Capacitive Performance of Water-in-Salt Electrolyte in Supercapacitors: a Simulation Study

Zhujie Li,^{†,‡,¶} Guillaume Jeanmairat,^{†,¶} Trinidad Méndez-Morales,^{†,‡,¶} Benjamin
Rotenberg,^{†,¶} and Mathieu Salanne^{*,†,‡,¶}

*Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Physico-Chimie des Électrolytes et Nanosystèmes Interfaciaux,
F-75005 Paris, France, Maison de la Simulation, CEA, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, UVSQ,
Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, and Réseau sur le Stockage
Électrochimique de l'Énergie (RS2E), FR CNRS 3459, 80039 Amiens Cedex, France*

E-mail: mathieu.salanne@sorbonne-universite.fr

Abstract

Water-in-salts is a new family of electrolytes with very promising electrochemical properties for energy storage applications. Despite several studies involving them inside Li-ion batteries and supercapacitors, their interfacial properties remain largely unknown. Here we simulate the interface between electrified graphite electrodes and a highly concentrated water-in-salt (where the salt is Bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide lithium, LiTFSI) using constant applied potential molecular dynamics. We show that the capacitance differs markedly between the positive and the negative electrodes, which is due to the large asymmetry in size (and shape) between the ions. By using importance sampling, we further investigate the changes in

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

[†]Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Physico-Chimie des Électrolytes et Nanosystèmes Interfaciaux, F-75005 Paris, France

[‡]Maison de la Simulation, CEA, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[¶]Réseau sur le Stockage Électrochimique de l'Énergie (RS2E), FR CNRS 3459, 80039 Amiens Cedex, France

the structure of the salt at the interface and we observe that large variations occur, that are at the origin of a series of peaks in the differential capacitance.

Introduction

The development of efficient energy storage devices has become a major target during the past decades. Current technologies require systems with excellent performances such as high energy density and high power density. The electrochemical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs), also called supercapacitors, which consist of a liquid electrolyte confined between two electrodes, are promising energy storage devices due to their high power density, reasonable energy density, high charge/discharge rates and long cycling performance.^{1,2} The excellent performance of EDLCs is attributed to the highly reversible ion adsorption mechanism that governs their operation. It allows charging with reduced diffusion limitation and the absence of redox reactions inside the electrodes increases significantly the chemical and mechanical stability.³

The main physical property quantifying the performance of carbon-based EDLCs for energy storage applications is the interfacial capacitance.⁴⁻⁶ This quantity is highly dependent on the electrode/electrolyte couple as reported in previous works.^{7,8} In general, the main changes are attributed to the interfacial layer structure (or local structure) of the liquid electrolyte.⁹⁻¹³ Different pore sizes in nanoporous electrodes accompanying complex local structures have a large impact on the capacitance compared with non-porous electrodes.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ However, the capacitance can also take a wide range of values both in experiment and simulation even if the electrodes are planar.¹⁸⁻²¹ It is mainly the process of adsorption of cations and anions at the surface that controls the capacitance. The structure of the electrolyte at an interface differs from the bulk one, and it may change drastically when an electric potential is applied to the electrode. For example, Merlet *et al.* reported that the structure of an ionic liquid adsorbed on graphite electrodes can be either ordered or disordered depend on the applied voltage.¹⁸ In this study, the structural changes were explained by a substantial change in the charge distribution across the interfacial region and led to changes

in the differential capacitance with applied voltage. Limmer performed systematic simulations for dense ionic solutions near charged planar electrodes and showed that first-order transitions and spontaneous charge density ordering at the interface may result in potential-driven anomalous differential capacitances.²² More recently, Ma *et al.* reported that the differential capacitance may be strongly influenced by the charge-driven lateral structural evolution of the ions in EDLCs.²³ It is therefore very difficult to predict the interfacial structure without using complex simulation and/or experimental characterization techniques.

Recently, a new class of superconcentrated electrolytes,²⁴ called water-in-salt, was proposed²⁵ and attracted much attention due to their promising performances in batteries.^{26–29} Both mass and volume ratio of salt-to-water could be increased up to more than 1 by choosing proper composition of salts. The formation of super-concentrated aqueous solutions in such electrolytes suppress the activity of water molecules due to the lack of free water. This enables the breakthrough of the limited electrochemical stability window of water (1.23 V) in conventional aqueous electrolytes, resulting in extended windows up to 4.0 V.²⁷ For the application of water-in-salt to EDLCs, several experimental works have been reported including some capacitance measurements.^{30–33} However, apart from a single simulation study,³⁴ the interfacial structure remains largely unknown. Among the questions to be solved, an important one is whether water-in-salt behave as ionic solutions or ionic liquids. Thus, in this work, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations at various applied potentials for an electrochemical cell consisting of a water-in-salt electrolyte at a concentration of 20 m (mol/kg) LiTFSI, placed between two planar graphite electrodes, in order to obtain a microscopic picture of the structure and the capacitive behavior on the interfaces and their dependence on the applied potentials. We discuss in particular the differential capacitances of either a single electrode or of the full electrochemical cell. We show the variations of the differential capacitance and relate them to structural changes at specific potential ranges.

Figure 1: (a) Snapshot of the simulation cell consisting of 20 m LiTFSI confined between two three-layered graphite electrodes, in which the all-atom model of electrolyte is shown at the interfaces with H (white), O (red), Li (green), F (orange), C (cyan), S (yellow), and N (blue) atoms, respectively. The TFSI anions beyond interfaces are represented by isosurface instead. The carbon walls are shown in side views. (b) Number density profiles of Li cations, water molecules, and TFSI anions at applied potential differences $\Delta\Psi = 0$ V (top) and 3 V (bottom).

Computational details

We use MD to simulate a cell consisting of 20 m LiTFSI placed between two graphite electrodes. The simulation box contains 255 Li cations, 255 TFSI anions and 707 water molecules (Figure 1a). We exploit an all-atom model with modified OPLS force field for LiTFSI and the SPC/E model for water.^{35,36} The electrodes consist of three fixed graphene layers on each side (Figure 1a) with in-plane dimensions of $L_x = 32.25 \text{ \AA}$ and $L_y = 34.37 \text{ \AA}$. The length of the cell in z -direction was set to $L_z = 96 \text{ \AA}$ to match the experimental density of 20 m LiTFSI in the bulk region of the simulation cell. The carbon atoms on the electrode were allowed to fluctuate by imposing a constant potential method implemented in LAMMPS code,^{37–40} which ensured an adequate description of the surface polarization by ions and water during simulations. A vacuum region eight times larger than L_z was added in the z -direction and the Yeh-Berkowitz condition for slab correction⁴¹ was used to mimic 2D periodic boundary condition. Simulations were performed for 11 applied potential differences between the two electrodes, $\Delta\Psi$, varying from 0 to 3 V in order to obtain good statistical properties. The simulations were conducted in the NVT ensemble with a time step of 1 fs at room temperature (298.15 K). The system was first equilibrated during 80 ns at zero constant charge on each electrode and followed by a 12 ns equilibration at constant potential for each $\Delta\Psi$. The data was then collected in a 25 ns production run at constant potential with configurations saved every 1 ps for each simulation.

Results and discussion

The number densities are computed along the z -direction normal to the graphite surface. They yield bulk-like number density for each compound in the cell center (see the 0V and 3V cases in Figure 1b), which ensures decoupling of the two interfaces. The number densities of Li cations, water molecules, and TFSI anions normalized by the corresponding bulk values are plotted in Figure S1, they give results qualitatively similar to the one reported in previous work for a slightly different composition.³⁴ For each simulation within the studied potential range, positive and neg-

active electrodes were assigned equal but opposite potential, so that $\Delta\Psi$ follows the eq Eq. (1):

$$\Delta\Psi = \Psi^+ - \Psi^- = 2\Psi^+ = -2\Psi^- \quad (1)$$

The capacitance is one of the most important physical properties for the performance of EDLCs. However, one can define it in several ways. The integral capacitance of the full electrochemical cell, C_{int} , is defined as (for $\Delta\Psi \neq 0$):

$$C_{\text{int}}(\Delta\Psi) = \frac{\langle\sigma\rangle_{\Delta\Psi}}{\Delta\Psi} \quad (2)$$

where $\langle\sigma\rangle_{\Delta\Psi}$ is the average surface charge density of the positive electrode. In the present work, the calculated C_{int} ranges from 3.5 to 4.3 $\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$ depending on $\Delta\Psi$. This quantity is regularly used in applied studies to estimate the energy density of the EDLCs. The differential capacitance, C_{diff} , defined by $\frac{\partial\langle\sigma\rangle_{\Delta\Psi}}{\partial\Delta\Psi}$, measures the response of the average surface charge density to changes in the applied potential difference and provides much more information on the physico-chemical processes. The single electrode differential capacitances can also be defined by splitting the applied potential difference, $\Delta\Psi$, between the two electrodes into two potential drops, $\Delta\Psi^\pm$, at the electrode/electrolyte interface for both positive and negative electrodes. The electrostatic potential profile across the EDLC cell determines the potential drop for each interface, and is obtained by solving Poisson's equation:

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi_q(z_0) - \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_{z_0}^z dz' \int_{-\infty}^{z'} dz'' \rho_q(z'') \quad (3)$$

where $\rho_q(z)$ is the average charge density profile across the cell, which includes the contributions not only from all the species of electrolyte but also from the electrode atoms, and $\varphi(z_0)$ is an arbitrary integration constant with z_0 inside the left electrode, i.e. on the left $\varphi(z_0) = \Psi^-$ in our constant potential simulations. The charge density and corresponding Poisson potential profiles are shown in Figure S2 and Figure S3. The potential of the bulk Ψ_{bulk} , is determined as the average

value of Poisson potential in the bulk region. The potential drop across each interface is then given by $\Delta\Psi^\pm = \Psi^\pm - \Psi_{\text{bulk}}$ and is used to characterize the interfaces.

Figure 2: Surface charge density as a function of the potential drop across each interface. Linear fits are shown in dotted and dashed lines for negative ($\sigma < 0$) and positive electrodes ($\sigma > 0$), respectively. The corresponding differential capacitances are given by the slope of the two curves.

The average surface charge as a function of the potential drop across each interface is represented in Figure 2 for various applied potentials. The average charge density $\langle\sigma\rangle = f(\Delta\Psi^\pm)$ plot differentiated at positive and negative surface charge with respect to $\Delta\Psi^\pm$, separately, provide the corresponding differential capacitances at positive and negative electrode through $C_{\text{diff}}^s = \frac{\partial\langle\sigma\rangle_{\Delta\Psi^\pm}}{\partial\Delta\Psi^\pm}$. However, as shown in Figure S4, the curve may be fitted by many polynomial functions, leading to very different shapes for the capacitance. We therefore limit ourselves to two linear fits, for $\Delta\Psi^\pm$ positive and negative, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, the negative electrode has a larger capacitance ($10.1 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$) than the positive electrode ($5.6 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$). Such a difference is in agreement with the results reported in planar graphite electrodes and pure RTILs,^{42–44} and it can be attributed to the different shapes or sizes of ions. The larger difference in capacitive behaviors between positive and negative electrodes compared with reported results in BMIM-PF₆ system ($3.9 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$

and $4.8 \mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$ for positive and negative electrode, respectively⁴²) arises from the much larger asymmetry between the Li cation ($1.8 \text{ \AA}$ in radius) and the TFSI anion⁴⁵ ($10.9 \times 5.1 \times 4.7 \text{ \AA}^3$).

The differential capacitances can be computed more reliably using the importance sampling.^{18,46–48} Compared to previous equation, single electrode capacitances cannot be obtained (the full cell one C_{diff} is calculated instead), but the method is much more converged statistically. This approach also allows studying microscopic properties, such as the number of ions close to the interface, as a continuous function of the applied potential difference. While for the standard approach these quantities are only available at the simulated potential. In this work, the total charge $Q_{\text{tot}} = \sigma \times S$ of the electrode (with S the surface area) is utilized to determine the weight of configurations at an arbitrarily applied potential by using the weighted histogram analysis method involved in importance sampling.^{49,50} There is a good overlap between histograms of Q_{tot} obtained from the eleven performed simulations, which fulfills the requirement for correct histogram reweighting. To obtain the probability distribution of any other property, A , as a function of $\Delta\Psi$, we just need to integrate Q_{tot} from the joint distribution of Q_{tot} and A as

$$P(A|\Delta\Psi) = \int dQ_{\text{tot}} P(Q_{\text{tot}}, A|\Delta\Psi) \quad (4)$$

The probability distribution $P(\sigma|\Delta\Psi)$ of electrode surface charge density at various $\Delta\Psi$ is represented by a contour plot in Figure 3a. The figure shows that the surface charge density monotonically increases with applied potential but experiences several nonlinear shifts in the probability distribution. In particular, there are several more likely values of surface charge (red region), which are located at $\Delta\Psi \sim 0, 0.35, 0.9, 1.2, 1.6, 1.8, 2.6$, and 3 V . These applied potentials correspond to a more effective balance between the interfacial electrolyte and the surface charge on electrodes. The intermediate states between these points show large distributions of σ , which correspond to the large fluctuations regions where structural changes occur as we will discuss later.

The differential capacitance (in Figure 3b) is proportional to the variation of surface charge density on the electrode as defined by $C_{\text{diff}} = \frac{S}{k_B T} \langle (\delta\sigma)^2 \rangle_{\Delta\Psi}$ in constant potential simulations, which

Figure 3: (a) Contour plot of the probability distribution of surface charge density on the electrodes. The probability is indicated by the color bar shown on the right. (b) Differential capacitance as a function of the applied potential difference.

can be obtained directly from the probability distribution of σ in Figure 3a. We recall here that the relation between the response to voltage and the equilibrium fluctuations of the surface charge is not limited to a typical linear response approximation.^{46,51} As discussed above the charge fluctuations are potential-dependent and therefore the differential capacitance shown in Figure 3b exhibits a strong dependence on the applied potential. It ranges from 2 to 5 $\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$ with an average value of 3.67 $\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$, which is in agreement with 3.6 $\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$ computed from the single electrode capacitances as given by $1/C_{tot} = 1/C_- + 1/C_+$ (C_{tot} is the full cell differential capacitance corresponding to the values shown in Figure 3b). The minimum values of the differential capacitance correspond to the more likely distributions of surface charge at specific $\Delta\Psi$. There are five pronounced peaks at $\Delta\Psi \sim 0.15, 0.6, 1.3, 2.2,$ and 2.8 V (labeled by ① – ⑤), corresponding to intermediate states between two adjacent metastable basins (Figure 3a).

These non-trivial features of the differential capacitance could be related to structural changes within the interfacial electrolytes. In order to investigate this possibility, the probability distributions (Figure 4 and Figure 5) to find a given number of species in the interfacial layer of electrolyte are computed as a function of applied potential Ψ ($-\Delta\Psi/2$ or $+\Delta\Psi/2$ as defined in eq Eq. (1)). The interfacial layer is defined as the region within a distance of 6.6 Å from the negative (positive)

Figure 4: Number of ions in the interfacial layers on the negative electrode. Probability distribution of the number of Li cations (a), O (b) belonging to water molecules, O (c) and F (d) from TFSI anions, respectively, as a function of potential $\Psi^- = -\Delta\Psi/2$, as defined in eq Eq. (1), for the negative electrode when the electrochemical cell is submitted to a voltage difference $\Delta\Psi$.

electrode surface, this cutoff distance is extracted from the number and charge density profiles close to the interfaces at the studied potential range. The number of Li cations, O atoms of water, and O and F atoms of TFSI anions in the interfacial layers on the negative and positive electrodes are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.

On the negative electrode, the number of Li cations increases with $|\Psi^-|$, and the probability distribution becomes narrower at large negative potential (Figure 4a). The number of water molecules smoothly increases together with Li cations at low negative potentials (Figure 4b), but fluctuates more at large negative potentials. This may be attributed to the overlap of the Li ion solvation shells competing to include the water molecules in the presence of a large number of Li cations, and also accompanied by the changing of numbers of O from TFSI (Figure 4c). The

first notable change occurs at -0.075 V (dashed lines with label ①) where the most probable number of F (Figure 4d) drops while the one of O from TFSI increases (Figure 4c). This indicates a reorientation of the TFSI anions. At the same time the probability distribution of number of Li cations becomes different at this region. This structural change could be related to the first peak (label ①) of full cell differential capacitance in Figure 3b since the potential at negative electrode ($\Psi^- = -0.075$ V) exactly matches the potential difference, $\Delta\Psi$, at which the first peak is located ($\Delta\Psi = 0.15$ V). Different probability distributions around -0.65 V, labeled as ③ are found for Li cations, water molecules, and O atoms from TFSI (Figure 4a, b, and c), respectively, resulting in the third peak of capacitance in Figure 3b at $\Delta\Psi = 1.3$ V. The fourth peak in capacitance could be attributed to both the change of numbers and change of distributions for all species at $\Psi = -1.1$ V (labeled as ④), in which more Li cations approach the interfacial layer, along with more water molecules, and part of TFSI anions are forced to leave. Therefore, the interfacial structure strongly changes again.

On the positive electrode the interfacial structure starts to change at $\Psi^+ = 0.3$ V as shown in Figure 5 (label ②). The desorption of Li cations (Figure 5a) together with water molecules (Figure 5b) starts at this potential while the number of TFSI anions keeps increasing (Figure 5c and d). These correspond to the second peak in the capacitance (Figure 3b) at $\Delta\Psi = 0.6$ V. At higher potential, $\Delta\Psi \approx 2.8$ V (with $\Psi^\pm \approx \pm 1.4$ V, labeled as ⑤), the distributions of number of O and F from TFSI become different on the positive electrode (Figure 5c and d), the number of O from TFSI (Figure 4c) increases again, along with decreasing number of water molecules (Figure 4c) on negative electrode. This synergistic effect on the two electrodes leads to the fifth peak of capacitance located at 2.8 V.

Apart from these structural changes associated with the capacitance peaks discussed above, we also observe a region between 0.75 V and 1 V, in which both the distributions and numbers of O and F from TFSI change on the positive electrode (Figure 5c and d). This reveals remarkable reorientations and rearrangements of TFSI anions at the interfacial layer on the positive electrode. We thus compare the number densities of O and F atoms from TFSI as a function of the distance

Figure 5: Number of ions in the interfacial layers on the positive electrode. Probability distribution of the number of Li cations (a), O (b) belonging to water molecules, O (c) and F (d) from TFSI anions, respectively, as a function of potential $\Psi^+ = \Delta\Psi/2$, as defined in eq Eq. (1), for the positive electrode when the electrochemical cell is submitted to a voltage difference $\Delta\Psi$.

from the positive electrode in this potential range. As shown in Figure 6a, the F atoms move from the first adsorbed layer (grey region) to the second layer (light-blue region) when Ψ^+ increases from 0.75 to 1 V, while the trend for O atoms is opposite. Considering that the O atom carries larger negative charge than the F atom in our simulation cell, this change indicates that the TFSI anions rotate to approach more O atoms close to the electrode. This allows to compensate the growing surface charge on the positive electrode, as illustrated by two representative snapshots at 0.75 and 1 V, respectively, in Figure 6b and c.

Figure 6: (a) Density profiles of O and F from TFSI anions as a function of distance from the positive electrode at $\Psi^+ = 0.75$ and 1.0 V ($\Delta\Psi = 1.5$ and 2 V). Grey and light-blue background represent the first and second adsorbed layers, respectively. (b) and (c) are the typical snapshots close to the positive electrode (grey slab on the bottom) from simulations at $\Psi^+ = 0.75$ and 1.0 V, respectively.

Conclusions

In summary, the aim of this work was to explore the capacitive performance of water-in-LiTFSI electrolyte in supercapacitors. We performed molecular dynamics simulations of 20 m LiTFSI placed between two planar graphite electrodes at various applied potentials ranging from 0 to 3 V. The integral capacitance of the full electrochemical cell as well as the single electrode capacitances were computed. Using importance sampling methods, the differential capacitance was investigated as a continuous function of the applied potential difference $\Delta\Psi$. The differential capacitance displays a number of non-trivial features which can be explained by investigating the structural evolutions of the interfacial electrolytes. This work is a first step toward understanding the capacitive behaviors of water-in-salt electrolyte in supercapacitors from simulations, in particular, the structural driven potential dependence of differential capacitance, which still requires more experimental explorations. The results could provide useful information for the understanding and development of new water-in-salts electrolytes and their applications in both supercapacitors and batteries.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (Labex STORE-EX, Grant No. ANR-10-LABX-0076). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 771294). This work was granted access to the HPC resources of CINES under the allocation 2018–A0040910463 made by GENCI.

References

1. Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y. Materials for Electrochemical Capacitors. *Nat. Mater.* **2008**, *7*, 845–854.
2. Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y.; Dunn, B. Where Do Batteries End and Supercapacitors Begin? *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1210–1211.
3. Salanne, M.; Rotenberg, B.; Naoi, K.; Kaneko, K.; Taberna, P.-L.; Grey, C. P.; Dunn, B.; Simon, P. Efficient Storage Mechanisms for Building Better Supercapacitors. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16070.
4. Salanne, M. Ionic Liquids for Supercapacitor Applications. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2017**, *375*, 63.
5. Vatamanu, J.; Bedrov, D. Capacitive Energy Storage: Current and Future Challenges. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 3594–3609.
6. Vatamanu, J.; Borodin, O.; Olguin, M.; Yushin, G.; Bedrov, D. Charge Storage at the Nanoscale: Understanding the Trends from the Molecular Scale Perspective. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 21049–21076.
7. Chmiola, J.; Yushin, G.; Gogotsi, Y.; Portet, C.; Simon, P.; Taberna, P. L. Anomalous Increase in Carbon Capacitance at Pore Sizes Less Than 1 Nanometer. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1760–1763.

8. Haskins, J. B.; Wu, J. J.; Lawson, J. W. Computational and Experimental Study of Li-Doped Ionic Liquids at Electrified Interfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 11993–12011.
9. Kornyshev, A. A. Double-Layer in Ionic Liquids: Paradigm Change? *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 5545–5557.
10. Fedorov, M. V.; Georgi, N.; Kornyshev, A. A. Double Layer in Ionic Liquids: The Nature of the Camel Shape of Capacitance. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2010**, *12*, 296–299.
11. Ivanistsev, V.; Kirchner, K.; Kirchner, T.; Fedorov, M. V. Restructuring of the Electrical Double Layer in Ionic Liquids upon Charging. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2015**, *27*, 102101.
12. Coles, S. W.; Mishin, M.; Perkin, S.; Fedorov, M. V.; Ivanistsev, V. B. The Nanostructure of a Lithium Glyme Solvate Ionic Liquid at Electrified Interfaces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 11004–11010.
13. Gomez-Gonzalez, V.; Docampo-Álvarez, B.; Otero-Mato, J. M.; Cabeza, O.; Gallego, L. J.; Varela, L. M. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the Structure of Mixtures of Protic Ionic Liquids and Monovalent and Divalent Salts at the Electrochemical Interface. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 12767–12776.
14. Kondrat, S.; Georgi, N.; Fedorov, M. V.; Kornyshev, A. A. A Superionic State in Nano-Porous Double-Layer Capacitors: Insights from Monte Carlo Simulations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 11359–11366.
15. Merlet, C.; Rotenberg, B.; Madden, P. A.; Taberna, P.-L.; Simon, P.; Gogotsi, Y.; Salanne, M. On the Molecular Origin of Supercapacitance in Nanoporous Carbon Electrodes. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 306–310.
16. Merlet, C.; Péan, C.; Rotenberg, B.; Madden, P. A.; Daffos, B.; Taberna, P. L.; Simon, P.; Salanne, M. Highly Confined Ions Store Charge More Efficiently in Supercapacitors. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2701.

17. Kondrat, S.; Wu, P.; Qiao, R.; Kornyshev, A. A. Accelerating Charging Dynamics in Sub-nanometre Pores. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 387–393.
18. Merlet, C.; Limmer, D. T.; Salanne, M.; van Roij, R.; Madden, P. A.; Chandler, D.; Rotenberg, B. The Electric Double Layer Has a Life of Its Own. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 18291–18298.
19. Friedl, J.; Markovits, I. I.; Herpich, M.; Feng, G.; Kornyshev, A. A.; Stimming, U. Interface between an Au(111) Surface and an Ionic Liquid: The Influence of Water on the Double-Layer Capacitance. *ChemElectroChem* **2017**, *4*, 216–220.
20. Su, Y.-Z.; Fu, Y.-C.; Yan, J.-W.; Chen, Z.-B.; Mao, B.-W. Double Layer of Au(100)/Ionic Liquid Interface and Its Stability in Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *121*, 5250–5253.
21. Wen, R.; Rahn, B.; Magnussen, O. M. Potential-Dependent Adlayer Structure and Dynamics at the Ionic Liquid/Au(111) Interface: A Molecular-Scale In Situ Video-STM Study. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6062–6066.
22. Limmer, D. T. Interfacial Ordering and Accompanying Divergent Capacitance at Ionic Liquid-Metal Interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2015**, *115*, 256102.
23. Le Ma, J.; Meng, Q.; Fan, J. Charge Driven Lateral Structural Evolution of Ions in Electric Double Layer Capacitors Strongly Correlates with Differential Capacitance. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 8054–8063.
24. Wang, J.; Yamada, Y.; Sodeyama, K.; Chiang, C. H.; Tateyama, Y.; Yamada, A. Superconcentrated Electrolytes for a High-Voltage Lithium-Ion Battery. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12032.
25. Suo, L.; Borodin, O.; Gao, T.; Olguin, M.; Ho, J.; Fan, X.; Luo, C.; Wang, C.; Xu, K. “Water-in-Salt” Electrolyte Enables High-Voltage Aqueous Lithium-Ion Chemistries. *Science* **2015**, *350*, 938–943.

26. Yamada, Y.; Usui, K.; Sodeyama, K.; Ko, S.; Tateyama, Y.; Yamada, A. Hydrate-Melt Electrolytes for High-Energy-Density Aqueous Batteries. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16129.
27. Yang, C.; Chen, J.; Qing, T.; Fan, X.; Sun, W.; von Cresce, A.; Ding, M. S.; Borodin, O.; Vatamanu, J.; Schroeder, M. A. et al. 4.0 V Aqueous Li-Ion Batteries. *Joule* **2017**, *1*, 122–132.
28. Suo, L.; Borodin, O.; Wang, Y.; Rong, X.; Sun, W.; Fan, X.; Xu, S.; Schroeder, M. A.; Cresce, A. V.; Wang, F. et al. “Water-in-Salt” Electrolyte Makes Aqueous Sodium-Ion Battery Safe, Green, and Long-Lasting. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *1701189*, 1701189.
29. Wang, F.; Borodin, O.; Gao, T.; Fan, X.; Sun, W.; Han, F.; Faraone, A.; Dura, J. A.; Xu, K.; Wang, C. Highly Reversible Zinc Metal Anode for Aqueous Batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **2018**, *17*, 543–549.
30. Hasegawa, G.; Kanamori, K.; Kiyomura, T.; Kurata, H.; Abe, T.; Nakanishi, K. Hierarchically Porous Carbon Monoliths Comprising Ordered Mesoporous Nanorod Assemblies for High-Voltage Aqueous Supercapacitors. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 3944–3950.
31. Gambou-Bosca, A.; Bélanger, D. Electrochemical Characterization of MnO₂-Based Composite in the Presence of Salt-in-Water and Water-in-Salt Electrolytes as Electrode for Electrochemical Capacitors. *J. Power Sources* **2016**, *326*, 595–603.
32. Coustan, L.; Shul, G.; Bélanger, D. Electrochemical Behavior of Platinum, Gold and Glassy Carbon Electrodes in Water-in-Salt Electrolyte. *Electrochem. commun.* **2017**, *77*, 89–92.
33. Lannelongue, P.; Bouchal, R.; Mourad, E.; Bodin, C.; Olarte, M.; le Vot, S.; Favier, F.; Fontaine, O. “Water-in-Salt” for Supercapacitors: A Compromise between Voltage, Power Density, Energy Density and Stability. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2018**, *165*, A657–A663.
34. Vatamanu, J.; Borodin, O. Ramifications of Water-in-Salt Interfacial Structure at Charged Electrodes for Electrolyte Electrochemical Stability. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, 4362–4367.

35. Monteiro, M. J.; Bazito, F. F. C.; Siqueira, L. J. A.; Ribeiro, M. C. C.; Torresi, R. M. Transport Coefficients, Raman Spectroscopy, and Computer Simulation of Lithium Salt Solutions in an Ionic Liquid. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 2102–2109.
36. Berendsen, H. J. C.; Grigera, J. R.; Straatsma, T. P. The Missing Term in Effective Pair Potentials. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1987**, *91*, 6269–6271.
37. Siepmann, J. I.; Sprik, M. Influence of Surface Topology and Electrostatic Potential on Water/Electrode Systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *102*, 511–524.
38. Reed, S. K.; Lanning, O. J.; Madden, P. A. Electrochemical Interface between an Ionic Liquid and a Model Metallic Electrode. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *126*, 084704.
39. Wang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Olmsted, D. L.; Asta, M.; Laird, B. B. Evaluation of the Constant Potential Method in Simulating Electric Double-Layer Capacitors. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *141*, 184102.
40. Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1995**, *117*, 1–19.
41. Yeh, I. C.; Berkowitz, M. L. Ewald Summation for Systems with Slab Geometry. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *111*, 3155–3162.
42. Merlet, C.; Salanne, M.; Rotenberg, B.; Madden, P. A. Imidazolium Ionic Liquid Interfaces with Vapor and Graphite: Interfacial Tension and Capacitance from Coarse-Grained Molecular Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 16613–16618.
43. Merlet, C.; Salanne, M.; Rotenberg, B.; Madden, P. A. Influence of Solvation on the Structural and Capacitive Properties of Electrical Double Layer Capacitors. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *101*, 262–271.
44. Xing, L.; Vatamanu, J.; Borodin, O.; Smith, G. D.; Bedrov, D. Electrode/Electrolyte Interface in Sulfolane-Based Electrolytes for Li Ion Batteries: A Molecular Dynamics Simulation Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 23871–23881.

45. Uysal, A.; Zhou, H.; Feng, G.; Lee, S. S.; Li, S.; Fenter, P.; Cummings, P. T.; Fulvio, P. F.; Dai, S.; McDonough, J. K. et al. Structural Origins of Potential Dependent Hysteresis at the Electrified Graphene/Ionic Liquid Interface. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *118*, 569–574.
46. Limmer, D. T.; Merlet, C.; Salanne, M.; Chandler, D.; Madden, P. A.; van Roij, R.; Rotenberg, B. Charge Fluctuations in Nanoscale Capacitors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, 106102.
47. Uralcan, B.; Aksay, I. A.; Debenedetti, P. G.; Limmer, D. T. Concentration Fluctuations and Capacitive Response in Dense Ionic Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 2333–2338.
48. Ray, U.; Chan, G. K.-L.; Limmer, D. T. Importance Sampling Large Deviations in Nonequilibrium Steady States. I. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *148*, 124120.
49. Ferrenberg, A. M.; Swendsen, R. H. Optimized Monte Carlo Data Analysis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1989**, *63*, 1195–1198.
50. Tan, Z.; Gallicchio, E.; Lapelosa, M.; Levy, R. M. Theory of Binless Multi-State Free Energy Estimation with Applications to Protein-Ligand Binding. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *136*, 144102.
51. Rotenberg, B.; Salanne, M. Structural Transitions at Ionic Liquid Interfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 4978–4985.