A computational and literature-based evaluation for a combination of chiral anti-CoV drugs to block and eliminate SARS-CoV-2 safely

Mohd. Suhail

Department of Chemistry, Jamia Millia Islamia, (A Central University) Jamia Nagar, New Delhi-110025, India.

Abstract

It has been a great challenge for the scientists to develop an anti-covid drug/vaccine with fewer side effects, since the coronavirus began. Besides, the mechanism of action and the reason of causing side effects, has also become a great challenge for the scientists in the case of chiral drugs. The main reason behind it, is the prescription of chiral drugs in the racemic form. Another hurdle in front of the world, is the positive test of the patient recovered from coronavirus. This positive test of recovered person shows the demand of such drugs whose mechanism is understandable, and which can block and eliminate SARS-CoV-2 or its material from the body completely, with fewer side effects. The presented computational study explains (i) the mechanism of action of chiral drugs (chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine) that block SARS-CoV-2 from binding to human cells, and (ii) the strength of mefloquine that may eliminate SARS-CoV-2. First, the binding affinities of mefloquine with the main proteases (M^{pro}) of both SARS-CoV-2 and JC virus, were calculated. I took JC virus because mefloquine has already shown a tremendous result of eliminating it from the body. Till now, the experimental data found in the literature survey, was neither used in the interpretation nor evaluated computationally, in such a way, as I did for the first time to fight against the pandemic situation. Hence, the current study includes the docking results and literature data in support of the prescription of a combination of only biologically active enantiomers to the patient fighting with coronavirus, with less side effect. The enantiomers that could do it, are S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine and (+) mefloquine. Of course, one of these two drugs, will block the coronavirus, while another one will eliminate it from the body.

Keywords: Chiral drugs, S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine, (+) mefloquine, computational study and SARS-CoV-2.

*Correspondence to [suhailchem.786@gmail.com]

Introduction

To find a suitable drug or vaccine for the coronavirus, has become a great challenge for the researchers in this modern and advanced era. Virologists, microbiologists, clinicians, industrialists, and government authorities are working very hard day-night. Besides, numerous antiviral drugs were employed for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection¹, but an acceptable solution did not come in front of the world yet. Chloroquine blocking the coronavirus from binding to human cells², was considered as a suitable drug, but conditions became very critical, when chloroquine was prescribed to the patient fighting with coronavirus (https://edition.cnn.com/2020/03/23/africa/chloroquine-trump-nigeria-intl/index.html). After that, hydroxychloroquine was suggested for the same, because it shows fewer side effects³, but the question of causing side effects, remained unsolved, which has made the prescription of these two drugs failed. Hence, one question, why chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine did not give satisfactory results in vivo, arises here. The main reason behind it, is the prescription of these chiral drugs in the racemic form i.e. enantiomeric form. The main problem is that each enantiomeric form has its own biological activity with different mechanisms⁴⁻¹³. Hence, in the case of chiral drugs, the property of existing in different forms, makes the conditions very much complicated, and their mechanism of action also. Therefore, this is a great challenge for the researchers to find out the most biologically active enantiomeric form^{14,15}. Nobody knows, which enantiomeric form of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine caused side effects. Because of the prescription in the racemic form, the mechanism of action of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine to block the coronavirus remains poorly understood, which the presented study explains for the first time.

Besides the mechanism of action and the side effects of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, the most important and notable thing standing in front of the world, is the positive report of the patient recovered from the coronavirus [https://curlytales.com/some-recovered-coronavirus-patients-are-testing-positive-for-it-again/]. All around the world, there have been several cases of people recovering from COVID-19 only to later test positive again. This report clearly shows the existence of coronavirus or its material in the body [https://www.healthline.com/health-news/people-reinfected-with-covid-19-werent-infectious]. This thing raises many questions whose unsolvable chemistry has created another obstacle in front of the world. The presented study also resolves this problem. Having read the research papers

regarding the virus elimination from the body, I found that mefloquine has been used for the same. Mefloquine has given the tremendous results *in vitro*¹⁶ as well as *in vivo*¹⁷. The virus that was eliminated through mefloquine from the body, was JC virus. Hence, mefloquine has played an important role in the elimination of JC virus from the body completely¹⁷, but the problems of causing side effects, with this drug, were the same. It was just because of the prescription of this chiral drug in the racemic form. Mefloquine is presently manufactured and vended as a racemate of the (R,S)- and (S,R)-enantiomers by Hoffman-LaRoche, a Swiss pharmaceutical company. It is on the WHO's List of Important Drugs, the harmless and most active drugs desirable in a health system¹⁸. Hence, if mefloquine is prescribed for the removal of SARS-CoV-2 from the body, we have to face many questions such as experimental data, theoretical or computational data supporting the idea of prescription of this drug. Besides, this drug is chiral in nature, and can not be prescribed as it is i.e. in the racemic form. Therefore, another question related to the prescription of a biologically active enantiomeric form of mefloquine to avoid the toxicities, side effects, and other problems, also arises here. The presented study also resolves this question.

Of course, the drugs and their targets should be known, when the mechanism of action, and the strength of the drug, is being studied. Hence, a docking study was done using the enantiomers of the chiral drugs taken in the current study, as the ligands, while other things required to inhibit the virus, were selected as the targets.

Results

The docking studies of the enantiomers of chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine and mefloquine with the targets, were performed. The results are given in Tables 1. The representative enantiomeric interactions of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with Covid-2 spike attached to its receptor, are shown in Figure 1a and Figure 1b, respectively, while those of mefloquine with JC virus main protease (M^{pro}) as well as SARS-CoV-2 main protease (M^{pro}), are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively. These figures show clearly that the enantiomers interacted with the targets differently. The most significant and notable point in the docking results (Table 1), was the attachment of enantiomers only with the receptor of Covid-2, not with its spike. The binding affinities of each enantiomer of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with the receptor of Covid-2, were same (-4.2 kcal/mol). In the case of chloroquine, R-form of chloroquine did not form any hydrogen bond, while S-form of chloroquine formed 1-H bond

with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes. In the same way, the number of hydrogen bonds, was different in both enantiomers of hydroxychloroquine. It was observed that R-form of hydroxychloroquine formed 3-H bonds, while S-form of hydroxychloroquine did not form any hydrogen bond with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes. On the other hand, the binding affinities of the enantiomers of mefloquine with SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and JC virus Mpro, were very shocking, because the binding affinities of mefloquine with SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}, were greater than those with JC virus M^{pro}. The binding affinities ranged from -3.5 to -3.6 kcal/mol in the case of JC virus M^{pro}, while these ranged from -6.8 to -7.3 kcal/mol in the case of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}. In the case of JC virus M^{pro}, RR-form of mefloquine formed 2-H bonds; RS-form formed no hydrogen bond; SR-form formed 2-H bonds; SS-form formed only 1-H bond. Besides, with SARS-CoV-2 Mpro, RR-form of mefloquine formed 2-H bonds; RS-form formed no hydrogen bond; SR-form formed only 1-H bond; SS-form formed only 1-H bond. Additionally, enantiomeric hydrophobic interactions of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with the receptor of Covid-2 spike, were also seen as shown in Figure 4a and Figure 4b, respectively, while those of mefloquine with JC virus M^{pro} and SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}, are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively. The common residues involved in enantiomeric hydrophobic interaction of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, were Ala533(A), Asp543(A), His535(A), Lys416(A), Ser545(A), Glu430(A), Glu536(A), Asn546(A), His535(A), Lys416(A), Lys534(A), Ser547(A), while those in mefloquine with JC virus M^{pro}, were Gln339(A), Gln340(A), Ser336(A), Trp271(A), OD2, Asn333(A), Asp343(A), OG; with SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}, were Leu287(A), Leu286(A), Met276(A), Tyr239(A), Tyr237(A), Thr199(A), Asp289(A), Thr199(A).

Discussion

Of course, the results were very complicated but intriguing as well as exciting. The interpretation was done with the help of docking results, literature data, and experiments done on the presented drugs by others previously. Definitely, the interpretations will play an important role in the solution of coronavirus pandemic. The enantiomers of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine interacted with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, in different ways/fashions, that is why the number of hydrogen bonds, was found different in different enantiomers. The similar binding affinities of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, show that these drugs bind to the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, with equal strength. The

attachment of the enantiomers of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine only with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, not with Covid-2 spike, was too much helpful in understanding the mechanism of action of both the drugs. Actually, it means that both the drugs bind with the receptor of Covid-2 spikes, that is why SARS-CoV-2 does not recognize its receptor, and become unable to enter in the human cell. In this way, the mechanism of blocking coronavirus from binding to human cells, is resolved. Obviously, the shocking results were observed in the interaction/binding study of mefloquine with both JC virus Mpro and SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. The different enantiomers of mefloquine interacted with both the targets in different modes, that is way the number of hydrogen bonds, was found different in different enantiomers of mefloquine. The most important and notable shocking thing, were the binding affinities of each enantiomer of mefloquine, which were greater for SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} than that for JC virus M^{pro}. This thing helped too much in understanding the strength of mefloquine for the elimination of SARS-CoV-2 from the body. The diverse binding affinities of the enantiomers of the reported chiral drugs with the targets, were observed due to their dissimilar stereochemical configuration. The docking studies showed that the connections among the enantiomers and targets, were due to hydrophobic interactions as well as hydrogen bonding. The strength of the interaction was based on both binding affinities and the number of hydrogen bonds. Hence, in the coronavirus pandemic, the presented study may prove mefloquine to be a milestone for the elimination of coronavirus from the body, as per docking results.

Now, another question arising on the most biologically active enantiomeric form of the respective chiral drugs, is also resolved with the help of literature data. As we know that chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, both have one chiral center, and exist in two enantiomeric forms that is why the prescription of these drugs in the racemic form, raises many questions related to the side effects. It is already confirmed that hydroxychloroquine is better than chloroquine^{3,19}. Now, one question, which enantiomeric form of hydroxychloroquine having less toxicity and side effect, should be prescribed to the patient, arises here. The most biologically active enantiomer of hydroxychloroquine having has less toxicity and side effect, is S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine which has already been patented as an active and effective form²⁰. It means that `R` enantiomer of hydroxychloroquine is inactive and causes side effects, that must be avoided. Hence, except the racemic form of hydroxychloroquine, S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine would be a suitable and effective drug in blocking the coronavirus, with less toxicity and side

effect. On the other hand, as per docking results, all the enantiomers of mefloquine interacted with SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} more strongly, as compared with JC virus M^{pro}, showing the higher capability to eliminate SARS-CoV-2 from the body. In the prescription of mefloquine, conditions become more complicated. The main reason behind it, is the presence of two chiral centers in mefloquine, which confirms the existence of mefloquine in four enantiomeric forms. Hence, the prescription of mefloquine in the racemic form for the elimination of SARS-CoV-2, will raise same questions, as in the case of hydroxychloroquine. The most biologically active enantiomer of mefloquine, that could be prescribed, is (+)-mefloquine, which has already been confirmed as an active and effective form²¹. WRAIR has published several papers outlining ongoing efforts to make mefloquine safer by producing only (+)-mefloquine. The reason behind it, is a shorter half-life of (+)-mefloquine than other enantiomeric forms of it, because this enantiomer has less affinity towards plasma²¹. Hence, (+)-mefloquine would be a suitable and effective drug in eliminating SARS-CoV-2 from the body with less toxicity as well as side effect as per both docking results and literature data²¹. Based on the docking results and literature data¹⁶⁻²¹, the prescription of a combination of S-(+)-hydroxychloroguine and (+)-mefloquine, must be suitable for the safest treatment of coronavirus. In these two drugs, S-(+)hydroxychloroquine would be able to block the coronavirus from binding to human cells, while (+)-mefloquine would be able to eliminate it from the body, with less side effect.

Conclusion

After a long discussion based on the docking results, less toxicity of S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine & (+)-mefloquine, and literature data including the work done previously, I found that chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, both have capability to block the SARS-CoV-2 from binding to human cells, but not to eliminate it from the body. Of course, in these two drugs only hydroxychloroquine, would be suitable to be prescribed, but the prescription of its only S-(+)-form, would make it more suitable and effective with less side effect. On the other hand, as per docking results, mefloquine may exhibit its capability to eliminate the SARS-CoV-2 from the body, as mefloquine did in the case of JC virus. The prescription of its (+)-form, would make it more suitable and effective with less side effect. Therefore, the current computational study may prove the prescription of a combination of S-(+)-hydroxychloroquine and (+)-mefloquine to be a troubleshooter in this pandemic situation. Moreover, the prescription of only single enantiomeric

form will also be very helpful in understanding the mechanism of action of both chiral drugs. Hence, the presented study may be acceptable to the scientific community.

Materials and Methods

Software's and Tools

All the software and tools used for studying the interaction between enantiomers and targets, are Discovery Studio Visualizer, MarvinSketch (16.9.12 version), LigPlot, AutoDock Vina 4.2, Protein Data Bank (PDB), MGL tools, PubChem and PyMOL.

A computational study is very helpful not only in understanding the reaction mechanism²²⁻²⁷ but also in the drug development²⁸ and mechanism of action in pharmacokinetics²⁹. There are three steps following which, the computational evaluation was done. The first step was the preparation of pdb files of targets and ligands, the second was the molecular docking simulation, and the final step was the data analysis. The experiments done by others on chloroquine² and hydroxychloroquine³, were evaluated computationally, to know the mechanism of action of both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in blocking SARS-CoV-2, while the literature data was used to know the most biologically active enantiomer of both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine. In the same way, the experiments done by others on mefloquine 16,17 for the elimination of JC virus, were also evaluated computationally, and compared with the computationally evaluated docking results for SARS-CoV-2, to know the eliminating strength of mefloquine against it. For this purpose, the main protease (Mpro) of both JC virus and SARS-CoV-2, were interacted with mefloquine computationally. In the current study, I took the main protease of both viruses, because it is already understood that the main protease (M^{pro}) of microbes, is considered as an effective target for drug design and development³⁰. During drug development strategy, the residues of the targets involving in the interactions with the drugs, assist as a platform for the development of potent and selective inhibitors of microbes such as SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro 30}.

Receptor/Target Preparation

First, all the pdb files of targets with pdb code: 6lzg for covid-2 with its receptor; 6m03 for SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}; 5j40 for JC virus M^{pro} (Figure 7a), (Figure 7b) and (Figure 7c) respectively, were obtained from the protein data bank (<u>www.rcsb.org</u>). All the pdb files obtained, were not

pure due to the presence of impurities such as ligands and water molecules. Hence, all the pdb files of the targets were made pure by removing the impurities attached to the targets, using Discovery Studio Visualizer so that it could be used for further study. After that, the pdb files were opened one by one in AutoDock Tools (ADT) 4.2 ³¹ to add the non-polar hydrogen atoms, followed by Gasteiger charges assigned to targets. After the addition of all the things mandatory for the simulation study, the pdb files of targets, were saved as pdbqt format.

Ligands (Enantiomers) Preparation

In the ligands (enantiomers) preparation, MarvinSketch was used. All the enantiomers of chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and mefloquine taken in the present study, were saved in pdb files (Figure 7d), (Figure 7e) and (Figure 7f), respectively. All the pdb files of ligands (enantiomers of chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and mefloquine), like receptor preparation, were converted into pdbqt format one by one using AutoDock Tools (ADT) 4.2 ³¹, and the docking was achieved by using ADT considering all the rotatable bonds of the ligand as rotatable, and the receptor as rigid³¹. The grid box size of 60 × 80 × 110 A° with 0.375 A° spacing, was used.

Docking Methods

All the files of enantiomers, formatted as pdbqt, were docked with targets one by one using AutoDock $vina^{32}$ program. For the docking method, the coordinates of the source were set at x=30.054, y=22.75, and z= 4.171. Fifty autonomous docking runs were applied for each ligand (enantiomer) and targets to find the lowest free energy of binding confirmation from the largest cluster.

Data and Analysis

The analysis of the number of hydrogen bonds, the residues of enantiomers as well as targets involved in hydrogen bondings, mode of interaction, and bond lengths of hydrogen bonds, were studied by PyMOL. On the other hand, LigPlot 1.4.5 ³³ was used for the study of enantiomeric hydrophobic interactions with the targets.

Acknowledgment

I am very thankful to my guide, Professor Imran Ali, Chemistry Department, Jamia Millia Islamia (A Central University), New Delhi, Delhi, India for guiding and supporting morally.

Funding Source

There is no funding source for this manuscript.

References

- 1. Zumla, A., Chan, J. F. W., Azhar, E. I., Hui, D. S. C. & Yuen, K.-Y. Coronaviruses-drug discovery and therapeutic options. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **15**, 327–347 (2016).
- 2. Wang, M. *et al.* Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res.* **30**, 269–271 (2020).
- 3. Liu, J. *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. *Cell Discov.* **6**, 16 (2020).
- 4. Ali, I., Suhail, M., ALOthman, Z. A., Al-Mohaimeed, A. M. & Alwarthan, A. Chiral resolution of four stereomers and simulation studies of newly synthesized antibacterial agents having two chiral centers. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **236**, 116256 (2020).
- Ali, I., Suhail, M., Alothman, Z. A. & Alwarthan, A. Chiral separation and modeling of baclofen, bupropion, and etodolac profens on amylose reversed phase chiral column. *Chirality* 29, 386–397 (2017).
- 6. Ali, I., Suhail, M. & Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Advances in chiral multidimensional liquid chromatography. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **120**, 115634 (2019).
- 7. Ali, I., Suhail, M. & Asnin, L. Chiral separation of quinolones by liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis. *J. Sep. Sci.* **40**, 2863–2882 (2017).
- 8. Ali, I., Suhail, M. & Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Chiral analysis of macromolecules. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **41**, 749–760 (2018).
- 9. Ali, I., Suhail, M., AL-Othman, Z. A., Alwarthan, A. & Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Enantiomeric resolution of multiple chiral centres racemates by capillary electrophoresis. *Biomed*.

- Chromatogr. **30**, 683–694 (2016).
- Ali, I., Suhail, M., Lone, M. N., Alothman, Z. A. & Alwarthan, A. Chiral resolution of multichiral center racemates by different modalities of chromatography. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* 39, 435–444 (2016).
- 11. Ali, I., Lone, M. N., Suhail, M., Al-Othman, Z. A. & Alwarthan, A. Enantiomeric resolution and simulation studies of four enantiomers of 5-bromo-3-ethyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-piperidine-2,6-dione on a Chiralpak IA column. *RSC Adv.* **6**, 14372–14380 (2016).
- 12. Ali, I., Suhail, M., Asnin, L. & Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Reverse elution order of β-blockers in chiral separation. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **40**, 435–441 (2017).
- 13. Suhail, M. & Ali, I. Gas chromatography: A tool for drug analysis in biological samples. *Chem. Int.* **6**, 277–294 (2020).
- 14. Alajmi, M. F. *et al.* Chiral HPLC Separation and Modeling of Four Stereomers of DL-Leucine-DL-Tryptophan Dipeptide on Amylose Chiral Column. *Chirality* **28**, 642–648 (2016).
- 15. Ali, I., Suhail, M., Alothman, Z. A. & Badjah, A. Y. Stereoselective interactions of profen stereomers with human plasma proteins using nano solid phase micro membrane tip extraction and chiral liquid chromatography. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **197**, 336–344 (2018).
- 16. Brickelmaier, M. *et al.* Identification and Characterization of Mefloquine Efficacy against JC Virus In Vitro. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **53**, 1840–1849 (2009).
- 17. Gofton, T. E., Al-Khotani, A., O'Farrell, B., Ang, L. C. & McLachlan, R. S. Mefloquine in the treatment of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg*.

- Psychiatry **82**, 452–455 (2011).
- 18. World Health Organization. World Health Organization model list of essential medicines: 21st list 2019. *Geneva: World Health Organization*. hdl:10665/325771 (2019). WHO/MVP/EMP/IAU/2019.06.. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO
- 19. Finbloom, D. S., Silver, K., Newsome, D. A. & Gunkel, R. Comparison of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine use and the development of retinal toxicity. *J. Rheumatol.* **12**, 692–4 (1985).
- 20. William Francis, Michne Vera Johanna. Use of (S)-(+)-Hydroxychloroquine. *European Patent Office*. EP0588430B1 (1994).
- 21. Schlagenhauf, P. Mefloquine for Malaria Chemoprophylaxis 1992–1998: A Review. *J. Travel Med.* **6**, 122–133 (1999).
- 22. Somagond, S. M. *et al.* Detailed analytical studies of 1,2,4-triazole derivatized quinoline. *Eur. J. Chem.* **10**, 281–294 (2019).
- 23. Shanshal, M. A. & Yusuf, Q. A. C-C and C-H bond cleavage reactions in acenaphthylene aromatic molecule, an ab-initio density functional theory study. *Eur. J. Chem.* **10**, 403–408 (2019).
- 24. Darugar, V., Vakili, M., Tayyari, S. F., Kamounah, F. S. & Afzali, R. Application of Hammett equation to intramolecular hydrogen bond strength in para-substituted phenyl ring of trifluorobenzoylacetone and 1-aryl-1,3-diketone malonates. *Eur. J. Chem.* 9, 213–221 (2018).
- 25. Al-Salami, B. K. Microwave synthesis of some N-phenylhydrazine-1-carbothioamide Schiff

- bases. Eur. J. Chem. 9, 74–78 (2018).
- 26. Ali, I., Suhail, M. & Basheer, A. A. Advanced spiral periodic classification of the elements. *Chem. Int.* **3**, 220–224 (2017).
- 27. Suhail, M., Sofi, D. M., Ali, I., Ariba, A., Saiyam, A. Theoretical DFT study of Cannizzaro reaction mechanism: A mini perspective. *Eur. J. Chem.* **11**, 139–144 (2020).
- 28. Malik, A. *et al.* Molecular docking and pharmacokinetic evaluation of natural compounds as targeted inhibitors against Crz1 protein in Rhizoctonia solani. *Bioinformation* **15**, 277–286 (2019).
- 29. Stenberg, P., Bergstrm, C. A. S., Luthman, K. & Artursson, P. Theoretical Predictions of Drug Absorption in Drug Discovery and Development. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* **41**, 877–899 (2002).
- 30. Zhang, L. *et al.* Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved α-ketoamide inhibitors. *Science*. **368** (6489), 490-412 (2020). doi:10.1126/science.abb3405
- 31. Morris, G. M. *et al.* AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J. Comput. Chem.* **30**, 2785–2791 (2009).
- 32. Trott, O. & Olson, A. J. AutoDock Vina: Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* 415-461 (2009). doi:10.1002/jcc.21334
- 33. Laskowski, R. A. & Swindells, M. B. LigPlot+: Multiple Ligand–Protein Interaction Diagrams for Drug Discovery. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **51**, 2778–2786 (2011).