mordenite zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 1394-1395 (2005).

15. Alayon, E. M., Nachtegaal, M., Ranocchiari, M. & van Bokhoven, J. A. Catalytic conversion of methane to methanol over Cu-mordenite. *Chem. Commun.* **48**, 404-406 (2012).

16. Wulfers, M. J., Teketel, S., Ipek, B. & Lobo, R. F. Conversion of methane to methanol on copper-containing small-pore zeolites and zeotypes. *Chem. Commun.* **21**, 4447-4450 (2015).

17. Grundner, S. et al. Single-site trinuclear copper oxygen clusters in mordenite for selective conversion of methane to methanol. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7546-7554 (2015).

18. Sushkevich, V. L., Palagin, D., Ranocchiari, M. & van Bokhoven, J. A. Selective anaerobic oxidation of methane enables direct synthesis of methanol. *Science* **35**6, 523-527 (2017).

19. Sushkevich, V. L., Palagin, D. & van Bokhoven, J. A. The effect of the active-site structure on the activity of copper mordenite in the aerobic and anaerobic conversion of methane into methanol. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 8906-8910 (2018).

20. Pappas, D. K. et al. Methane to methanol: Structure-activity relationships for Cu-CHA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 14961-14975 (2017).

21. Pappas, D. K. et al. The nuclearity of the active site for methane to methanol conversion in Cu-mordenite: A quantitative assessment. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 15270-15278 (2018).

22. Ipek, B. & Lobo, R. F. Catalytic conversion of methane to methanol on Cu-SSZ-13 using N2O as oxidant. *Chem. Commun.* **52**, 13401-13404 (2016).

23. Narsimhan, K., Iyoki, K., Dinh, K. & Román-Leshkov, Y. Catalytic oxidation of methane into methanol over copper-exchanged zeolites with oxygen at low temperature. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2**, 424-429 (2016).

24. Dinh, K. T. et al. Continuous partial oxidation of methane to methanol catalyzed by diffusion-paired copper dimers in copper-exchanged zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 11641-11650 (2019).

25. Ikuno, T. et al. Methane oxidation to methanol catalyzed by Cu-oxo clusters stabilized in NU-1000 metal–organic framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 10294-10301 (2017).

26. Baek, J. et al. Bioinspired metal-organic framework catalysts for selective methane oxidation to methanol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 18208-18216 (2018).

27. Dinh, K. T. et al. Viewpoint on the partial oxidation of methane to methanol using Cu- and Fe-exchanged zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **8**, 8306-8313 (2018).

28. Woertink, J. S. et al. A $\left[\text{Cu}_2\text{O}\right]^{2+}$ core in Cu-ZSM-5, the active site in the oxidation of methane to methanol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 18908-18913 (2009).

29. Vanelderen, P. et al. Spectroscopic definition of the copper active sites in mordenite: selective methane oxidation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 6383-6392 (2015).

30. Kulkarni, A. R., Zhao, Z.-J., Siahrostami, S., Nørskov, J. K. & Studt, F. Monocopper active site for partial methane oxidation in Cu-exchanged 8MR zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **6**, 6531-6536 (2016).

31. Mahyuddin, M. H., Staykov, A., Shiota, Y., Miyanishi, M. & Yoshizawa, K. Roles of zeolite confinement and Cu–O–Cu angle on the direct conversion of methane to methanol by $[Cu_2(\mu-O)]^{2+}$ -exchanged AEI, CHA, AFX, and MFI zeolites. *ACS Catal*. **7**,

3741-3751 (2017).

32. Ipek, B. et al. Formation of $[Cu_2O_2]^{2+}$ and $[Cu_2O]^{2+}$ toward C-H bond activation in Cu-SSZ-13 and Cu-SSZ-39. *ACS Catal.* **7,** 4291-4303 (2017).

33. Alayon, E. M. C., Nachtegaal, M., Bodi, A. & van Bokhoven, J. A. Reaction conditions of methane-to-methanol conversion affect the structure of active copper sites. *ACS Catal.* **4**, 16-22 (2014).

34. Paolucci, C. et al. Catalysis in a cage: Condition-dependent speciation and dynamics of exchanged Cu cations in SSZ-13 zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 6028-6048 (2016).

35. Paolucci, C. et al. Dynamic multinuclear sites formed by mobilized copper ions in NOx selective catalytic reduction. *Science* **357**, 898-903 (2017).

36. Korhonen, S. T., Fickel, D. W., Lobo, R. F., Weckhuysen, B. M. & Beale, A. M. Isolated Cu2+ ions: active sites for selective catalytic reduction of NO. *Chem. Commun.* **47**. 800–802 (2011).

37. Giordanino, F. et al. Characterization of Cu-exchanged SSZ-13: a comparative FTIR, UV-Vis, and EPR study with Cu-ZSM-5 and Cu-β with similar Si/Al and Cu/Al ratios, *Dalton Trans.* **42**, 12741-12761 (2013).

38. Oord, R., Schmidt, J. E. & Weckhuysen, B.M. Methane-to-methanol conversion over zeolite Cu-SSZ-13, and its comparison with the selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **8**, 1028-1038 (2018).

39. Borfecchia, E. et al. Cu-CHA – a model system for applied selective redox catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **47**, 8097-8133 (2018).

40. Hadjiivanov, K. I. & Vayssilov, G. Characterization of oxide surfaces and zeolites by carbon monoxide as an IR probe molecule. *Adv. Catal.* **47**, 307-511 (2002).

41. Ross, M. O. et al. Particulate methane monooxygenase contains only mononuclear copper centers. *Science* **364**, 566-570 (2019).

42. Ravi, M. et al. Misconceptions and challenges in methane-to-methanol over transition-metal-exchanged zeolites. *Nat. Catal.* **2**, 485-494 (2019).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the National Natural Science Fund of China (21722303, 21673295,

21421001) and 111 Project (B12015, B18030) for supporting the work.

Author Contributions

L.S. and Y.W. conducted material preparations, performance tests and spectroscopic analyses. C.W. and Z.X. contributed to theoretical calculations and directed the theoretical section. N.G. analyzed the data and provided helpful discussions. L.L. directed and supervised the project. L.S., C.W. and L.L. prepared the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Materials is available for this paper, including Materials and Methods, Figures S1-S39, Tables S1-S2.

Materials & Correspondence should be addressed to C.W. or L.L.