Exploring the use of gas chromatography coupled to chemical ionization mass spectrometry (GC-CI-MS) for stable isotope labelling in metabolomics

Jordi Capellades^{2,3}, Alexandra Junza³, Sara Samino^{1,3}, Maria Vinaixa^{1,2,3} and Oscar Yanes^{1,2,3#}

1. Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Department of Electronic Engineering, Tarragona, Spain.
2. Institut d'Investigació Sanitària Pere Virgili (IISPV), Metabolomics Platform, Reus, Spain.
3. CIBER de Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabólicas Asociadas (CIBERDEM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.

[#]Corresponding author:

Oscar Yanes, Ph.D.

Department of Electronic Engineering

Universitat Rovira i Virgili

Avinguda Països Catalans, 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

phone: +34 977759397

email: oscar.yanes@urv.cat

Abstract

Isotopic labelling experiments have been utterly valuable to monitor the flux of metabolic reactions in biological systems, which is crucial to understand homeostatic alterations with disease. Experimental determination of metabolic fluxes can be inferred from a characteristic rearrangement of stable isotope tracers (e.g., ^{13}C or ^{15}N) that can be detected by mass spectrometry (MS). Metabolites measured are generally members of well-known metabolic pathways, and most of them can be detected using both gas chromatography (GC)-MS and liquid chromatography (LC)-MS. In here, we show that GC methods coupled to chemical ionization (CI) MS have a clear advantage over alternative methodologies due to GC's superior chromatography separation efficiency and the fact that CI is a soft ionization technique that yields identifiable protonated molecular ion peaks. We tested diverse GC-CI-MS setups, including methane and isobutane reagent gases, triple quadrupole (QqQ) MS in SIM mode or selected ion clusters using optimized narrow-windows (~ 10 Da) in scan mode, and standard full scan methods using high resolution GC-(q)TOF and GC-Orbitrap systems. Isobutane as reagent gas in combination with both low-resolution (LR) and high-resolution (HR) MS showed the best performance, enabling precise detection of isotopologues in most metabolic intermediates of central carbon metabolism. Finally, with the aim of overcoming manual operations, we developed an R-based tool called IsoSCAN that automatically quantifies all isotopologues of intermediate metabolites of glycolysis, TCA cycle, amino acids, pentose phosphate pathway and urea cycle from LRMS and HRMS data.

Introduction

Metabolomics is nowadays a well-established branch of the omics field¹. The ability to detect and quantify hundreds of different small organic compounds in complex biological mixtures makes metabolomics a powerful source of biological information. Due to the chemical diversity of naturally occurring metabolites, metabolomics is rich in analytical instrumentation and configurations, with GC-MS and LC-MS/MS being the most common techniques².

GC-MS has been the analytical platform of choice for measuring volatile compounds for decades³. However, with the introduction of chemical derivatization, and the inherent high-resolution chromatographic separations and low MS background noise, GC-MS has evolved into a powerful analytical platform to produce compound abundance data in multiple types of targeted and untargeted metabolomic experiments⁴⁻⁸. GC systems are typically coupled to single (GC-sQ) or triple quadrupole mass spectrometers (GC-QqQ) for targeted metabolomics, while untargeted analyses are generally performed using time-of-flight (GC-TOF) or Orbitrap (GC-Orbitrap) mass spectrometers. The most widely used ionization method in GC-MS is electron impact ionization (EI), a hard ionization strategy that generates highly reproducible and characteristic fragmentation spectra³. However, softer ionization techniques based on chemical ionization (CI) are alternative methods, where molecular ions of analyzed compounds are kept (mostly) intact⁹.

The determination of relative or absolute metabolite concentrations by GC-MS can also be used to investigate the rate at which these compounds are being transformed into other intermediates by metabolic (i.e., enzymatic) activity, a field known as stable-isotope labelling and fluxomics¹⁰. Stable isotopes, such as ¹³C and ¹⁵N, are used to track the fate of a labelled nutrient, e.g. ¹³C-glucose or ¹³C/¹⁵N-glutamine, being a much safer and informative alternative to the formerly used radioactive isotopes¹¹⁻¹³. Metabolites enriched with stable isotopes maintain the original chemical structure and biochemical properties, but the different isotopic composition (i.e., isotopologues) causes a shift in their mass, which is detectable by MS. In this regard, GC-EI MS has become a platform of choice for stable isotope tracing studies and fluxomics approaches¹⁴⁻²⁰. The coverage of GC-EI MS is comprehensive enough to cover most central carbon metabolism (i.e., glycolysis, TCA, amino acids, pentose phosphate pathway) thanks to the use of chemical derivatization. Several software tools for automated analysis of GC-EI MS data are available²¹⁻²⁵, including tools for stable-isotope labelling data analysis²⁶⁻³²,

which must address the inherent difficulty of deconvoluting the intensity of overlapping isotopologues from EI mass spectral fragmentation. Interestingly, the use of GC-CI MS for stable-isotope tracing is largely unexplored^{33–37}. In here, we have studied the suitability of GC-CI-MS for stable-isotope tracing using multiple analytical configurations based on low-resolution (LRMS) and high-resolution (HRMS) mass spectrometry. We prove that isobutane is an ideal ionization gas by its ability to yield intact protonated molecular ions, and coupled to cutting-edge high-resolution GC-MS instruments, currently provide the best configuration for isotopologue quantification. In addition, we have developed an R-package called IsoSCAN capable of processing GC-CI MS data from LRMS and HRMS stable-isotope labelling studies.

Methods

Cell cultures

For isotope-labeling experiments, glucose was replaced with U-13C6-glucose (Cambridge Isotope Labs). Refer to previously published work, or further details on cell culturing^{38,39}.

Metabolite extraction

Cells were scrapped, collected and frozen. Cell pellets were resuspended with 300 µl of cold methanol/water (8:1, v/v) containing 13C-glycerol (5 µl/ml) as internal standard. Metabolites were extracted with three rounds of liquid N2 immersion and bath sonication, followed by 1 h in ice before centrifugation at $22,800 \times g$ (10 min at 4 °C). The supernatant was set aside for derivatization in a new tube.

Derivatization

Samples were dried under a stream of N2 gas and lyophilized before chemical derivatization with 40 µl of methoxyamine in pyridine (30 µg/ml) for 45 min at 60 °C. Samples were also silylated using 25 µl of *N*-methyl-*N*-trimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide with 1% trimethylchlorosilane (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min at 60 °C to increase volatility of metabolites.

LRMS acquisition

A 7890A GC system coupled to a 7000 QqQ mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) was used for isotopologue determination for the low-resolution datasets using SIM and NS acquisition modes. Derivatized samples were injected (1 µl) in the gas chromatograph system with a split inlet equipped with a J&W Scientific HP-5ms stationary phase column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.1 µm film, Agilent Technologies). The

injector split ratio was adjusted to 1:5. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min in constant flow mode. In the method to quantify TCA metabolites, the oven temperature was programmed to sit at 70 °C for 1 min and afterwards increase at 10 °C/min to 325 °C. On the other hand, in the polyamines method (Supplementary table 1) the temperature gradient was the following: from 70 to 150 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min, from 150 to 250 °C at 10 °C/min and from 250 to 325 °C at 50 °C/min. Metabolites were ionized using positive chemical ionization (CI) with isobutane or methane as reagent gas.

HRMS acquisition

A 7890B GC system coupled to a 7250 QTOF mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) was used. Derivatized samples were injected (1 µl) in the gas chromatograph system equipped with an Agilent 19091S-433UI HP5-ms Ultra Inert stationary phase column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm, Agilent Technologies). Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min in constant flow mode. The temperature gradient used was: from 70 to 190 °C at a heating rate of 11 °C/min, and from 190 to 325 °C at 21 °C/min, finally holding for 4 min. Metabolites were ionized using positive chemical ionization (CI) with isobutane as reagent gas. Mass spectral data were acquired in full scan mode (110 – 800 m/z) at and an acquisition rate of 3 Hz. A TRACE 1300 Series GC coupled to an Orbitrap Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) was used for isotopologue determination. Derivatized samples were injected (1 µl) in the gas chromatograph system with a split inlet 1:5 equipped with a TraceGOLD-5MS stationary phase column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm film, Thermo Scientific). Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The temperature gradient used was: from 70 to 190 °C at a heating rate of 11 °C/min, and from 190 to 325 °C at 21 °C/min, finally holding for 2.7 min. Mass spectral data were acquired in full scan mode (150 – 750 m/z) and the AGC target was set to 1 million (1×10^6). The analyzer resolution settings were 60,000 at 200 m/z. Metabolites were ionized using positive chemical ionization (CI) with isobutane as reagent gas, also low-energy (15eV) and high-energy (70eV) electron impact (EI) ionization was used (Supplementary Figure 1).

Results

Comparing Electron Ionization (EI) with Chemical Ionization (CI) for stable isotope labelling

In order to optimize the detection of isotopologues using GC-MS, we first compared the performance of the most commonly used ionization sources in GC-MS: EI and CI. Furthermore, we tested two different reagent gases for CI, namely methane and isobutane. Taking lactate as a reference metabolite in a complex biological mixture such as ^{13}C -U-glucose labelled and non-labelled cell cultures, we found that CI with isobutane yielded most suitable spectra to detect isotopic enrichments in comparison with EI (Figure 1A). Notably, the use of isobutane as reagent gas produced mass spectra in which the abundance of a metabolite is typically concentrated in its $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ isotopic envelop, facilitating the detection of isotopic enrichment, unlike methane gas that induced unwanted fragmentation of the $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ion (Figure 1B). This observation also applied to all intermediates of the glycolytic pathway and TCA cycle, where the $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ion was, on average, >5-fold more intense using isobutane than methane (Figure 1C). In contrast, EI at 70 eV is a hard ionization technique causing extensive fragmentation of compound structures in both non-labelled and labelled samples. This complicates the process of determining the amount of isotope labelling because the isotopic enrichment is scattered throughout multiple fragments. Similarly, low-energy EI at 15 eV³¹ also produced greater fragmentation of the molecular ion than CI-isobutane (Suppl. Figure S1).

Finally, we show that CI-isobutane can ionize efficiently most relevant metabolites found in central carbon metabolism pathways, including intermediates of glycolysis, TCA cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, amino acids, urea cycle and polyamines (Supplementary Table1). In summary, CI-isobutane resulted in a broad coverage of metabolites in addition to greater signal intensity of the $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ molecular ion for all metabolites tested.

Optimizing data acquisition modes in GC-CI MS for stable isotope labelling

The collection of mass analyzers used in hyphenated mass-spectrometry is quite broad nowadays. To test the capabilities of GC-CI MS for stable isotope labelling, we compared the sensitivity, selectivity and isotope ratio fidelity of different mass analyzers and data acquisition modes. In particular, we used a low-mass resolution configuration based on GC-CI triple quadrupole MS, and two high-mass resolution

configurations based on GC-CI qTOF MS and GC-CI qOrbitrap MS to analyze the same labelled and non-labelled complex biological mixtures. In the case of the quadrupole configuration, we explored two acquisition modes to find the most sensitive and selective for isotopologue analysis: selected ion monitoring (SIM) and narrow scan (NS) acquisition.

SIM acquisition method was set to monitor single ions, that is, every isotopologue based on the number of carbons in each metabolite. In contrast, NS acquisition method was set to register a cluster of ions that covers the full isotopic envelop (typically 8-10 Da) of the derivatized metabolite, e.g. in the case of lactate-2TMS (m/z 235) the quadrupole was set to scan a mass range from m/z 232 to 240. Despite the greater signal intensity of NS for all metabolites tested, SIM yielded better signal-to-noise ratios (SNR), likely due to its greater ion selectivity (Figure 2A). The isotope ratio fidelity of NS and SIM, calculated as the relative deviation to the theoretical isotopic ratio, was similar in both acquisition methods, showing a median value of 5% from a total of 14 metabolites detected in 9 cell culture replicates (Figure 2B).

Next, we compared the sensitivity, selectivity and isotope ratio fidelity of two high-mass resolution instruments: a GC-qOrbitrap mass analyzer acquiring in full scan mode at 60.000 resolution (at m/z 200) and a GC-qTOF mass analyzer acquiring in full scan mode at ~16.000 resolution. The median deviation of isotopologue abundances in relation to theoretical natural abundances was 19% for GC-CI qOrbitrap MS and 26% for GC-CI qTOF MS, yet only the Orbitrap mass analyzer was capable of clearly resolving natural isotopes of silicon (^{29}Si and ^{30}Si from the trimethylsilyl derivatization) from carbon, while in the case of the TOF mass analyzer silicon and carbon isotopes were practically indistinguishable and thus were summed up (Figure 2C). As expected, the Orbitrap showed a better mass accuracy (<1.5 ppm) compared to the TOF mass analyzer (<8 ppm).

Interestingly, when the quadrupole of the GC qOrbitrap was set to filter the same cluster of ions that covers the full isotopic envelop of the derivatized metabolites as in NS, with the aim of reducing the ion population in the Orbitrap mass analyzer, we did not observe an increase in sensitivity in comparison with full scan acquisition (data not shown). As expected, both high-mass resolution instruments have the additional advantage that are more selective than low-mass resolution methods SIM and NS, because the former can distinguish artifacts (such as background noise) or compounds that could interfere with the detection of the isotopologues of interest. This is particularly important when

detecting labelled isotopologues of low abundant compounds in biological samples (see aconitate 3TMS and dihydroxy-acetone phosphate 3TMS+MA in Figure 2D).

In summary, our results demonstrate that GC CI-isobutane is an optimal configuration for the detection of isotopologues, and the latest generation of high-mass resolution GC-MS instruments in full scan mode have similar sensitivity than quadrupole-based mass analyzers. In counterpoint, the isotope ratio fidelity is superior in LRMS than HRMS.

isoSCAN: an R package to process GC-CI-MS data from low-mass and high-mass resolution acquisition methods

To extend high throughput capabilities of GC-CI-MS for stable isotope labelling studies, we have developed *isoSCAN*, an open-source R-based computational tool (<https://github.com/jcapelladesto/isoSCAN>) that encloses a complete workflow to perform high-throughput compound-driven isotopologue quantification in GC-MS data, either from high- or low-mass resolution instrumentation. The performance of *isoSCAN* has been assayed in several stable isotope labelling studies so far³⁸⁻⁴³.

isoSCAN computational workflow is summarized in Figure 3A. *isoSCAN* requires GC-MS files in open standard formats (mz(X)ML) as input data, and a list of compounds to target with their associated molecular formulas, monoisotopic mass values, and estimated retention times (Supplementary Table1). The software processes each file independently, targeting each singular isotopologue peak of every targeted compound within a specified retention time range through local maxima detection. This process differs slightly from low to high mass resolution data. In the case of low-resolution instruments, *autoQ* computes the isotopologue mass by adding the corresponding enrichment isotope mass difference (i.e., 1.003355 for ¹³C) as many times as the maximum number of atoms present in the non-derivatized molecular formula (i.e., up to six times in the case of glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆)). To perform on low-resolution data, such data must be in profile mode, as this facilitates the elucidation of spectral peaks. For high-resolution data, isotopologue quantification supports centroided data. We observed that isotopologue mass calculation need to be refined as mass spectral data may include other isotopic peaks from Si, N or O that are relatively less intense but still must be considered for accurate quantification. Therefore, *autoQ* makes use of *enviPat*⁴⁴ functionalities to calculate and merge the theoretical isotopic distribution given an estimated mass resolution. Each different isotopologue m/z is then searched separately by minimizing the cumulative relative mass error (ppm) in order to avoid misassignments.

Afterwards, isotopologue abundances are added by considering the number of labelled atoms to which each isotopologue corresponds (see Figure 3B for an example in the case of isotopologues M+0 to M+3 of lactate 2TMS).

Discussion and conclusions

MS is currently the preferred analytical technique used for measuring stable-isotope labelling of metabolites. In this regard, GC-MS is characterized by low cost and relatively simple maintenance, which makes it more affordable than LC-MS equipment by many individual laboratories. A desirable scenario of stable-isotope labelling is to measure isotopologue distributions in intact metabolites, however, the inherent fragmentation of EI (the most widespread used ionization source in GC-MS) cause scattered isotope patterns. To minimize fragmentation, different analytical strategies have been implemented, including chemical derivatization with N-methyl N-(*tert*-butylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MTBSTFA)¹⁵, EI ionization at 15 eV³¹ or the use of chemical ionization³⁷. The latter has been traditionally implemented using methane as reagent gas³¹, however, isobutane produces superior intact analyte signal abundance to methane⁴⁵, resulting also in a predominant protonated adduct ion and less fragmentation. Here we have used this previous knowledge to demonstrate that CI-isobutane outperforms CI-methane, EI at 70eV and EI at 15eV for isotopologue analysis by GC-MS. We have also shown that the metabolic coverage of CI-isobutane allows analysis of most relevant metabolites in central carbon metabolism with good ionization efficiency, including intermediates of glycolysis, TCA cycle, pentose phosphate pathway, amino acids, urea cycle and polyamines. In addition, we have benefited from this better suited ionization source to explore different acquisition modes from the most common mass analyzers in metabolomics: time-of-flight (TOF), orbitrap, and quadrupole.

Quadrupoles act as low-resolution mass filters and can be placed in series (e.g., triple quadrupoles) to measure only a predefined subset of ions, offering in principle the best sensitivity for measuring a single metabolite. Our results showed that monitoring every isotopologue by selected ion monitoring (SIM) or opening the quadrupole 8-10 Da to scan the whole cluster of isotopologues of a metabolite, yielded similar results with regard to sensitivity; that is to say, despite the better signal-to-noise ratios of SIM or the higher absolute intensity counts of the narrow scan (NS) acquisition, the same isotopologues were detected for low abundant metabolites. Remarkably, our results also indicate that, despite LRMS shows higher isotopic fidelity than HRMS, cutting-edge

high-resolution GC-MS instruments improve detection of certain isotopologues in low abundant compounds. Barely distinguishable isotopologues in SIM and/or NS showed a considerably higher and selective response in full scan acquisition mode with HRMS instruments such as the GC Orbitrap, probably as a result of increased capacity to distinguish m/z interferences.

Finally, we have developed a versatile open-source software that can automatically process low- and high-resolution isotopic labelling data produced with GC CI-isobutane coupled to quadrupole, TOF and orbitrap instruments.

References

- (1) Patti, G. J.; Yanes, O.; Siuzdak, G. Innovation: Metabolomics: The Apogee of the Omics Trilogy. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2012**, *13* (4), 263–269. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3314>.
- (2) Vinaixa, M.; Schymanski, E. L.; Neumann, S.; Navarro, M.; Salek, R. M.; Yanes, O. Mass Spectral Databases for LC/MS- and GC/MS-Based Metabolomics: State of the Field and Future Prospects. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *78*, 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2015.09.005>.
- (3) Fiehn, O. Metabolomics by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry: Combined Targeted and Untargeted Profiling. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.* **2016**, *114*, 30.4.1–30.4.32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb3004s114>.
- (4) Dunn, W. B.; Broadhurst, D. I.; Atherton, H. J.; Goodacre, R.; Griffin, J. L. Systems Level Studies of Mammalian Metabolomes: The Roles of Mass Spectrometry and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40* (1), 387–426. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b906712b>.
- (5) Krilaviciute, A.; Heiss, J. A.; Leja, M.; Kupcinskas, J.; Haick, H.; Brenner, H. Detection of Cancer through Exhaled Breath: A Systematic Review. *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6* (36), 38643–38657. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5938>.
- (6) Psychogios, N.; Hau, D. D.; Peng, J.; Guo, A. C.; Mandal, R.; Bouatra, S.; Sinelnikov, I.; Krishnamurthy, R.; Eisner, R.; Gautam, B.; Young, N.; Xia, J.; Knox, C.; Dong, E.; Huang, P.; Hollander, Z.; Pedersen, T. L.; Smith, S. R.; Bamforth, F.; Greiner, R.; McManus, B.; Newman, J. W.; Goodfriend, T.; Wishart, D. S. The Human Serum Metabolome. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6* (2), e16957. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016957>.
- (7) Bouatra, S.; Aziat, F.; Mandal, R.; Guo, A. C.; Wilson, M. R.; Knox, C.; Bjorn Dahl, T. C.; Krishnamurthy, R.; Saleem, F.; Liu, P.; Dame, Z. T.; Poelzer, J.; Huynh, J.; Yallou, F. S.; Psychogios, N.; Dong, E.; Bogumil, R.; Roehring, C.; Wishart, D. S. The Human Urine Metabolome. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8* (9), e73076. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073076>.
- (8) Sreekumar, A.; Poisson, L. M.; Rajendiran, T. M.; Khan, A. P.; Cao, Q.; Yu, J.; Laxman, B.; Mehra, R.; Lonigro, R. J.; Li, Y.; Nyati, M. K.; Ahsan, A.; Kalyana-Sundaram, S.; Han, B.; Cao, X.; Byun, J.; Omenn, G. S.; Ghosh, D.; Pennathur, S.; Alexander, D. C.; Berger, A.; Shuster, J. R.; Wei, J. T.; Varambally, S.; Beecher, C.; Chinnaiyan, A. M. Metabolomic Profiles Delineate Potential Role

345 for Sarcosine in Prostate Cancer Progression. *Nature* **2009**, 457 (7231), 910–914.
346 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07762>.

347 (9) Kopka, J.; Schauer, N.; Krueger, S.; Birkemeyer, C.; Usadel, B.; Bergmüller, E.;
348 Dormann, P.; Weckwerth, W.; Gibon, Y.; Stitt, M.; Willmitzer, L.; Fernie, A. R.;
349 Steinhauser, D. GMD@CSB.DB: The Golm Metabolome Database.
350 *Bioinformatics* **2005**, 21 (8), 1635–1638.
351 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti236>.

352 (10) Jang, C.; Chen, L.; Rabinowitz, J. D. Metabolomics and Isotope Tracing. *Cell*
353 **2018**, 173 (4), 822–837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.055>.

354 (11) Gibbs, M.; Kandler, O. ASYMMETRIC DISTRIBUTION OF C IN SUGARS
355 FORMED DURING PHOTOSYNTHESIS. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1957**,
356 43 (6), 446–451. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.43.6.446>.

357 (12) Katz, J. The Application of Isotopes to the Study of Lactate Metabolism. *Med.*
358 *Sci. Sports Exerc.* **1986**, 18 (3), 353–359. [https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-](https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-198606000-00018)
359 198606000-00018.

360 (13) Batista Silva, W.; Daloso, D. M.; Fernie, A. R.; Nunes-Nesi, A.; Araújo, W. L.
361 Can Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometry Replace radiolabelled Approaches in
362 Metabolic Studies? *Plant Sci.* **2016**, 249, 59–69.
363 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.05.011>.

364 (14) Zamboni, N.; Fendt, S.-M.; Rühl, M.; Sauer, U. ¹³C-Based Metabolic Flux
365 Analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **2009**, 4 (6), 878–892.
366 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.58>.

367 (15) Higashi, R. M.; Fan, T. W.-M.; Lorkiewicz, P. K.; Moseley, H. N. B.; Lane, A.
368 N. Stable Isotope-Labeled Tracers for Metabolic Pathway Elucidation by GC-MS
369 and FT-MS. In *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)*; 2014; Vol. 1198, pp
370 147–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1258-2_11.

371 (16) Sauer, U. Metabolic Networks in Motion: ¹³C-Based Flux Analysis. *Mol. Syst.*
372 *Biol.* **2006**, 2, 62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/msb4100109>.

373 (17) Schlotterbeck, G.; Ross, A.; Dieterle, F.; Senn, H. Metabolic Profiling
374 Technologies for Biomarker Discovery in Biomedicine and Drug Development.
375 *Pharmacogenomics* **2006**, 7 (7), 1055–1075.
376 <https://doi.org/10.2217/14622416.7.7.1055>.

377 (18) Zamboni, N. Toward Metabolome-Based ¹³C Flux Analysis: A Universal Tool
378 for Measuring in Vivo Metabolic Activity; Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007; pp

379 129–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/4735_2007_0220.

380 (19) Wiechert, W.; Möllney, M.; Petersen, S.; de Graaf, A. A. A Universal
381 Framework for ¹³C Metabolic Flux Analysis. *Metab. Eng.* **2001**, 3 (3), 265–283.
382 <https://doi.org/10.1006/mben.2001.0188>.

383 (20) Jang, C.; Chen, L.; Rabinowitz, J. D. Metabolomics and Isotope Tracing. *Cell*
384 **2018**, 173 (4), 822–837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.055>.

385 (21) Bunk, B.; Kucklick, M.; Jonas, R.; Münch, R.; Schobert, M.; Jahn, D.; Hiller, K.
386 MetaQuant: A Tool for the Automatic Quantification of GC/MS-Based
387 Metabolome Data. *Bioinformatics* **2006**, 22 (23), 2962–2965.
388 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl526>.

389 (22) Lei, Z.; Li, H.; Chang, J.; Zhao, P. X.; Sumner, L. W. MET-IDEA Version 2.06;
390 Improved Efficiency and Additional Functions for Mass Spectrometry-Based
391 Metabolomics Data Processing. *Metabolomics* **2012**, 8 (S1), 105–110.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-012-0397-5>.

393 (23) Hiller, K.; Hangebrauk, J.; Jäger, C.; Spura, J.; Schreiber, K.; Schomburg, D.
394 MetaboliteDetector: Comprehensive Analysis Tool for Targeted and Nontargeted
395 GC/MS Based Metabolome Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, 81 (9), 3429–3439.
396 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac802689c>.

397 (24) Domingo-Almenara, X.; Brezmes, J.; Vinaixa, M.; Samino, S.; Ramirez, N.;
398 Ramon-Krauel, M.; Lerin, C.; Díaz, M.; Ibáñez, L.; Correig, X.; Perera-Lluna,
399 A.; Yanes, O. ERah: A Computational Tool Integrating Spectral Deconvolution
400 and Alignment with Quantification and Identification of Metabolites in GC/MS-
401 Based Metabolomics. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, 88 (19), 9821–9829.
402 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b02927>.

403 (25) Ni, Y.; Su, M.; Qiu, Y.; Jia, W.; Du, X. ADAP-GC 3.0: Improved Peak Detection
404 and Deconvolution of Co-Eluting Metabolites from GC/TOF-MS Data for
405 Metabolomics Studies. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, 88 (17), 8802–8811.
406 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b02222>.

407 (26) Ji, H.; Zhang, Z.; Lu, H. TarMet: A Reactive GUI Tool for Efficient and
408 Confident Quantification of MS Based Targeted Metabolic and Stable Isotope
409 Tracer Analysis. *Metabolomics* **2018**, 14 (5), 68. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-018-1363-7)
410 [018-1363-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-018-1363-7).

411 (27) Wills, J.; Edwards-Hicks, J.; Finch, A. J. AssayR: A Simple Mass Spectrometry
412 Software Tool for Targeted Metabolic and Stable Isotope Tracer Analyses. *Anal.*

413 *Chem.* **2017**, 89 (18), 9616–9619. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02401>.

- 414 (28) Wei, X.; Shi, B.; Koo, I.; Yin, X.; Lorkiewicz, P.; Suhail, H.; Rattan, R.; Giri, S.;
415 McClain, C. J.; Zhang, X. Analysis of Stable Isotope Assisted Metabolomics
416 Data Acquired by GC-MS. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2017**, 980, 25–32.
417 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2017.05.002>.

- 418 (29) Ferrazza, R.; Griffin, J. L.; Guella, G.; Franceschi, P. IsotopicLabelling: An R
419 Package for the Analysis of MS Isotopic Patterns of Labelled Analytes.
420 *Bioinformatics* **2017**, 33 (2), 300–302.
421 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw588>.

- 422 (30) Dagley, M. J.; McConville, M. J. DExSI: A New Tool for the Rapid Quantitation
423 of ¹³C-Labelled Metabolites Detected by GC-MS. *Bioinformatics* **2018**, 34 (11),
424 1957–1958. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty025>.

- 425 (31) Mairinger, T.; Sanderson, J.; Hann, S. GC–QTOFMS with a Low-Energy
426 Electron Ionization Source for Advancing Isotopologue Analysis in ¹³C-Based
427 Metabolic Flux Analysis. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2019**, 411 (8), 1495–1502.
428 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-01590-y>.

- 429 (32) Selivanov, V. A.; Benito, A.; Miranda, A.; Aguilar, E.; Polat, I. H.; Centelles, J.
430 J.; Jayaraman, A.; Lee, P. W. N.; Marin, S.; Cascante, M. MIDcor, an R-Program
431 for Deciphering Mass Interferences in Mass Spectra of Metabolites Enriched in
432 Stable Isotopes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **2017**, 18 (1), 88.
433 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1513-3>.

- 434 (33) de Mas, I. M.; Selivanov, V. A.; Marin, S.; Roca, J.; Orešič, M.; Agius, L.;
435 Cascante, M. Compartmentation of Glycogen Metabolism Revealed from ¹³C
436 Isotopologue Distributions. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **2011**, 5, 175.
437 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-5-175>.

- 438 (34) Kalderon, B.; Korman, S. H.; Gutman, A.; Lapidot, A. Glucose Recycling and
439 Production in Glycogenosis Type I and III: Stable Isotope Technique Study. *Am.*
440 *J. Physiol. Metab.* **1989**, 257 (3), E346–E353.
441 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1989.257.3.E346>.

- 442 (35) Benito, A.; Polat, I. H.; Noé, V.; Ciudad, C. J.; Marin, S.; Cascante, M.; Benito,
443 A.; Polat, I. H.; Noé, V.; Ciudad, C. J.; Marin, S.; Cascante, M.; Benito, A.;
444 Polat, I. H.; Noé, V.; Ciudad, C. J.; Marin, S.; Cascante, M. Glucose-6-Phosphate
445 Dehydrogenase and Transketolase Modulate Breast Cancer Cell Metabolic
446 Reprogramming and Correlate with Poor Patient Outcome. *Oncotarget* **2017**, 8

447 (63), 106693–106706. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.21601>.

448 (36) Schricker, T.; Albuszies, G.; Kugler, B.; Wachter, U.; Georgieff, M.
 449 Determination of Glycerol Turnover by Stable-Isotope Technique in Humans: A
 450 New [1,1,2,3,3-2H5]Glycerol Derivative for Mass-Spectrometry Analysis.
 451 *Nutrition* **10** (4), 342–345.

452 (37) Mairinger, T.; Steiger, M.; Nocon, J.; Mattanovich, D.; Koellensperger, G.;
 453 Hann, S. Gas Chromatography-Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry-
 454 Based Determination of Isotopologue and Tandem Mass Isotopomer Fractions of
 455 Primary Metabolites for ¹³C-Metabolic Flux Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*
 456 (23), 11792–11802. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03173>.

457 (38) Bueno, M. J.; Jimenez-Renard, V.; Samino, S.; Capellades, J.; Junza, A.; López-
 458 Rodríguez, M. L.; Garcia-Carceles, J.; Lopez-Fabuel, I.; Bolaños, J. P.; Chandel,
 459 N. S.; Yanes, O.; Colomer, R.; Quintela-Fandino, M. Essentiality of Fatty Acid
 460 Synthase in the 2D to Anchorage-Independent Growth Transition in
 461 Transforming Cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (1), 5011.
 462 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13028-1>.

463 (39) Brunner, J. S.; Vulliard, L.; Hofmann, M.; Kieler, M.; Lercher, A.; Vogel, A.;
 464 Russier, M.; Brüggenthies, J. B.; Kerndl, M.; Saferding, V.; Niederreiter, B.;
 465 Junza, A.; Frauenstein, A.; Scholtysek, C.; Mikami, Y.; Klavins, K.; Krönke, G.;
 466 Bergthaler, A.; O'Shea, J. J.; Weichhart, T.; Meissner, F.; Smolen, J. S.; Cheng,
 467 P.; Yanes, O.; Menche, J.; Murray, P. J.; Sharif, O.; Blüml, S.; Schabbauer, G.
 468 Environmental Arginine Controls Multinuclear Giant Cell Metabolism and
 469 Formation. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11* (1), 1090. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14285-1)
 470 [020-14285-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14285-1).

471 (40) Llinàs-Arias, P.; Rosselló-Tortella, M.; López-Serra, P.; Pérez-Salvia, M.; Setién,
 472 F.; Marin, S.; Muñoz, J. P.; Junza, A.; Capellades, J.; Calleja-Cervantes, M. E.;
 473 Ferreira, H. J.; Moura, M. C. de; Srbic, M.; Martínez-Cardús, A.; Torre, C. de la;
 474 Villanueva, A.; Cascante, M.; Yanes, O.; Zorzano, A.; Moutinho, C.; Esteller, M.
 475 Epigenetic Loss of the Endoplasmic Reticulum–Associated Degradation Inhibitor
 476 SVIP Induces Cancer Cell Metabolic Reprogramming. *JCI Insight* **2019**, *4* (8).
 477 <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI.INSIGHT.125888>.

478 (41) Cano-Crespo, S.; Chillarón, J.; Junza, A.; Fernández-Miranda, G.; García, J.;
 479 Polte, C.; R. de la Ballina, L.; Ignatova, Z.; Yanes, Ó.; Zorzano, A.; Stephan-Otto
 480 Attolini, C.; Palacín, M. CD98hc (SLC3A2) Sustains Amino Acid and

- Nucleotide Availability for Cell Cycle Progression. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, 9 (1), 14065.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50547-9>.
- (42) Soukupova, J.; Malfettone, A.; Hyroššová, P.; Hernández-Alvarez, M.-I.;
Peñuelas-Haro, I.; Bertran, E.; Junza, A.; Capellades, J.; Giannelli, G.; Yanes, O.;
Zorzano, A.; Perales, J. C.; Fabregat, I. Role of the Transforming Growth Factor- β in Regulating Hepatocellular Carcinoma Oxidative Metabolism. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**,
7 (1), 12486. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12837-y>.
- (43) Lahiguera, Á.; Hyroššová, P.; Figueras, A.; Garzón, D.; Moreno, R.;
Soto- Cerrato, V.; McNeish, I.; Serra, V.; Lazaro, C.; Barretina, P.; Brunet, J.;
Menéndez, J.; Matias- Guiu, X.; Vidal, A.; Villanueva, A.; Taylor- Harding, B.;
Tanaka, H.; Orsulic, S.; Junza, A.; Yanes, O.; Muñoz- Pinedo, C.; Palomero, L.;
Pujana, M. À.; Perales, J. C.; Viñals, F. Tumors Defective in Homologous
Recombination Rely on Oxidative Metabolism: Relevance to Treatments with
PARP Inhibitors . *EMBO Mol. Med.* **2020**.
<https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201911217>.
- (44) Loos, M.; Gerber, C.; Corona, F.; Hollender, J.; Singer, H. Accelerated Isotope
Fine Structure Calculation Using Pruned Transition Trees. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, 87
(11), 5738–5744. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00941>.
- (45) Newsome, G. A.; Steinkamp, F. L.; Giordano, B. C. Isobutane Made Practical as
a Reagent Gas for Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Soc. Mass
Spectrom.* **2016**, 27 (11), 1789–1795. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-016-1463-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-016-1463-4)
4.

Figure 1. Comparison of EI and CI. (A) Lactate 2TMS spectra obtained from non-labelled (red) and $^{13}\text{C}_6$ -Glucose labelled (blue) cell cultures. Top spectra show electron impact ionization mass spectral fragments of lactate. Bottom spectra show ions produced by chemical ionization, where the isotopic envelop of intact $[M+H]^+$ ion is squared and zoomed in. (B) Chemical ionization spectra of Citrate 4TMS using isobutane and methane as reagent gases. (C) Protonated $[M+H]^+$ ion abundance ratios (isobutane/methane) of glycolysis and TCA cycle compounds.

516

517

518 **Figure 2. Low-mass and high-mass resolution methods for isotopologue analysis**

519 **using GC CI-isobutane.** (A) Extracted Ion Chromatograms (EICs) of lactate 2TMS

520 isotopologues from NS and SIM acquisition using a QqQ mass analyzer. Squared

521 values are rounded $\log_{10}$ Signal-to-Noise (SNR) values calculated per each

522 isotopologue. (B) Relative deviation of isotopologue abundances in relation to

523 theoretical natural abundances, experimentally calculated from 14 metabolites using

a QqQ mass analyzer (n=9). (C) Relative deviation of isotopologue abundances in relation to theoretical natural abundances, experimentally calculated from 8 and 23 metabolites, using TOF and Orbitrap mass analyzers, respectively (n=5-10). Blue shade indicates the range of relative theoretical abundance per ion. (D) Relative abundances of isotopologue ions of lactate 2TMS, malate 3TMS, aconitate 3TMS and dihydroxy-acetone phosphate 3TMS+methoxyamine (MA) from NS acquisition using a QqQ mass analyzer, and full scan using an Orbitrap mass analyzer. In these, the spectra belong to a ^{13}C -labelled sample.

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

Figure 3. Data input and function hierarchy of isoSCAN. A) isoSCAN R-package main workflow: *autoQ* function performs quantification for both low (LR) and high-resolution (HR) data. The input of *autoQ* is a list of targeted compounds and mz(X)ML files, and its results can be plotted using *metBarPlot*. In addition, raw data can be explored by separate functions. B) Isotopologues to consider in HRMS for Lactate 2TMS (C₉H₂₄O₃Si₂). Each color determines ions that should be summed for correct isotopologue estimation if ¹³C enrichment is to be quantified.

Supplementary Table 1. Compounds resolved using CI-isobutane detection and quantification. TMS (trimethyl-silyl); MA (methoxamine). *Method 2 also includes part of TCA cycle and some aminoacids.

Compound Name	RT (minutes)	Compound Formula	Detected derivative	Derivative Formula	Detected m/z
Method 1. Glycolysis, TCA and Aminoacids					
Proline	3,76	C ₅ H ₉ NO ₂	1TMS	C ₈ H ₁₈ NO ₂ Si	188,1101
Pyruvate	3,9	C ₃ H ₄ O ₃	1TMS+MA	C ₇ H ₁₆ O ₃ NSi	190,0891
Lactate	4,01	C ₃ H ₆ O ₃	2TMS	C ₉ H ₂₄ O ₃ Si ₂	236,1263
Valine	5,91	C ₅ H ₁₁ NO ₂	3TMS	C ₁₁ H ₃₀ NO ₂ Si ₃	262,1653
Isoleucine	5,95	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	2TMS	C ₁₂ H ₂₉ NO ₂ Si ₂	276,1808
Leucine	6,22	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	2TMS	C ₁₂ H ₂₉ NO ₂ Si ₂	276,1808
Glycine	6,37	C ₂ H ₅ NO ₂	3TMS	C ₁₁ H ₃₀ NO ₂ Si ₃	292,1571
Succinate	6,84	C ₄ H ₄ O ₄	2TMS	C ₁₀ H ₂₃ O ₄ Si ₂	263,1134
Alanine	6,97	C ₃ H ₇ NO ₂	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₂ NO ₂ Si ₃	306,1727
Serine	7,03	C ₃ H ₇ NO ₃	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₁ NO ₃ Si ₃	322,1683
Fumarate	7,22	C ₄ H ₂ O ₄	2TMS	C ₁₀ H ₂₁ O ₄ Si ₂	261,0978
Oxaloacetate	8,2	C ₄ H ₂ O ₅	2TMS+MA	C ₁₁ H ₂₄ O ₅ NSi ₂	306,1193
Malate	8,95	C ₄ H ₄ O ₅	3TMS	C ₁₃ H ₃₁ O ₅ Si ₃	351,1479
Aspartate	8,85	C ₄ H ₇ NO ₄	3TMS	C ₁₃ H ₃₁ NO ₄ Si ₃	350,1632
Urea	9,28	CH ₄ N ₂ O	2TMS	C ₇ H ₂₁ N ₂ O ₂ Si ₂	205,1182
Ketoglutarate	9,88	C ₅ H ₄ O ₅	2TMS+MA	C ₁₂ H ₂₆ O ₅ NSi ₂	320,1349
Phosphoenolpyruvate	10,18	C ₃ H ₃ O ₆ P	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₀ O ₆ PSi ₃	385,1087
Glutamate	10,35	C ₅ H ₇ O ₄ N	3TMS	C ₁₄ H ₃₄ O ₄ NSi ₃	364,1795
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	11,44	C ₃ H ₅ O ₆ P	3TMS+MA	C ₁₃ H ₃₅ O ₆ NPSi ₃	416,1509
Aconitate	11,61	C ₆ H ₃ O ₆	3TMS	C ₁₅ H ₃₁ O ₆ Si ₃	391,1428
Dihydroxyacetone phosphate	11,66	C ₃ H ₅ O ₆ P	3TMS+MA	C ₁₃ H ₃₅ O ₆ NPSi ₃	416,1509

Glutamine	11,83	C ₅ H ₉ O ₃ N ₂	3TMS	C ₁₄ H ₃₅ O ₃ N ₂ Si ₃	363,1955
Glycerate 2-phosphate	11,98	C ₃ H ₅ O ₇ P	4TMS	C ₁₅ H ₄₀ O ₇ PSi ₄	475,1588
Glycerate 3-phosphate	12,18	C ₃ H ₅ O ₇ P	4TMS	C ₁₅ H ₄₀ O ₇ PSi ₄	475,1588
Citrate	12,25	C ₆ H ₅ O ₇	4TMS	C ₁₈ H ₄₁ O ₇ Si ₄	481,1929
Glucose	12,87	C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₆	5TMS+MA	C ₂₂ H ₅₆ O ₆ NSi ₅	570,2953
Ribose 5-phosphate	13,76	C ₅ H ₁₁ O ₈ P	5TMS+MA	C ₂₁ H ₅₄ NO ₈ PSi ₅	620,2505
Arginine	14,43	C ₆ H ₁₄ N ₄ O ₂	5TMS	C ₂₁ H ₅₂ N ₃ O ₂ Si ₅	520,0919
Fructose 6-phosphate	15,05	C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₉ P	6TMS+MA	C ₂₅ H ₆₅ O ₉ NPSi ₆	722,3012
Glucose 6-phosphate	15,12	C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₉ P	6TMS+MA	C ₂₅ H ₆₅ O ₉ NPSi ₆	722,3012
Lysine	18,09	C ₆ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₂	4TMS	C ₁₈ H ₄₆ N ₂ O ₂ Si ₄	435,2708

548

Compound Name	RT (minutes)	Compound Formula	Detected derivative	Derivative Formula	Detected m/z
Method 2. Nitrogen metabolism, TCA and Aminoacids					
Proline	7,79	C ₅ H ₉ NO ₂	1TMS	C ₈ H ₁₈ NO ₂ Si	188,1101
Urea	9,28	CH ₄ N ₂ O	2TMS	C ₇ H ₂₁ N ₂ OSi ₂	205,1182
Serine	9,76	C ₃ H ₇ NO ₃	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₁ NO ₃ Si ₃	322,1683
Glycine	10,95	C ₂ H ₅ NO ₂	3TMS	C ₁₁ H ₃₀ NO ₂ Si ₃	292,1571
Fumarate	11,88	C ₄ H ₂ O ₄	2TMS	C ₁₀ H ₂₁ O ₄ Si ₂	261,0978
Alanine	12,20	C ₃ H ₇ NO ₂	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₂ NO ₂ Si ₃	306,1727
Aspartate	13,43	C ₄ H ₇ NO ₄	3TMS	C ₁₃ H ₃₁ NO ₄ Si ₃	350,1632
Oxalacetate	13,50	C ₄ H ₂ O ₅	2TMS+MA	C ₁₁ H ₂₄ O ₅ NSi ₂	306,1193
Glicerol (13C3) IS	14,16	C ₃ H ₅ O ₃	3TMS	C ₁₂ H ₃₂ O ₃ Si ₃	312,1723
Arginine	14,43	C ₆ H ₁₄ N ₄ O ₂	5TMS	C ₂₁ H ₅₂ N ₃ O ₂ Si ₅	520,0919
Malate	14,50	C ₄ H ₄ O ₅	3TMS	C ₁₃ H ₃₁ O ₅ Si ₃	351,1479
Creatine	15,23	C ₄ H ₉ N ₃ O ₂	3TMS	C ₁₃ H ₃₁ N ₃ OSi ₃	330,1841
Ketoglutarate	15,48	C ₅ H ₄ O ₅	2TMS+MA	C ₁₂ H ₂₆ O ₅ NSi ₂	320,1349

Glutamate	15,93	C5H7O4N	3TMS	C14H34O4NSi3	364.1795
Agmatine	16.60	C5H14N4	3TMS	C14H35N3Si3	330.2203
Arginino-succinate	16.87	C10H18N4O6	5TMS	C11H31N4O10Si5	607.3362
Putrescine	16.97	C4H12N2	4TMS	C16H45N2Si4	377.2643
Aconitate	17.07	C6H3O6	3TMS	C15H31O6Si3	391.1428
Ornithine	17.10	C5H12N2O2	3TMS	C14H37N2O2Si3	349.2148
Citrate	17,70	C6H5O7	4TMS	C18H41O7Si4	481,1929
Cadaverine	17,71	C5H14N2	4TMS	C17H47N2Si4	391,2799
Histamine	17,71	C5H9N3	3TMS	C14H34N3Si3	328,2047
Tiramine	18,36	C8H11NO	3TMS	C17H36NOSi3	354,2091
Citruline	19,31	C6H13N3O3	3TMS	C15H38N3O3Si3	392,2206
Spermidine	20,50	C7H19N3	5TMS	C22H60N3Si5	506,3613

TMS (trimethyl-silyl); MA (methoxyamine)

549

550

551

Figure S1. Spectra obtained for Citrate 4TMS with EI 15eV and 70eV ionization. Intact ion (m/z 481.19) shows lower intensity with EI than with CI and isobutane as reagent gas.