

Bismuthanylstibanes

Katherine M. Marczenko^a and Saurabh S. Chitnis*^a

^aDalhousie University, Department of Chemistry, 6274 Coburg Road, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

Email: saurabh.chitnis@dal.ca

Abstract


Thermally-robust bismuthanylstibanes are prepared in a one-step, high yield reaction, providing the first examples of neutral Bi–Sb σ -bonds in the solid state. DFT calculations indicate that the bis(silylamino)naphthalene scaffold is well-suited for supporting otherwise labile bonds. The reaction chemistry of the Bi–Sb bond is exploited by showing insertion of a sulfur atom, providing the first documented example of a Bi–S–Sb bonding moiety.

Multiple bonding between heavy p-block elements (principle quantum number > 2) has been a topic of much research interest over the past several decades,^[1] gradually eroding the perception that heavy elements do not form π bonds. Indeed, numerous compounds containing homonuclear or heteronuclear multiple bonds have now been obtained, revealing important theoretical insights and new reactivity paradigms.^[1a, 1b, 2] Pursuing a program of developing new electronic structures and reactivity at Bi and Sb centres,^[3] we were surprised to note that although a thermally robust Bi-Sb π -bond (i.e. RBi=SbR) has been known in an isolable compound for two decades (**A**, Scheme 1),^[4] compounds containing the prototypical electron-precise σ -bond between these elements (i.e. R₂Bi–SbR₂, bismuthanylstibanes) have still not been isolated in the solid state. This is despite their presumed role as reaction intermediates^[5] and their potentially valuable chemistry as single source precursors for deposition of BiSb,^[6] which is a promising low-temperature n-type thermoelectric^[7] and a topological insulator.^[8]

This unusual gap is likely due to the kinetic lability of neutral Bi–Sb bonds – solution phase spectroscopic studies revealed that Ph₂BiSbMe₂ and Me₂BiSbMe₂ undergo rapid scrambling in solution to give combinations of dipnictanes at ambient temperature, precluding isolation of the heterobimetallic species.^[9] The introduction of molecular charge has nevertheless enabled characterization of four charged compounds exhibiting Bi–Sb interactions (Scheme 1): the [SbBi₃Br₉]³⁻ cluster anion (**B**),^[10] the polymeric ribbon of [BiSb₂]⁴⁻ (**C**) found in the network solid Ba₂BiSb₂,^[11] and the molecular cations [Ph₃SbBiCl₂]⁺ (**D**) and [Ph₃SbBiCl]²⁺ (**E**).^[12] These ions are likely persistent due to stabilization from lattice enthalpy and the high barrier to scrambling via associative interactions between similarly charged ions (Coulombic repulsion). The successful isolation of these ionic examples encouraged us to seek the type of archetypal neutral σ -bond that is known for most element pairs in the p-block but remains as-yet unisolated between Bi and Sb centres.

Reactive functional groups at Bi and Sb centres have recently been stabilized using bulky and rigid bis(silylamino)naphthyl substituents.^[3b, 13] Here we show that these substituents also provide access to persistent bismuthanylstibanes (**F** in Scheme 1), which contain the first structurally characterized neutral Bi–Sb σ -bonds. Contrary to previous examples, the Bi–Sb bonds reported here are remarkably stable against


redistribution. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations ascribe this robustness to a combination of inductive and dispersive effects inherent to the bis(silylamino)naphthalene scaffold. We also debut the reaction chemistry of the Bi–Sb functional group by revealing insertion of H⁺/H⁻ and sulfur, evidencing, in the latter case, the first example of a Bi–S–Sb connectivity.



Scheme 1. Compounds with structurally-characterized Bi–Sb bonds. See text for references. Bbt = *o,o*-(CH(SiMe₃)₂)₂-*p*-C(SiMe₃)-Ph.

Attempts to form bismuthanylstibanes through traditional magnesium reduction or dehydrohalogenation reactions following Tokitoh's route to BbtSb=BiBbt^[4] were unsuccessful (Scheme 2a,b), yielding only intractable reaction mixtures containing metal deposits and traces of free ligands. We next envisioned the reaction of a preformed stibanide anion^[14] with a chlorobismuthane (Scheme 2c) but these attempts were also foiled – instead of yielding the anticipated stibanide, deprotonation of ^{Et}SbH with ⁿBuLi immediately gave a red precipitate, which was identified by X-ray crystallography as the polystibane (^{Et}Sb)₄Sb₈. The asymmetric unit of this compound contains four ^{Et}Sb fragments connected by a tricyclic Sb₈ cage (Figure 1a). As this motif has previously been observed by Breuing,^[15] and we did not investigate its formation in further detail.

Next we attempted deaminative coupling between ^{Et}SbH and Bi(NMe₂)₃ (at 0 °C and at –78 °C), which gave a light-yellow solution and a metallic precipitate (Scheme 2d). Analysis of the crude mixture by ¹H NMR spectroscopy showed formation of the antimony amide ^{Et}SbNMe₂ (independently made from ^{Et}SbCl and LiNMe₂, Figure S10) and HNMe₂. We speculated that ^{Et}SbNMe₂ could have formed via decomposition of a transient Bi–Sb bonded species by transfer of a NMe₂ group from Bi to Sb.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of $(\text{EtSb})_4\text{Sb}_8$ and derivatives of R^mBiSbR^n .

Consistent with this hypothesis, tethering the amino groups at both Bi and Sb suppressed such decomposition and finally yielded the targeted bismuthanylstibanes (Scheme 2e). Dropwise addition of EtSbH to MeBiNMe_2 at $-30\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ gave a dark red solution over a ten-minute period. Concentration of the reaction mixture gave bright red crystals of MeBiSbEt in 76% isolated yield. The silane substituents were easily varied through use of different precursors to give MeBiSb^iPr (69% isolated yield) and EtBiSbEt (58% isolated yield). The reactions are quantitative by ^1H NMR spectroscopy and easily monitored by tracking the disappearance of the Sb–H resonance and formation of HNMe_2 . Upon

completion, the ^1H NMR spectra of bismuthanylstibanes show overlapping signals in the aromatic region due to the two distinct naphthalene diamine backbones (7.31–7.09 ppm). The alkyl region shows methylene, ethylene, and/or isopropyl signals with chemical shifts more upfield than the parent compounds.




Figure 1. a) Single-crystal X-ray structures of $(\text{EtSb})_4\text{Sb}_8$. b) Single-crystal X-ray structures of $\text{MeBiSb}^{\text{Et}}$. Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles ($^\circ$): Bi–Sb 2.9775(9), Bi–N1 2.161(4), Bi–N2 2.161(4), Sb–N3 2.059(4), Sb–N4 2.059(4), N1–Bi–N2 83.6(2), N3–Sb–N4 87.6(2) c) AIM calculated bond paths and critical points for $\text{MeBiSb}^{\text{Et}}$. The vertical green lines evidence bonding interactions between the ligands in the periphery of the Bi–Sb bond. d) Single-crystal X-ray structures of $\text{MeBiSb}^{\text{Et}}$. Selected bond lengths (\AA) and angles ($^\circ$): Sb–S 2.3838(8), Bi–S 2.5456(7), Bi–N1 2.141(2), Bi–N2 2.150(2), Sb–N3 2.040(2), Sb–N4 2.045(2), N1–Bi–N2 83.86(8), N3–Sb–N4 89.35(8). Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens have been omitted and silyl groups are shown in wireframe for clarity.

The structures of all bismuthanylstibanes were confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 1b and Figure S1 for $\text{MeBiSb}^{\text{iPr}}$ and $\text{EtBiSb}^{\text{Et}}$). The asymmetric units contain a bimetallic structure with trigonal pyramidal antimony and bismuth atoms. The lone pair sites on each of the metallic atoms are oriented in opposite directions along the *a*-axis achieving maximum spatial distance between the two distinct naphthalenediamine ligand frameworks. Due to the similarity of the silyl groups present,

a Bi/Sb substitutional disorder was detected in **EtBiSbEt**, rendering the crystallographic data suitable only for connectivity information. The Bi–Sb, Sb–N, and Bi–N bond lengths (see deposited CIFs) in **MeBiSbEt** and **MeBiSb^{iPr}** do not significantly vary when the silane substituents are changed, indicating that this type of “outer sphere” bulk has little influence on the immediate bond parameters at the Bi–Sb bond. The N1–Bi–N2 angle is slightly more contracted than the N3–Sb–N4 angle in both cases. The Bi–Sb bonds in **MeBiSbEt** (2.9775(9) Å) and **MeBiSb^{iPr}** (2.9764(7) Å) is comparable in length to the value in TbtBi=SbTbt, which is in line with the reduced bond order in formal heavy-atom double bonds.^[2c, 16]

To assess the stability of the Bi–Sb bonds, a sealed sample of **MeBiSbEt** was heated to 100 °C C₆D₆ for 72 h. No decomposition or redistribution was observed over this period, despite **MeBiBiMe** and **EtSbSbEt** being isolable compounds,^[3b, 13a] in contrast to the aforementioned facile redistribution involving alkyl/aryl-substituted Bi–Sb bonds.^[9] To explore the specific influence of the bis(silylamino)naphthalene framework, we performed DFT calculations on **MeBiSbEt**, Ph₂BiSbPh₂, and (Me₂N)₂BiSb(NMe₂)₂. A Morokuma energy decomposition analysis (EDA)^[17] revealed that the Bi–Sb bonding interaction in **MeBiSbEt** ($\Delta E_{\text{int}} = -72.03 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) is indeed intrinsically stronger than the corresponding interactions in the Ph₂BiSbPh₂ ($\Delta E_{\text{int}} = -52.55 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) or (Me₂N)₂BiSb(NMe₂)₂ ($\Delta E_{\text{int}} = -46.72 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$).

As shown in Table 1, orbital (ΔE_{orb} values) and electrostatic (ΔE_{elstat} values) interactions are consistently more stabilizing in the amino-substituted compounds, because attachment to electronegative nitrogen atoms increases the partial charge (and therefore effective electronegativity) of the metal atoms. This lowers the energies of the interacting orbitals at the metals by inductive effects and simultaneously increase the extent of electrostatic bonding by making the metals stronger electron density acceptors. In particular, the rigid nature of the fused naphthalene backbone prevents effective overlap of the nitrogen lone pairs with the LUMO of the **MeBiSbEt**, further reducing electron density at the metal.^[3b] These proposals are supported by the more positive Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) derived partial charges at Bi and Sb (q_{Sb} and q_{Bi} values in Table 1) when the naphthalene framework is used compared to the values in (Me₂N)₂BiSb(NMe₂)₂, where free rotation around the metal-nitrogen bonds allows overlap of the nitrogen lone


pairs with metal-centred acceptor orbitals. Attractive dispersion forces^[18] between the bulky trialkylsilyl groups (known to be excellent dispersion donors)^[19] also play a significant role in stabilizing EtBiSbEt ($\Delta E_{\text{disp}} = -39.03 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Consistently, Bader's Atom-In-Molecules (AIM)^[20] analysis detected numerous bond paths (Figure 1c, vertical green lines) and bond critical points (red dots) between the ligands on each metal showing peripheral attractive interactions between the bulky ligands. Thus, DFT calculations reveal that the bis(silylamino)naphthalene scaffold is uniquely suited to stabilize the otherwise weak Bi–Sb bond.

Table 1. Energy Decomposition Analysis for selected Bi–Sb bonded compounds at the BP86-D3/TZ2P level. The ΔE values are given in kJ mol^{-1} . ΔE_{int} (interaction energy) is the energy change upon Bi–Sb bond formation starting from fragments frozen in the geometry found in the bonded compound, while ΔE values includes relaxation of the fragments to their most stable free-molecule geometry. The difference between ΔE_{int} and ΔE is given by the ΔE_{prep} values. A doublet ground state was assumed for the fragments in all cases, indicating bond homolysis.

	MeBiSbEt	$(\text{Me}_2\text{N})_2\text{BiSb}(\text{NMe}_2)_2$	$\text{Ph}_2\text{BiSbPh}_2$
ΔE_{int}	-72.03	-46.72	-52.55
ΔE_{Pauli}	329.36	238.66	224.41
ΔE_{elstat}	-126.07	-88.05	-96.26
ΔE_{orb}	-236.29	-182.19	-159.15
ΔE_{disp}	-39.03	-15.14	-21.55
ΔE_{prep}	0.81	6.12	0.68
$\Delta E (-D_e)$	-71.22	-40.60	-51.87
$d/\text{\AA}$	3.003	2.981	2.940
q_{Sb}	1.15	0.92	0.64
q_{Bi}	1.12	0.93	0.68

The reactivity of MeBiSbEt towards a variety of unsaturated substrates was examined. No reaction between MeBiSbEt and azobenzene, phenylacetylene, or pyridine N-oxide was observed after several days in the presence of UV light or in refluxing C_6D_6 (Scheme 2a). Photochemical or thermal scrambling to homonuclear species was also not observed at any point of these reactivity studies, emphasizing the stability of the Bi-Sb bond. Heating solutions of MeBiSbEt and NH_3BH_3 gave EtSbH and a mixture of bis(trimethylsilylamino)naphthalene and bis(triethylsilylamino)naphthalene in a 1:0.2 ratio along with insoluble black metallic deposits (Scheme 2b). We speculate that while EtSbH is a stable metal hydride,^[3b] transiently formed

MeBiH undergoes reductive elimination of bis(trimethylsilylamino)naphthalene given the known thermal instability of Bi–H bonds^[21] and deposits metallic bismuth.



Scheme 3. Reactivity of **MeBiSbEt** with a variety of substrates.

Insertion of a sulfur into the Bi–Sb bond was achieved by heating a solution of **MeBiSbEt** and S_8 in toluene at 100 °C for 1 hour (Scheme 2c). The resulting compound, **MeBiSSbEt**, formed quantitatively by NMR analysis and in 46% isolated yield, contains the first example of the Sb–S–Bi connectivity (Figure 1d). Notably, **MeBiSSbEt** is only the third structurally characterized example of a molecular Sb–Z–Bi moiety, where Z is any element of the periodic table.^[22] Compound **MeBiSSbEt** was fully characterized and its structure was determined crystallographically. The Sb–S [2.3838(8) Å] and Bi–S [2.5456(7) Å] bond lengths are within range of mean E–S bond lengths observed for antimony sulfides and bismuth sulfides (Sb–S: 2.527 ± 0.173 Å; Bi–S: 2.791 ± 0.177 Å) and the Sb–S–Bi angle [116.06(3)°] is as expected for a bent geometry at sulfur. The N–Bi–S bonding angles [91.52(6), 91.15(6)°] are significantly more contracted than the N–Sb–S angles [101.50(6), 102.49(6)°].

In summary, we have reported the synthesis of thermally-robust bismuthanylstibanes in a one-step, high-yield reaction, providing the first examples of neutral compounds with Bi–Sb σ -bonds. DFT calculations indicate that the bis(silylamino)naphthalene scaffold is inherently well-suited for supporting otherwise labile bonds because it increases interaction energies through a combination of inductive effects and the dispersion donor effects. We also debuted the reaction chemistry of the Bi–Sb functional group by showing insertion of a sulfur atom into

the metal-metal bond. Further reactivity studies and the application of **^RBiSb^R** compounds as single-source precursors for depositing heterobimetallic phases are underway.

Experimental Section

Crystallographic data has been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database under deposition numbers: 1975977-1975980. Synthetic details and characterization data are given in the Electronic Supporting Information.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Nova Scotia Research and Innovation Trust (NSRIT), and Dalhousie University for research funding. K.M.M. acknowledges the Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarships Program and the Walter C. Sumner Memorial Fellowships Program for funding.

References

- [1] a) E. Rivard, P. P. Power, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 10047-10064; b) P. P. Power, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 627-637; c) C. Präsang, D. Scheschkewitz, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 900-921.
- [2] a) R. C. Fischer, P. P. Power, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3877-3923; b) P. P. Power, *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 171-177; c) H. B. Wedler, P. Wendelboe, P. P. Power, *Organometallics* **2018**, *37*, 2929-2936.
- [3] a) M. B. Kindervater, K. M. Marczenko, U. Werner-Zwanziger, S. S. Chitnis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 7850-7855; b) K. M. Marczenko, J. A. Zurakowski, K. L. Bamford, J. W. M. MacMillan, S. S. Chitnis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18096-18101; c) K. M. Marczenko, J. A. Zurakowski, M. B. Kindervater, S. Jee, T. Hynes, N. Roberts, S. Park, U. Werner-Zwanziger, M. Lumsden, D. N. Langelaan, S. S. Chitnis, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 16414-16424.
- [4] T. Sasamori, N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, *Chemical Communications* **2000**, 1353-1354.
- [5] T. Sasamori, N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements* **2001**, *169*, 89-92.
- [6] H. Zhang, J. S. Son, J. Jang, J.-S. Lee, W.-L. Ong, J. A. Malen, D. V. Talapin, *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 10296-10306.
- [7] a) G. E. Smith, R. Wolfe, *J. Appl. Phys.* **1962**, *33*, 841-846; b) W. M. Yim, A. Amith, *Solid-State Electronics* **1972**, *15*, 1141-1165; c) B. Lenoir, A. Dauscher, M. Cassart, Y. I. Ravich, H. Scherrer, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1998**, *59*, 129-134.
- [8] a) L. Fu, C. L. Kane, *Physical Review B* **2007**, *76*, 045302; b) D. Hsieh, D. Qian, L. Wray, Y. Xia, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, M. Z. Hasan, *Nature* **2008**, *452*, 970-974.
- [9] A. J. Ashe, III, E. G. Ludwig, Jr., *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *303*, 197-204.
- [10] B. Wahl, L. Kloo, M. Ruck, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3932-3935.
- [11] S. Ponou, T. F. Fässler, *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 6124-6126.
- [12] E. Conrad, N. Burford, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 4598-4600.
- [13] a) B. Nekoueishahraki, P. P. Samuel, H. W. Roesky, D. Stern, J. Matussek, D. Stalke, *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 6697-6703; b) B. Nekoueishahraki, S. P. Sarish, H. W. Roesky, D. Stern, C. Schulzke, D. Stalke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 4517-4520.
- [14] a) H. J. Breunig, I. Ghesner, M. E. Ghesner, E. Lork, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 1751-1757; b) H. J. Breunig, M. E. Ghesner, E. Lork, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2005**, *631*, 851-856.
- [15] H. Breunig, R. Rosler, E. Lork, *ANGEWANDTE CHEMIE-INTERNATIONAL EDITION IN ENGLISH* **1997**, *36*, 2237-2238.

- [16] L. Zhao, S. Pan, N. Holzmann, P. Schwerdtfeger, G. Frenking, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8781-8845.
- [17] K. Kitaura, K. Morokuma, *Int. J. Quantum Chem* **1976**, *10*, 325-340.
- [18] J. P. Wagner, P. R. Schreiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12274-12296.
- [19] R. Pollice, P. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 9758-9769.
- [20] R. F. W. Bader, *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 893-928.
- [21] N. J. Hardman, B. Twamley, P. P. Power, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 2771-2773.
- [22] a) A. Hinz, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *Chemical Communications* **2015**, *51*, 11437-11440; b) C. Ritter, B. Ringler, F. Dankert, M. Conrad, F. Kraus, C. von Hänisch, *Dalton Transactions* **2019**, *48*, 5253-5262.