## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Tele-substitution Reactions in the Synthesis of a Promising Class of Antimalarials

Marat Korsik,† Edwin G. Tse,†,‡ David G. Smith,¶ William Lewis,† Peter J. Rutledge,<sup>∗</sup>,† and Matthew H. Todd<sup>∗</sup>,‡

†School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

‡School of Pharmacy, University College London, 29-39 Brunswick Square, London WC1N

1AX, U.K.

¶School of Health and Life Sciences, Federation University, Gippsland Campus, VIC 3842, Australia

> E-mail: [peter.rutledge@sydney.edu.au;](peter.rutledge@sydney.edu.au)<matthew.todd@ucl.ac.uk> Phone: +61 2 9351 5020; +44 207 753 5568

### Abstract

We have discovered and studied a telesubstitution reaction in a biologically important heterocyclic ring system. Conditions that favour the *tele*-substitution pathway were identified: the use of increased equivalents of the nucleophile or decreased equivalents of base, or the use of softer nucleophiles, less polar solvents and larger halogens on the electrophile. Using results from X-ray crystallography and isotope labelling experiments a mechanism for this unusual transformation is proposed. We focused on this triazolopyrazine as it is the core structure of the in vivo active anti-plasmodium compounds of Series 4 of the Open Source Malaria consortium.

## 1 Introduction

Nucleophilic substitution is a widely employed method for functionalising electron deficient aromatic systems. Most commonly, a halide or other leaving group is simply displaced by an incoming nucleophile, known as direct or ipso-substitution.<sup>[1](#page-22-0)</sup> Under some circumstances however, a leaving group may be displaced from an aromatic system by a nucleophile entering

at a different position on the ring, for example at the carbon adjacent to the leaving group  $(cine\text{-substitution}^2)$  $(cine\text{-substitution}^2)$  $(cine\text{-substitution}^2)$  or even further away (telesubstitution, $3$  Figure [1A](#page-1-0)). We report here our discovery, and mechanistic studies, of a telesubstitution reaction in a  $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3$ a]pyrazine system, which is at the core of a series of molecules with significant potential for the future treatment of malaria. [4](#page-22-3)

The first example of a *tele*-substitution reac-tion was reported in 1930 (Figure [1B](#page-1-0)).<sup>[5](#page-22-4)</sup> In this case, the reaction of 2-(chloromethyl) furan  $(1)$ with NaCN resulted in the attachment of the nitrile group not in place of the chlorine atom, but instead distant from the expected electrophilic site on the opposite side of the furan ring (2). Other examples of tele-substitution reactions have since been reported for a variety of aromatic systems ranging from simple pyrazine rings<sup>[6](#page-22-5)</sup> (Figure [1C](#page-1-0)) to more complex triazolopy-razine ring systems<sup>[7,](#page-22-6)[8](#page-22-7)</sup> (Figures [1D](#page-1-0) and [1E](#page-1-0)), the latter of which are of particular relevance to the present work. Despite these and other reports,  $9-12$  $9-12$  tele-substitution reactions are not well understood; they remain hard to predict and appear to be strongly substrate dependent. Interestingly, many of the known examples of tele-substitution involve aza-aromatic ring systems which are common in medicinal chemistry

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1: A) Possible positions for nucleophilic aromatic substitution of X. B) First reported case of a tele-substitution reaction in 1930. Further reports of tele-substitution in C) pyrazine, D)  $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5$ -a $]$ pyrazine and E) [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine ring systems.

and drug discovery campaigns. Given the isomeric nature of the *ipso*- and *tele*-substituted products, and the sometimes cursory level of characterisation in medicinal chemistry articles (where compound identity may be demonstrated using only a  ${}^{1}$ H NMR spectrum and an LCMS trace) it is important, as we have discovered, to be aware of the possibility of this underappreciated reaction in order to avoid drawing conclusions from erroneous SAR data.

Here, we illustrate this with our studies on the tele-substitution reactions of the [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (hereafter referred to as 'triazolopyrazine') heterocyclic system. These nitrogen-rich, electron-deficient heterocycles are important building blocks for the development of new medicines and have shown a wide variety of biological activities (Figure [2\)](#page-1-1). We have an interest in this motif because it forms the core of Series 4 of the Open Source Malaria (OSM) consortium, [13](#page-22-10) represented here by compound 10 which possesses in vitro<sup>[14](#page-22-11)</sup>  $(IC_{50} = 38 \text{ nM})$  and in vivo<sup>[15](#page-22-12)</sup> antimalarial activity. Compound 11 has been reported to have nanomolar potency as an inhibitor of the kidney urea transporter UT-A1. [16](#page-22-13) Compound

12 was recently patented in 2016 as a renal outer medullary potassium channel (ROMK) inhibitor.<sup>[17](#page-22-14)</sup> Sitagliptin  $(13)$  was approved by the FDA in 2006 as an antidiabetic drug (dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-IV inhibitor). [18](#page-22-15) Compound 14 is a lead molecule  $(IC_{50} < 100 \text{ nM}),$ that acts as an inhibitor of bromodomain and extra-terminal motif (BET) proteins for cancer treatment. [19](#page-22-16) Compound 15 is patented as an  $N$ -methyl-D-aspartate subtype  $2B$  (NM-DAR2B) receptor antagonist. [20](#page-23-0)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

Figure 2: Examples of bioactive molecules that include a triazolopyrazine motif or close derivative. 10 is an example compound from OSM Series 4; 11 is an inhibitor of the UT-A1 transporter; 12 is a ROMK inhibitor; Sitagliptin (13) is an FDA approved antidiabetic drug; 14 is a BET inhibitor with potential in cancer treatment; **15** is an NM-DAR2B receptor antagonist.

## 2 Results and discussion

The synthesis of members of OSM Series 4 relies on a routine  $S<sub>N</sub>Ar$  reaction involving the nucleophilic displacement of a chlorine atom from a triazolopyrazine core (e.g. 16). When the synthesis of thioether analogue 17 was attempted using the standard conditions for this reaction (Figure [3A](#page-2-0)), in addition to this expected product, a compound with a significantly lower TLC retention factor was observed and isolated. This was later identified to be

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 3: A) Reaction used to make an OSM Series 4 compound 17, and its tele-substituted isomer 18. B) Proposed mechanisms for ipso- and *tele*-substitution.<sup>[21](#page-23-1)</sup> R =  $CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ .

the *tele*-substituted isomer 18. Since the 8isomer 18 is a main product that was formed in 83% yield and due to the similarity of the  ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of these two isomers (Figure [4\)](#page-3-0), the *tele*-substituted isomer 18 was initially misassigned as the desired product 17. After the reaction had been repeated and examined more thoroughly compound 17 was successfully isolated as a minor product with 8% yield. The diagnostic spectroscopic difference between these isomers lies in the peaks arising from the hydrogen atoms at positions 5 and 8 on the triazolopyrazine ring; the correspondence between the NMR spectra and the structures was confirmed using X-ray crystallography (vide infra) and deuteration experiments. In a medicinal chemistry context, this spectroscopic similarity is a hazard for the understanding of structure activity relationships: the original evaluation of this synthetic product had concluded that 17 was inactive  $(IC_{50} > 10 \mu M)$  in a malaria parasite killing assay (in vitro against P. falciparum 3D7 strain), when in fact it was 18 that had been evaluated in its place. Compound 17 was later tested and found to have reasonable potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.04  $\mu$ M).

According to the generally accepted ipsosubstitution reaction mechanism, the first step is nucleophile attack on the carbon atom to

which halogen is attached  $(19,$  Figure [3B](#page-2-0)). The resulting intermediate (20) expels chloride, leading to the ipso-substituted product (21). On the other hand, a plausible mechanism for the tele-substitution reaction could involve the initial attack of the nucleophile at the 8-position (22, Figure [3B](#page-2-0)), followed by loss of the 8-position proton as part of the elimination of the chloride (23). Since mechanistic studies on tele-substitution reactions are scarce in the literature, we sought better understanding of the process operating in this case.

To better define the scope of tele-substitution in this triazolopyrazine system, 8- and 6 halogenated variants of the triazolopyrazine core were synthesised from the corresponding dihalopyrazines following literature proce-dures<sup>[22](#page-23-2)</sup> and subjected to the same reaction conditions as the original 5-chloro triazolopyrazine. The 8-halogenated cores (25-27, Figure [5A](#page-5-0)) reacted to give the expected ipso-substituted products only (28-36), while the 6-halogenated analogues (37 and 38, Figure [5B](#page-5-0)) resulted only in degradation of starting material without formation of any substituted product. While there is limited literature precedence, dihalopyrazines (e.g. 39-41, Figure [5C](#page-5-0)) have been shown to give exclusively ipso-substituted products (42- 44 respectively). With these experiments showing that the tele-substitution reaction is observed only with the 5-halogenated cores (Figure [3A](#page-2-0)), the following mechanistic discussion will focus on that system.

#### Factors influencing *ipso-* vs. telesubstitution.

A) Influence of triazolopyrazine structure and nucleophile.<sup>[a](#page-0-0)</sup> The nature of the nucleophile plays a crucial role in the outcome of the reaction (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). When compared to reactions with alcohols, the use of more nucleophilic amines and thiols led to significantly more tele-substituted products (Entries 1-6, 12- 17 and 21-26). This trend may explain why tele-substituted isomers were apparently not seen in the literature synthesis of related struc-tures<sup>[23](#page-23-3)</sup> in which the incoming nucleophile was restricted to alcohols.

<sup>a</sup>When the conditions employed with alcohols and thiols (KOH, 18-crown-6) were used with amine nucle-

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 17 and 18 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The hydrogen atoms on the pyrazine ring for the 5-substituted isomers (highlighted in green and orange in 17) give rise to sharp singlets ( $\delta \sim 7.5$  and  $\sim$ 9.0 ppm), while those in the 8-substituted isomers (highlighted in pink and blue in 18) give well-defined doublets  $(\delta \sim 7.2-7.7 \text{ ppm}, J = 4.6 \text{ Hz}).$ 

The nature of the leaving halogen also influences the outcome, with *tele*-substitution favoured in the order  $I > Br > Cl$  (compare ratio in Entries 4, 15 and 24).

In cases where a larger substituent is in position 3 of the triazolopyrazine core (e.g. a (4- OMe)Ph group compared to a hydrogen atom), and the leaving halogen is either a Br or I atom, the distribution of *ipso*- to *tele*-substituted products is favoured towards the latter (compare Entries 12 and 15 or 21 and 24). Similar experiments in which the leaving halogen is a Cl atom show little to no change in distribution of products (compare Entries 1 and 4). Further investigation of the substituent at the 3-position led to the conclusion that bulkiness does not affect the reaction (i.e. substitution with (4-OMe)Ph is comparable to that of the larger  $(3,5-tBu)Ph$  or 9-anthracene; Entries 4, 10 and 11 respectively).

Substrates with electron donating (EDG) and electron withdrawing (EWG) groups on the phenyl ring at the 3-position of the core were studied in order to evaluate the influence of electronic effects on the distribution of products. Experiments on bromotriazolopyrazines showed that EDGs tend to promote the tele-substitution pathway of the reaction, while EWGs lead to ipso-products only (Entries 15 and 18-20). Interestingly, chloro-triazolopyrazines do not follow this pattern and show no dependence on the electronic effects from the substituent in the 3-position (Entries 4, 7, 8 and 9).

From the experiments summarised in Table [1,](#page-4-0) two gave surprising results. The reaction be-

ophiles, the reaction progress was comparatively slow so the base was replaced with silica, which gave better conversion; for convenience the rate was made comparable to those seen with the other nucleophiles by raising the reaction temperature, as the reaction at room temperature was not complete after 2 weeks.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 1: Influence of triazolopyrazine structure, leaving halogen X and nucleophile on the reaction outcome. Conditions: <sup>a</sup>KOH, 18-crown-6, toluene, room temperature; <sup>b</sup>silica, toluene, reflux. <sup>c</sup>Dehalogenation by-product 49 was isolated as well in 74% yield. <sup>d</sup>Dehalogenation by-product 49 was isolated in 11% yield along with ring opening product 50 in 17% yield (refer to Figure [6](#page-6-0) for details).  $R_1 = CH_2CH_2Ph$ . ND: not determined.



tween the iodo-triazolopyrazine core 45n and the thiol nucleophile (Entry 25) in addition to the 8-substituted compound 47b, isolated in 13% yield, gave dehalogenated product 49 in 74% yield. This product was not observed for any other reaction substrates bearing a chlorine or bromine atom. This type of the dehalogenation reaction has not previously been reported

in the literature. The other unexpected product was isolated from the reaction between the iodo-triazolopyrazine core 45n and the amine nucleophile (Entry 26). In addition to the isolation of the major tele-substituted isomer 47c and dehalogenation product 49, a minor byproduct was obtained in 17% yield, the structure was determined by single crystal X-ray

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 5: Reactions of halogenated triazolopyrazine isomers and pyrazines. A) 8-Isomer; B) 6- Isomer; C) Pyrazine; Conditions: <sup>a</sup>KOH, 18-crown-6, toluene, room temperature (reactions involve measuring small amounts of hygroscopic KOH, which can contribute to reproducibility challenges, thus experiments were performed in duplicate and are reported as average values); <sup>b</sup>silica, toluene, reflux (more details in Table [1\)](#page-4-0).

diffraction to be based on a 5-(1H-imidazol-2 yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole core instead of triazolopyrazine (50, Figure [6\)](#page-6-0). It is possible that compound 50 could be formed via initial nucleophile attack at the 8-position of the pyrazine ring (51), followed by the pyrazine ring opening (52) and rearrangement (53) leading to 50. While the analogous reaction utilising the chlorine-substituted triazolopyrazine (Entry 6) did not lead to this rearranged product, it was formed in trace amounts when the bromosubstituted triazolopyrazine was employed (Entry 17). This trend may either be due to a suboptimal bond geometry (i.e. pseudo-equatorial I atom) arising from the larger halogen atom or from a better match of orbital energies for elimination (in the case of the chlorine leaving group).

B) Influence of solvent. With the reaction between 45i and the alcohol nucleophile (Table [1,](#page-4-0) Entry 1) giving significant quantities of both isomers, this was used as the model reaction to investigate further the influence of solvent on the reaction outcome (Table [2\)](#page-7-0). A screen of aprotic solvents clearly showed that solvents with higher dielectric constants lead to less telesubstitution and also lowers the overall yield of the reaction. Protic solvents are inherently unsuitable for this reaction as they can easily themselves react with the halogenated triazolopyrazine. This was demonstrated when water was used as the solvent, giving the product 48a in 94% yield, by result of tele-substitution with  $H_2O$ .

C) Influence of excess alcohol and base. By using the same model reaction above, the effect of alcohol and base equivalents was investigated. It was found that the use of an excess of nucleophile resulted in a shift of the reaction outcome drastically towards the formation of the 8-isomer (47a, Figure [7A](#page-6-1)). These observations suggest that the use of a softer nucleophile (here one in which the anion is surrounded by a "solvent shell" of OH bonds arising from excess nucleophile) leads to greater formation of the 8-isomer. Similarly, when fewer equivalents of base were used, a higher proportion of telesubstitution was again observed (Figure [7B](#page-6-1)).

D) Influence of water and temperature. In order to evaluate the impact of the level of water present on tele-substitution, the reaction between 45a (unsubstituted on the triazole ring) and piperidine was conducted in toluene with various levels of water, as well as in water itself  $(H_2O \text{ and } D_2O)$ . The isolated yields of the  $5-$  (55) and 8-isomer (56) were identical for experiments in both wet and dry toluene (Table [3,](#page-8-0) Entries 1 and 3). At room temperature the reaction took 14 days to complete (Entry 2), but the outcome was comparable to that when heating under reflux conditions. When molecular sieves were included in the reaction mixture (using dried toluene) the ratio of products

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 6: Unexpected product 50 of ring opening and rearrangement from the reaction of iodotriazolopyrazine and an amine nucleophlie, with an X-ray structure and proposed mechanism for this product (see SI for more details, Figure S2.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

Figure 7: Crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR comparison of the reaction outcome depending on A) amount of alcohol; B) amount of base. Structures of isomers placed next to corresponding signals from  $\text{CH}_2$  groups which are indicated by arrow.

changed, though it is possible that this could arise from catalytic activity at the zeolite surface itself (Entry 4).  $24.25$  $24.25$  Performing the reaction in  $H_2O$  (Entry 5) gave a comparable result to that in wet toluene. This is counter to the example where the alcohol nucelophile was out-competed by the solvent water to give the tele-substitution product (vide supra). It could be concluded that the presence of water in the solvent and the reaction temperature do not al-

ter the distribution of products in the studied reaction.

E) Isotope labeling experiments. Following the observation that no hydroxy-substituted product was identified in the reaction between the halogenated triazolopyrazine core 45a and an amine nucleophile in the presence of water, deuteration experiments were peformed to gain insight into the reaction mechanism. This reaction was carried out in  $D_2O$  giving two compounds, 57 and 58 (Figure [8A](#page-8-1)). The examination of products with <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>2</sup>H NMR spectroscopy showed incorporation of one D atom in 57 and two in 58. Both molecules underwent deuterium exchange of the triazole H atom. The deuteration of triazole rings has been reported in a handful of cases,  $26.27$  $26.27$ but not for the triazolopyrazine system investigated here. In order to prove that deuteration at the 3-position occurs as a parallel reaction to the main substitution, compounds 45a, 55 and 56 were heated under reflux in  $D_2O$ without piperidine to give corresponding monodeuterated products 59, 57 and 60 respectively (Figure [8B](#page-8-1)). The second D atom in 58 was at the 5-position, thus confirming that the proton which takes the place of the leaving group in the tele-substitution reaction comes from the

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 2:** A) Reaction used to study the influence of solvent; B) Product isolated when  $H_2O$  was employed as a solvent. Results of the reaction in different solvents (reactions performed in duplicate). All solvents were dried over molecular sieves  $(3 \text{ Å})$  for 48 h before application. All reactions proceed to complete consumption of bromo-triazolopyrazine as indicated by TLC. Total yield reported is the sum of both isomers. Product 48a typically observed to form in ∼15% yield but was not isolated in these reactions. R  $=$  CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Ph.

OMe		OMe	OMe		OMe
Br	(ROH) KOH, 18-crown-6 solvent, rt	$R_{\sim}$ $\ddot{}$	N	$\mathbf B$	
45m		46c	R 47a		OН 48a
Entry	Solvent	$46c$ (5-isomer)	$47a$ (8-isomer)	Total	Dielectric
		yield $[\%]$	yield $[\%]$	yield $[\%]$	constant
	Cyclohexane	35	24	58	2.02
$\overline{2}$	Toluene	31	8	40	2.38
3	Dioxane	20	9	29	2.25
4	THF	19	3	22	7.58
5	Acetonitrile	43	3	45	37.5
6	DMF	25	$\overline{2}$	27	36.7

solvent and not from the substrate (see the proposed mechanism for 19 in Figure [3B](#page-2-0)).

Importantly, the amine products 55 and 56 were found to be not interconvertible when each product separately was subjected to the reaction conditions for 3 days, as no conversion of one isomer into another could be detected by TLC. Thus the ratios of products observed in these telesubstitution reactions arise from a kinetic difference rather than one that has a thermodynamic origin.

## 3 Biological activity

As mentioned above, 5-substituted triazolopyrazines (e.g. 17) showed antiplasmodium activity, while an 8-substituted isomer (18) proved to be inactive. Based on the structural similarity of these triazolopyrazines to kinase inhibitors,  $28$  we evaluated several compounds in the preliminary KINOMEscan® assay (at 1 µM concentration). The results revealed complementary activity of ipso- and tele-isomers, for example 47b has higher potency against serine/threonine-protein kinase 3 (STK3) compared to 46d (Figure [9,](#page-7-1) see SI for full screening results). Thus the occurrence of this telesubstitution reaction allows the generation of two biologically active compounds with complentary activities from a single reaction.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

Figure 9: Compounds evaluated in KINOMEscan® assay.

## 4 Conclusion

Tele-substitution reactions are simple to achieve in the triazolopyrazine ring system, and it is important to be aware of the possi-

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 3: Results of the reaction with wet and dry solvent. 3Å molecular sieves were used to dry the toluene. Water levels were measured with a Karl-Fischer titration apparatus immediately before the experiment. <sup>a</sup>Reaction time 14 days. <sup>b</sup>Products were partially deuterated (Figure [8\)](#page-8-1).





<span id="page-8-1"></span>

Figure 8: A) Reaction between simplified chlorosubstituted core 45a and piperidine, performed in  $D_2O$  as the solvent; B) Verification that  $H/D$  exchange on the triazole, but not the pyrazine, is a parallel reaction to the main substitution reaction.  ${}^{\rm a}D_2O$ , heating at reflux.

bility of such isomers forming, given the wide biological relevance of many of these structures. The *tele*-substitution reaction occurs only in 5-halogenated triazolopyrazine cores, while 8- or 6-halogenated cores tend to give ipso-substitution or degradation respectively. The tele-substitution pathway of the reaction is also made more likely by the use of stronger nucleophiles, triazolopyrazines with bulkier halogens and the use of less polar solvents. As concluded from the isotope labeling experiments, the hydrogen atom that takes the place of the halogen derives from solvent and not from substrate. The product ratios arise from a kinetic difference in the reactions rather than a thermodynamic difference in product energies, where, broadly, a combination of hard nucleophile and hard electrophile promotes ipso-substitution while a softer combination promotes tele-substitution.

#### 5 Experimental

#### 5.1 General Procedures

General Procedure A. Preparation of halogenhydrazinylpyrazines

$$
x \xleftarrow[\begin{matrix} N \\ \hline \\ N \end{matrix}]\begin{matrix} X & \text{NH}_2NH_2 \\ \hline \text{EIOH, reflux} & X \xrightarrow[\begin{matrix} N \\ \hline \\ N \end{matrix}]\end{matrix}\begin{matrix} NHNH_2 \\ \hline \end{matrix}
$$

Mono or dihalogenopyrazine (70 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in ethanol (100 mL), then hydrazine monohydrate was added (140 mmol, 2 equiv.) and the mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Equal amounts of EtOAc (100 mL) and  $H_2O$  (100 mL) were added, the EtOAc layer was separated and the aqueous layer was washed with EtOAc  $(30 \text{ mL} \times 3)$ . The combined organic phases were washed with brine  $(30 \text{ mL})$ , dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the desired compound, which was used in the subsequent reaction without further purification.

General Procedure B. Preparation of  $halogeno-*[1,2,4]*triazolo/*[4,3*-alpyrazine]$ 

$$
X \xrightarrow[\text{N}]{N} \text{NHNH}_2 \xrightarrow[\text{PhCH}_3,\text{reflux}]{\text{HCl}(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_3)_3,\text{TsOH}} X \xrightarrow[\text{N}]{N} N
$$

To a suspension of halogen-hydrazinylpyrazine (70.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in toluene (200 mL) triethyl orthoformate or trimethyl orthoformate (140 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) was added followed by p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (14.0 mmol, 0.2 equiv.). The mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (FCC) on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (20% to 100%) in hexanes to give the desired product.

General Procedure C. Preparation of halogeno-3-aryl- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3$ -a $\right]$ pyrazine



Adopted from the literature procedures. [22](#page-23-2) To a stirred suspension of halogeno-hydrazinylpyrazine (7.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in ethanol (100 mL) was added aldehyde (7.7 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) and the mixture heated at reflux overnight. After the full consumption of starting material as indicated by TLC, the reaction was cooled in an ice bath and chloramine T trihydrate (9.1 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) was added portionwise while stirring over 1 h. After consumption of the intermediate was confirmed by TLC, cold  $H_2O$  (100 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was stirred for 10 min, then filtered through a sintered glass filter (P3 porosity) and washed with  $H_2O$  (30 mL  $\times$  3) followed by Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL). The solid was dried *in vacuo* to give desired product that was used without further purification.

General Procedure D. Coupling of alcohol or thiol with halogen-heterocycle

NuH, KOH, 18-crown-6 N N N N Ar X N N N N Ar N N N N Ar Nu Nu PhCH3, rt +

To a suspension of halogen-heterocycle (0.40 mmol, 1 equiv.) in toluene (10 mL) was added 18-crown-6 (0.032 mmol, 0.08 equiv.) and alcohol or thiol (0.40 mmol, 1 equiv.) followed by KOH (1.20 mmol, 3.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture stirred for 2-24 h at room temperature. Upon completion as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was directly subjected to the purification by FCC on silica and flushed at the beginning with hexanes (in order to wash out toluene from the column) followed by a gradient of EtOAc (30% to 100%) in hexanes (unless specified in the compound preparation) to give the desired product.

General Procedure E. Coupling of amine with halogen-heterocycle

RNH2, silica N N N N Ar X N N N N Ar N N N N Ar NH NH PhCH3, 80 °C + R R

To a suspension of halogen-heterocycle (0.40 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in toluene (10 mL) was added amine (1.20 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) followed by silica (0.5 g). The reaction was heated at 80 °C overnight. Upon completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the mixture purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc EtOAc (30% to 100%) in hexanes (unless specified in the compound preparation) to give the desired product.

#### 5.2 Synthesis

2-Chloro-6-hydrazinylpyrazine  $(S1)$ . General Procedure A was applied using 2,6 dichloropyrazine (35.0 g, 235 mmol) to give S1 as a yellow solid  $(29.2 \text{ g}, 202 \text{ mmol}, 86\%)$ . mp 137–139 °C (lit. 136–139 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.42 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 157.1, 145.7, 129.0, 128.6.

The spectroscopic data and melting point were in agreement with those in the literature.<sup>[8](#page-22-7)[,29](#page-23-9)</sup>

2-Bromo-6-hydrazinylpyrazine  $(S2)$ . General Procedure A was applied using 2,6 dibromopyrazine (8.09 g, 34.0 mmol) to give S2 as an orange solid (5.45 g, 28.9 mmol, 85%). mp 142–144 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16 (s, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 6.28  $(s, 1H), 3.72 (s, 2H).$   $^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR  $(126$ MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  156.8, 138.1, 135.4, 129.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C4H<sup>6</sup> <sup>79</sup>BrN<sup>4</sup> 188.97704; found 188.97728.

2-Iodo-6-hydrazinylpyrazine  $(S3)$ . General Procedure A was applied using 41 (8.37 g, 25.2 mmol) to give **S3** as a yellow solid  $(4.87 \text{ g}, 20.7)$ mmol, 82%). mp 154–156 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.31 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 4.33 (s, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ :  $\delta$  157.8, 137.8, 128.9, 115.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_4H_6IN_4$  236.96317; found 236.96297.

2-Chloro-3-hydrazinylpyrazine  $(S4)$ . General Procedure A was applied using 2,3 dichloropyrazine (10.2 g, 68.3 mmol) to give S4 as a yellow solid (6.61 g, 45.7 mmol, 67%). mp 156–158 °C (lit.<sup>[30](#page-23-10)</sup> mp 154 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta \text{ 8.23 (s, 1H)}, 8.04 \text{ (d,}$  $J = 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d,  $J = 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 4.34 (s, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  152.6, 140.6, 132.6, 130.0. The spectroscopic data and melting point were in agreement with those in the literature.  $22,30$  $22,30$ 

2-Chloro-5-hydrazinylpyrazine  $(S5)$ . Compound was prepared following literature procedures.<sup>[31](#page-23-11)</sup> 2,5-Dichloropyrazine  $(2.00 \text{ g}, 13.4$ mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added to  $H_2O$  (12.5) mL) followed by 28% aq. ammonia solution (2.63 mL, 38.9 mmol, 2.9 equiv.) and hydrazine monohydrate (1.57 mL, 1.61 g, 32.2 mmol, 2.4 equiv.). The mixture was heated at reflux overnight, then cooled in an ice bath for 15 min, filtered through a sintered funnel and washed with cold  $H_2O$  (25 mL  $\times$  3), then dried *in vacuo* to give **S5** as a pale yellow solid  $(1.62 \text{ g}, 11.2 \text{ mmol}, 83\%)$ . mp 168-170 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.14 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J  $= 1.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.32 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  156.6, 140.3, 133.9,

129.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_4H_6CIN_4$  145.02755; found 145.02749. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with those in the literature. [32](#page-23-12)

5-Chloro-3-(4-(difluoromethoxy)phenyl)-  $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3$ -a $[pyrazine (16)]$ . General Procedure C was applied using S1 (1.51 g, 10.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4- (difluoromethoxy)benzaldehyde (1.98 g, 11.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 16 as a brown solid (2.26 g, 7.62 mmol, 73%). mp 124–126 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.47 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.41  $(t, J = 73.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 153.3 – 152.1 (m), 147.0, 146.7, 142.7, 133.3, 129.2, 124.0, 121.8, 117.4, 116.2 (t,  $J = 258.0$ Hz) (OCHF<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_8ClF_2N_4O$  297.03492; found 297.03460.

3-(4-(Difluoromethoxy)phenyl)-5-(phenethylthio)- $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3$ -a $[pyrazine (17)]$ . General Procedure D was applied using 16 (101 mg, 0.341 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1 thiol (47.1 mg, 0.341 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 17 as a yellow solid (11.0 mg, 0.0276 mmol, 8%). mp 78–83 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H),  $7.68 - 7.60$  (m, 2H),  $7.28 - 7.15$  (m, 5H),  $7.02$  $-6.94$  (m, 2H), 6.64 (t,  $J = 73.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.92  $(t, J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.76  $(t, J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  153.10  $(t, J = 2.8 \text{ Hz}), 147.6, 146.4, 142.3, 138.3,$ 133.5, 131.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 127.1, 124.1, 118.3, 115.65 (t,  $J = 261.3$  Hz), 35.8, 34.6. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}F_2N_4OS$  399.10856; found 399.10801.

3-(4-(Difluoromethoxy)phenyl)-8-(phenethylthio)- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3-a\right]$ -pyrazine (18). Isolated from the same reaction as for 17. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give to give 18 as an off-white solid (113 mg, 0.284 mmol, 83%). mp 156–158 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.32 (d, J  $= 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $8.04 - 7.96$  (m, 2H), 7.83 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.58 - 7.39$  (m, 5H),  $7.37$  – 7.29 (m, 4H), 7.30 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 3.59 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 6.7$  Hz, 2H), 3.05 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 6.7$ 

Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  153.0, 152.4 (t,  $J = 3.3$  Hz), 146.9, 143.8, 139.9, 130.2, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4, 126.4, 122.5, 119.2, 116.1 (t,  $J = 258.5$  Hz), 113.2, 34.4, 29.4. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (471 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$ -82.8. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}F_2N_4OS$  399.10856; found 399.10827.

8-Chloro- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3$ -a $\right]$ pyrazine (25). General Procedure B was applied using S4  $(2.71 \text{ g}, 18.8 \text{ mmol})$  to give **25** as a yellow solid (0.870 g, 5.63 mmol, 30%). mp 203–206 °C  $(lit.^{30}$  $(lit.^{30}$  $(lit.^{30}$  mp 205 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.00 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ .  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>Na 176.99384; found 176.99367. The spectroscopic data and melting point were in agreement with those in the literature.<sup>[30](#page-23-10)[,33](#page-23-13)</sup>

8-Chloro-3-phenyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (26). General Procedure C was applied using S4 (0.768 g, 5.31 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and benzaldehyde (0.620 g, 5.84 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give  $26$  as a white solid  $(0.976 \text{ g}, 4.23 \text{ mmol})$ , 80%). mp 192–195 °C (lit.  $\frac{34}{193}$  $\frac{34}{193}$  $\frac{34}{193}$  mp 193–195 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16 (d, J  $= 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.92 - 7.78$  (m, 2H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.64 (q,  $J = 3.1$  Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{11}H_7C1N_4Na$  253.02514; found 253.02522. The spectroscopic data and melting point were in agreement with those in the literature. [34](#page-23-14)

8-Chloro-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)pyrazine$  (27). General Procedure C was applied using  $S4$  (0.655 g, 4.53 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.754 g, 4.99 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 27 as a yellow solid (1.15 g, 4.16 mmol, 92%). m.p.  $231-234$  °C (decomp.) (lit.<sup>[22](#page-23-2)</sup> mp 201–204 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.77 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.47 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d,  $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ :  $\delta$  148.9, 147.6, 144.6, 142.5, 132.0, 130.1, 129.6, 124.8, 118.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{7}CIN_{5}O_{2}$  298.01022; found 298.01025. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the literature, but the melting point was significantly higher. $^{22}$  $^{22}$  $^{22}$ 

 $8$ -Phenethoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine

(28). General Procedure D was applied using 25 (104 mg, 0.673 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (82.2 mg, 0.673 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 28 as an off-white solid (83.0 mg, 0.345 mmol, 51%). mp 161–162 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.36 (s, 1H), 8.19 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d,  $J =$ 4.7 Hz, 1H),  $7.38 - 7.27$  (m, 4H),  $7.26 - 7.19$  $(m, 1H), 4.72$  (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.16 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 152.6, 138.7, 138.4, 137.9, 128.9, 128.4, 126.6, 126.4, 113.2, 113.2, 67.2, 34.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}N_4ONa$  263.09033; found 263.08997.

 $8-(Phenethylthio)$ - $[1,2,4]triazolo/4,3-alpyra$ zine (29). General Procedure D was applied using 25 (104 mg, 0.673 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (93.0 mg, 0.673 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 29 as an off-white solid (154 mg, 0.602 mmol, 90%). mp 148–150 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.38 (d,  $J =$  $0.8$  Hz, 1H),  $8.33$  (dd,  $J = 4.6$ ,  $0.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.79$  $(dd, J = 4.7, 0.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 7.31 \text{ (d, } J = 4.4 \text{ Hz},$ 4H), 7.23 (h,  $J = 4.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.59 – 3.53 (m, 2H),  $3.06 - 3.00$  (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126) MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 152.2, 142.7, 139.9, 138.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 126.4, 114.3, 34.4, 29.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}N_4\text{S}$ Na 279.06749; found 279.06714.

 $N-Phenethyl- $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazin-8$ amine (30). Preparation 1: General Procedure E was applied using 25 (104 mg, 0.654 mmol) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (244 mg, 2.01 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give  $30$  as an offwhite solid (135 mg, 0.564 mmol, 84%). Preparation 2: General Procedure E was applied using 45a (100 mg, 0.649 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (235 mg, 1.95 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give 30 as an off-white solid (102 mg, 0.424 mmol, 65%). mp 191–193 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.19 (s, 1H), 8.16 (t,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 – 7.23 (m, 5H), 7.23 – 7.15 (m, 1H), 3.71 (q,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H),  $2.99 - 2.92$  (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 147.4, 139.5, 138.6, 138.1, 129.1, 128.6, 128.3, 126.0, 107.2, 41.6, 34.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR) m/z:  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{14}N_5$  240.12437; found 240.12406.

 $8$ -Phenethoxy-3-phenyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3 $a/pyrazine$  (31). General Procedure D was applied using 26 (115 mg, 0.499 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (60.9 mg, 0.499 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give **31** as a white solid (91.0 mg, 0.288 mmol, 58%). mp 145–147 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.19 (d, J  $= 4.9$  Hz, 1H),  $7.94 - 7.88$  (m, 2H),  $7.68$  -7.59 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.41  $-7.29$  (m, 4H), 7.24 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.76  $(t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.19 (t, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}).$  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 153.2, 148.0, 139.7, 138.0, 130.5, 129.3, 129.0, 128.4, 128.1, 127.4, 126.4, 125.9, 112.1, 67.4, 34.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}N_4ONa$  339.12163; found 339.12165. 8-(Phenethylthio)-3-phenyl-[1,2,4]triazolo-

 $(4,3-a)$ pyrazine (32). General Procedure D was applied using 26 (107 mg, 0.464 mmol) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (65.1 mg, 0.464 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 32 as an off-white solid (145 mg, 0.440 mmol, 94%). mp 154–156 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.33 (d, J  $= 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.97 - 7.88$  (m, 2H),  $7.83$  (d, J  $= 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.69 - 7.59$  (m, 3H),  $7.33$  (d, J  $= 5.0$  Hz, 4H), 7.24 (ddd,  $J = 8.8, 5.3, 3.5$  Hz, 1H),  $3.63 - 3.56$  (m, 2H),  $3.09 - 3.02$  (m, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 153.0, 147.6, 143.8, 140.0, 130.5, 129.5, 129.3, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 126.4, 125.7, 113.2, 34.4, 29.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{17}N_4S$  333.11684; found 333.11641.

 $N-Phenethyl-3-phenyl-*[1,2,4]*triazolo/*[4,3-*]$ 

 $a/pyrazin-8-amine$  (33). General Procedure E was applied using 26 (102 mg, 0.442 mmol) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (161 mg, 1.33 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give 33 (120 mg, 0.381 mmol,  $86\%$ ). mp 206–209 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  8.27 (t,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H),  $7.92 - 7.86$  (m, 2H), 7.75 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.57 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.25 (m, 4H),  $7.25 - 7.17$  (m, 1H),  $3.79 - 3.71$  (m, 2H),  $3.02 - 2.95$  (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 147.9, 147.7, 139.6, 139.5, 130.2, 130.2, 129.3, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 126.3, 126.1, 106.0, 41.6, 34.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}N_5$  316.15567; found 316.15526.

 $3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-8-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]tria-$ 

 $zolo[4,3-a] pyrazine$  (34). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 27 (113 mg, 0.410 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (50.1 mg, 0.410 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 34 as a yellow solid  $(125 \text{ mg}, 0.346 \text{ mmol}, 84\%).$ Preparation 2: isolated from the same reaction as for 46e preparation 1: fractions correspond to the first peak were evaporated to give 34 as a yellow solid  $(2.05 \text{ mg}, 5.51 \mu \text{mol}, 2\%)$ . mp 238– 240 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>:  $\delta$  8.45 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 2H), 8.32 (d,  $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d,  $J =$ 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.79  $(t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.20 (t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}).$  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 153.2, 148.2, 146.5, 140.1, 137.9, 132.0, 129.4, 128.9, 128.4, 127.9, 126.4, 124.3, 112.4, 67.5, 34.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}N_5O_3$  362.12477; found 362.12462.

3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-8-(phenethylthio)-[1,2,4]  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (35). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 27 (107 mg, 0.390 mmol) and 2-phenylethane-1 thiol (65.7 mg, 0.390 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 35 as a yellow solid (103 mg, 0.273 mmol, 70%). Preparation 2: Isolated from the same reaction as for 46j. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 35 as a yellow solid (66.2 mg, 0.175 mmol, 44%). mp 236–238 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 8.46 (dd,  $J = 6.9, 2.0$  Hz, 3H),  $8.28 - 8.22$  (m, 2H), 7.92 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.37 – 7.30 (m, 4H), 7.29 – 7.21 (m, 1H), 3.61 (dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (dd, J  $= 8.4, 6.7$  Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 153.1, 148.3, 146.2, 144.2, 139.9, 131.8, 130.0, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4, 126.4, 124.3, 113.5, 34.4, 29.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR) m/z:  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}N_5O_2S$  378.10192; found 378.10180.

 $3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-N-phenethyl-1,2,4/tria$  $zolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-8-amine$  (36). Preparation 1: General Procedure E was applied using 27 (112 mg, 0.406 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (148 mg, 1.22 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give 36 (127 mg, 0.352 mmol, 87%). Preparation 2: General Procedure E was applied using 45c (103 mg, 0.374 mmol,

1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (136 mg,  $1.12 \text{ mmol}, 3.0 \text{ equiv.}$  to give 36 as a yellow solid (133 mg, 0.369 mmol, 99%). mp 236–238 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.47 – 8.41 (m, 2H), 8.38 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H),  $8.29 - 8.20$  (m, 2H), 7.88 (d,  $J =$ 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.30 (h,  $J = 5.9$  Hz, 4H), 7.21 (tt,  $J = 5.9$ , 2.1 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (q,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H),  $3.02 - 2.96$  (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 148.0, 147.9, 146.1, 139.9, 139.5, 132.4, 130.8, 129.1, 128.7, 128.3, 126.1, 124.3, 106.2, 41.6, 34.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{17}N_6O_2$  361.14075; found 361.14041.

6-Chloro- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3$ -a $\right]$ pyrazine (37). General Procedure B was applied using S5  $(1.53 \text{ g}, 10.6 \text{ mmol})$  to give 37 as an orange solid (0.800 g, 5.18 mmol, 49%). mp 215–217 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.41 (d,  $J = 0.7$  Hz, 1H), 9.36 (dd,  $J = 1.5$ , 0.7 Hz, 1H), 8.90 (d, J  $= 1.5$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 143.9, 143.0, 137.3, 133.4, 116.3. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_5H_4CIN_4$  155.01190; found 155.01178.

 $6$ -Chloro-3- $(4$ - $(difluoromethoxy)phenyl)$ - $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3$ -a $[pyrazine \quad (38).$  General Procedure C was applied using S5 (1.33 g, 9.23 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4- (difluoromethoxy)benzaldehyde (1.22 mL, 1.59 g,  $9.23$  mmol,  $1.1$  equiv.) to give  $27$  as a pale brown solid (1.75 g, 5.89 mmol, 64%). mp 159– 161 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.41  $(s, 1H), 8.85$   $(s, 1H), 8.05$   $(d, J = 8.6$  Hz,  $2H),$ 7.43 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t,  $J = 73.5$ Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  152.5 (t,  $J = 3.3$  Hz), 146.2, 145.2, 143.4, 134.6, 130.3, 122.1, 119.2, 116.1 (t,  $J = 258.6$ Hz), 115.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (471 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ  $-82.8$ . HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_7CIF_2N_4ONa$  319.01687; found 319.01690.

2,6-Diiodopyrazine  $(41)$ . Compounds was prepared following literature procedures. [35](#page-23-15) Hydroiodic acid (50% solution, 25 mL, 5.0 equiv.) was added to 2,6-dichloropyrazine (5.07 g, 34.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and NaI (6.63 g, 44.2 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) in a sealed tube and heated at 100 °C for 3 h. The reaction was cooled to room

temperature and diluted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (200 mL). The solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL  $\times$ 2), sat. aq. Na $HCO<sub>3</sub>$  (50 mL), sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  $(50 \text{ mL})$ , brine  $(30 \text{ mL})$ , dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 41 as a white solid (9.91 g, 29.9 mmol, 88%). mp 90–92 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.74 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  151.2, 116.8. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with those in the literature. [35](#page-23-15)

2-Chloro-6-phenethoxypyrazine  $(42)$ . General Procedure D was applied using 2,6 dichloropyrazine (107 mg, 0.718 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (87.8 mg, 0.718 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc  $(0\%$  to  $6\%)$  in hexanes to give 42 as a colourless oil (137 mg, 0.582 mmol, 81%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.13  $(s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.36 - 7.20 (m, 5H),$ 4.56 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.11 (t,  $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.3, 145.5, 137.8, 135.3, 133.3, 129.1, 128.7, 126.8, 67.8, 35.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{11}CIN_2ONa$  257.04521; found 257.04508.

2-Bromo-6-phenethoxypyrazine  $(43)$ . General Procedure D was applied using 2,6 dibromopyrazine (127 mg, 0.534 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (65.2 mg, 0.534 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc  $(0\%$  to  $6\%)$  in hexanes to give 43 as a colourless oil (122 mg, 0.436 mmol, 82%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.21  $(s, 1H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.35 - 7.20 (m, 5H),$ 4.55 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.09 (t,  $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.4, 138.3, 137.8, 136.5, 133.5, 129.1, 128.7, 126.8, 68.0, 35.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub><sup>79</sup>BrN<sub>2</sub>ONa 300.99470; found 300.99465.

2-Iodo-6-phenethoxypyrazine  $(44)$ . General Procedure D was applied using 41 (108 mg, 0.325 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (39.8 mg, 0.325 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (0% to 6%) in hexanes

to give 44 as a colourless oil (83.0 mg, 0.254 mmol, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.38 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.37 – 7.21 (m, 5H), 4.54 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 3.09 (t,  $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C_{1}{}^{1}H$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 159.5, 144.2, 137.8, 133.7, 129.1, 128.7, 126.8, 112.7, 68.0, 35.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>IN<sub>2</sub>ONa 348.98083; found 348.98065.

5-Chloro- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3\text{-}alpyraz\right]$ ne (45a ). General Procedure B was applied using S1  $(25.4 \text{ g}, 176 \text{ mmol})$  to give **45a** as a yellow solid  $(12.3 \text{ g}, 79.8 \text{ mmol}, 45\%)$  $(12.3 \text{ g}, 79.8 \text{ mmol}, 45\%)$  $(12.3 \text{ g}, 79.8 \text{ mmol}, 45\%)$ . mp 169–171 °C (lit.<sup>8</sup>) 167–172 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.27 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H), 7.93 (s, 1H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  145.8, 141.9, 134.7, 128.3, 121.3. The spectroscopic data and melting point were in agreement with those in the literature.<sup>[8](#page-22-7)</sup> X-ray single crystal data can be found in the supporting information.

5-Chloro-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4]tria $zolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (45b). General Procedure C was applied using  $S1$  (1.01 g, 6.97 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1.04 g, 7.66 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give  $45b$  as an off-white solid (1.34 g, 5.16 mmol, 74%). mp 145–147 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.31 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.63 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.11 – 6.95 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$ 160.8, 147.4, 146.9, 142.7, 132.8, 129.1, 121.8, 119.1, 113.1, 55.3. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}CIN_{4}O$  261.05377; found 261.05348.

5-Chloro-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)pyrazine$  (45c). General Procedure C was applied using  $S1$  (1.06 g, 7.33 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (1.21 g, 8.07 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give  $45c$  as an offwhite solid (1.91 g, 6.93 mmol, 95%). mp 238–240 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.53 (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 148.6, 147.2, 145.8, 142.7, 133.7, 132.9, 129.4, 122.7, 121.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{7}CIN_{5}O_{2}$  276.02828; found 276.02784.

5-Chloro-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)$ pyrazine  $(45d)$ . General Procedure C was applied using S1 (400 mg, 2.77 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-methoxybenzaldehyde (414 mg, 3.04 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45d as an off-white solid (430 mg, 1.65 mmol, 60%); m.p. 142–145 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  9.47 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.63 (ddd,  $J = 8.3, 7.5, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd,  $J =$ 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (td,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.73  $(s, 3H);$  <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ 158.4, 146.9, 144.7, 142.8, 132.7, 132.0, 129.0, 121.8, 120.1, 116.3, 111.0, 55.4; HRMS  $(ESI/FTICR+)$   $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}CIN_{4}O$  261.05377; found 261.05389.

5-Chloro-3-(2-nitrophenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)$ pyrazine (45e). General Procedure C was applied using S1 (1.04 g, 7.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-nitrobenzaldehyde (1.20 g, 7.92 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45e as a grey solid (1.74 g, 6.29 mmol, 87%). mp 224–228 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.57 (s, 1H), 8.44 (dd,  $J = 7.9, 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 8.06  $-7.95$  (m, 2H), 7.93 (dd,  $J = 7.1$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 148.0, 146.8, 143.8, 143.0, 134.5, 134.3, 132.9, 129.2, 125.0, 122.4, 121.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $\mathrm{[M+Na]^+}$  calcd for  $\mathrm{C_{11}H_6CN_5O_2Na}$  298.01022; found 298.01092.

5-Chloro-3-(3,5-di-tert-butylphenyl)-[1,2,4]  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (45f). General Procedure C was applied using S1 (1.05 g, 7.26 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 3,5-di-tert-butylbenzaldehyde (1.74 g, 7.99 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45f as a grey solid (1.68 g, 4.90 mmol, 67%). mp 133–135 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.46 (s, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.61 (t,  $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 2H), 1.34 (s, 18H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 149.6, 148.1, 147.0, 142.7, 129.2, 126.3, 125.8, 123.6, 121.8, 34.6, 31.1. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub> 343.16840; found 343.16865.

 $3-(Anth racen-9-yl)-5-chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo (4,3\text{-}alpyrazine(45g))$ . General Procedure C was applied using S1 (1.08 g, 7.47 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and anthracene-9-carbaldehyde (1.69 g, 8.22 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45g as a bright yellow solid (1.62 g, 4.90 mmol, 66%). mp 218–221 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$ 9.64 (s, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 2H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.58 (ddd,  $J = 8.2, 6.6, 1.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.49 (ddd,  $J = 8.8, 6.5, 1.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.34 (dd,  $J = 8.7$ , 1.1 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  147.8, 144.0, 143.4, 132.4, 130.6, 130.3, 129.2, 128.6, 127.5, 125.8, 125.5, 121.1, 120.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{19}H_{12}CIN_4$  331.07450; found 331.07449.

 $5$ -Bromo- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3$ -a $\right]$ pyrazine  $(45h)$ . General Procedure B was applied using S2  $(2.35 \text{ g}, 12.4 \text{ mmol})$  to give  $45h$  as an orange solid (1.75 g, 8.81 mmol, 71%). mp 167–170 °C (decomp.) (lit. 214–217 °C). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.62 (s, 1H), 9.43 (s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 145.3, 142.0, 137.5, 131.0, 109.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_5H_3^{\tau_9}BrN_4Na$  220.94333; found 220.94309. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the literature, but the melting point was signif-icantly different.<sup>[8](#page-22-7)</sup>

5-Bromo-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4]tria $zolo/4$ , 3-a/pyrazine (45i). General Procedure C was applied using  $S2$  (1.03 g, 5.46 mmol, 1.0) equiv.) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.818 g, 6.01 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45i as a pale brown solid (1.00 g, 3.27 mmol, 60%). mp 156– 157 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.44  $(s, 1H), 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.66 - 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.13$  $-7.06$  (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  160.8, 148.1, 146.6, 143.0, 133.1, 132.7, 119.1, 113.1, 110.2, 55.3. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}^{79}BrN_4O$  305.00325; found 305.00303.

5-Bromo-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)pyrazine$  (45j). General Procedure C was applied using  $S2$  (0.65 g, 3.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.57 g, 3.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45j as a yellow solid (0.93 g, 2.9 mmol, 85%). mp 200–205 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.54 (s, 1H), 8.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 8.04 (d,  $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 148.7, 146.9, 146.4, 143.0, 133.9, 133.2, 133.0, 122.6, 110.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :

 $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{7}^{79}BrN_{5}O_{2}$  319.97776; found 319.97811.

5-Bromo-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)$ pyrazine  $(45k)$ . General Procedure C was applied using S2 (0.66 g, 3.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.52 g, 3.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45k as a white solid (0.75 g, 2.5 mmol, 71%). mp 137–139 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.34 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.58 (ddd,  $J = 8.4, 7.5, 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dd,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (td, J  $= 7.5, 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.97 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ 159.2, 147.1, 146.6, 143.4, 133.1, 133.0, 132.5, 120.5, 116.3, 110.5, 110.1, 55.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}^{79}BrN_4O$  305.00325; found 305.00359.

5-Bromo-3-(2-nitrophenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-  $(4,3-a)$ pyrazine (451). General Procedure C was applied using  $S2$  (0.62 g, 3.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-nitrobenzaldehyde (0.54 g, 3.6 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give  $45l$  as a yellow solid  $(0.84 \text{ g})$ , 2.6 mmol, 81%). mp 210–213 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 9.41 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.50 - 8.36$  $(m, 1H), 8.00$  (s, 1H),  $7.94 - 7.80$  (m, 2H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126) MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 148.1, 146.4, 144.6, 143.2, 134.6, 134.2, 132.9, 132.7, 124.9, 122.6, 109.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $\rm C_{11}H_7$ <sup>79</sup>Br $\rm N_5O_2$  319.97776; found 319.97803.

 $5$ -Iodo- $\left[1,2,4\right]$ triazolo $\left[4,3$ -a $\right]$ pyrazine (45m). General Procedure B was applied using S3  $(1.54 \text{ g}, 6.52 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv.})$  to give  $45m$ as a brown solid (1.08 g, 4.39 mmol, 67%, contains 0.5% DCM). mp 180–185 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.54 (s, 1H), 9.36 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H).  ${}^{13}C_1{}^{1}H$  NMR (126) MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 144.4, 142.2, 140.2, 137.7, 83.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_5H_4IN_4$  246.94752; found 246.94745. 5-Iodo-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo-

 $(4,3\text{-}a)pyrazine$  (45n). General Procedure C was applied using S3 (1.47 g, 6.21 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (0.930 g, 6.83 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) to give 45n as an off-white solid (1.55 g, 4.39 mmol, 71%). mp 229–230 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.40  $(s, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.60 - 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.15$  $-7.09$  (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H).  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  161.6, 149.5, 146.2, 143.9, 140.6, 134.4, 119.4, 113.6, 84.1, 55.8. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}IN_{4}O$  352.98938; found 352.98910.

5-Phenethoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (46a). General Procedure D was applied using 45a (107 mg,  $0.692$  mmol) and 2-phenylethanol (84.5 mg, 0.692 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (20 to 100%) in hexanes to give 46a as an off-white solid (125 mg, 0.520 mmol, 75%). mp 143–146 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.38 (d, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H), 9.02 (t,  $J = 0.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.43 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.28 (m, 2H),  $7.26 - 7.19$  (m, 1H), 4.63 (t,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 2H), 3.19 (t,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126) MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 145.8, 142.4, 137.3, 134.4, 133.0, 129.2, 128.4, 126.5, 108.3, 71.3, 34.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{13}H_{13}N_4O$  241.10839; found 241.10813.

 $5-(Phenethylthio)$ - $[1,2,4]triazolo/4,3-alpyra$ zine  $(46b)$ . General Procedure D was applied using 45a (105 mg, 0.681 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (94.2 mg, 0.681 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 46b as an off-white solid (88.6 mg, 0.346 mmol, 51%). mp 108–110 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 9.55 (d, J  $= 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 9.33 (s, 1H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.27  $-7.20$  (m, 4H),  $7.20 - 7.12$  (m, 1H), 3.48 (dd,  $J = 7.9, 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.95 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 144.6, 141.5, 139.0, 136.1, 131.5, 128.6, 128.2, 126.4, 126.1, 34.8, 33.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{13}H_{13}N_4S$  257.08554; found 257.08534.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]tri$  $azolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (46c). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 45b (103 mg, 0.395 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2 phenylethan-1-ol (48.3 mg, 0.395 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give  $46c$  as a yellow solid  $(95.1 \text{ mg})$ , 0.275 mmol, 69%). Preparation 2: General Procedure D was applied using 45i (122 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.9 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give  $46c$  (first run:  $45.4 \text{ mg}, 0.127 \text{ mmol}$ , 33%, second run: 43.0 mg, 0.124 mmol, 31%,

average yield is  $32\%$ ). mp  $162-163$  °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta 9.00 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.67$  – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.22 – 7.12 (m, 3H),  $7.07 - 7.01$  (m, 2H),  $6.96 - 6.88$  (m, 2H), 4.48  $(t, J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.89 (t,  $J =$ 6.4 Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 160.4, 147.3, 146.3, 143.9, 137.4, 135.0, 132.2, 128.7, 128.2, 126.3, 120.0, 113.0, 108.6, 71.2, 55.3, 33.9. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_4O_2$  347.15025; found 347.14983.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(phenethylthio)/1,2,4$ ]  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (46d). General Procedure D was applied using 45b (100 mg, 0.384 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (53.0 mg, 0.384 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of MeOH (0% to 10%) in DCM, fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 46d as a yellow solid (11.3 mg, 0.0312 mmol, 8%). mp 202– 205 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  9.12  $(s, 1H), 7.78$   $(s, 1H), 7.59 - 7.51$   $(m, 2H), 7.27$  $-7.19$  (m, 2H),  $7.21 - 7.14$  (m, 1H),  $7.09$  – 6.99 (m, 4H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.94 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.72 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CD}_3\text{CN})$ :  $\delta$  162.5, 149.2, 147.5, 142.8, 140.2, 134.1, 132.3, 129.59, 129.56, 129.4, 127.5, 120.7, 113.9, 56.2, 36.3, 35.1.  $HRMS$  (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_4OS$  363.12741; found 363.12700.

 $3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-5-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]tria$  $zolo/4$ , 3-a/pyrazine (46e). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 45c (104 mg, 0.377 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2 phenylethan-1-ol (46.1 mg, 0.377 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fraction corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 46e as a yellow solid (105 mg, 0.290 mmol, 77%). Preparation 2: General Procedure D was applied using 45j (128 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give  $46e$  (first run: 85.6 mg, 0.237 mmol, 59%, second run: 87.8 mg, 0.243 mmol,  $61\%$ , average yield is  $60\%$ ). m.p.168– 170 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.11  $(s, 1H), 8.29 - 8.22$  (m, 2H),  $7.99 - 7.93$  (m, 2H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 5.0$ , 1.9 Hz, 3H), 6.95 (dd,  $J = 6.6, 2.9$  Hz, 2H), 4.58 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.93 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  147.9, 147.6, 144.6, 143.8, 137.1, 134.9, 134.1, 131.9, 128.4, 128.1, 126.3, 122.6, 109.3, 70.9, 33.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}N_5O_3$  362.12477; found 362.12448.

3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-5-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (46f). General Procedure D was applied using  $45k$  (122 mg, 0.400) mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were combined and evaporated to give 46f as a yellow solid (first run: 36.1 mg, 0.104 mmol, 26%, second run: 34.2 mg, 0.100 mmol, 25%, average yield is 26%). mp 147-150 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.02 (s, 1H), 7.62 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.50 (dd,  $J = 7.5, 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 1.0$ Hz, 1H),  $7.20 - 7.10$  (m, 4H),  $6.79 - 6.73$  (m, 2H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 158.2, 147.1, 143.9, 143.2, 137.2, 135.1, 132.0, 131.4, 128.8, 128.2, 126.3, 119.9, 117.4, 110.9, 108.8, 71.4, 55.4, 34.1. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_4O_2$  347.15025; found 347.15041.

 $3-(2-Nitrophenyl)-5-phenethoxy- $[1,2,4]$ tria$  $zolo/4$ , 3-a/pyrazine (46g). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 45e (110 mg, 0.399 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2 phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.399 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (30% to 100%) in hexanes, then MeOH  $(0\%$  to 5%) in EtOAc to give 46g as a yellow solid (first run: 123 mg, 0.341 mmol, 86%, second run: 113 mg, 0.313 mmol, 79%, average yield is 83%). Preparation 2: General Procedure D was applied using 45l (128 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give  $46g$  (first run: 108 mg, 0.299 mmol, 75%, second run: 111 mg, 0.307 mmol,  $77\%$ , average yield is  $76\%$ ). mp 178–181 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.13 (s, 1H), 8.37 (dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (td,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (td,  $J = 7.8$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd,  $J =$ 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.18 – 7.11 (m, 3H),  $6.83 - 6.76$  (m, 2H),  $4.38$  (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.68 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  147.7, 147.0, 143.1, 142.5, 136.7, 135.3, 134.0, 133.8, 131.9, 128.4, 128.2, 126.4, 124.7, 123.1, 109.1, 71.2, 33.6. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}N_5O_3$  362.12477; found 362.12469.

3-(3,5-Di-tert-butylphenyl)-5-phenethoxy-  $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3$ -a $[pyrazine(46h)]$ . General Procedure D was applied using 45f (137 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were combined and evaporated to give 46h as a yellow solid (first run: 139 mg, 0.325 mmol, 81%, second run: 140 mg, 0.326 mmol, 82%, average yield is  $82\%$ ). mp 175–177 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta 9.01 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.65 \text{ (t, 1H)}$  $J = 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.54 (s, 1H),  $7.16 - 7.01$  (m, 3H),  $6.75 - 6.68$  $(m, 2H), 4.43$  (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H), 2.76 (t, J  $= 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.37 (s, 18H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  149.6, 147.2, 147.0, 143.9, 137.4, 135.0, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4, 126.3, 124.7, 123.7, 108.8, 71.4, 34.7, 34.1, 31.3. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{27}H_{32}N_4ONa$  451.24683; found 451.24708.

 $3-(Anth racen-9-yl)-5-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]tria$  $zolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (46i). General Procedure D was applied using  $45g$  (132 mg, 0.399 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.399 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were combined and evaporated to give 46i as a yellow solid (first run: 110 mg, 0.264 mmol, 66%, second run: 107 mg, 0.258 mmol, 65%, average yield is 66%). mp 207–211 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.22 (s, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 8.27 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.62 (ddd,  $J = 8.3, 6.6$ , 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.56 – 7.47 (m, 3H), 7.39 (dd, J  $= 8.7, 1.1$  Hz, 2H),  $6.96 - 6.89$  (m, 1H), 6.78  $-6.70$  (m, 2H),  $6.08 - 6.03$  (m, 2H), 3.94 (t,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.57 (t,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  147.7, 143.6, 142.4, 136.7, 135.7, 131.8, 130.5, 129.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 127.1, 126.0, 125.6, 125.5, 121.8, 109.2, 71.4, 33.3. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z\colon\thinspace\mathrm{[M+H]}^+$  calcd for  $\mathrm{C}_{27}\mathrm{H}_{21}\mathrm{N}_4\mathrm{O}$  417.17099; found 417.17134.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-8-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]$  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (47a). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 45n (132 mg, 0.375 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2 phenylethan-1-ol (45.8 mg, 0.375 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). Fraction corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 47a as an offwhite solid (70.0 mg, 0.202 mmol, 54%). Preparation 2: isolated from the same reaction as for 46c preparation 2. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 47a (first run: 13.0 mg, 0.0375 mmol, 9%, second run: 15.5 mg, 0.0447 mmol, 11%, average yield is 10%). mp 208–211 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.17 – 8.12  $(m, 1H), 7.88 - 7.81$   $(m, 2H), 7.47 - 7.42$  $(m, 1H), 7.40 - 7.29 (m, 4H), 7.27 - 7.23$  $(m, 1H), 7.22 - 7.15$   $(m, 2H), 4.76$   $(t, J =$ 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 2H), 3.18 (t,  $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 160.8, 153.2, 147.9, 139.5, 138.0, 129.7, 128.9, 128.4, 127.2, 126.4, 118.1, 114.8, 112.1, 67.3, 55.4, 34.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_4O_2$  347.15025; found 347.14994.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-8-(phenethylthio)/1,2,4$ ]  $triazolo/4,3-a/pyrazine$  (47b). Preparation 1: General Procedure D was applied using 45i (110 mg, 0.360 mmol) and 2-phenylethane-1 thiol (50.0 mg, 0.360 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give 47b as a yellow solid (122 mg, 0.337 mmol, 93%). Preparation 2: General Procedure D was applied using  $45n$  (108 mg, 0.307 mmol, 1.0) equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (43.0 mg, 0.307 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) to give  $47b$  (14.0 mg, 0.0390 mmol, 13%). Preparation 3: isolated from the same reaction as for 46d. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 47b (116 mg, 0.319 mmol, 83%). mp 192–194 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 7.85 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d,  $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 4.3$  Hz, 4H), 7.11 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H),  $3.69 - 3.52$  (m, 2H),  $3.20 - 3.02$  $(m, 2H)$ . <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 160.9, 152.9, 147.6, 143.7, 140.0, 129.8, 129.3, 128.6, 128.4, 126.4, 117.9, 114.8, 113.2, 55.5, 34.5, 29.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_{4}OS$  363.12741;

found 363.12676.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-N-phenethyl- $[1,2,4]$ -tri$  $azolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-8-amine$  (47c). Preparation 1: General Procedure E was applied using 45b (106 mg, 0.407 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2 phenylethan-1-amine (148 mg, 1.22 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give  $47c$  as a yellow solid (126 mg, 0.365 mmol, 90%). Preparation 2: General Procedure E was applied using 45i (101 mg, 0.331 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (120 mg, 0.993 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give 47c (75.0 mg, 0.217 mmol, 66%). Preparation 3: General Procedure E was applied using 45n (341 mg, 0.968 mmol) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (350 mg, 2.91 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) to give 47c (195 mg, 0.564 mmol, 58%). mp 193–196 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.23 (t,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.86 – 7.80 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d,  $J =$ 4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.32 – 7.25 (m, 4H), 7.21 (dd,  $J = 6.8, 2.1$  Hz, 1H),  $7.19 - 7.15$  (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.74 (g,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 2.98  $(t, J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 160.6, 147.9, 147.6, 139.5, 139.4, 129.9, 129.5, 128.7, 128.3, 126.0, 118.5, 114.7, 105.9, 55.4, 41.6, 34.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z\colon\thinspace\mathrm{[M+H]}^+$  calcd for  $\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{N}_5\mathrm{O}$  346.16624; found 346.16572.

3-(3,5-Di-tert-butylphenyl)-8-phenethoxy-  $[1,2,4]$ tria-zolo $[4,3$ -a $[pyrazine (47d)]$ . Isolated from the same reaction as for 46h. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were combined and evaporated to give 47d as a yellow sticky solid (first run: 5.0 mg, 11.6  $\mu$ mol, 3%, second run: 5.00 mg, 11.6  $\mu$ mol, 3%, average yield is 3%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J  $= 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.65 - 7.60$  (m, 3H),  $7.39 - 7.27$  $(m, 5H), 7.24$  (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.81 (t, J  $= 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 3.28 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 1.39 (s, 18H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 154.4, 152.3, 149.8, 140.5, 137.7, 129.3, 128.7, 128.0, 126.8, 125.4, 125.1, 122.8, 110.8, 68.3, 35.3, 35.2, 31.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{27}H_{32}N_{4}ONa$  451.24683; found 451.24714.

 $3-(Anth racen-9-yl)-8-phenethoxy- [1,2,4]tria$  $zolo[4,3-a] pyrazine$  (47e). Isolated from the same reaction as for 46i. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were combined and evaporated to give 47e as a yellow solid (first run: 2.50 mg, 6.00 µmol, 2%, second run: 3.00 mg, 7.20  $\mu$ mol, 2%, average yield is 2%). mp 175–180 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.00 (s, 1H), 8.28 (d,  $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H),  $7.74 - 7.68$  (m, 1H),  $7.61$  (ddd,  $J =$ 8.3, 6.6, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (ddd,  $J = 8.9, 6.6,$ 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.47 – 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.34  $(m, 4H), 7.32$  (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H),  $7.30 - 7.23$  $(m, 2H), 4.83$   $(t, J = 6.8$  Hz,  $2H), 3.25$   $(t,$  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 153.4, 145.4, 141.8, 141.4, 140.0, 138.1, 131.0, 130.83, 130.79, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.4, 127.8, 127.5, 126.5, 125.9, 125.6, 124.6, 118.1, 111.8, 67.5, 34.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O 417.17099; found 417.17089.

3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-8-phenethoxy-[1,2,4]  $triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine$  (47f). Isolated from the same reaction as for 46f. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were combined and evaporated to give 47f as a white solid (first run: 13.1 mg, 37.8 µmol, 9%, second run: 12.2 mg, 35.2  $\mu$ mol, 9%, average yield is 9%). mp 124–128 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$ 7.71 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.65 (ddd,  $J = 8.5$ , 7.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.40 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.28 (m, 3H), 7.27 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 7.18 (td,  $J = 7.4$ , 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (t,  $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.19 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 156.9, 152.9, 146.7, 139.4, 138.0, 132.7, 131.9, 128.9, 128.4, 126.5, 126.4, 120.9, 114.2, 113.3, 112.1, 67.3, 55.6, 34.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na 369.13220; found 369.13255.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)$ - $(1,2,4)$ triazolo $(4,3-a)$ pyrazin-8-ol (48a). General Procedure D was applied (with following modification:  $H_2O$  was used as a solvent) using  $45i$  (107 mg, 0.341) mmol) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (41.7 mg, 0.341 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of MeOH  $(0\%$  to  $20\%)$  in EtOAc to give 48a as a pale brown solid (80.0 mg, 0.330 mmol, 94%). mp 312–316 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta 11.42 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.81 -$ 7.74 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 –

7.14 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 160.9, 153.0, 149.2, 145.0, 129.9, 118.4, 117.9, 114.8, 103.8, 55.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR) m/z:  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na 265.06960; found 265.06955.

 $3-(4-Nitrophenyl)$ - $(1,2,4/triazolo/4,3-alpyra$ zin-8-ol (48b). General Procedure D was applied using 45j (128 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-ol (48.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (30% to 100%) in hexanes, then MeOH (0% to 20%) in EtOAc, fractions corresponding to the third peak were combined and evaporated to give 48b as a yellow solid (first run: 28.8 mg, 0.112 mmol, 28%, second run: 31.9 mg, 0.124 mmol, 31%, average yield is 30%). mp 207–210 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  8.48 – 8.36 (m, 2H), 8.20 – 8.14  $(m, 2H), 7.41$  (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d,  $J =$ 4.7 Hz, 1H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ : δ 159.9, 147.4, 146.3, 144.6, 133.7, 132.7, 128.3, 124.3, 99.8. HRMS (ESI/FTICR) m/z:  $[M + Na]^{+}$  calcd for  $C_{11}H_{7}N_{5}O_{3}Na$  280.04411; found 280.04439.

 $3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-[1,2,4/triazolo/4,3-a]$ *pyrazin-8-ol* (48c). Isolated from the same reaction as for 46f. Fractions corresponding to the third peak were combined and evaporated to give 48c as a yellow solid (first run: 47.6 mg, 0.197 mmol, 49%, second run: 46.3 mg, 0.191 mmol,  $48\%$ , average yield is  $49\%$ ). mp 116–119 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 11.45 (s, 1H), 7.65 (ddd,  $J = 8.9, 7.4, 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 0.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.17 (td,  $J = 7.5, 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d,  $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H).  ${}^{13}C_{1}{}^{1}H$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 156.9, 152.9, 147.9, 144.9, 132.8, 131.9, 120.9, 117.7, 114.2, 112.1, 104.8, 55.7. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}N_4O_2Na$  265.06960; found 265.06995.

 $3-(2-Nitrophenyl)$ - $(1,2,4)$ triazolo $(4,3$ -a $)$ pyra $zin$ -8-ol (48d). Isolated from the same reaction as for 46g preparation 2. Fractions corresponding to the third peak were combined and evaporated to give 48d as an orange solid (first run: 11.4 mg, 44.3 µmol, 11%, second run: 13.8 mg, 53.7  $\mu$ mol, 13%, average yield is 12%). mp 124–127 °C (decomp.). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 11.65 (s, 1H), 8.37 (dd,  $J = 8.1$ , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (td,  $J = 7.5$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (td,  $J = 7.8$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (dd,  $J =$ 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H).  $^{13}$ C $\{^1$ H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ :  $\delta$  152.7, 148.1, 146.2, 144.9, 134.6, 133.1, 132.7, 125.4, 119.9, 118.9, 103.7. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{11}H_7N_5O_3Na$  280.04411; found 280.04426.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)$ - $(1,2,4)$ -triazolo $(4,3$  $a/pyrazine$  (49). Preparation 1: General Procedure E was applied using  $45n$  (341 mg, 0.968) mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethan-1-amine (352 mg, 2.91 mmol, 3.0 equiv.). Fractions corresponding to the second peak were re-purified by RP-FCC on C18 using a gradient of MeOH  $(5\% \text{ to } 80\%)$  in H<sub>2</sub>O. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were combined and evaporated to give  $49$  as a white solid  $(24.0 \text{ mg}, 0.106 \text{ mmol})$ , 11%). Preparation 2: General Procedure D was applied using 45n (108 mg, 0.307 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and 2-phenylethane-1-thiol (43.0 mg, 0.307 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of MeOH (0% to 10%) in DCM, fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 49 as a yellow solid (51.0 mg, 0.225 mmol, 74%). mp 202–205 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.45 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.57 (dd,  $J = 4.9$ , 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d,  $J = 4.9$  Hz, 1H),  $7.93 - 7.87$  (m, 2H),  $7.23$  $-7.16$  (m, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): \delta$  160.9, 146.5, 145.5, 144.1, 129.8, 129.7, 117.8, 116.9, 114.8, 55.4. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}N_4ONa$  249.07468; found 249.07465.

 $3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5-(1-phenethyl-1H-im$  $idazol-2-yl)-4H-1,2,4-triazol$ e (50). Isolated from the same reaction as for 49 preparation 1. Fractions corresponding to the second peak, after RP-FCC were combined and evaporated to give  $50$  as a white solid  $(57.0 \text{ mg}, 0.165)$ mmol, 17%). mp 143–146 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  8.00 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H),  $7.29 - 7.17$  (m, 2H),  $7.19 - 7.12$  (m, 4H),  $7.07$  $(d, J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 4.78 (t, J  $= 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.12 (t,  $J = 7.3$ 

Hz, 2H).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ 162.9, 139.3, 129.9, 129.5, 129.1, 127.7, 124.0, 115.4, 55.9, 50.0, 38.6. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z\colon\thinspace\mathrm{[M+H]}^+$  calcd for  $\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{20}\mathrm{N}_5\mathrm{O}$  346.16624; found 346.16560. X-ray single crystal data can be found in the supporting information.

5-(Piperidin-1-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (55). General Procedure E was applied using 45a (101 mg, 0.652 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in toluene (10 mL) and piperidine (167 mg, 1.96 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) and heated at reflux for 72 h. The reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc  $(50\% \text{ to } 100\%)$  in hexanes, then MeOH  $(0\% \text{ to } 100\%)$ 5%) in EtOAc. Fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 55 as an orange crystalline solid (20.7 mg, 0.102 mmol, 16%). mp 158–161 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ : δ 9.39 (d,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 1H), 9.05  $(d, J = 0.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 3.23 – 3.05  $(m, 4H), 1.76$  (p,  $J = 5.8$  Hz,  $4H), 1.67 - 1.58$  $(m, 2H)$ . <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): δ 145.6, 138.4, 135.7, 134.5, 116.4, 50.2, 25.0, 23.6. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{10}H_{14}N_5$  204.12437; found 204.12426.  $8-(Piperidin-1-yl)$ - $[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyra-$ 

zine (56). Isolated from the same reaction as for 55. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 56 as an orange crystalline solid (93.4 mg, 0.460 mmol, 71%). mp 181–183 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  8.82 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H),  $4.25$  (t,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 4H),  $1.78 - 1.70$  (m, 2H), 1.66 (dd,  $J = 7.6, 3.9$ Hz, 4H).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN): δ 148.8, 141.5, 138.3, 129.9, 108.3, 48.1, 26.9, 25.5. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{10}H_{14}N_5$  204.12437; found 204.12412. X-ray single crystal data can be found in the supporting information.

 $5-(Piperidin-1-yl)$ - $(1,2,4)triazolo/4,3-a/pyra$ *zine-3-d* (57). General Procedure E was applied using 45a (101 mg, 0.652 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and piperidine (167 mg, 1.96 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) in  $D_2O(5 \text{ mL})$ . The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 72 h and purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc  $(50\% \text{ to } 100\%)$  in hexanes then MeOH  $(0\% \text{ to } 100\%)$ 5%) in EtOAc. Fractions corresponding to the second peak were evaporated to give 57 as an orange crystalline solid (31.1 mg, 0.153 mmol, 23%). mp 158–161 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.05 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 3.19 – 3.13 (m, 4H), 1.75 (p,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 4H), 1.66 – 1.58 (m, 2H). <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$ 9.44 (s, 1D).  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  145.6, 138.3, 135.7, 134.7 – 133.9 (m), 116.3, 50.2, 25.0, 23.6. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>13</sub>DN<sub>5</sub> 205.13065; found 205.13038.

 $8-(Piperidin-1-yl)$ - $(1,2,4]triazolo/4,3-a/pyra$ zine-3,5-d<sub>2</sub> (58). Isolated from the same reaction as for 57. Fractions corresponding to the first peak were evaporated to give 58 as an orange crystalline solid (78.7 mg, 0.387 mmol, 59%). mp 181–183 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  7.31 (s, 1H), 4.21 (t,  $J =$ 5.5 Hz, 4H), 1.68 (tt,  $J = 6.4$ , 2.4 Hz, 2H), 1.61 (tq,  $J = 8.4, 5.3, 4.2$  Hz, 4H). <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  9.28 (s, 1D), 7.88 (s, 1D). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$  $\delta$  147.1, 139.6, 137.86 – 137.14 (m), 128.6, 107.41 (t,  $J = 29.3$  Hz), 46.6, 25.7, 24.2. HRMS (ESI/FTICR)  $m/z$ : [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{10}H_{12}D_2N_5$  206.13693; found 206.13662.

5-Chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-3-d (59). Compound 45a (227 mg, 1.47 mmol) was stirred in  $D_2O$  (5 mL) at 80 °C for 2 days. The solvent was evaporated and the reaction mixture was purified by FCC on silica using a gradient of EtOAc (20 to 100%) in hexanes to give 59 as a white solid (197 mg, 1.27 mmol, 86%). mp 170–173 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.30 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H). <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.10 (s, 1D).  ${}^{13}C\{^1H\}$  NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  145.9, 142.0, 134.96 – 134.04 (m), 128.4, 121.3. LRMS  $(ESI/IT)$   $m/z$ :  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> 156.0.

 $8-(Piperidin-1-yl)$ - $(1,2,4]triazolo/4,3-a/pyra$ zine-3-d (60). 56 (10 mg, 49  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in  $D_2O(5 \text{ mL})$  and heated at reflux for 72 h. Solvent was evaporated to give 60 as an orange solid (10 mg, 49  $\mu$ mol, 100%). mp 181– 183 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.37 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d,  $J = 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.30  $(s, 4H), 1.72$  (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 6H). <sup>2</sup>H NMR (77) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.75 (s, 1D). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $(126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta$  148.1, 140.7, 137.1 –

136.1 (m), 130.0, 106.0, 47.6, 26.4, 24.9. LRMS  $(ESI/IT)$   $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup> 227.1.

Acknowledgement We thank Mark Coster for suggestions on the mechanism of the telesubstitution reaction; Chris Swain, Chase Smith and other members of the OSM consortium for their valuable discussion on GitHub; Peter Turner for the help with X-ray crystallography; Irene Hallyburton for conducting in vitro antiplasmodial activity assay and the Structural Genomics Consortium at The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (SGC-UNC) for facilitating the KINOMEscan® assay. Also we thank the Australian Research Council and the Medicines for Malaria Venture for support (LP150101226).

## Supporting Information Available

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI:

The following files are available free of charge.

- ORTEP diagrams for the X-ray structures and crystal data; experimental details for biological activity evaluations and copies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of novel compounds. (PDF)
- The archive of laboratory notebook with all experiments described in the article and raw NMR data for all novel compounds. [\(ZIP\)](https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/21890)
- The KINOMEscan<sup>®</sup> assay report on the biological activity of compounds 46d and 47b. (XLS)
- X-ray crystal data of  $45a$ ,  $50$ ,  $56$ . (CIF)
- The structural information in strings formant for all compounds described in the article with reference codes to the laboratory notebook. (XLS)

## References

- <span id="page-22-0"></span>(1) IUPAC Gold Book (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://goldbook.iupac.org/](https://goldbook.iupac.org/terms/view/I03251) [terms/view/I03251](https://goldbook.iupac.org/terms/view/I03251).
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>(2) IUPAC Gold Book (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://goldbook.iupac.org/](https://goldbook.iupac.org/html/C/C01081.html) [html/C/C01081.html](https://goldbook.iupac.org/html/C/C01081.html).
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>(3) IUPAC Gold Book (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://goldbook.iupac.org/](https://goldbook.iupac.org/html/T/T06256.html) [html/T/T06256.html](https://goldbook.iupac.org/html/T/T06256.html).
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>(4) Open Source Malaria Project. Offcial website (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [http://](http://opensourcemalaria.org/) [opensourcemalaria.org/](http://opensourcemalaria.org/).
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>(5) Runde, M. M.; Scott, E. W.; Johnson, J. R. Rearrangement of the Alpha-Furfuryl Group. 2-Furylacetic Acid and 5- Methylfuroic Acid. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1930, 52, 1284– 1289.
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>(6) Torr, J. E.; Large, J. M.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Mc-Donald, E. On the nucleophilic telesubstitution of dichloropyrazines by metallated dithianes. Tetrahedron Letters 2006, 47, 31–34.
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>(7) Verček, B.; Stanovnik, B.; Tišler, M. Products of abnormal substitution of striazolo(1,5-a)pyrazines. Tetrahedron Letters 1974, 15, 4539–4542.
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>(8) Bradac, J.; Furek, Z.; Janezic, D.; Molan, S.; Smerkolj, I.; Stanovnik, B.; Tisler, M.; Vercek, B. Heterocycles. 167. Telesubstitution and other transformations of imidazo $[1,2-a]$ - and s-triazolo $[4,3$ a]pyrazines. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1977, 42, 4197–4201.
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>(9) Suwiński, J. W. cine- and tele-Substitution reactions: review of work from 2002-2016. Arkivoc 2017, 2017, 402–435.
- (10) Suwiński, J.; Świerczek, K. cine and tele Substitution reactions. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 1639–1662.
- (11) Tišler, M. Tele-substitutions in Heterocyclic Chemistry. Acta chimica Slovenica 2011, 58, 9–13.
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>(12) Terrier, F. Modern Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution; Wiley-VCH, 2013.
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>(13) Open Source Malaria Project wiki (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://github.](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki) [com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki).
- <span id="page-22-11"></span>(14) Open Source Malaria Project wiki (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://github.com/](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/Modification-of-the-Triazole- Substitution) [OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/Modification-of-the-Triazole- Substitution) [Modification-of-the-Triazole-Substitution](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/Modification-of-the-Triazole- Substitution).
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>(15) Open Source Malaria Project wiki (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https:](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/In-Vivo-Efficacy) [//github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/In-Vivo-Efficacy) [Series4/wiki/In-Vivo-Efficacy](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/wiki/In-Vivo-Efficacy).
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>(16) Lee, S.; Cil, O.; Diez-Cecilia, E.; Anderson, M. O.; Verkman, A. S. Nanomolar-Potency 1,2,4-Triazoloquinoxaline Inhibitors of the Kidney Urea Transporter UT-A1. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 2018, 61, 3209–3217.
- <span id="page-22-14"></span>(17) Pasternak, A.; Pio, B.; Chobanian, R., Harry; Shi, Z.-C.; Dong, S.; Guo, Y.; Walsh, P., Shawn; Guo, Z.; Ferguson, D., Ronald; Cato, B. Inhibitors of the renal outer medullary potassium channel. WO2016/060941. April 21, 2016.
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>(18) Aschner, P.; Kipnes, M. S.; Lunceford, J. K.; Sanchez, M.; Mickel, C.; and, D. E. W.-H. Effect of the Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitor Sitagliptin as Monotherapy on Glycemic Control in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2006, 29, 2632–2637.
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>(19) Ali, I.; Lee, J.; Go, A.; Choi, G.; Lee, K. Discovery of novel  $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-$ a ]quinoxaline aminophenyl derivatives as BET inhibitors for cancer treatment. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 2017, 27, 4606–4613.
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>(20) Shapiro, G. 3,3-Difluoropiperidine carbamate heterocyclic comopunds as NR2B NMDA receptor antagonists. WO2016/196513. December 8, 2016.
- <span id="page-23-1"></span>(21) Github. Open Source Malaria Project (accessed Jan 15, 2020). [https://github.](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/issues/39#issuecomment-391222820) [com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/issues/39#issuecomment-391222820) [issues/39#issuecomment-391222820](https://github.com/OpenSourceMalaria/Series4/issues/39#issuecomment-391222820).
- <span id="page-23-2"></span>(22) Mal, S.; Prathap, K. J.; Smith, S. C.; Umarye, J. D. Facile one pot synthesis of 8-chloro-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazines via oxidative cyclisation using chloramine T. Tetrahedron Letters 2015, 56, 2896–2901.
- <span id="page-23-3"></span> $(23)$  Helal, C. J. *et al.* Application of Structure-Based Design and Parallel Chemistry to Identify a Potent, Selective, and Brain Penetrant Phosphodiesterase 2A Inhibitor. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 2017, 60, 5673–5698.
- <span id="page-23-4"></span>(24) Viswanathan, B.; Jacob, B. Alkylation, Hydrogenation and Oxidation Catalyzed by Mesoporous Materials. Catalysis Reviews 2005, 47, 1–82.
- <span id="page-23-5"></span>(25) Venuto, P.; Hamilton, L.; Landis, P.; Wise, J. Organic reactions catalyzed by crystalline aluminosilicatesI. Alkylation reactions. Journal of Catalysis 1966, 5, 81–98.
- <span id="page-23-6"></span>(26) Zaydoun, S.; Idrissi, M. S.; Garrigou-Lagrange, C. Étude vibrationnelle du méthyl-4 triazole-1,2,4 et de son chlorhydrate. Canadian Journal of Chemistry 1987, 65, 2509–2512.
- <span id="page-23-7"></span>(27) Hurd, J. A.; Vaidhyanathan, R.; Thangadurai, V.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Moudrakovski, I. L.; Shimizu, G. K. H. Anhydrous proton conduction at 150 °C in a crystalline metal–organic framework. Nature Chemistry 2009, 1, 705–710.
- <span id="page-23-8"></span>(28) Wu, P.; Nielsen, T. E.; Clausen, M. H. FDA-approved small-molecule kinase inhibitors. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 2015, 36, 422–439.
- <span id="page-23-9"></span>(29) Liu, W.; Li, J.; He, K.; Huang, F.; Ma, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, Q.; Xu, F. Synthesis, bioactivity, action mode and 3D-QSAR of novel anthranilic diamide derivatives. Chinese Chemical Letters 2019, 30, 417–420.
- <span id="page-23-10"></span>(30) Tam, H. D.; Sarfati, R. S.; Gouyette, C.; Igolen, J.; Bisagni, E.; Lhoste, J. M.; Civier, A. Synthesis of C-nucleosides. 17. s-Triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazines. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1979, 44, 1028–1035.
- <span id="page-23-11"></span>(31) Calderwood, D. J.; Bonafoux, D. F.; Burchat, A.; Ding, P.; Frank, K. E.; Hoemann, M. Z.; Mullen, K. D.; Davis, H. M. Novel Triazolopyridazines. WO/2009/005675. January 8, 2009.
- <span id="page-23-12"></span>(32) Kanaya, N.; Ishiyama, T.; Muto, R.; Ochiai, Y.; Watanabe, T.; Shima, N. Amidopyrazole Derivative. EP1698626 (A1). September 06, 2006.
- <span id="page-23-13"></span>(33) Kim, D. et al. (2R)-4-Oxo-4-[3-(Trifluoromethyl)-5,6 dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin- $7(8H)-v1$ -1- $(2,4,5-$ trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine: A Potent, Orally Active Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV Inhibitor for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 2005, 48, 141–151.
- <span id="page-23-14"></span>(34) Rasmussen, L.; Demmer, C.; Jørgensen, M.; Kehler, J.; Bunch, L. Synthesis and Selective Functionalization of [1,2,4]Triazolo-[4,3-a]pyrazines. Synlett 2015, 26, 519–524.
- <span id="page-23-15"></span>(35) Jackson, J. J.; Kobayashi, H.; Steffens, S. D.; Zakarian, A. 10-Step Asymmetric Total Synthesis and Stereochemical Elucidation of ()-Dragmacidin D. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2015, 54, 9971–9975.

# Graphical TOC Entry

