Gliptin repurposing for COVID-19

2

1

3 Angel Jonathan Ruiz Moreno,^{1,2, 5} Atilio Reyes Romero,¹ Constantinos Neochoritis,^{3,6} Matthew Groves,¹

- 4 Marco Velasco-Velázquez,^{2, 5} Alexander Dömling^{1,3,4}*
- 5 ¹ Department of Drug Design, University of Groningen, The Netherlands
- 6 ² Departamento de Farmacología y Unidad Periférica de Investigación en Biomedicina Traslacional,
- 7 Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Ciudad de México, México.
- 8 ³ Telesis Pharma, Groningen, The Netherlands
- 9 ⁴ FORTH, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Crete, Greece
- ⁵ Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Biomédicas, UNAM. Ciudad de México, México.
- ⁶ University of Crete, Department of Chemistry, Greece.

12

13 Background

- 14 De novo discovery of any therapeutic (e.g. antibodies, vaccines or small molecules) takes years to move
- 15 from idea/preclinic to market release and is not a short-term solution for the current SARS-CoV-2
- 16 pandemic. Therefore, drug repurposing the discovery of novel indication areas for already approved
- 17 drugs is perhaps the only approach able to yield a short-term solution.

18 Methods

19 In order to repurpose drugs as potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 proteases 3CLpro and PLpro, we

20 performed a computational screening using the oral bioavailable antidiabetic drug class of gliptins as

21 candidates.

22 Results

- 23 The orally bioavailable antidiabetic drug class of gliptins is safe and has been clinically available (and used
- 24 by millions of patients) since 2006. Based on our repurposing hypothesis and our computational docking
- we predict the nitrile containing gliptins to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 proteases 3CLpro and PLpro. As a result,
- 26 nitrile containing gliptins deserve further investigation as potential anti-COVID19 drugs.

- In December 2019, a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2 the cause of the disease named COVID-19) was found
 in Wuhan, China.¹ Human-to-human transmission has occurred among close contacts.² As of 30 March
- 2020, there have been over 700.000 reported cases with over 30.000 deaths for the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic
- 31 worldwide. However, there are currently no effective medications against SARS-CoV-2.
- 32 De novo drug discovery historically takes years from idea/preclinic to the market, independently of the
- nature of the drug (e.g. small molecule, antibody, vaccine). Thus, this strategy is not a short-term solution
- 34 for the current pandemic. Drug repurposing aims to discover novel indications for already approved

35 drugs.³ The overwhelming advantage of drug repurposing is the much faster clinical approval, due to 36 already extensive knowledge of the physicochemical properties and behavior of the drug in humans. 37 Therefore, many groups have pursued a drug repurposing approach. This has led to several promising drugs which are currently tested for COVID-19 in humans, including Favipiravir, Remdesivir, HIV protease 38 39 inhibitors, chloroquine or Tozilizumab.^{4,5} So far, however, none showed more than promising activity based on low numbers of patients per trial. Additionally, a combination of Lopinavir and Ritonavir 40 41 (Kaletra[®]) – effective for HIV infection – failed in ~200 severe COVID-19 cases in China.⁵ Lopinavir and 42 Ritonavir did not show any benefit over the current standard therapy. Clearly, additional drug repurposing 43 hypotheses need to be tested.

44 In our search for drugs potentially inhibiting the replication of the SARS-CoV-2 we concentrated on 45 compounds inhibiting the proteases. Both the virus encoded proteases, including the 3C-like proteinase 46 (3CLpro) and Papain-like proteinase (PLpro), are highly conserved among CoVs and are involved in the essential processing of the viral polyprotein in a coordinated manner. Therefore, they represent important 47 48 drug targets. 3CLpro cleaves the C-terminus of replicase polyprotein at 11 sites.⁶ Recently, the Å resolution 3D X-ray structure was reported of the 3CLpro covalently bound to a peptidomimetic acrylester (PDB ID 49 6LU7).⁷ PLpro is responsible for the cleavages located at the N-terminus of the replicase polyprotein and 50 51 has other important functions during the viral replication cycle.⁸ Thus, we concentrated our investigations 52 on drug classes with a reactive warhead potentially reacting with the 3CLpro active site Cys145 and/or 53 PLpro active site Cys112, respectively.

54 Gliptins are a class of oral hypoglycemics that inhibit the enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4), a serine 55 protease that rapidly inactivates incretin hormones in plasma. They are widely used as drugs to treat diabetes mellitus type 2. The first compound - sitagliptin - received market approval in 2006. Since then 56 57 many new gliptins were introduced (Fig. 1A, B). Mechanistically, gliptins can be divided in two classes, 58 covalent and non-covalent inhibitors.⁹ The nitrile containing gliptins make a covalent bond with the active 59 site Ser630 of DPP-4 (Fig. 1C). Nitrile containing gliptins include Saxapliptin, Vildagliptin, Bisegliptin, 60 Trelagliptin, Anagliptin, Melogliptin, and Denagliptin (Fig.1B). We hypothesized that nitrile containing 61 gliptins might be potential repurposing candidates given that cysteines are well known to react with 62 nitriles to form covalent adducts in a similar way as serines (Fig. 1D). For example arylnitriles have been described as cysteine protease inhibitors.¹¹ To form stable adducts the covalent imidate is often stabilized 63 64 by a hydrogen bonding network to the receptor. In the case of Vildagliptin, the imidate NH forms hydrogen 65 bondings to the Tyr547 OH and an adjacent water molecule (Figure 1C).



67

Figure 1. Antidiabetic gliptins as potential COVID-19 repurposing drugs. A) Marketed or late stage noncovalent DPP-4 inhibitors. B) Marketed or late stage covalent DPP-4 inhibitors with electrophile nitrile circled in red. C) Example (PDB ID 6B1E) of Vildagliptin (cyan sticks) covalently bound to 3CLpro Ser630 (grey sticks) and the imine group stabilized by a hydrogen bond to Tyr547 (grey sticks) and a water molecule (red ball).¹⁰ D) Mechanistic similarity of nucleophilic serine and cysteine addition to an electrophilic nitrile.

74 Methods In order to evaluate the potential of the nitrile containing gliptins as 3CLpro and PLpro inhibitors, 75 we performed covalent docking using GOLD and CovDock software. The potential implication of gliptins binding to the were analyzed by minimizing the complexes comprising best docking poses using the MAB 76 force field (detailed methods in SI).¹²⁻¹⁴ For SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (PDB ID 6LU7), the catalytic Cys145 was 77 78 used as docking site. For SARS-CoV-2 PLpro there is no crystal structure yet available. However, the PLpro 79 from SARS-CoV-2 shows an high sequence identity (83%) with PLpro from SARS-CoV (Fig. S1).¹⁵ Specifically, 80 in the near vicinity of the active site and binding site of inhibitors they are identical. Thus, we decided to 81 use SARS-CoV PLpro (PDB ID 2FE8) Cys112 as binding site for our docking experiments. Additionally, the 82 cooperative interaction networks were evaluated for the best poses from GOLD and CovDock docking 83 using Scorpion software.³ Results and discussion Docking scores and cooperative binding network analysis showed higher values 84 85 for all gliptins against 3CLpro (Tables S2 and Fig. S2B), suggesting a higher affinity for the gliptins rather

than PLpro (Table S1 and Fig. S2A). Vildagliptin was identified as the best dual action candidate for both
3CLpro and PLpro (Fig. 2A, B). On the other hand, Denagliptin and Anaglitin were identified as the best

candidates for 3CLpro and PLpro, respectively. Saxagliptin and secondly Trelagliptin, showed a consensus

89 score value higher against 3CLpro rather than PLpro, indicating a possible higher selectivity for 3CLpro.

However, Melogliptin and Bisegliptin displayed higher consensus score values for PLpro suggesting a
 possible selectivity for this target. Finally, as stated previously, scoring values for 3CLpro were higher,

92 indicating that selectivity of gliptins against 3CLpro and more importantly against PLpro must be

93 considered carefully.

Docking results showed that by forming the covalent complex with 3CLpro the best compounds 94 95 (Vildagliptin, Anagliptin, and Denagliptin) were able to form an extended hydrogen bonding network and/or hydrophobic interaction with the His41 (Fig. 2A). Both, Cys145 and His41 are part of the catalytic 96 97 dyad of different CoV's main proteases.⁵ Additionally, the scores of Vildagliptin, Anagliptin and Denagliptin 98 and His41 were preserved and in some cases enhanced, suggesting that binding of these gliptins could 99 induce and adjust the fit which potentiates the binding and stabilization of these compound into the 100 catalytic site of 3CLpro (Table S4). Therefore, these gliptins could have a direct impact on the protease 101 3CLpro function, and consequently impact the replication cycle of SARS-CoV-2.

102 The protease activity of PLpro has been reported to be catalyzed by the dyad Cys112 and His273 or the triad additionally involving Asp287.^{8,15} Experimental non-covalent inhibitors of SARS-CoV also have been 103 reported.^{16,17} Interestingly, such inhibitors bind in a cavity next to the catalytic triad but none of them 104 105 interacts directly with the residues of the catalytic triad, despite proving high potency and selectivity in 106 vitro.¹⁶ All gliptins are predicted to potentially form covalent adducts to SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 107 proteases through direct interaction with the Cys112 in the catalytic triad where also other reported 108 inhibitors bind (Fig. S5). Amongst the best candidates for PLpro (Anagliptin, Vildaglipti and Melogliptin) 109 (Fig. 2B, Table S3) only Anagliptin is able to form a hydrogen bonding interaction with the His273. However, the three proposed gliptins were able to form an extended network of ligand - receptor 110 interactions, mainly hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions, with the same residues as the 111 reported inhibitors: Gly164, Asp165, and Tyr269. Therefore, our results suggest the formation a stable 112 113 binding of the nitrile containing gliptins (Fig. S3, S4) and a possible inhibition of PLpro activity.



Figure 2. Covalent docking of the nitrile-gliptins into the SARS-CoV-2 protease 3CLpro (PDB ID 6LU7) and SARS-CoV-1 protease PLpro (PDB ID 2FE8). A, B) Consensus docking scores for the nitrile-gliptins for the two proteases. B, C) Highest scoring poses of the best dual action Vildagliptin in 3CLpro and PLpro with some indicated molecular interactions. The active site cysteines forming the covalent bond are shown in yellow. Insert in D) shows the stabilization of the thioimidate structure through an intramolecular hydrogen bond to the pyrrolidine acyl carbonyl and a H-bond donor pi interaction to the backbone NH of Tyr113.

- 123 Gliptin drug safety has been monitored over more than a decade and good tolerance/safety profile of DPP-4 inhibitors has been largely confirmed, importantly including elderly populations.¹⁸ Gliptins are 124 125 orally bioavailable, easy to formulate, and can be and are already delivered to millions of people in the 126 form of a pill. They are already manufactured on scale, which is critical in the current pandemic. They are 127 stable at ambient temperature and have a long shelf life. Furthermore, PK/PD is also well established. For 128 example, the plasma half-life of Saxagliptin (\sim 27 h) is much longer than that of Vildagliptin (\sim 2 h) and thus Saxagliptin requires lower therapeutic dosage than Vildagliptin: Saxagliptin is administrated 5 mg 129 once a day, while Vildagliptin is 50 mg twice a day.²⁰⁻²² However nothing is known about PK/PD profile of 130 the different gliptins in the lungs. Based on the hypothetical inhibition mechanism of the viral replication 131
- through inhibition of the two essential SARS-CoV-2 proteases and the well-established safety profile a
- 133 preventative and/or curative application of the selected gliptins is thinkable.
- 134 Unfortunately, due to the general shutdown of research and academic laboratories worldwide further
- 135 studies including protease assays and cell-based virus proliferation assays cannot be performed at this
- 136 time. The severity of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic prompted us to publish our preliminary results. We hope
- 137 that our drug repurposing hypothesis could become the starting point to perform further studies in
- 138 severely ill COVID-19 patients.

140 References

141 1. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 142 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet Lond Engl 143 2020;395(10223):507–13.

Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–
 Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020;382(13):1199–207.

146 3. Pushpakom S, Iorio F, Eyers PA, et al. Drug repurposing: progress, challenges and 147 recommendations. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2019;18(1):41–58.

148 4. Harrison C. Coronavirus puts drug repurposing on the fast track. Nat Biotechnol 2020;

149 5. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid150 19. N Engl J Med 2020;

Pillaiyar T, Manickam M, Namasivayam V, Hayashi Y, Jung S-H. An Overview of Severe Acute
 Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) 3CL Protease Inhibitors: Peptidomimetics and Small
 Molecule Chemotherapy. J Med Chem 2016;59(14):6595–628.

Jin Z, Du X, Xu Y, et al. Structure of Mpro from COVID-19 virus and discovery of its inhibitors.
 bioRxiv 2020;2020.02.26.964882.

Báez-Santos YM, St John SE, Mesecar AD. The SARS-coronavirus papain-like protease: structure,
 function and inhibition by designed antiviral compounds. Antiviral Res 2015;115:21–38.

158 9. Nabeno M, Akahoshi F, Kishida H, et al. A comparative study of the binding modes of recently
159 launched dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors in the active site. Biochem Biophys Res Commun
160 2013;434(2):191–6.

10. Berger JP, SinhaRoy R, Pocai A, et al. A comparative study of the binding properties, dipeptidyl
peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitory activity and glucose-lowering efficacy of the DPP-4 inhibitors alogliptin,
linagliptin, saxagliptin, sitagliptin and vildagliptin in mice. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab 2018;1(1):e00002.

164 11. Ehmke V, Quinsaat JEQ, Rivera-Fuentes P, et al. Tuning and predicting biological affinity: aryl
165 nitriles as cysteine protease inhibitors. Org Biomol Chem 2012;10(30):5764–8.

166 12. Jones G, Willett P, Glen RC, Leach AR, Taylor R. Development and validation of a genetic algorithm
167 for flexible docking. J Mol Biol 1997;267(3):727–48.

168 13. Zhu K, Borrelli KW, Greenwood JR, et al. Docking covalent inhibitors: a parameter free approach
169 to pose prediction and scoring. J Chem Inf Model 2014;54(7):1932–40.

170 14. Gerber PR, Müller K. MAB, a generally applicable molecular force field for structure modelling in
 171 medicinal chemistry. J Comput Aided Mol Des 1995;9(3):251–68.

172 15. Ratia K, Saikatendu KS, Santarsiero BD, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain173 like protease: Structure of a viral deubiquitinating enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2006;103(15):5717-22.

- 16. Báez-Santos YM, Barraza SJ, Wilson MW, et al. X-ray Structural and Biological Evaluation of a
 Series of Potent and Highly Selective Inhibitors of Human Coronavirus Papain-like Proteases. J Med Chem
 2014;57(6):2393–412.
- 177 17. Ratia K, Pegan S, Takayama J, et al. A noncovalent class of papain-like protease/deubiquitinase
 178 inhibitors blocks SARS virus replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008;105(42):16119–24.
- 179 18. Scheen AJ. The safety of gliptins : updated data in 2018. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2018;17(4):387–
 180 405.
- 181 19. He H, Tran P, Yin H, et al. Absorption, metabolism, and excretion of [14C]vildagliptin, a novel
 dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor, in humans. Drug Metab Dispos Biol Fate Chem 2009;37(3):536–44.
- 183 20. Tahrani AA, Piya MK, Barnett AH. Saxagliptin: a new DPP-4 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2
 184 diabetes mellitus. Adv Ther 2009;26(3):249–62.
- 185 21. Dhillon S. Saxagliptin: A Review in Type 2 Diabetes. Drugs 2015;75(15):1783–96.
- 186

188	Gliptin repurposing for COVID-19	
189		
190 191	Angel Jonathan Ruiz Moreno, ^{1,2, 5} Atilio Reyes Romero, ¹ Constantinos Neochoritis, ³ Matthew Groves, ¹ Marco Velasco-Velázquez, ^{2, 5} Alexander Dömling ^{1,3,4} *	L
192	¹ Department of Drug Design, University of Groningen, The Netherlands	
193 194	² Departamento de Farmacología y Unidad Periférica de Investigación en Biomedicina Traslacional, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Ciudad de México, Méxic	
195	³ Telesis Pharma, Groningen, The Netherlands	
196	⁴ FORTH, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Crete, Greece	
197	⁵ Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Biomédicas, UNAM. Ciudad de México, México.	
198		
199	Supporting information	
200	Table of contents	
201	Figure S1	S2
202	Virtual screening methodologies	S2
203	Consensus score	S3
204	Table S1	S3
205	Table S2	S3
206	Figure S2	S4

207 Table S3 S4

208	Table S4	SS

209	Vildagliptin as study case	S6
210	Figure S3	S6
211	Figure S4	S7
212	Comparison against the non-covalent inhibitor of PLpro of SARS-CoV	S7

	•	5	•	
213	Figure S5			S8



217 Figure S1 Pairwise alignment of SARS-CoV (blue colored, PDB ID: 2FE8) and SARS-CoV-2 (NCBI Reference

Sequence: YP_009725299) papain-like proteases (PLpro).^{1,2} The catalytic triad (Cys112, His273 and

Asp287) is indicated by a brown stars.³ Identical residues are framed in blue, non-identical ones are

highlighted in yellow while similar resides colored in red. The residues flagging the binding site are

- 221 purple boxed. Alignment was done with ClustalW and secondary structures elements were created with
- 222 EsPript 3.0.4,5

223 Virtual screening methodologies

224 **GOLD**

- 225 The crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (PDB ID 6LU7) and SARS-CoV PLpro (PDB ID 2EF8) were
- 226 used as a receptor and Alogliptin, Anagliptin, Bisegliptin, Denagliptin, Melogliptin, Saxaglipin,
- 227 Trelagliptin, and Vildagliptin as ligands. Protein were prepared by removing co-crystallized ligand if
- 228 present, water, and solvent molecules; and adding charges and hydrogens using Chimera 1.14.⁶ Isomeric
- 229 SMILE codes for ligands were retrieved from PubChem and prepared for docking by setting the absolute
- 230 stereo flags, adding explicit hydrogens and tautomeric states at pH 7.4. 3D coordinates were generated

- with Standardized 19.20.0 (http://www.chemaxon.com). For the covalent docking, the sulfur atom of
- the reactive cysteines of the receptors were used as linker to perform the covalent bonding (Cys145 for
- 233 3CLpro and Cys112 for PLpro). For each ligand, 50 runs of genetic algorithm for the conformational
- search were performed and each pose was evaluated employing the PLP Chemscore scoring function.

235 CovDock

- 236 The crystal structures of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (PDB ID 6LU7) and SARS-CoV PLpro (PDB ID 2EF8) were
- 237 prepared by assigning bond orders, hydrogens and protonation state at pH 7.4 with Epik and missing
- side chains/loops were filled with Prime.⁷⁻¹⁰ Water molecules beyond 5.0 Å and DMSO were removed.
- 239 Finally, the structures were further refined by assigning the optimal H-bonds orientations with PROPKA3
- at pH 7.4 and a restrained minimization was then applied with OPLS_2005 until 0.30 Å RMSD
- 241 convergence. ¹¹⁻¹³ The 3D-structures and the relative tautomers of the gliptins at pH 7.4 from isomeric
- smiles were respectively generated with Ligprep (Schrödinger Release 2019-4: LigPrep, Schrödinger, LLC,
- New York, NY, 2020) and Epik, including the stereoflags at the chiral centers.^{7,8} The docking grid was
- 244 defined in center of coordinates -14.54 x 46.05 x -38.91 and 20.0 Å of spacing in all directions. Covalent
- reaction was set as nucleophilic addition to triple bond and docking was run including ligand
- 246 conformational sampling, rotamer sampling of the protein side chains, and minimization of the pose. A
- total number of 200 poses were requested employing a cutoff of 2.5 kcal/mol as a restrain.
- 248 Subsequently, the best poses were selected based on GlideScore value (kcal/mol unit). ^{14,15}
- 249 For GOLD and CovDock, the cooperativity interaction networks were assessed by Scorpion before and
- 250 after MAB force field minimization with Moloc. ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ All the figures were rendered with Pymol (The
- 251 PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.3, Schrödinger, LLC).

252 Consensus score

- 253 For comparison between scores of best poses from GOLD and CovDock for SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (Table S1,
- Fig. S2A), SARS-CoV PLpro (Table S2, Fig. S2B), and values from cooperative interaction networks and
- normalization was applied (Table S3 for 3CLpro and Table S4 for PLpro, Fig. 1A and 1B main text).
- 256 Negative values from CovDock were converted into positive values by multiplying by -1, then a 0 to 1
- range normalization was done among each method using 1 as the higher value of each group and 0 the
- lowest. Finally, the consensus score was computed as the sum of each normalized score per compound.
- Table S1 Final docking scores obtained by CovDock, GOLD, and Scorpion scores calculated from the bestposes of gliptins against SARS-CoV PLpro.

Compound	CovDock	GOLD	Scorpion_CovDock	Scorpion_GOLD
Alogliptin	-2,89	0	7,7	1,2
Denagliptin	-3,63	15,48	10,2	6,8
Anagliptin	-2,98	82,52	7,6	6,5
Bisegliptin	-2,93	65,67	2,9	6,5
Melogliptin	-2,54	73,65	10,4	5,1
Vildagliptin	-2,26	72,93	7,2	7,9

Saxagliptin	-	26,82	-	3
Trelagliptin	-	0	-	4,6

- 262 Table S2 Final docking scores obtained by CovDock, GOLD, and Scorpion scores calculated from the best
- 263 poses of gliptins against SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro.

Compound	CovDock	GOLD	Scorpion_CovDock	Scorpion_GOLD
Alogliptin	-5,92	89,8825	3,4	5,0
Vildagliptin	-6,48	85,007	6,7	10,1
Denagliptin	-6,29	90,6431	6,9	11,6
Anagliptin	-6,23	94,6538	5,7	6,3
Melogliptin	-6	87,8583	5	3,3
Bisegliptin	-5,74	80,1911	2,8	6,2
Trelagliptin	-5,61	91,2258	5,8	3,1
Saxagliptin	-5,45	92,5049	6,9	7,4





267 Figure S2 Normalized docking scores for papain-like protease (A) and coronavirus main protease (B).

Compound	CovDock	GOLD	Scorpion_CovDock	Scorpion_GOLD	Consensus
Alogliptin	0,8	0	0,74	0	1,54
Denagliptin	1	0,19	0,98	0,84	3
Anagliptin	0,82	1	0,73	0,79	3,34
Bisegliptin	0,81	0,8	0,28	0,79	2,67
Melogliptin	0,7	0,89	1	0,58	3,17
Vildagliptin	0,62	0,88	0,69	1	3,20
Saxagliptin	0	0,33	0	0,27	0,59
Trelagliptin	0	0	0	0,51	0,51

Table S3 Normalized and consensus score of gliptins against SARS-CoV PLpro.

Table S4 Normalized and consensus score of gliptins against SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro.

Compound	CovDock	GOLD	Scorpion_CovDock	Scorpion_GOLD	Consensus
Alogliptin	0,46	0,67	0,15	0,22	1,5
Denagliptin	0,82	0,72	1	1	3,54
Anagliptin	0,76	1	0,71	0,38	2,84
Bisegliptin	0,28	0	0	0,36	0,65
Melogliptin	0,53	0,53	0,54	0,02	1,62
Vildagliptin	1	0,33	0,95	0,82	3,11
Saxagliptin	0	0,85	1	0,51	2,36
Trelagliptin	0,16	0,76	0,73	0	1,65

272 Vildagliptin as study case

273 SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro

The best Vinagliptin poses of CovDock (Fig. S3A) and GOLD (Fig. S3B) in 3CLpro form a covalent bond
with Cys145. The adamantyl group interacts with His41, Met49, Gly143, Cys145, His163, His164, Met165

- side chains through a wide network of Van der Walls interactions (Fig. S3). However, the CovDock pose
- has one extra hydrogen bond π stacking interaction with the CO backbone moiety of Arg188 while in the COLD pass was observed an extra Malla interaction with Cla120 side sheir as the second state of the
- the GOLD pose was observed an extra Van der Walls interaction with Gln189 side chain and one
 hydrogen bond/π stacking with NH moiety of Cys112. Both poses show that the imidate group is
- 280 stabilized by dipolar interaction with carbonyl molety of Gly143. Additionally, many of these interactions
- 281 were also observed in the recently published non-covalent designed alpha-ketoamide inhibitor of
- 282 3CLpro.¹⁹ On the other hand, considerable modification to the backbone of the protease domain of
- 283 3CLpro (residues 10-99) were observed because of the binding of Valdagliptin. These findings together
- 284 could provide evidence suggesting Valdagliptin, and other reported gliptins as potential inhibitors of
- 285 SARS-CoV-2 main protease.



- **Figure S3** Molecular interactions of Vildagliptin with SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and induced fit. The figure shows the molecular interactions of best pose of CovDock (A –green sticks-) and GOLD (B –cyan sticks-). Van der Walls interactions are shown as yellow lines, hydrogen bond/ π stacking in magenta, and dipolar interactions in cyan. Larger displacements between the apo-3CLpro backbone (white) and Vildagliptin-3CLpro complexes after MAB minimizations were observed in the protease domain of 3CLpro (orange sections).
- 293

294 SARS-CoV PLpro

- 295 Whereas CovDock (Fig. S4A) and GOLD (Fig. S4B), they predicted Van der Walls interactions between the
- adamantyl group of Vinagliptin and Tyr269, His273, and Tyr274 side chains in addition to the covalent
- bond between the nitrile and the Cys112. However, in the case of CovDock, the imidate group is
- stabilized by a hydrogen bond with the indole NH moiety of Trp107 and further Van Der Walls
- interactions were observed with Leu163, Tyr113, Tyr265 and Asn268 side chains. In the docking pose of
- 300 GOLD (Fig. S4B), the same imidate stabilization is done by a hydrogen bond/ π stacking interaction with
- 301 NH moiety of Cys112. Further non-covalent contacts consist in a cation/ π stacking interaction between 302 the NH moiety of the ligand and the backbone carbonyl group of Gly164 and the hydroxyl group of
- the NH moiety of the ligand and the backbone carbonyl group of Gly164 and the hydroxyl group of
 Vinagliptin with OH moiety of Tyr274 side chain. On the other hand, considerable modification to the
- 304 backbone of the protease domain of PLpro were observed as a consequence of Valdagliptin binding.
- 305

306



307

- **Figure S4** Molecular interactions of Vildagliptin with SARS-CoV PLpro and induced fit. The figure shows the molecular interactions of best pose of CovDock (**A**, green sticks) and GOLD (**B**, cyan sticks). Van der Walls interactions are shown as yellow lines, hydrogen bonds in red, and π -stacking in magenta. Larger displacements (> 2.0 Å) between the apo-PLpro backbone (white) and Vildagliptin-PLpro complexes after MAB minimizations were observed in the protease domain of PLpro (orange sections).
- 313

314 Comparison against the non-covalent inhibitor of PLpro of SARS-CoV

- 315 The studied gliptins share similar non-covalent interactions with the residues reported for a specific a
- potent SARS-CoV inhibitor (PDB ID 4OVZ).³ (Hydrogen bonds, π - π stacking, and Vander Walls interactions
- were found on Tyr269, the formation of a dipolar interaction occurring on CO moiety of Gly164, and one
- 318 hydrogen bond between the reported inhibitor and Asp165. Several Van der Walls interactions were
- observed with residues Pro248, Pro249 Thr302, Gln270, Asp165, Asp265, Tyr274, Thr302 where the
- 320 most relevant non-covalent interactions occurred between the reported inhibitor and Tyr269.
- 321 Interestingly, our results showed similar interactions between the studied gliptins and Tyr269 and other
- 322 Plpro protease domain residues (Fig. S4). Additionally, the structural alignment after MAB minimization
- demonstrated that a indicated an adjusted fit shift on similar regions of the Plpro protease domain in
- 324 comparison which the modifications driven by the binding of Vildagliptin and other proposed gliptins,
- particularly in the loop comprised by the Asn268, Tyr269, and Gln270.



- 327 Figure S5 Molecular interactions of reported non covalent inhibitor with SARS-CoV PLpro and induced
- 328 fit. The ligand is shown as magenta sticks. Van der Walls interactions are shown as yellow lines,
- hydrogen bonds in red, and π π in orange, and dipolar interaction in cyan. Larger displacements (> 2.0
- 330 Å) between the apo-PLpro backbone (white cartoons) and inhibitor-PLpro complex after MAB force field
- 331 minimizations were observed in the protease domain of PLpro (orange sections)

332 References

- Ratia K, Saikatendu KS, Santarsiero BD, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain like protease: Structure of a viral deubiquitinating enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2006;103(15):5717–
 22.
- Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China.
 Nature 2020;579(7798):265–9.
- Báez-Santos YM, St John SE, Mesecar AD. The SARS-coronavirus papain-like protease: structure,
 function and inhibition by designed antiviral compounds. Antiviral Res 2015;115:21–38.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple
 sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix
 choice. Nucleic Acids Res 1994;22(22):4673–80.

- 343 5. Robert X, Gouet P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server.
 344 Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42(W1):W320–4.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, et al. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory
 research and analysis. J Comput Chem 2004;25(13):1605–12.
- Shelley JC, Cholleti A, Frye LL, Greenwood JR, Timlin MR, Uchimaya M. Epik: a software program for
 pKaprediction and protonation state generation for drug-like molecules. J Comput Aided Mol Des
 2007;21(12):681–91.
- Greenwood JR, Calkins D, Sullivan AP, Shelley JC. Towards the comprehensive, rapid, and
 accurate prediction of the favorable tautomeric states of drug-like molecules in aqueous
 solution. J Comput Aided Mol Des 2010;24(6–7):591–60
- Jacobson MP, Pincus DL, Rapp CS, et al. A hierarchical approach to all-atom protein loop prediction.
 Proteins 2004;55(2):351–67.
- Jacobson MP, Friesner RA, Xiang Z, Honig B. On the Role of the Crystal Environment in Determining
 Protein Side-chain Conformations. J Mol Biol 2002;320(3):597–608.
- Olsson MHM, Søndergaard CR, Rostkowski M, Jensen JH. PROPKA3: Consistent Treatment of Internal
 and Surface Residues in Empirical pKa Predictions. J Chem Theory Comput 2011;7(2):525–37.
- Jorgensen WL, Maxwell DS, Tirado-Rives J. Development and Testing of the OPLS All-Atom Force
 Field on Conformational Energetics and Properties of Organic Liquids. J Am Chem Soc
 1996;118(45):11225–36.
- Shivakumar D, Williams J, Wu Y, Damm W, Shelley J, Sherman W. Prediction of Absolute Solvation
 Free Energies using Molecular Dynamics Free Energy Perturbation and the OPLS Force Field. J Chem
 Theory Comput 2010;6(5):1509–19.
- Friesner RA, Murphy RB, Repasky MP, et al. Extra Precision Glide: Docking and Scoring Incorporating
 a Model of Hydrophobic Enclosure for Protein–Ligand Complexes. J Med Chem 2006;49(21):6177–
 96.
- Friesner RA, Banks JL, Murphy RB, et al. Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and
 scoring. 1. Method and assessment of docking accuracy. J Med Chem 2004;47(7):1739–49.
- Kuhn B, Fuchs JE, Reutlinger M, Stahl M, Taylor NR. Rationalizing Tight Ligand Binding through
 Cooperative Interaction Networks. J Chem Inf Model 2011;51(12):3180–98.
- 372 17. Gerber PR, Müller K. MAB, a generally applicable molecular force field for structure modelling in
 373 medicinal chemistry. J Comput Aided Mol Des 1995;9(3):251–68.

Gerber PR. Topological Pharmacophore Description of Chemical Structures using MAB-Force-Field Derived Data and Corresponding Similarity Measures. In: Carbó-Dorca R, Gironés X, Mezey PG,
 editors. Fundamentals of Molecular Similarity. Boston, MA: Springer US; 2001 [cited 2020 Jan 7]. p.
 67–81.Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3273-3_5

- 37819.Zhang L, Lin D, Sun X, et al. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design379ofimprovedα-ketoamideinhibitors.Science,2020;Availablefrom:
- 380 https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2020/03/20/science.abb3405