In-silico interaction of Hydroxychloroquine drug with various proteins of coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): A Computational approaches to combat COVID-19

3 Rishee K. Kalaria¹* and Hiren K. Patel²

4 ¹ASPEE Shakilam Biotechnology Institute, Navsari Agricultural University, Surat (Gujarat)-395007

² School of Science, P. P. Savani University, Surat(Gujarat)-394125

6 * **Corresponding author:** risheekal@nau.in (ORCID-0000-0002-4481-3834)

7 Abstract:

8 Most human viral illnesses are a result of a pathogenic occurrence. Some of the diseases caused by these 9 transmissible events have infected millions of people around the world, with some contributing to elevated 10 morbidity/mortality rates in humans. Changes in the viral proteins that act as host receptor ligands may promote 11 spill over between organisms. Finding a remedy along with the putative mechanism to cure COVID-19 spread is 12 the urgent need of recent time. Even though limited amount of data are available, utilizing In silico approaches 13 can be promising for the action. In the present study, In silico approach were performed using receptor-binding 14 domain of Envelop protein, PLpro protein and Spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 and its interaction with drug 15 Hydroxychloroquine for hinders the epidemic. Based on available data of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, target 16 proteins structure were predicted using homology modelling and further structures stabilization check using 17 Ramachandran plot. Identification of pockets and cavities in all potential targets performed using CASTp web 18 server and energy minimization was carried out in order to dock these potential targets with the candidate drug 19 Hydroxychloroquine using Patchdock web server. In silico docking study showed that hydroxychloroquine drug 20 interactions with SARS-CoV2 show a higher binding affinity with spike glycoprotein and PLPRO protein 21 compared to protein envelopes that could be ladder for potential targeting and synthesizing of another aniviral 22 drug. In silico methods used in this study, the efficacy of a wide variety of repositioned and/or novel drug 23 candidates could also be tested prior to clinical evaluation.

24 Keywords: SARS-CoV2, CASTp, hydroxychloroquine, Molinspiration, ADMET and Patchdock web server

25 **1. Introduction:**

26 The world is facing a dire situation of global public health emergency due to a viral pandemic of severe 27 febrile pneumonia like respiratory syndrome caused by novel coronavirus, provisionally named as 2019-nCoV. 28 Coronaviruses (CoVs) cause various diseases in large ranges of vertebrates including humans. Although CoVs 29 were previously only associated with a common cold, new CoVs related to the "severe acute respiratory 30 syndrome" (SARS-CoV) discovered in China's human population for the first time in 2002 caused 10 percent of 31 the total worldwide cases to die [1];[2]. In Wuhan, China was first reported the rapidly spreading, highly 32 contagious and pathogenic SARS-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) associated Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-33 19) and also been declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) [3]. Nonetheless, it was 34 initially known as a novel coronavirus, namely 2019-nCoV. The World Health Organization (WHO) later called 35 the virus "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2" (SARSCoV2) and identified as "Coronavirus 36 Virus 2019" (COVID-19) the disease caused by it. SARS-COV-2, a member of the Coronaviridae family, is a type of positive-sense, single-stranded enveloped RNA viruses responsible for causing infections in avian,
mammalian and marine species across the world [4]. The 2019-nCov SARS-CoV-2 or Wuhan is identified as
the seventh strain of human coronaviruses. Based on phylogenetic analysis and taxonomy, ICTV recognized the
novel coronavirus as a sister to severe SARS-CoV and thus designated it as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [5].

42 Coronaviruses had the largest genomes of all recognized RNA viruses (26.4-31.7 kb). Assortment of 43 little size ORFs are accessible between the different conserved gene (ORF1ab, spike, envelope, film and 44 nucleocapsid) and, downstream to the nucleocapsid gene in various coronavirus lineages [6]. Approximately 66 45 per cent of the viral RNA gene, essentially located in the first ORF (ORF1a / b), decipheres two polyproteins, 46 pp1a and pp1ab, encoding 16 non-structural proteins (NSP), while the remaining ORFs encode decoration and 47 basic proteins. The rest some portion of infection genome encodes four crucial auxiliary proteins, including 48 spike (S) glycoprotein, little envelope (E) protein, framework (M) protein, and nucleocapsid (N) protein [7]. It 49 encodes, to be exact, the papain-like protease (PLpro) and the 3-chymotrypsinlike protease (3CLpro), for two 50 major polyproteins which are additionally treated by virally encoded cysteine proteases. Viral polyprotein 51 preparation is central to the production and infectivity of the infection [8]. On account of the significant jobs 52 these two proteases play in the viral life-cycle, they are significant focuses to direct further examinations on the 53 potential helpful targets [9].

54 At present, there is no clinically shown vaccinations and medicine for COVID-19 neutralization and 55 treatment as per U.S. FDA and WHO. Exploring approved drugs to treat COVID-19 is urgently required. Due to 56 a lack of time the best option is to reconfigure the drug against the COVID-19 goal with various computation 57 approaches. A low-cost antimalarial drug chloroquine and its derivative hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), along with 58 several other antiviral drugs used for combating COVID-19. Hydroxychloroquine sulfate is another form of 59 HCQ which is used for oral administration. HCQ is widely used for Pharmacokinetics because of it readily 60 absorbed in gastrointestinal and easy disposal from renal. However, the clinical signs and poisonous dosages of 61 these medications somewhat vary [10]. In vitro, hydroxychloroquine appears as a versatile bioactive agent 62 reported to possess antiviral activity against RNA viruses as diverse as hepatitis C virus [11], Zika virus [12], and Ebola virus [13], as well as various DNA viruses such as hepatitis B virus [14]. HCQ has latent therapeutic 63 64 advantages against SARS-CoV-1 [15]. Hydroxychloroquine has also been reported to inhibit HCoV-229E 65 replication in epithelial cultures of the lung cells in vitro [16]; [17]. Since of its wide range of action against 66 viruses, including most coronaviruses and in particular its close relative SARSCoV-1, the potential effects of 67 hydroxychloroquine on SARS-CoV-2 can be investigated according to preliminary reports [18]. 68 Hydroxychloroquine is probably the first molecule to be used in China and abroad on the front line for the 69 treatment of SARS CoV-2 infections [19].

In silico studies have played crucial role in candidate drug prediction, computer aided drug design and molecular interaction in past decade [20];[21]. To understand the targeted interaction between drugs and SARSCoV-2 proteins that could bind to receptor domains and help stop the spread of the virus. However, a docking analysis of hydroxychloroquine and its effect on target protein SARS-CoV-2 has yet to be conducted. This study thus provides further insight into the interaction of hydroxychloroquine, a common drug, with the SARS-CoV-2 receptor domain of Envelop protein, PLpro protein and Spike glycoprotein.

76 **2. Materials and Methods:**

77 2.1 Sequence analysis:

78 Some of the targeted protein sequences including SARS-CoV2 Envelop protein 79 sequence (ID:QIV65090.1), PLpro (papain-like protease or ORF1ab polyprotein) protein 80 sequence (ID: QIV65087.1) and Spike glycoprotein sequence (surface glycoprotein) (ID: QIV65088.1) were 81 retrieved from NCBI. Subsequently, all the targeted protein sequence of "SARS-CoV2" were subjected to 82 comparison with available PDB structure using NCBI's tool "BLASTp" [22];[23].

83 2.2 Protein Homology Modeling:

Based on BLASTp result, Protein structure with low resolution and good E-value were selected as templates. All the target protein sequence (Envelop protein, PLpro protein and Spike glycoprotein) along with template crystal structure of SARS-CoV proteins (PDB ID: 5X29_A, 7BTF_A, 6VSB_A) respectively were uploaded to SWISS-MODEL server for automated protein structure homology modelling [24];[25]. Best models were obtained, and each structure was further evaluated.

89 2.3 Protein structure validation and energy minimization:

90 ProSA is a web-based testing tool focused on a statistical study of all available protein structures used 91 to test the 3D query protein structure model for potential errors. This web tool's performance consists of 92 Z-score, and residue scores map. Z-score measures the consistency of the input protein in the overall model 93 [26];[27]. These models were further subjected to analyze the improvement in energy-minimized protein. 94 Evaluation of built model quality using swiss model was analyze through amino acid region in Ramachandran 95 plot in procheck web server [28]; [21]. The models were chosen based on the percentage of support and 96 frequency of outliers, which could be used for further study. 97 The energy minimization stage ensures that the modeled protein structure remains stable. Using ModRefiner we 98 bserver, the modeled target protein structures were minimized to energy after structure validation. Refinements 99 of the structures were accomplished by performing "main chain energy minimization" and then "strong full-100 atomic energy minimization" [26].

101 **2.4 Binding site prediction:**

102 CASTp server has acknowledged active site of all projected models (Computer Atlas of Surface
 103 Topology of protein). CASTp, which mechanically locates and calculates protein pockets and cavities, is based
 104 on precise methods of computational geometry as well as alpha form and distinct theory of flows. CASTp
 105 identification and capability of open external pockets as well as inaccessible interior cavities by identifying,
 106 delineating and measuring hollow external regions on three-dimensional protein structures [29].

107 2.5 Ligand Preparation:

108 The compound hydroxychloroquine as ligand in smiles structure was downloaded from PubChem109 database. The PubChem obtained structures are then translated to PDB files using the Free Babel converter tool.

- 110 Open Babel is widely used as an interconversion file format conversion tool and is often commonly referred to
- as a Swiss-knife chemoinformatics [30].

112 2.6 Screening of compounds:

113 2.6.1 Evaluation of Lipinski parameters for drug-likeness:

A drug likeliness property of hydroxychloroquine compound was analysed using Molinspiration tool.
For that, input was given in the form of smiles of compound. Molecular properties and bioactivity of drugs with
strong affinity prediction using Molinspiration server [31].

117 2.6.2 ADMET analysis:

118 For ADMET study, ligand saved in smiles format has been uploaded to SwissADME, PROTOX-II and 119 admetSAR webservers. SwissADME is a software resource for predicting ADME and molecular 120 pharmacokinetic properties. The expected outcome consists of lipophilicity, water solubility, physicochemical 121 properties, pharmacokinetics, pharmaco-like and medicinal chemistry [32]. PROTOX-II is a rodent oral toxicity 122 server predicting LD50 value and toxicity class of query molecule into six different classes ranging LD5-5000 123 with their consequences [33]. AdmetSAR provides ADMET profiles for query molecules and can predict approx 124 50 ADMET properties including toxicity classes [34];[35]. Ligand was further subjected to remove the Pan 125 Assay Interference Compounds (PAINS) and for its exclusion in bioassays [36].

126 2.7 In silico docking:

127 Docking of ligand hydroxychloroquine against different target proteins structure was performed using 128 patchdock web server. PatchDock is a molecular docking algorithm, based on geometry. The ligand and target 129 protein PDB files were submitted to the PatchDock server for docking analysis, using the default value of 130 RMSD cluster 4.0 and the complex form of protein-small ligand as the parameters for analysis. The PatchDock 131 study yielded results for the complementarity score (GSC score) and the geometric interface estimate (AI area) 132 [37];[38].

133 2.8 Ligplot analysis using PDBsum:

LIGPLOT v.4.5.3 PDBsum software was used to predict drug hydroxychloroquine binding sites in the Envelop protein, PLpro protein and Spike glycoprotein receptors. Mechanically, the LIGPLOT software produces 2-D schematic representations of protein-ligand complexes from PDB files. The LIGPLOT diagram displayed the schematic description of all the connections made between the ligand and the residues of protein molecules in the structure (hydrogen bonds and unbonded contacts) [39]; [40].

139 **3. Results:**

140 **3.1** Protein structure modeling, validation, energy minimization, and binding site prediction:

SARS-CoV2 Envelop protein showed 88 per cent similarity with PDB ID: 5X29_A(Envelope small
 membrane protein of Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus), while PLpro protein showed

- 99.89 per cent similarity with PDB ID: 7BTF_A (Chain A, SARS-CoV-2 NSP12 of Severe acute respiratory
 syndrome coronavirus 2) and Spike glycoprotein showed 99.50 per cent similarity with PDB ID: 6VSB_A
 (Chain A, SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein of Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2). All target
 protein structure were model using swiss model web server using above PDB structures as template (Fig. 1).
- 147 The protein structure validation scores before and after energy minimizations are summarized in Table 148 1.In ProSA Envelop protein showed minimal while PLPRO protein and spike glycoprotein showed good energy 149 minimization in protein structure. In ModRefiner, all the built structures showed significant changes in RMSD 150 score and result in more stable structure. In Ramachandran plot analysis by Procheck web server, there are 151 significant change in favour amino acid region of Envelop protein, PLPRO protein and spike glycoprotein of 152 SARS-CoV (Table 1).
- 153 Table 1: Comparison of all protein structure validation score before and after minimization of target protein
- 154 from webservers PRoSA,PRocheck
- 155

Protein targeting Protein validat server		Before EM	After EM	
	ProSA (Z-score)	-0.87	-0.59	
Envelop protein	Procheck	84.4% aa in favorable region	88.9 % aa in favorable region	
	ModRefiner	RMSD=5.0	RMSD=0.436	
	ProSA (Z-score)	-14.18	-12.87	
PLpro protein	Procheck	89.9% aa in favorable region	97.2% aa aa in favorable region	
	ModRefiner	RMSD=10.127	RMSD=1.056	
	ProSA (Z-score)	-13.79	-11.57	
Spike glycoprotein	Procheck	84.8% aa in favorable region	91.6% aa aa in favorable region	
	ModRefiner	RMSD=11.989	RMSD=0.642	

• Value of Z-score (in ProSA) is between-15 and 10

• RMSD ranges from 0 to 1.2 Å,

158

156

The active protein site is calculated by using the Castp system followed by the water molecules, the hetero atoms and the ligands are isolated as target proteins. The castp server tells about the cavities and pocket formed by various amino acid in all the target protein structures. The protein structure and predicted active site regions are shown in Fig.2.

163 ILE13 to LEU65 amino acids residues formed cavities and pocket which are play a considerable task164 in binding and catalytic activity in the active site of Envelop protein. While VAL1 to 226 ALA and MET1 to

165 VAL175 in amino acids residues formed cavities and pocket which are play a considerable task in binding and

- catalytic activity in the active site of PLPRO protein and spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV2.
- 167 **3.2 Ligand Preparation and Evaluation of Lipinski parameters:**

168 The compound hydroxychloroquine as ligand from pubchem database with id 3652 downloaded in 169 SDF format. Later using Open Babel tool translated in PDF format. Lipinski ligand law review was conducted 170 using Molinspiration with expected properties passed as LogA, Natoms, Mol. Wt., number of donor and 171 acceptor hydrogen bonds, number of rotatable bonds and volume and structure shown in Table 2.

172 Table 2: Lipinski rule passed by compound hydroxychloroquine

Volume	miLog p	TPSA	MW	nON	nOHNH	Volume
hydroxychloroquine	4.0	48.38	335.88	4	2	321.38

173

174 3.3 Absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity screening:

The compound hydroxychloroquine as ligand passed all the ADMET filters in SwissADME. Consensus Log Po/w value is 3.37. Good water solubility with high GI absorption with TPSA 48.39 Å2. The ligands had 0 violation of Lipinski's rules. It also clear the PAIN filter easily (Table 3). The ligand hydroxychloroquine also passed the toxicity prediction by PROTOX-II and admetSAR server. In PROTOX-II, the ligand fall under class-4 with LD50 as 1240mg/kg while in admetSAR server, fall under class-3 and does not have any carcinogenic property (Table 4).

Table 3: ADME properties of hydroxychloroquine predicted by SwissADME

Ligands SwissADME							
hydroxych	Consensu s Log Po/w	Water solubility	GI absorption	Drug- likeness	TPSA (Å2)	Lipinski's rule of five	Pain
loroquine	3.37	soluble	High	Yes	48.39	0 Violation	0 Alert

182

183 Table 4: Toxicity prediction of hydroxychloroquine predicted by PROTOX-II and admetSAR server

Ligands	PROTOX-II		admetSAR		
hydroxychloroquine	Class	LD50 (mg/kg)	Class	Carcinogenicity	
	4	1240mg/kg	III	Non-required	

184

185

186 **3.4 Docking using Patchdock server:**

187 The ligand hydroxychloroquine were docked at the binding sites of Envelop protein, PLPRO protein

188 and spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV2 using Patchdock server, that resulted in energy-based descriptors like

189 energy, intermol energy, torsional energy, internal energy measure of ligand was performed with estimated

binding energy -189.95kcal/mol, -216.15kcal/mol and -228.89 kcal/mol respectively as shown in Fig. 3, in Fig.

191 4 and Table 5.

192	Table 5: Docking calculation	ns depicting interac	ting residues and Bindir	ng affinity of targ	et proteins with Ligand

ligand Protein N		Interacted residues	Binding affinity (kcal/mol)
	Envelop protein	Phe26,Leu27,Thr30,Leu34, Ile46,Val47	-189.95
Hydroxychloroquine	PLPRO protein	Phe833,Ile834,Lys835, Gln836,Pro862,Pro863, Thr866,Asp867,	-216.15
	Spike glycoprotein	Thr319,Leu323,Cys395, Phe396,Arg456,Tyr457, Pro460,Pro676	-228.89

193

3.5 Ligplot analysis using PDBsum:

In Ligplot analysis, 6 binding residues (Phe26,Leu27,Thr30,Leu34, Ile46,Val47) were predicted in
Envelop protein while 8 binding residues (Phe833, Ile834, Lys835, Gln836, Pro862, Pro863, Thr866, Asp867)
from PLPRO protein and (Thr319, Leu323, Cys395, Phe396, Arg456, Tyr457, Pro460, Pro676) Spike
glycoprotein which were involved in interaction against the ligand hydroxychloroquine as shown in Fig. 5 and
Table 5.

200 **4 Discussions:**

201 With millions of people suffering from SARS-CoV2 in Asian and European countries, there is a 202 necessity to find a cure of pandemic COVID-19 as potent drugs which have minimal side effects on administration until vaccination achieved. Protein structure with a resolution of <2 A⁰ and identity above 90% 203 204 with the query protein sequence serves as a better template for homology modelling of dihydropteroate synthase 205 protein of Mycobacterium leprae [26]. Homology analysis of SARS-CoV2 envelope protein was carried out for 206 identified potential ion channel inhibitor [23]. Homology modelling of SARS-CoV2 receptor binding domain of 207 PLPRO protein used against FDA approved drugs [9]. Ravindra and Kalaria, 2019 [21] also perform the 208 validation of homology structure using Ramachandran plot of Tomato Leaf Curl Virus coat protein. Predicted 209 homology model were subjected to validation using PRoSA based on Z-score and Procheck based on favourable 210 amino acid. The models were further subjected to recheck the above properties after energy minimization to 211 prepare for In silico protein -ligand docking studies [26]. Twelve active site were predicted in Cathepsin L in 212 SARS CoV that could be used as potent drug target. Similar 86 anti staphylococcal compounds as ligand were

213 eventually passed from by Lipinski using Molinspiration tool and ADMET filters for potent inhibitor against 214 ClfA protein in Staphylococcus aureus using SwissADME tool [40] Lipinski's rule of five helps to determine 215 drug likeness of the compound; an orally active drug should not violate more than the rule. ligand showed 0 216 violations. Similarly work was carried out for phytochemicals as ligand against dihydropteroate synthase protein 217 of *Mycobacterium leprae*. The ligands were also passed the toxicity prediction by PROTOX-II with LD50 = 218 2500 mg/kg and ligands as non carcinogenic using admetSAR server [40]. Using Ligplot, Thr326, Glu329, 219 Arg602, Arg342, Gly387, Glu388, Val346, Glu337. Arg341, Arg344, Asn330, Lys333 are the active site 220 residues located in receptor-binding domain of Cathepsin L in SARS CoV which are play a considerable task in 221 binding and catalytic activity in the active site of protein. The docking outcome point out that ligand 222 CID11496897 with Cathepsin L in SARS CoV reported -7.4 kcal/mol binding energy [29]. The binding affinity 223 of dapsone ligands predicted by AutoDock Vina toward target protein binding site is -6.7 kcal/mol [40].

224 Since the structure and mechanism of action of antimalarial and anti-inflammatory drug (HCQ) ar precisely the 225 same apart from an extra radical moiety in one HCQ terminal, each function a weak base which will alter the 226 hydrogen ion concentration of acidic intracellular organels like endosomes / lysosomes, necessary for membrane 227 fusion. All the agents ar assumed to be powerful instruments against SARSCoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 [41]; [42]. 228 Associate vital drawback that is still, though, is whether or not HCQ contains a similar impact on SARS-CoV-2 229 infection. Some information indicate that HCQ effectively repressed all SARS-CoV-2 entry, transport and post-230 entry levels, kind of like antimalarial, and one study found that HCQ was a additional chemical agent than 231 antimalarial in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 in vitro [43]; [44]. The introduction of radical molecule renders HCQ 232 less pervious to the blood-retinal barrier and permits for faster clearance of retinal pigment cells, indicating a 233 lower risk of HCQ retinal toxicity compared to antimalarial [45]. Additionally, the tiny antimalarial therapeutic 234 and protection index margin makes HCQ an additional stable different than antimalarial.

235 **5. Conclusion:**

SARS-CoV-2's history has not been completely elucidated. There is a necessity for designing drugs for SARS-CoV-2 infections caused in human as it has remained an opportunistic pathogen in which causes significant number of the serious and deadly life losses in human. There is actually no COVID-19 pandemic vaccine and contamination is spreading across the globe and there is a compelling need for possible drug management. In the current scenario, we have carried out computational interaction analysis of hydroxychloroquine drugs, which is used as combating the COVID-19 pandemic in worldwide with specific binding sites of Envelop protein, PLPRO protein and spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV2.

243 Furthermore, concentrates in vitro and in vivo are required to approve this outcome. Similar in silico 244 docking examination uncovered that hydroxychloroquine drugs dealing with SARS-CoV2 show greater binding 245 affinity with spike glycoprotein and PLPRO protein contrast with envelop protein that could be stepping stool 246 for another aniviral medicate focusing on and creation in future. We expect that these examinations will be 247 steady for structuring a novel and powerful inhibitors against the SARS-CoV2. The minimal effort of 248 chloroquine and HCQ could likewise be a viable technique to battle COVID-19 (particularly in patients with 249 diabetes and other high mortality co-morbidities) in asset obliged and COVID-19 overburdened healthcare 250 services frameworks in creating nations like India.

251 Compliance with ethical standards

252 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

253 **Reference**

- Rota PA, Oberste MS, Monroe SS, Nix WA, Campagnoli R, Icenogle JP, Penaranda S, Bankamp B,
 Maher K, Chen M-h (2003) Characterization of a novel coronavirus associated with
 severe acute respiratory syndrome. science 300 (5624):1394-1399
- 257 2. Perlman S, Netland J (2009) Coronaviruses post-SARS: update on replication and
 258 pathogenesis. Nature reviews microbiology 7 (6):439-450
- 3. Hui DS, Azhar EI, Madani TA, Ntoumi F, Kock R, Dar O, Ippolito G, Mchugh TD, Memish ZA,
 Drosten C (2020) The continuing 2019-nCoV epidemic threat of novel coronaviruses to
 global health—The latest 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China.
 International Journal of Infectious Diseases 91:264
- 4. Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F (2020) Receptor recognition by the novel
 coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS
 coronavirus. Journal of virology 94 (7)
- 266 5. Gorbalenya AE (2020) Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus–The species
 267 and its viruses, a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. BioRxiv
- 268 6. Woo PC, Huang Y, Lau SK, Yuen K-Y (2010) Coronavirus genomics and bioinformatics
 269 analysis. viruses 2 (8):1804-1820
- 270 7. Cui J, Li F, Shi Z-L (2019) Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nature reviews
 271 Microbiology 17 (3):181-192
- 8. Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D (2020) Emerging coronaviruses: genome structure, replication, and
 pathogenesis. Journal of medical virology 92 (4):418-423
- 9. Arya R, Das A, Prashar V, Kumar M (2020) Potential inhibitors against papain-like protease of
 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) from FDA approved drugs.
- 10. Lee S-J, Silverman E, Bargman JM (2011) The role of antimalarial agents in the treatment of
 SLE and lupus nephritis. Nature Reviews Nephrology 7 (12):718
- 11. Mizui T, Yamashina S, Tanida I, Takei Y, Ueno T, Sakamoto N, Ikejima K, Kitamura T,
 Enomoto N, Sakai T (2010) Inhibition of hepatitis C virus replication by chloroquine
 targeting virus-associated autophagy. Journal of gastroenterology 45 (2):195-203
- 12. Delvecchio R, Higa LM, Pezzuto P, Valadão AL, Garcez PP, Monteiro FL, Loiola EC, Dias AA,
 Silva FJ, Aliota MT (2016) Chloroquine, an endocytosis blocking agent, inhibits Zika
 virus infection in different cell models. Viruses 8 (12):322

- 13. Dowall SD, Bosworth A, Watson R, Bewley K, Taylor I, Rayner E, Hunter L, Pearson G,
 Easterbrook L, Pitman J (2015) Chloroquine inhibited Ebola virus replication in vitro
 but failed to protect against infection and disease in the in vivo guinea pig model. The
 Journal of general virology 96 (Pt 12):3484
- 14. Kouroumalis E, Koskinas J (1986) Treatment of chronic active hepatitis B (CAH B) with
 chloroquine: a preliminary report. Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore 15
 (2):149-152
- 15. Keyaerts E, Li S, Vijgen L, Rysman E, Verbeeck J, Van Ranst M, Maes P (2009) Antiviral
 activity of chloroquine against human coronavirus OC43 infection in newborn mice.
 Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 53 (8):3416-3421
- 16. Blau DM, Holmes KV (2001) Human coronavirus HCoV-229E enters susceptible cells via the
 endocytic pathway. In: The Nidoviruses. Springer, pp 193-198
- 17. Kono M, Tatsumi K, Imai AM, Saito K, Kuriyama T, Shirasawa H (2008) Inhibition of human
 coronavirus 229E infection in human epithelial lung cells (L132) by chloroquine:
 involvement of p38 MAPK and ERK. Antiviral research 77 (2):150-152
- 18. Gao J, Tian Z, Yang X (2020) Breakthrough: Chloroquine phosphate has shown apparent
 efficacy in treatment of COVID-19 associated pneumonia in clinical studies. Bioscience
 trends
- 302 19. Jie Z, He H, Xi H, Zhi Z (2020) Multicenter Collaboration Group of Department of Science and
 303 Technology of Guangdong Province and Health Commission of Guangdong Province for
 304 Chloroquine in the Treatment of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia. Expert Consensus on
 305 Chloroquine Phosphate for the Treatment of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia [in
 306 Chinese] 10:1001-0939.2020
- 307 20. Bhatt MH, Prajapati CK, Reddy M (2017) In silico docking studies of Lupeol with MAPK
 308 pathway proteins-Raf-1, MEK & ERK. Journal of experimental therapeutics & oncology
 309 12 (2)
- 21. Ravindra S, Kalaria R (2019) Molecular Identification and in silico Characterization of Coat
 Protein in Tomato Leaf Curl Virus Associated in Tomato from South Gujarat Region of
 India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 8 (7):456-466
- 22. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool.
 Journal of molecular biology 215 (3):403-410
- 315 23. Gupta MK, Vemula S, Donde R, Gouda G, Behera L, Vadde R (2020) In-silico approaches to
 316 detect inhibitors of the human severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
 317 envelope protein ion channel. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics (just318 accepted):1-17

- 24. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T (2006) The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based
 environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics 22 (2):195-201
- 321 25. Ortega JT, Serrano ML, Pujol FH, Rangel HR (2020) Role of changes in SARS-CoV-2 spike
 322 protein in the interaction with the human ACE2 receptor: An in silico analysis. EXCLI
 323 journal 19:410
- 26. Halder ST, Dhorajiwala TM, Samant LR (2019) Multiple docking analysis and In silico
 absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity screening of anti-leprosy
 phytochemicals and dapsone against dihydropteroate synthase of Mycobacterium
 leprae. International journal of mycobacteriology 8 (3):229
- 328 27. Wiederstein M, Sippl MJ (2007) ProSA-web: interactive web service for the recognition of
 acids research 35
 acids research 35
 (suppl_2):W407-W410
- 28. Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM (1993) PROCHECK: a program to
 check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. Journal of applied
 crystallography 26 (2):283-291
- 29. Saddala M, Kiran J, Rani U (2016) In Silico Drug Design and Molecular Docking Studies of
 Potent Inhibitors against Cathepsin-L (Ctsl) for Sars Disease. J Res Development 4
 (145):2
- 337 30. O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, Hutchison GR (2011) Open
 338 Babel: An open chemical toolbox. Journal of cheminformatics 3 (1):33
- 339 31. Borra NK, Kuna Y (2013) Evolution of toxic properties of anti alzheimer's drugs through
 340 Lipinski's rule of five. Int J Pure App Biosci 1 (4):28-36
- 341 32. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2017) SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate
 342 pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small
 343 molecules. Scientific reports 7:42717
- 33. Banerjee P, Eckert AO, Schrey AK, Preissner R (2018) ProTox-II: a webserver for the
 prediction of toxicity of chemicals. Nucleic acids research 46 (W1):W257-W263
- 34. Yang H, Lou C, Sun L, Li J, Cai Y, Wang Z, Li W, Liu G, Tang Y (2019) admetSAR 2.0: webservice for prediction and optimization of chemical ADMET properties. Bioinformatics
 348 35 (6):1067-1069
- 349 35. Cheng F, Li W, Zhou Y, Shen J, Wu Z, Liu G, Lee PW, Tang Y (2012) admetSAR: a
 350 comprehensive source and free tool for assessment of chemical ADMET properties.
 351 ACS Publications,
- 36. Baell JB, Holloway GA (2010) New substructure filters for removal of pan assay interference
 compounds (PAINS) from screening libraries and for their exclusion in bioassays.
 Journal of medicinal chemistry 53 (7):2719-2740

- 37. Yadav S, Pandey SK, Singh VK, Goel Y, Kumar A, Singh SM (2017) Molecular docking studies
 of 3-bromopyruvate and its derivatives to metabolic regulatory enzymes: Implication
 in designing of novel anticancer therapeutic strategies. PloS one 12 (5)
- 358 38. Schneidman-Duhovny D, Inbar Y, Nussinov R, Wolfson HJ (2005) PatchDock and SymmDock:
 359 servers for rigid and symmetric docking. Nucleic acids research 33 (suppl_2):W363360 W367
- 361 39. Wallace AC, Laskowski RA, Thornton JM (1995) LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic
 362 diagrams of protein-ligand interactions. Protein engineering, design and selection 8
 363 (2):127-134
- 40. Wadapurkar RM, Shilpa M, Katti AKS, Sulochana M (2018) In silico drug design for
 Staphylococcus aureus and development of host-pathogen interaction network.
 Informatics in Medicine Unlocked 10:58-70
- 367 41. Biot C, Daher W, Chavain N, Fandeur T, Khalife J, Dive D, De Clercq E (2006) Design and
 368 synthesis of hydroxyferroquine derivatives with antimalarial and antiviral activities.
 369 Journal of medicinal chemistry 49 (9):2845-2849
- 42. Colson P, Rolain J-M, Lagier J-C, Brouqui P, Raoult D (2020) Chloroquine and
 hydroxychloroquine as available weapons to fight COVID-19. Int J Antimicrob Agents
 105932 (10.1016)
- 43. Liu J, Cao R, Xu M, Wang X, Zhang H, Hu H, Li Y, Hu Z, Zhong W, Wang M (2020)
 Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting
 SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. Cell discovery 6 (1):1-4
- 44. Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, Cui C, Huang B, Niu P, Liu X, Zhao L, Dong E, Song C (2020) In vitro
 antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for
 the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).
 Clinical Infectious Diseases
- 45. Marmor M, Kellner U, Lai T, Melles R, Mieler W (2016) American academy of ophthalmology.
 Recommendations on screening for chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine retinopathy
 (2016 revision) Ophthalmology 123 (6):1386-1394

383